Studies in Indian History And Civilization

Dr. BUDDHA PRAKASH M.A., LL.B., PH.D., D.LITT. Head of the Department of Ancient History and Culture Panjab University

SHIVA LAL AGARWALA & CO. (P.) Ltd. Educational Publishers : AGRA



FIRST EDITION ; OCTOBER 1962

PRICE RUPEES FIFTEEN ONLY

PREFACE

This volume entitled Studies in Indian History and Civilization is a collection of my research studies in different periods and aspects of Indian history and culture. Some of these studies have appeared in well-known journals relating to Indological researches. But now they have been thoroughly revised and rewritten in the light of latest studies and researches. Every study contained in this volume enshrines a new approach to the problem it treats. The key-note of this new approach is a reconciliation of literary evidence and archaeological data. Every historical age or epoch is characterised by its peculiar atmosphere which pervades every aspect of its culture and conditions its art. science, philosophy, literature, economy, polity and styles and ideals of life. This atmosphere knits the varied manifestations of life and culture in a common relationship and thus gives an individuality to the age. Hence there is a fundamental unity in the various cultural strands of an age. The poet's imagination, the storyteller's fancy, the artist's vision, the philosopher's insight, the scientist's acumen, the trader's method and the diplomat's skill partake of a common cultural background having its own peculiar and singular atmosphere. The poet's rhymes, the novelist's diction, the painter's brush, the sculptor's chisel, the architect's scale, the mint-master's die and the craftsman's tools create objects stamped with the cultural identity of the age and thus express its innate nature in diverse media and forms. Hence, there is an underlying unity in all the creative works of an age. Literature, art and monuments complement each other. Literary traditions and archaeological evidence breathe the same air of the age. Sometimes the legends of an age clothe the facts of history in such a garb of fiction that their real form becomes somewhat recondite and they seem quite inconsistent with the data of archaeology and epigraphy. But often the historical nucleus can be recovered from the mass of legends by means of a method of critical analysis and dissection. Through this approach the data of legends and traditions contained in literature are easily brought in harmony

with the facts of history gleaned from chronicles and archaeological remains Thus, we should not shun literary legends and traditions as mere figments of imagination but try to discover their historical kernel by penetrating into their inner core with a criticocomparative methodology We should endeavour to correlate every datum with its proper context and perspective and consider it from the standpoint of situations and probabilities which can be gathered from other reliable sources. Thus, a wonderful concordance is sometimes established between historical, archaeological and literary data. What appears as mere fiction shines up with a new historical light. It appears that the poet was merely colouring the facts of history with literary flourishes and imaginative touches, Archaeology seems to provide a commentary to literary works. Some of the studies contained in this collection bring out the intimate relationship of history, literature and arehaeology. An approach to Kalidasa and his works from this standpoint is illuminating in many ways. A study of the Puranas and epics, both Indian and Iranian, the Mahabharata and the Shah nama with this methodology, results in the discovery of unknown facts and supplies missing links of known data. Where archaeological evidence fails, as in the case of Poros and Candragupta Maurya, the value of these data becomes immense. We can ill-afford to shut out these data of literary traditions coming from unforeseen sources such as the Iranian epie, because it is with analogous literary data only that we are forced to reconstruct the history of these epochs. If late Pali works such as the Mahavamsa Tika and the Mahavamsa of Moggaliana, penned in Ceylon, can be utilised for writing the history of these periods, there is no season why the Iranian works, composed on the basis of equally okl records, containing traditions, with which they were especially likely to be familiar, should be discarded ab initio. Of course, a very strict scrutiny and highly critical analysis is indispensable while dealing with this material and only that fact is to be accepted which fits in very closely with the known context of events, but this does not mean that we should harbour any inborn bias against it and reject it outright without even feeling the need of examining it. In some studies I have shown that this material has enough historical worth which can be assessed by a critical method but a sympathetic outlock. A scientific method shuns prejudices

and preconceptions. It is characterised by an open and receptive mind. It never refuses to examine any set of data for some bias. It has a use for every variety of evidence. In these studies the endeavour has been to follow the scientific method of the study of historical facts and cultural phenomena and to approach historical legends and traditions contained in literary works and chronicles as well as the data of archaeology, epigraphy and numismatics with an open though critical mind.

Moti Bhavan, Saharanpur October 2, 1962

-BUDDHA PRAKASI

CONTENTS

Chapter Page			
I	I The Hindu Philosophy of History		
	1 The meaning of history	I	
	2 Hindu Historiography	1	
	3 The theories of orgame causation	3	
	4 Adipurus and Viratrupa	4	
	5 The conception of time	5	
	6 Law and freedom in history	8	
	7 The quest of universal cultural values	14	
	8 The synthesis of moral and mechanical processes	18	
	9 The Hindu philosophy of history applied to world history	22	
II	Poros	28 29	
	1 The dynasty of Poros	28	
	2 The identity of the Pauravas and Parvatakas	30	
	3 Political conditions of North western India on		
	the eve of the rise of Poros	33	
	4 The relations of Poros and Darius III	38	
	5 The Indian invasion of Alexander and the		
	attitude of Poros towards it	44	
	6 The battle of the Jhelum between Alexander		
	and Poros B C 326	46	
	7 The friendship of Alexander and Poros and the		
	subjugation of the states of the Panjab by them	62	
	8 The aftermath of Alexander's Indian invasion		
	and the alliance of Poros and Candragupta		
	Maurya	65	
	9 The conquest of Magadha and the murder of """		
	Poros	6-	
III	The Home of the Mauryas	-25-	
	1 Buddhist traditions about the Maury at	-	
	2 Jama traditions about the Marwas		
	3 Brahmanical traditions about the factyas		

(11)

ı

Chapter Pag				
	4	The theories of the north-western origin of the Mauryas	74	
	5	The Mauryas and Moriya Sannivesa	78	
	6	A critical estimate of the theory of the separation		
		of the Moriyas from the Sakyas following the persecution of Vidudabha	79	
	7	The location of Moriya Sannivesa, the home of the Mauryas	83	
1V		ulragupta Maurya in the Shāh-Nāmā of Iausi	86-130	
	1	The sources of the history of Alexander and	86	
		Candragupta Maurya	87	
	2	Firdausi and his Shāh-Nāmā		
	3	Firdausi's treatment of the history of Alexander	9 0	
	4	The reference to Kand or Kaid by Muslim historians	91	
	5	The contemporaneity of Kaid and Alexander	93	
	б	The Milad of Kaid and Taxila	93	
	7	Mihran, the guide of Kaid	96	
	8	The dreams of Kaid	98	
	9.	The tyrant king	100	
	10	The tyrant king and the Nandas	103	
	11.	The treaty of Kaid and Alexander	117	
	12	The character of Kaid	118	
	13	The identity of Kaid and Candragupta Maurya	118	
	14.	Early life of Candragupta Maurya	119	
	15.	The details of the treaty of Candragupta Maurya and Alexander	123	
	16.	The rumours of the marriage of Alexander with an Indian woman	125	
	17.	The rumours of the matrimonial alliance of	125	
		Candragupta Maurya and Seleucos	127	
	18.	The gifts sent by Candragupta Maurya to Alexander	128	
v		cunurugupta nium ya nith	131-134	
	1.	Plutarch on the meeting of Androcottos and		
		Alexander	131	

(IV)

CHAPTE	R		PA	CES
	7	Maurya etatisme and bureaucracy .		192
	8	Economic aspect of the fall of the Maury empire	a	194
		Oppressive taxation and exaction in the Maury period	a	195
1	0	Revolt against Maurya secularism .		199
1	1	The Brahmanical Renaissance	•	203
1	2	Centrifugal forces and policies of pacifism	•	204
1	13.	Mystic, escapist and metaphysical trends of thought	f	207
1X	A N	ew Approach to the Indo-Greeks	209	-239
	1	The settlement of the Greeks in Bactria .		209
	2	The rise of the Bactrian Greeks under Diodotus		211
	3	The advent of Euthydemus		213
	4	The reign of Demetrius I		214
	5	The career of Pusyamitra Sunga		217
	6	Krmisa and Demetrius II	•	220
	7.	The struggle in India after the death of Pusya mitra and the invasion of Demetrius II	•	221
	8.	The Puranic accounts of the Indian invasio of Kālayavana	n	223
	9.	The identity of Kalayavana and Demetrius II		227
	10.	The war of Eucratides and Demetrius II .		231
	11.	The criticism of the theory of the India invasion of Menander	n	236
x	Th	ākura	240	-261
	1.	Connotations of the word 'thakura'		240
	2.	Literary uses of the word 'thakura'		241
	3.	'Thakura' and 'Tegin'		244
	4	The word 'ttaugara'		245
	5.	Movements of the Yue-che	•	250
	6.	The Yue-che and Iodia		253
	7	'Thākura' and 'ttaugara' : a linguistic study	• •	256
1X		mudragupta and the Saka-Kusānas		2-270
	Ι.	The reference to the Saka-Kusānas in the	he	

Allahabad Pillar ioscription of Samudragupta

262

(v)

CHAPTER PAGES				
2. The debacle of the Kusanas				
	3.	The relations of the Kusanas with Rome and		
	э.	the Sassanid empire	265	
	4.	The pressure of Shahpuhr II on the Kuşanas	267	
	5.	The alliance of the Kusanas with Samudra-		
·	••	gupta between 350-356 A.D.	268	
XII	Car	dragupta Vikramādītya and Ardashir II	271-276	
	1.	The rise of the Kuşānas in the later part of the reign of Shähpuhr II	271	
	2.	The Kusana invasion of India after the death		
		of Samudragupta	272	
	3.	The Pehlavi and Persian traditions of the		
		relations of Ardashir and Kaid	274	
	4.	The embassy of Ardashir II in the court of		
		Candragupta II	275	
хш	Kā	lidāsa and the Hūņas	277-329	
	١.	The reference to the Hunas in the Raghuvamsa	277	
	2.	A flying survey of the history of the Hlung-nu	283	
	3.			
		Valley	289	
	4.	A brief outline of the history of the Hephthalite-		
	-	Hūnas	301	
	5.	Considerations about the date of Kälidäsa	323	
XIV	Th	e Geographical and Cultural Aspects of the		
		orthern Itinerary of Raghu as described by Kälidäsa		
	1.	The north-western route across the Indus	330	
	2.	The valley of Kapiši	333	
	3.	The Yavana cantonment	335	
	4.	The battle of Begram	337	
	5	The Pārasīkas at Begram	339	
	6.		343	
	7.		346	
	8.		347	
	9.	Bandi in Annoga a badakishan		
	10.			
		Khotan	352	

(11)

Снарт	TER		Pa	(TES
	11 12	The Parvaliyas Utsawasankeias and Kinnaras The homeward journey		355 356
xv	The	Kularites in Indian History	358	377
	1	The Lusings and the Guptas		158
	2	The Sussanids and the Salas		360
	3	The Guptas and China		362
	4 5	Movements and migrations in Central Asia The Kidarites		364 365
	6	The Kidarite Hephthalite invasion of northern India		372
	7	Kungkas and Kanka		374
	8	Skindingupta's wirs with the Kidirites and Hunas		375
λγι	A 1	Reference to the Sassanuls in Indian Literature	378	389
	1	The rise of the Sassanids		378
	2	The Sassanids and the Saka Kusinas		179
	3	The Sassanids and India		383
	4	The Sassands and the Sayhanamia of the Titthogali painner 4		386
	5	The cultural consequences of Sassanid influence in North western India		387
XVII	On	Vikramudity a Traditions	390	398
	1	Traditions about Bikarmajit a contemporary of Ardashir or Sh thpulir, mentioned by Farishta		390
	2	The problem of the era of 57 58 B C		390
	3	Al Biruni s reference to two Vikramadityns		392
	4	Candragupta II Vikramiditya and the Sakas		392
	5	Candragupta II Vikramaditya s association with Ujiayini in Malwa	I	39 3
	6	The identif		
	_	with the fo		393
	7	Candrigupta II Vikrimiditya and the South		394
	8	Concluding remarks		398
λVII		he Age of the Mrcclakapka	399	416
	1	Reference to Subandhu		309

(vii)

	(11)		•
CHAPTER	,		PAGES
2.	Mention of King Rudra		404
3.	Allusion to 'Khera Khāna'		405
4.	The identification of 'Madbughata'		409
5.	The political revolution		410
6.	The court scene		412
7.	Concluding observations		416
XIX A	Historical Approach to the Karpüram	añiarî	
	tājošekhara		17-427
1.	Rājašekhara and his Karpūramañjarī		417
2.	Candapala and the Deccan	ŕ	418
3.	Candapāla and Mahīpāla		419
4.	Mahīpāla and the East		419
5.	Mahīpāla and the Rāstrakūtas	••	421
6.	Candapāla and Mahendrapāla		423
7.	Mahendrapäla and the East		423
. 8.	Mahendrapāla and the Rāştrakūţas	••	425
9.	Mahendrapäla and Lāja	••	426
10.	Candapäla identified with Mahendrapäla	••	426
Appendix	to Chapter VII "Fall of the Mourya Empire"	••	429
Index	·	4	31-444

CHAPTER I

The Hindu Philosophy of History

1 The meaning of history

History is a study of the past of man This study has two striges (1) the ascertaining of facts on the basis of a critical and scientific investigation of the evidence left by the past and (2) the finding of correlations and inter connections among the facts thus established. The second stage of the study of history relates to the arrangement and classification of facts in such a way as to bring out the tendencies or directions that they exhibit in their unfolding. These tendencies and directions are then compared and correlated to find if they follow some pattern or system captule of being formulated in terms or laws, theories and formulae For making such attempts it is necessary, first, to regard the phenomena of human development as real and substantial rather thaa as illusory and shidowy and next, to treat the process of the unfolding of these phenomena as dynamic and functional.

2 Hindu historiography

The Hindu view of hife is commonly believed to be antigonistic to the historical approach enuncated above. In the whole ringe of Hindu literature we seldom come across a work of history written on scientific lines. Of course, the Puranas give long chruns of royal genealogies and the Brahmanas contain detailed lists of priestly families. In the epics, the Ramgana and the Mahabharala we get a vast mass of ancient legendary love and in the Palic anon of the Buddhists and the Ardhamagadhi literature of the Jainas there are numerous references to old kings battles and events as well as the outlines of the development of their respective churches. In secular and court literature there are some interesting chronicles like the Harsaconia of Banabhatta, the Vikramankadroacenta of Bihana the Nawashasankacenta of Padmagupta the Aumarapaleantatio of Javasimba and Hermacandra, the Ramgaratia of Sandhyvhara Nandin, the Gaudaraho of Väkpati and the $P_{t}hv_{1}^{2}rajavijaya$ of an anonymous writer. But these works are full of epic adulation, colourful descriptions and courtly exaggeration. They do refer to important events but they clothe them in poetic vestures so as to deprive them of their historical character. Only the Rajatarangini of Kalhana (1149-1150 A.D.) is a ootable and solitary exception. In it the author follows the critical and analytical method which covers the first stage of the study of history, as stated above. He pleads for the dispassionate investigation of facts and follows a scientific method of historical criticism. The following quotations from his introductory chapter clarify his methodology.

"That virtuous poet alone is worthy of praise who, free from love or hatred, ever restricts his language to the exposition of facts. I have examined eleven works of former scholars which contain the chronicles of the langs as well as the views of the sage Nila (Nilapuiāna). ...By the inspection of ordinances (*isiuma*) of former langs relating to religious foundations and grants, laudatory inscriptions (*fraiattipatta*) as well as written records (*isistra*) all wearisome error has been set at rest."¹¹

But despite his excellent equipment and scientific method Kalhana was unable to reconstruct the early history of Kasmira." His account of the period preceding the seventh century cannot be regarded as wholly trustworthy though it contains precious information which when checked with the help of other materials becomes of paramount importance to the modern historian. The Pali chronicles of Ceylon, Mahāvamsa and Dipavainsa, are likewise bare accounts of kingly successions interspersed with stray references to church history, Despite all these works of historical character, the fact remains that most of the glorious figure of Indian history have paled in the dimness of fable and romance. Buddha, Mahavira, Candragupta Maurya, Ašoka, Vikramādutya, Kālidāsa, Šankarācārya and a host of other monarclis, thinkers and writers are to us no more than shadowy figures of fairy tales. Notwithstanding the early recognition of historical literature on a footing of equality with the Vedic literature, as is manifest from the inclusion of history (*itihāya-veda*) among the scriptures to be read during the recital of the cycle of legends (pariplavākhyāna) on the occasion of the horse sacrifice (asvamedha), it is an

Rajatarangini 1, 7, 14, 15.

undisputed fact that we have no connected account of the history of the Hindus written by them in their own language, much less a history of other peoples and countries of the world from their pens

3 The theories of arganic causation

This seemingly historyless character of Hindu genius is the outcome of the fundamental postulates of the thought and civilization of the Hindu people The Hindu view of life is based on an organic conception of nature According to it nature is so organically constituted that all its acts emerge from the convergence of the functions of its whole system and effect in turn the working of its whole process A seed sprouting and growing into a tree evokes and involves the operation of the whole scheme of being Its development depends on climatic action, alluvial function, osmotic process and favourable environment no less than on the potentiality of the seed This view of organic causation is best enunciated in the plulosophy of Sankhya Yoga Starting from the metaphysical premises of neutral pluralism, according to which mind and matter and all their effects, relations and developments are diverse kinds of aggregates of the ulumate reals, the gunus, Yoga philosophy has come to hold that causation signifies the self determination of the organized whole The self determination of the whole is also the self determination of the parts and vice versa The tendency that guides the mode of evolution of an entity is on the one hand the actualisation of its potentiality and on the other its subordination to the history of the development of other component entities in the interest of the total cosmic development of which every individual development is a part and towards which it has a tendency 2 As in Yoga so in Vedanta the concept of causation means the multiplication and diversification of the Essential Absolute (brahman) through illusion (māya) and superimposition (adayasa) The merging of the world of time, place and causality in the being of brahman is tantamount to a rejection of the idea of individuality (ahamkara) as a causal factor ³ Even in the realistic system of Nyaya vaitesika, which postulates the ultimate reality of atoms as the material cause of the world a supreme dynamic principle pervading and

² Satapathabrahmana XIII, 4 3

^{*} S N Das Gupta 'Yoga Theory of the Relation of Mind and Body', Cultural Heritage of India Vol I, p 386

³ Subramania Aiyer 'The Essentials of Vedanta', Cultural Horizoge of India Vol I, p 527

asserting itself in it is conceived as its final cause.¹ In Buddhist philosophy cause is defined as a combination of circumstances (pactapargmagg) and the conception of cause as a single self-contained factor or agent is rejected. According to this view nothing self-dependent or possessed of soul exist. Everything that exists or appears is a phase of the universal flux, that is to say, every object is a form of the interdependence of the system of being. An individual is a mere creation of senskāras or an aggregate of the skandhas. His claim as a creator or doer (kārākā) oi knower (redākā) is illusory and unsound.²

4. Adipurusa and Viratrupa

The organic conception of nature implicit in Indian philosophy expresses itself in the idea of the primeval being ($\underline{a}diputua$) adumbrated in Rgueda X, 90 and of the macrocosmic being ($\underline{V}iafrEpa$) developed in *Bhagavadgitā* XI, 32-34. In the suggestive imagery of the primeval being of the Rgueda there is a linking of social factors with physical forces through the medium of a cosmic order. There, the unity and system of the cosmic ordet is represented as a person from whose himbs the phenomena of nature emanate. The priestly class (*brahmana*) emerges from his mouth, the ruling class (rajanya) from his arms, the traders and agriculturists (vaija) from his thighs and the

¹ Satkari Mookerji : 'Nyäyä-Vaisesika system of philosophy', *Cultural Heritage of Indea* Vol. I, p. 409. This position of Hindu philosophy is summed up in the *Bhagaradgi tā* (111, 27) as follows :---

प्रकृतेः कियमाणानि गुणैः कर्माणि सर्वधाः । ग्रहुकारविमूदारमा कर्ताहमिति मन्यते ।।

² Asvaghosa : Soundar ananda $K_{\overline{a} \overline{c} y a}$ XVII, 20-21 यत्तद संस्कारगतं विविश्वतं न कारकः फरकन वेदको वा । सामयृदाः सम्भवति प्रवृत्तिः यूग्यं ततो लोकमिमं यदर्भ ।। सर्मारियदि जगरदल्वत्म नैरवयेनेकः कुरुदे किवायु । तत्तत् प्रतीस्य प्रमर्शत् नैरवयेनेकः कुरुदे किवायु । तत्तत् प्रतीस्य प्रमर्शत् न स्वयेनेकः कुरुदे किवायु । The doctrine of the plurality of causes is adumbrated by Buddhaghosa in his Autosalină p. 59. समयायसंखातो हमयो अनेकहेबुतो युत्ति दीयेति, तेन एककारणवादो प्रदियियो होति । साधारणकलनिष्फादकतीन हि ठित्वभादो सामगिग न प्रवेकसं समोधानमत्त न द फरानां देसरनं नाम सावारणकत्वम् । service people ($i\bar{a}dra$) from his fect. The moon springs from his mind, the sun from his eye, Indra and Agni from his mouth and the wond from his breath. Space issues from his navel, the sky rolls from his head, the earth sprawls from his fact and the directions spread out from his ear.¹ Thus the entire scheme of being comprehending the social as well as the physical phenomena is concentric and unified

As in the Rgoeda so in the Bhagavadgitā the grand and majestic speciacle of the macrocosmic being comprising the processes of the whole universe in the functions of his body is presented in an epic style. The macrocosmic being is the whole cosmic system personified. He shines in the sun and thunders in the clouds, he breathes in the breezes and blows in the bluzzards. He is both birth and death and the quintessence of all that exist. It is as his instruments that the warriors fight in the battle and it is at his instance that they kill each other. The role of the individual is only to act as a means to execute his will or to behave as a munitamätina ²

5 The conception of time

From the aforesaid standpoint of Hindu philosophy we arrive at a significant conception of time In the Gida, Virgitupa is called 'time' Time denotes movement In the Atharaareda (XVIII, 53-54) time is called a horse who is pulling everything on with his seven traces. In another context time is compared to a vehicle

	Rgveda X, 99, 12-14	
	श्राह्मणोऽस्य मुखयासीढाहू राजन्य हत' ।	
	उच यदस्य तहुँदय पदम्या शूद्रोऽजायत ।।	
	चन्द्रमा मानसो जातरचरहो मुर्यो अजायत ।	
	मुसादिन्द्ररचाग्निरच प्रणादावुरजायत ॥	
	नाम्या जामीदन्तरिक बीज्यों दी संववतंत ।	
	गद्भ्या भूमिदिश श्रोतात्तवा लोका म्र क्ल्यवन् ।।	
2	Diagacaugita, AL, 32-34	
	कालोऽस्मि लोकक्षयकृत्त्रवृद्धो लोकान्समाहतुं मिह प्रवृत्त	
	ऋतेऽपि त्वां न भविष्यन्ति सर्वे येऽवहिथताः प्रत्यनीवेषु घोषा	
	तरमारवमुत्तिष्ठ यश्चो लभरव जित्वा अत्रून् भू ध्व राज्य समृद्धम्	
	भर्यवैते निहता:पूर्वमेव निमित्तमात्र भव मन्यसाचिन्	н
	द्रोण च भीष्म च जयद्रथ च कर्ण तवान्यानवि योधवीरात	1

मया हतास्त्व जहि मा व्यथिष्ठा युध्यस्व जेतासि रणे सपत्नान् ।।

with the objects of the world as its wheels. It is said that the earth and sky are born of time. Mind, soul and name are intimately connected with the temporal process. The entite universe and frame of being is pregnant with the process of time. Everything is in movement¹ The basic source and cause of being is called Kalaprajapali. This theory was known as aborgitauda. We find a reference to it in the famous Naradiya Sukta. Like the modern relativist philosophy it recognised the fundamental univ of time and space.

The theory of time has also been discussed by grammarians. Commenting on Panim Sutra (III, 2, 123)2 Patanjali has expressed some interesting views on time. He onines that past, present and future are relative terms. The present has no sense since the things we denote by this expression have ever been in existence. Besides this, the present is impossible since the action that is completed is past and that which remains to be completed is future. We cannot conceive of a thing which is complete and incomplete at one and the same time. The existence of things is momentary. Every moment they appear and disappear. Hence past, present and future have no significance. Here the discussion of Patañjali agrees with the reasoning of Buddhist Mādhyamika philosophy." But Patañjali adds that the present denotes that action which has not been completed. In fact, time is the process of the birth and death or appearance and disappearance of things. For convenience's sake we divide it into year, month and day.

Bhartrhari has also discussed the theory of time in detail. In accordance with the postulates of Valšesika philosophy he has declared time as eternal, omniprevent and monistic. It regulates and controls the entire activities of the universe. It is the way the scheme of being behaves and acts 4 It is the source of growth (anugraha) and decay (vinalyotā). It moves like a persian wheel.

¹ Atharvaveda XIX 54, 1. काले मन: काले प्राण: काले नाम समाहितम् 11 कालादाप: समभयन् कालाद् ब्रह्म तथी दिश: 11

^{*} Pānini Sulra III, 2, 123, वर्तमाने लट् and the Mahābhāsya of Patafijali on it.

Prasannapadā commentary on Mādhyamikavīlii, pp. 383-385 Vasubandhu's Abhidharmakoia V, 124.

⁴ Bhartthari : Vālyapadiya ed. Charudeva Sastri (Lahore 1934) 111, 9, 5.

Its main function consists in the decay and disappearance of things, forms and phenomena. Bhartrhari lins called this function 'Jarahyalalasakii

Time is the basic process of creation When the atoms are set in motion by the invisible force of desing and iller domain activity is avakened, movement strins and energine proceeds ¹ The forms which emerge from this movement are controlled by time. The process that operates and intervenes between cause and effect is culled *samazaja*. On account of at things a summe their individualties and we are able to perceive unities and unformatics in them ^{*} Since time is eternal, things never come to an end, they only change their forms ³.

The above discussion shows that recording to the Hindu philosophies, studied here, there is activity and movement in the organic structure and harmony of the universe and it is called time. On the one hand it is eternal and on the other it is momentary. Its movement is mechanical and cyclical this two expects are conceived of as the day and night of Drahum. In Janua scriptures they are described as the avairation in and untargent key and each state the logiest pitch. Both of these eres are further sub-divided into six periods (h alar), rit, (1) Susama susama (the period of great happiness), (2) susama (the period of lisppiness), (3) susama/dusama (the period of sorrow), and (6) dusama dusama (the period of sorrow), and (6) dusama dusama (the period of sorrow), and (6) Jana eras and ages are the Buddets four great and eight smaller

¹ *िabjapadıja* III, 9, 20 Helaryrs commentury इह श्रदृष्टवदाल् परमाणुषु कियात्पा पूबदेशसयागविनाशपूर्वके परस्परी-परलेपेण द्रयजुबगदिवक्रमण भोगमाधनान् पदार्थानुत्पादयक्षीति पिण्डार्थ ।

2 Lakyapadija III, 9, 42

ग्रवस्यैवानुसपत्ते यथा प्रसिगता गति । यायुस्तयैव वालात्मा विधत्ते क्रमरूपताम् ॥

- ³ Valyapadiya III, 9, 74 Helaryas commentary निया व्ययसादेव यसतादिवास परम व्यवहियते न तु वसतुत काल वरीति निरतपतात | For the Hindu philosophies of time see F Otto Schrader there den Stand der Induchen Philosophie zur Zeit Mahariras and Buddhar pp 17 30
- · Jambud papannali (Bombay) XVIII, 40

kalpas which are the acts and scenes of the drama of the successive creations and dissolutions of the universe. In the Puranas we get the four-fold division of time into Krta, Tretä, Dväpara and Kali ages In the first age virtue (dharma) reigns supreme, in the second it declines, in the third it becomes sparse and in the fourth it disappears. This system of epochs corresponds to the division and demarcation of ages from the standpoint of the rise and fall of Dikë proposed by the Greek writers Hesiod and Aratus.

6 Law and freedom in history.

We have observed that in some schools of Indian philosophy time is regarded as the primary cause and mode of existence. It represents the process of perpetual becoming. Its direction or tendency is called destiny (nuvati) It has an inherent force and movement carrying in its sweep the endless formations and transformations characterising the scheme of being Hence in Pancaratra philosophy timeenergy (kālasakti) with its concomitant destiny (nivati) is regarded as the universal ordering element (Sulsmah Sawaniyamakah). The Vaisesika system emphasises the spatial aspect of niyali by calling it dif or the regulator of positions in space, but the Ajivika school stresses its temporal aspect as well and treats it as the basis and pervasive principle of being. The latter believes in a process of evolution which proceeds according to its own innate logic and trend and drives the existence in definite and determined directions in an inexorable and immutable manner. This evolutionary process (parināmavāda) is completely unalterable, inhuman and mechanico organismic. It has three facets : destiny (myati) or the tendency and function of time (kalasakti), the manifestation of destiny in action (sangati) and the resultant formation of the appearances of nature (bhava). Thus the universal process is described as nivati-sangati-bhava-parinata.2 God

¹ S. N. Das Gupta : History of Indian Philosophy Vol. 111 p. 45. F. O. Schrader, Introduction to the Paleoratin and the Ahrbudhnya Sainhiti pp. 04-65. Schrader observes: "Mybain is not only what the Vaiseikas call Dii, to wit, the regulator of positions in space, but it also regulates, as Karmio necessity, the intellectual capacity, inclinations and practical ability of every being, that is to say, it includes the functions of the above mentioned Saiva principles called vidy3, rigg and kali."

^{*} Dinhanil and, 1, 2, Sămanna-phala-Sutta: नरिय पुरिसकारो, नरिय वर्त्र, नरिय विरियं, मरिव पुरिसपरगकमो । सब्वे सत्ता घथक्षा मबला म्रविरिया नियति-मंगति-माव-परिणता ।

has no place in it. Even soul is regarded as a material entity having a definite form, size and colour Thus the whole of matter, organic and inorganic, consisting of atoms, evolves and revolves according to fixed tendencies and courses Animate matter undergoes regular and automatic development like the ripening of a plant Considering the course of historical development from this standpoint we arrive at the conceptions which Spengler formulated in this century 1 He held that each culture has its own new possibilities of self-expression which arise, inpen decay and never return These cultures, sublimated life essences, grow with the same superb aumlessness as the flowers of the field They belong like the plants and animals to the living nature of Goethe and not to be dead nature of Newton-We talk of the habitus of a plant by which we mean the specific outward appearance that belongs to this plant alone and the character and the style in which it presents itself in the realm of static existence and spatial extension whereby every plant is distinguished, in every one of its parts and at every single stage of its life, from the representatives of all other species This notion is so important for the study of physiognomy that I propose to apply it to the great Organisms of History 2 The substance of Spenglering philosophy is that history is a process of time and destiny. The postulates of Auvikism imply similar propositions 3

Analogous to the temporalism ($k_a k w_a d a$) and evolutionism (panamarad a) of some schools of Hindu philosophy studied blove is a tendency of naturalism (a d b h a a w d a) dumbrated by them. The Sankhy's school recognises the operation of natural law in the process of evolution. According to it evolution follows a definite law which cannot be oversteepped (Parima b a a w d a

¹ Oswald Spengler The Decline of the West Vol 1 p 21

^{*} Ibid, p 156

⁸ B M Barua The Anukas, Journal of the Department of Letters II (1920) pp 1 80, A L Busham, History and Doctrines of the Anulas (London 1951) part 11

S. N Das Gupta History of Indian Philosophy Vol I, p 256

quote the Bhaganadelta, "God creates neither the actions, nor the creative faculties nor the connections of quality and object of the world. Name alone prevails." Giting the views of these thinkers Gaparatma observe, "what makes the sharpners of thorns and the varied nature of beasts and birtis? All this comes about by nabhay-a. There is nothing which acts at will. What is the use of effort?² "Thus the vabblaw-dime joint with the niyativedin.

The determinists mentioned above appeal to social structure also in their argument for the autonomy of the evolutionary process. They argue that the return of work done by man is determined not so much by the nature of that work as by the social setting of that man. For instance servants, slaves, merchants and peasants all put in ample labour to the best of their ability and capacity but they are recompensed according to the scale of values of the different kinds of labour evolved by the society in which they live. Some people do not put in any labour at all yet they enjoy riches and prosperity; others toil hard yet can harily make both ends meet.3 Hence it follows that man is not quite independent in obtaining the reward of his labour according to his desire or ability. He depends a good deal on the operation of impersonal forces and factors manifested in traditions, standards and values of the society to which he belongs. Social phenomena also follow natural phenomena in their tendencics.

The aforesaid schools of philosophy belittle the role of man by imagining a fantastically vast expanse of time. According to the

1 Bhagavadgitā, V, 11.

त बतुंखं न कर्माणि सोवःस्य एजति प्रमु: ।

न गुणकर्मसंयोगं स्वभावस्तु प्रचतेते ॥

² Gunaratna's commentary on saddarsanauccaya entitled larka-rahan dipila. 13.

कः कण्टकानां प्रकरोति तैदण्यं विचित्रभावं म्यापनीणो च । स्वामावतः मर्वमिदं प्रवृत्तं न कामचारोऽस्ति कृतः प्रयत्नः ।।

³ Silātka's commentary on Sairakifarga ed. Venicandra Suracandra (Bombay 1917) 1, 1, 2, 2 यदि पुरुषकारकृतं सुवाखनुष्पूर्वेत ततः सेवरुवणिक्कृत्यनादीनां समाने पुरुष कारे सति क्षत्रप्राध्तिदेशादृृष्ठ कनाप्राध्तिद्व न प्रवेत । कस्यचित् वेवादिय्यापाराग्रदेशी विविध्दक्रनायान्तिद्दे सत इति । घ्रतो न पुरुष कारात किविधासावते । Auvikas "the hed of the Ganga is 250 yojanas in length and half a vojana in width and 500 dhanus in depth Seven Gangas equal one Mahāgangā, seven Mahagangas equal one Sādmaganga, seven Sadinagangas equal one Maccuganga, seven Maccugangas equal one Lohiyaganga, seven Lohiyagangas equal one Avatiganga, and seven Ävatigangas equal one Paramavati The latter therefore equals 117,649 Gangas If one grain of sand is removed every hundred years from the bed of this imaginary river the total time required for the removal of all the sand would be one sara 300,000 saras of this duration equal one mahākappa 8,400,000 mahakappas form one mahamanasa 1 It is the period of the transmigration of a soul This frightening and incalculable expinse of time agrees with the immense time scale of modern astronomers and geologists On this time scale, to quote Toynbee, "ninetcen hundred years are no more than the twinkling of an eye". Thus man and his striving are of no consequence in the long journey of his soul along an inestimable course of time

It is clear from the above study of Indian determinism that it regards man as subordinate and subservient to the impersonal forces of nature and society. A according to it man is fully shackled to the laws governing the course of his ble. He is an Ixion tied to the wheel and a Sixphus rolling an ever returning stone. He is a prisoner of time and a slave of destiny. History transcends and overpowers him, he cannot alter and amend bistory. This is the philosophy propounded by Hesiod, Ibn Khaldun, Vico, Conste and Spengler and implied in the developments of modern socies²

But there are also some schools of Indian thought which do not countenance this determinism and fatalism Jamism, for instance,

³ A N Whitchcod in his Science and the Modern World observes as follows

¹ A L Basham History and Doctrine of the Aninkas, pp 253-254

² Arnold J Toynbee Guilization on Trial, p 238

[&]quot;The pilgrum fathers of the scientific imagination as it exists today are the great tragedians of ancient Athens, Aeschylus, Sophoeles and Europieds There version of fatter, remorseless und indifferent, urging a trager uncident to the mevitable issue, is the vision posteased by science. This in Greek tragedy becomes the order of nature in modern thought."

registers its strong protest agamst it. According to this system the soul bears the brunt of the actions done by the body of man. The painful condition of the self is brought about by one's own action and not by any other cause, fate, chance, creator, ctc. A man is responsible for his actions himself. His rise and fall, weal and woe, happiness and sorrow depend on his own actions. He acts, suffers and enjoys individually. He can cleanse the soul of the effects of acts by renouncing bodily pleasures and performing austerities. Since act (karman) is corporeal in character it can be wiped out by bodily restraints. Hence Jainism enjoins severe austerities and abnegations and complete detachment and withdrawal from the world of senses. This is called the practice of ninjara or the wearing off of karman. By it man can shake off the shackles of karman and enable his soul to relinquish the Larmana, lanasa and audarika forms and attain salvation (moksa) and perfection (Laivalra)."

Buddhism also combats determinism and passivism and enjoins a life of effort and activity. But it treats action (karman) as psychological rather than physical. In the Atthasalini, karman is defined as volition expressed in action.2 It means consciousness of good and bad, merit and demerit.3 Buddhism dispelled the horrible dread of the accumulation of karmie sins through 84,00,000 halbar by propounding a Miltonic idea of mind which can undo in a moment the work of ages.4 Thus absolue abstention from the world of senses is not necessary for the attainment of happiness. The path of the golden mean (madhyama pratipada) based on the Four Noble Truths (catsāri āryasatyāni) and consisting of the Noble Eightfold way (āDājtāngikamārga) is essential for this purpose.5 It lies midway

- Althasalini p. 88. चेतनहां नाम भिषवावे बम्म वदामि ।
- Visuddhimagga II p. 614 करन नाम कुसलाकुसल बेतना ।
 B. M. Bacca: The Role of Buddhism on Indian Life and Thought', Indian Culture Vol. XIII (no. 2) 1946 p. 106.
- ⁵ The Four Noble Truths are: (1) misery (2) the cause of misery (3) the necessity of removing misery (4) the way of removing misery.

The Noble Eightfold Path is : right view, right aspiration, right speech, right conduct, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness and right contemplation.

T. W. Rhys Davids: Buddhist Suttas (Sacred books of the Last) Vol XI p. 147,

¹ B C. Law: 'Jaina View of Karma,' Bhāraliya Vidyā Vol. VI pp 7-8 Mahavira, His Life and Teachings, pp. 104 ff.

between indulgence and alongation. It consists of righteous con-duct and contemplation. In the Sallekhassita of the Mayhimanikaja (I, I, 8) Buddha enjoins on the people to practice virtue irrespective of the practice of other persons. According to him one should have such feeling that one should be virtuous, non-violent, non-stealing, non-indulgent, non lymg, non backbung, non abusing, non coveting, non-envying even if others behave and act to the eventue. contrary Virtue is dependent upon circumstance but has its own independent footing also It signifies a code of private and public conduct leading to the eradication of discord and rehabilitation of harmony Buddha not only emphasized the practice of virtue in private life but laid stress on its propagation in society by creating suitable environment. In the famous kutadanta suita of the Dighamkaja (V), Buddha narrates the story of king Mahavjita who was advised by his priest to abandon the Vedic yajna The purohita told him that there was lawlessness and disorder in his state Towns and villages were being looted Highway robbery was rampant If, therefore, he would levy taxes he should fail in his duty. If he If, inference, he would levy takes he should take he should take he would puell robbery he was mistaken for the thieves who would escape would again forment trouble. The correct way of putting an end to this evil was to provide seed etc. In adequate quantities to those who desired to culturate land, to furnish capital to those who those who desired to culturate land, to furnish capital to those who wanted to do business and to give jobs and suitable salaries to those who sought government service. Thus all people would be busy in their work and there would be no chance of rebellion. Again in the *Cakkaralishanadasulta* of the *Dighankaya* (XKVI) we hear of a king who trued to ebminate thefis by giving financial and to the culprits who confessed that they committed the offence on account of string-ency but failed in his endeavour because a large number of persons took to this device of obtaining royal assistance. Then he started giving deterrent punishments to the convicts. This struck terror in This struck parameters in the converse of the struck ferror in the hearts of theves But they, having no alternative, began to organise and arm themselves and started committing open dacoutes All this was due to unemployment and poverty in the state Therefore the king Drdhanemi exhorted his son to eradicate misery and the king Difficulties exhibited in som to craticate misery and poverly from the land by distributing work and money among the people. This is the modern approach to social problems In-stead of relying on religious observances and scramental rites and moking divine pleasure and benediction thereby it was considered more expedient to reform the social order, to launch economic

measures and thus to tackle the problem of poverty, destitution and unemployment. In this way Buddha extolled and emphas ised the role of human effort and organisation in private and public spheres and held that man can better his lot and circumstataces by his own actions. He is not dependent on natural or supernatural powers but is a free agent having the right and capacity to shape his life and culture as he thinks fit. This view runs counter to the deterministic theories studied by us above,

The Bhagauadgita effects a synthesis between the aforesaid two different views about the freedom of man. We have seen above that is subscribes to the theories of organic causation, inherent necessity, temporalism and stabhäranda. But it concedes some freedom to man in the sphere of action. It observes that man has a right to choose his course in the field of action but has no control over its result or reward. Therefore it counsels a policy of indifference and detachment so far as the outcome of actions is concerned.¹ This standpoint is brought into bold relief when Krapa after convinency Arjuna of the impersonal and immutable character of the cosmic process and of his role as an agent or instrument (*similumalita*) there of grants him leave to do as he pleases according to his own judgment.² Here an effort has been made to reconcile law and freedom in history. This is one of the most remarkable syntheses of Hindu thought and philosophy.

7. The quest of universal cultural values.

We have observed that Hindu philosophy regards the entire universe as an organic whole. For it the divisions and distinctions of race, country or climate have lattle significance. The whole earth is the mother of man and he is her child.³ He derives suckle and

1	Bhagavadgītā II, 47
-	कर्मर्ण्यवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेपु कवाचन । मा कर्मफलहेतूर्भू मां ते संगोऽस्त्वकर्मणि ।।
2	Bhagavadgita XVIII, 63 इति ते तत्वमाख्यातं गृह्याद् गृह्यतर मया । विमूर्यंतदरोपेण सपेच्छांत्तं तया कुरु ।।
3	Atharvaveda XII, 1, 12 माता भूमि: पुत्रोऽहं पृथिव्याः ।

nourishment form the earth as from his mother.1 He solicits affluence and prosperity from her and salutes her bosom full of gold and riches. "The universe including the earth, heaven and nether world is one country,"2 "mankind is one kind," "the deepest truth wordt is one commy, - manisma is occurate, in excepts that is that nothing is better than man²--this is the motto of the Maha-bhārata. "All beings I regard alike, none is hateful to me or be-loved; but those who with loving faith worship me abide in me, and I also in them"3-declares the Lord in the Gita. Thus Indian humanism was universal in character. It eschewed parochialism and particularism. It abhorred that regional and sectarian consciousness which generates the sensitiveness to political viccissitudes. To the Hindus it was not of much consequence whether the government fell into the hands of persons belonging to a group different from theirs. To them the goal of humanity was neither individual riches nor national prosperity but the solution of the human problem of moral elevation and spiritual transfiguration. Their thinkers grappled with the question of the reconciliation of man to the scheme of being. Being born in a land overflowing with milk and honey," where nature showered plenty and prosperity all around, they became rather unmindful of the struggle of livelihood. (Svacthanda-vanajātena säkin ja propäyatt, Aya dagdhodarayarthe kak kuryat pätakam mahat.) Therefore they occupied themselves with the higher questions of the spirit. Their lumanism and universalism assumed a garb of spiritualism.

In this context the cultural aspect of personality became more important than the individual aspect. Man in general had greater significance than man in particular. Individuals appear like bubbles on the surface of the flow of history. Howsoever important they may be they disappear in the entrent of time after their momentary existence. After the passage of time all traces of their existence

³ Bhogaradgita IX, 29 सनोउ वंगरे देपा में हेप्पोर्शसा न प्रियः । ये गजन्ति गुमां मक्या नयि ते तेषु चाप्यहन् ॥ 4 Altharacada XX, 127, 7-10

diharvar.eda XX, 127, 7-10 मतरत त प्रा हराणि देपि मन्यं।परिश्रवम् । जाया पति वि पुच्छति राष्ट्रे शहः (स्टीजितः ॥)

¹ Atharvaceda XII, 110 मा नो मुमिबिस्जता माता पत्राय में पय :

² Atharvaveda XII, 1, 26 तस्य हिरण्यवक्षसे पृथिव्या अनर नम: 11.

are wiped out and it becomes questionable whether they even existed or not. The historian of Visnupurana¹ observes :

"Arjuna Kārtavīrja, who ruled over all continents by overcoming the circle of his foes, is named only in course of stories and is the subject of doubts and uncertainties. Were not the Rāghavas, whose sight could not be borne by the ten-headed Rāvana whose majesty illumined the faces of the quarters, reduced to ashes in a trice by a bend of the eychrows of death? The paramount sovereign on earth, Māndhātr by name, has attained a place in the realm of stories Who is that fool who feels haughty after hearing of hm? Bhāgiratha and others, Sagar, Kakustha, Rāvana, Rāma, Laksamana, Yudhishira etc.-we do not know whether it is true or false that they ever lived."

Following this trend of thought the Hindus did not attach importance to biographical details. Most of the eminent thinkers of this country did not leave any account of their lives and times nor did their contemporaries record their activities and memoirs. For us Kapila, Kanāda, Gautama, Patañjali etc. are mere legendary names. On the other hand the Hindus were adepts in evolving cultural concepts like the universalism of Vedānta, the moral piety of Buddhism and the great compassion of Bodhisattwaearyā. To sum up, in the words of Mukerji, "history in Indian tradition is not the biography of heroes or representative men, but an ageless process in which not men but the human species, not particular lives but Life, cyclically grow, maure and decay.¹² From this standpoint the historical process is something which develops of itself in an auto-

1 Visnupurāņa IV, 24, 72-75

यः कातंवीयों बुभूवे समस्तान् द्वीषान् शागकस्य हशारित्तकः । कषाप्रसेगे स्वभिष्ठीमानः स एव संकल्वविकरुपहेतुः ।। दयातनगवीसितरापदाणामेश्वयं मुर्दुमासितदिड पृलानाम् । भरमापि जातं न कर्ष धावेष - प्रुजुङ्ग्रदावेन वियारतकस्य ॥ कपायरीरस्वमयाप यद्वै मान्यातृनामा भूवि चनवर्तो । प्रुपायपि तं कोर्डाप करोति सावु मास्त्वमारमत्यपि मन्यवेतः।। भगीरपाधा स्मयः कुमुरस्यो दशानतो राषद्यतदमणी च । यूषिराधारघ्य वभूषुरेते स्वयं न निष्या पत्र च ते नि दिया : ॥

² Radha Kamal Mukerji : History of Indian Civilization, pp. 9-10 nomous manner transcending and carrying in its sweep the individuals participating in it. This is tantamount to the view that movements, not men, make history. This view implies that historical events are the results of the needs, actions and volitions—conscious and sub-conscious—of a large number of individuals constituting a society who cannot be separately named or described. These social needs, actions and volitions find their expression through a series of individuals who chance to be at the points of vantage that qualify them for their respective roles. In the words of Morris R. Cohen "these great men are the points of intersection of great social forces," This view of history is nalogous to what Koyrè calls the Romantic conception of history which became popular in Europe in the-timeteenth century as a reaction to the Enlightenment view of history which found its superb expression in the thought of Condorect.²

Indian culture is marked by a quest of unity in diversity. In India different racial, linguistic, religious and political strands were welded into an abiding cultural unity which has survived the rounds of invasions and successions of empires. Indian culture is an organic and harmonious synthesis of a large number of human cultural trends and imperatives. But it has a unique individuality of its own which defies confusion or pammixia. It represents the evolution of a beautiful cosmos out of a hewildering chaos. The vehicle of this evolution is the concept of the universal man (viivatman) and the sanctity of all Life. "The whole world is Brahman, since it was created by Brahman. The differences of varnas are not real," declares the Mahabharata. The petty conflicts and squabbles of kings and commanders for patches of land or pieces of gold pale into insignificance before the great concepts and creations of poets and philosophers and the noble missions and messages of rsis, Buddhas and Tirthankaras. Recently Arnold J. Toynbee has observed in this vein: "The works of artists and men of letters outlive the deeds of businessmen, soldiers, and statesmen. The poets and the philosophers outrange the historians, while the proghosts of Agamemnon and Pericles haunt the living world of

Morris R. Cohen: The Meaning of Human History, pp. 222-221.

Alexandre Koyré 'condorcet' Journal of the History of Ideas Vol. IN (1948) pp. 134-135.

^{3.} Mahabhārata, Santi Parvan Ch. 108, Verse 10.

today by grace of the magic words of Homer and Thucydides, and, when Homer and Thucydides are no longer read, it is safe to propinsy that Christ and the Buddha and Socrates will still be fresh in the memory of (to us) almost inconceivably distant generations of men.¹

8 The synthesis of moral and mechanical processes

We have seen above that the Hindus do not attach much sign france to individuals and their activities. They are concerned with bread epochal changes in cyclical successions. The leitmotif of these changes is the progress and regress of dharma. The cyclical process of time is also the winding course of dharma. The wheel (catra) is the symbol of the process of time as well as the movement of dharma. In the Rgveda (II, 13 14) the whole universe is conceived of as a wheel and its movement is compared to the mechanical rotation of the same. Buddha called his first discourse the sermion on the turning of the Wheel of Law (dharmacakha phautiana suita). The Bhagavatas concentrated their thought and speculation on the ima gery of the Sudarsania Cakra of Vismu. According to the Ahirbudhar ainhita life, world activity, power, feeling, progress, effort and determination all are synonymous with Sudarsana Cakra ². In political terminology the enture field of action of a paramount sovereign is his Cakra (wheel)

The admunistrative machinery encompassing the power and activity of the state represents the wheel of sovereignty Thus the wheel symbolies the synthesis of the moral and mechanical pro cesses of life and the universe. By this imagery, to quote a modern thinker, into the ageless cyclical process of the world organism, India imports a moral and cultural purpose through the conception of emanation, fruition and destruction of dharma across the Krita, Tretä, Dvapara and Kali uges of history (jugas), the moral order of Dharma, gradually lapsing from purity and perfection into disorganisation and conflict

¹ Arnold J Toynbee Gunhzation on Trial, p 5

³ Ahubudhaya Samhula XII, 53 54 cd M D Ramanujacharya, Vol I, p 113 प्राणो माथा वियाद्यक्तिभांव उत्पेष उत्तम । सुदर्शन म सकल्प सन्दा पर्याववाषका ॥ निष्ठा रवेकीय शास्त्रामासेतेथा पचवरमंनाम् । साहब सुदर्शन नाम दब्यों विषणरच्या 1।

and then beginning another cycle."¹ The cyclical succession of the ages is not merely a mechanical process analogous to the fixed recurrence of natural phenomena but is essentially a moral order based on the actions of men. The duration of the ages is not chronologically immutable but rather depends upon the actions and character of the people. In other words the succession of ages is symbolic of the psychological development of man and represents the stages of his moral progress.

The aforesaid view of the historical ages is best enunciated in an old text pertaining to the Rgreda called Aitareya brahmana. In it, in a parable of the animosity of Indra and King Hariscandra, the former gives a very interesting discourse to the latter's son Rohita, exhorting him to keep moving without relaxation. In course of this very suggestive discourse he observes, "the fortune of a sitting man is static, of an idle man becomes still, of a sluggard sleeps and of a moving man moves forward. Kali is sleeping, Dvapara is shaking off (of the sleep), Treta is rising and Kyta is moving. The moving man gets honey and tastes the fruit of ficus glomerata, Look at the glory of the sun who never stops moving."2 In this exhortation the succession of historical epochs is equated with the unfolding of the psychological stages of man. The phenomena of sleep, awakening and activity which constitute the cyclic routine of the life of man are stated to underly the turnover of the periods of history. Thus Kali is the age of sleep, Treta and Dyapara are the ages of awakening and Krta is the age of activity. On this showing the process of history characterised by the rhythmic succession of periods is the counterpart of the daily life of man marked by the

2. Aitareya-brāhmaņa VII, 15.

प्रास्ते मग पाधीनस्वोध्वेस्तिप्टति तिफतः । येते निपयमानस्य चराति चरितो मगः ।। कतिः शयानो सर्वति संनिद्धानस्तु दापरः । उत्तिर्फ्टर्दश्ता भूवति छतं सम्यद्यते चरन् ।। चरन्तै मधु निन्दति चरन् स्वादुमुदुःवरंम् । मूर्यय प्रथ्य श्रेमाणं गो न तन्द्रयते चरन् ।। Vide also Salda ?ajurada Sambias XXX, 17 पूर्यये नामरणम् । अमूर्द्ध स्वरमम् । 19

¹. Radha Kamal Mukerjee: History of Indian Civilization p. 10.

recurring phenomena of sleep, awakening and activity. As every individual acts, sleeps and awakes, so every group of individuits also feels the urge of action, exclusion and again of action. There is thus a unique harmony and symmetry between the tendency of an individual and that of a group of them. We can perceive the working of the rhythm of action, sleep and awakening in the histories of castes, states, regions, natitutions and even cultures. Here I want to outline the broad features of historical development that an approach from this standpoint of activity, sleep and awakening unveils

While dealing with the behaviour of groups of individuals, we have to apply the analogies and parallels drawn from the life of individuals in a somewhat figurative way Of course, a number of individuals compose a social group and their behaviour necessarily conditions its character, but that group comes to possess a supraindividual identity in virtue of which it follows its own way of conduct which is in some respects different from that of the individuals composing it. Hence when we speak of the activity, sheep and awakening of social groups we mean thereby the alertness, incrtia and remvis goration of a large number of individuals that compose it. It is from this standpoint that we have to study the periodised arrangement of hastory according to the Hindu conception

The aforesaid discussion has shown that history is a collective and impersonal process according to the Hindu view of life. This means that the totality of the individuals composing and participating in it shapes its course. The persons who occupy pronument positions act only as the instruments of the will of the people as a whole. Hence it follows that when the people as a whole are active it is the age of activity, when they are not active and lapse into inertia, it is an age of sleep, and when they again become active and shake off their merita, it is an age of awakening. Let us now see how the transition from one age to other is effected It ceases to exist This force of the people is the real hass of what we call "democracy" As long as this force persists, every government, whitever its outward form or constitutional appartus, has to base itself on a democratic *musin detre*. As a result, it is strong, united and popular. When this force is withdrawn, a government, though it may make a show of ascertaining the will of the people through elections or referend to or plebiscites, loses its fundamental democratic character and becomes a dictatorship of those who chance to hold its key points. As a consequence it is weak, divided "and unpopular.

Secondly, in a period when the people is a whole are intive, social institutions are chiracterised by mobility and broadness A person having a particular hent of mind gets the necessary scope to develop and express it. Society provides the institutional channels to direct this development and expression. But when the people cease to be active, social institutions are marked by rigidity and narrowness. Persons do not get the necessary scope to develop and express their individual potentialities, instead of directing this development these institutions clog it. The social horizon slimits and becomes paroclual.

Thirdly, the period when the people are active is marked by notable contributions and achievements in all fields of activities eg, philosophy, science, art, literature, technology, cultural exprission and social development. But when the people cease to be active, the faculty of making creative contributions flags away. In the field of human activities the atmosphere of initiation and affectation spreads widely, cultural exprimsion stops and social development is stunted.

Fourthly, when the people is a whole are active, they are moved by a faith which impels them to creative activity. When they cease to be active that faith dries up and gives place to escapism and apathetic indifference.

Thus we observe that when the people cease to be a powerful and regulating force behind the stric, social institutions are mirked by rigidity and immobility, the outlook of the people becomes narrow, the creative element in the arts and hummities fades away and the religions preach escapism, the "age of activity" lapses into the "age of sleep" And when these processes take a reverse course, that is, the people struggle to be active, to assert themselves in political affairs, to make the social institutions mobile, to render the arts and humanities creative and to treat religion as conducive to social good, the "age of sleep" passes into the "age of awakening".

9. The Hindu philosophy of history applied to world history.

Let us now see if the history of different societies is capable of being demarcated after the pattern suggested above in the light of the tendencies of Hindu thought. Let us begin with Indian history.

Looking at Indian history from the standpoint enunciated above we stumble upon 647 A.D. as a turning point. In the period preceding this date we watch the impressive spectracle of the intense activity of the Indian people in all walks of life. When tyrants ascend the throne, they are deposed; when royal dynasties detract from the norm of conduct, they are overthrown. Traders, sailors, missionaries, artists and colonisers cross the high seas of the south and the arid deserts of the north and spread their culture in Indonesia and Indochina on the one side and the Tarim basin along the Silk Route on the other. Religious missions and political embassies visit the countries of the West and the East and there is a brisk process of contact and intercourse between India and the outside world. India adopts much of western culture in the domain of selence and arts and contributes a great deal to it in the sphere of religion and thought. But gradually the horizon shrinks and by 647 A.D., the date of the death of Harsa, it narrows down to very parochial limits. People develop a narrow regional outlook. Kings and captains, moved by motives of selfish aggrandizement, quarrel and kitt each other; the people, blinded by the pall of inerita that has fallen upon them, follow them without any perspective or objective. Regional jealousies are paralleled by sectarian bickerings and perpetual military contests are matched by religious intolerance. In the arts, crafts, philosophy and literature there is a sway of stercotyped subtleties. Castes grow tight, contact with the outside world is shunned, religions preach escapism and a sense of weariness broods over the people.

In 1192 A.D. the Hindus are finally crushed in the battle of Taraori and the Tirks and Afghans converted to Islam establish their begemony over India. But they themselves are projections of the decadent spirit of India. As held by scholars like Prof. Muhammad Habib, Muslim rule in India was a system of foreign domination and exploitation In his words "the so- called Muslim period of Indian history is really the Turkish period with two Afghan interludes in between It seems ironicil giving the name of Muslim neriod to a time when the Mussalmans of India, hy the unfortunate fact of their birth, were excluded from all high offices " Likewise Sir Judunuth Sarkar, the greatest authority on this period, observed "the net result of theocratic rule in a country like India was the debasement and economic ruin of the Hindus and Muslims alike The Muslims, though politically dominant, fared no better in the long run than the Zimmis Their intellectual and moral degeneracy, in spite of state patronage, monopoly of public offices and preferential taxation, increases with the passage of years The moral canker at the heart of Indian Islam-the Hindus also shared the rot, living under the same rule-was concealed for a time by the frequent migration of scholars, saints and physicians from Persia and Khurasan to the Indo-Muslim royal courts But when at the beginning of the 18th century, the springhead of Islamic culture in Persia dried up, through the decline of the Safavi royalty and pohtical revolutions, nothing could hide the utter bankruptey of Islamic theocracy in India "2 There was undoubtedly a temporary spurt in arts and culture in the time of, say, Akbar or Shahjahan but the general sleep of the people could not be shaken The chaos of the eighteenth century brought to the surface the deep degeneration of the people The Marathas did make a bid to resuscitate the ancient culture and state that were interrupted by the Muslim conquests but they were also steeped in the decadence of medieval times Hence they could not hold their own against the new comers from the West who took over the traditions of a foreign domination from the Muslim Turks But their impact quickened the minds of the people, first the Hindus and somewhat later the Muslims, into a new awakening which can be dated from the haleyon peace that followed the suppression of the uprising of 1857 and which reached its highest point in the attainment of independence in 1947 by the Indians

The same rhythm is also perceptible in Fairope The brilliance of Hellenic culture which dazzled the world in the form of the invasion

Muhammad Habib 'Presidential Address', Proceedings of the Indian History Congress(10th Session) Bombry (1947) p 15 Jadunath Sarkar ' Society during Muslim Period,'' The Hindustan Standard (Calcutta) 4 November 1951.

of Alexander the Great and the consequent defeat of the mighty Ach-aemenian empire, burst forth in a remarkable development of art, science and philosophy. Plato and Aristotle, Hippocrates, Eratos-thenes, Apollonius and Hipparchus and Hero, Archimedes and Herophilus made their age memorable by pioneer work in philosophy, politics, geography, mathematics, astrophysics, mechanics, physics and medicine. The swiftness with which constitutions and governments were changed, the experimental attitude which takes nothing for granted and the somewhat fleeting and unstable texture of life which the Greeks exhibit show the age of activity in Greece at its zenith. But after the battle of Actum in 31 B.C. the scene changes. Caesars appear as gods incarnate and compel the people to worship them as such. The idea of democracy is gradually deprived of all substance. The Roman empire becomes a gigantie system of the tyranny and exploitation of the lich over the common man. Society become stiff-necked and stereotyped.' The man who brings the grain of Africa to public shores at Ostia, the baker who makes it into loaves for distribution, the hutcher, the purveyor of wine and oil, the man who feeds the furnaces of public baths are bound to their callings, from one generation to another.1 The mentality of the lower classes was based exclusively on religion and was hostile to the intellectual achievements of the lighter classes.³ The advent of Christianity did not signify any turnover in the course of history. In 390 A.D. Theophilus got the library of Alexandria burnt and in 415 A.D. Hypatia, the mathematician daughter of the Alexandrian astronomer Theon, was mercilessly tormented by Christian fanatics This age of sleep ended when the impact of Islamie thought brought home to the people of Europe the message of the Greek thinkers. As a result, there was the Renaissance, the Reformation, the progress in Science, the great conquests of the ocean and the discovery of new lands. The Iberian peninsula which fell under the domination of the Muslims was so much invigorated by their contact as to rival the achievements of its conquerors by exhibiting a great expansionist spirit in the Old and New Worlds. By the end of the seventeenth century Europe realised the fittility of religious wars and entered into a phase of secular eulture. The dignity of man asserted itself in the French Revolution and the Reform Movements of Great Britain. The high

¹. S. Dill: Roman Society in the Last Century of the Western Empire, p. 194.

². M. I. Rostovtzeff: The Social and Economic History of the Roman Empire, p 479

ideals of justice and the dominating passion for science that characterved the culture of the West won the appreciation of such persons as the great Egyptian historian Al-Jabarti, the contemporary of Napoleon Thus with the fall of the Bastille the age of awakening developed into an age of activity.

Sumilar trends can be observed in the history of the Middle-East The age of activity which manifested itself in the growth of cities, the construction of temples and the progress in writing, laws and commerce, art, engineering enterprises and astronomical observations came to an end with the death of Hammurals In the long age of sleep that followed, the Kassites, Assyrians, and Neo-Babylonians ruled in spite of the will of the people There was, no doubt, a shake-up under the Achaemenids and the Seleucids but the age of awakening really came with the advent of Islam This religion embodied a new and great conception of the unity of God and the equality of his followers and breathed a new life into the hodies of old cultures With a great stir the Middle-East awoke and embarked on a tremendous movement of creativity in religion, philosophy, science, art and literature. Damascus and Baghdad rose in the heights of Bahylon and Ninevch But the coming of the House of Abbas to the helm of affairs signified the predominance of Persian culture and politics Thus the decadent shadows of Sassanian Iran spread over Islam After the death of Harun-al-Rashid (763 A p - 809 A D) the dis-memberment of his empire started The Talurids, Saffarids, Samanids. Seljuquids, Khwārazmians and Chingzkhanids gradually assumed full-fledged sovereignty and reduced the Caliphate to a sha-These nomadic peoples caused Islam to signalise loot and dow plunder and they brought havor to the civilized countries of the Middiscust The business basel Ather, the geographer Väqital-Humawi and the philosopher Ibn-Khaldun have drawn wind pic-tures of the decadence of these Islamic societies ¹ The age of awakening in these countries dawned with the initiation of a programme of Westernisition by the Ottomaa Statesmen Selum III. Mahmud II, Mehmed Ali of Kavalla and Mustafa Kamal Ataturk

These studies are sufficient to throw light on the methodology that the Hindu conception of history implies. According to it we

25

¹ E G Browne A Literary History of Persta Vol II p 430 de-Slane Prolegomens d' ubn Khaldoun Vol II, p 30

can trace the succession of these ages in the history of all societies, groups and countries. In China, for instance, the age of sleep came in the latter half of the eighth century under the latter T'angs and the age of awakening dawned in 1911 A.D. with the establishment of a Democratic Republic under Dr Sun-Yat Sen. In Egypt the age of sleep came after the death of Ahenaton and the age of awakening shinunered with the process of Westernisation set a floot by the Ottoman Statesman Mehmed Ali of Kavalla, there being brief periods of brilliance, for instance, under the Ptolemics and the Mamehakes. In this manner this process can be traced in the history of every country and culture.

In describing the rhythm of history as the succession of the ages of activity, sleep and awakening. I have followed the nomenclature adopted by the Astareya-Brāhmaņa in the interpretation of the four traditional ages of Hindu chronology. But I do not mean to suggest that the author of this text conceived of the process of history on the basis of the above interpretation just as I have done. Yet there is no doubt that the four ages did not signify to him fixed and predetermined periods of time that are bound to run their set courses in spite of the efforts of man; rather, they meant to him the stages of the moral and mental development of man in which human effort is the primary determining factor. That this conception was not always overlooked is manifest from the fact that according to the traditional belief the defeat and expulsion of the Sakas by Vikramäditya in 58 p.c. marked the beginning of he Krta Yuga or the age of truth. The Vikrama era was, for several centuries after its initiation, called the Krta-era. Later on when Yasodharman Visnu vardhana crushed the Hunas in the sixth century A. D. he was conceived of as the Kalki Avatāra or the incarnation of God that is destined to appear at the end of the Kali age, as has been shown by K. P. Javaswal.1 Still later in the latter half of the 17th century the advent of Shivaji was hailed as the coming of the divine incarnation signifying the end of the Kali age. Thus we observe that inspite of the traditional fixed periods of history which constitute the bedrock of the chronology of the Puranas the conception of these periods as phases of the moral and mental progress and regress of man was present in the minds of the Indian people. They stressed the moral aspect of the historical periods besides their chronological

K. P. Jayaswal: "The Historical Position of Kalki" Indian Antiquary, Vol. XLVI (1917) p. 145

conception and the way in which this view was possible was the behef in the rise and fall of virtue (*dharma*) as the basis of the succession of ages. When virtue was once accepted as the basis of the periodic arrangement of listory, the freedom of human will and effort followed as a corollary. Hence a moral footing was given to a natural process. How this conception embodying a synthesis of moral and natural phenomena can be made the basis of a philosophical study of history has been the aim of this study.

CHAPTER II

Poros

Among the kings, who came into contact with Alexander the Great, Poros has a unique position. He fought with him unto the last and ultimately made an honourable peace with him. Tall and stately in person, brave and courageous at heart, foremost in darting his javelins at the enemy and a great terror on the field of battle, he made such a deep impression on the mind of the Macedoman conqueror that he decided to make friends with him instead of risking a fight to the finish. Thus, he presents a striking contrast to the other antagonists of Alexander, who either flew from the battle-field or submitted to his behests or hugged him as their supporter. Hence the historians of Alexander have given a special place to him in their histories Here an attempt is made to write his history and

1. The dynasty of Poros

Poros is a dynastic name. The nephew of Poros is also called Poros hy Greek writers. About the first century n.c. there was another Poros, who sent an embassy to the court of Augustus Caesar, as we learn from Strabo. The Sanskrit equivalent of the word 'Poros' is 'Puru', which is the name of an old Aryan clan. We learn from Vedic literature that the Purus were the progenitors of the Kurus. The Received describes a Kuru king named Kurus-fravana as a descendant of Tränadasyu, who belonged to the clan of the Purus. The word 'puru' connotes the idea of abundance and multitudinousness. It occurs in the Avesta, as 'pouru' and in the inscriptions of the Achaemenian

IV, 38, 1; VII, 19, 3.

emperors of Iran as 'paru'¹ The clan of the Purus appears to have acquired this name by virtue of their overwhelming numbers and irresistible might The occurrence of the word 'puru' in Indo-Iranian literature shows that the clan bearing this name was known in Iran as well as in India in fairly, early tinics The sweeping tide of Aryan Vocikerwanderung spread the Purus from the regions of Iran up to the heart of India. When the migratory period of Aryan clans changed into the era of settlement, the countless small stocks of the Samhitā age merged into the greater peoples, mentioned in the Brähmanas Professor Oldenberg observed that the Bharatas and probably their old enemies, the Purus, mixed with the Kurus, who came to occupy the regions between the Sarasvati and the Dradvati in course of time * But some sections of the Purus escaped this process of racial amalgamation and kept their identity intact in the North-Western regions. The Bihat-Samhuta of Varahamilura associates the Pauravas with the peoples of Taksasilä (Taxila) and Puskalavati (Peukelaotis, modern Pakholi in the neighbourhood of Peshawar according to Wilson and Abbott and Parany and charsade, 17 miles to the North-West of this city according to Cunningham) and locates them in the vicinity of the Malayas and Madrakas 3 The Mahabharata refers to the city of the Pauravas, which was adjacent to the republics of the Utsavasanketas and the territory of Kasmira * Thus, it appears that the Paurayas were settled in the North-West

² Hermann Oldenberg Buddha pp 409-10, History and Cul-ture of the Indian People Vol. Ly(The Vedic Agg) pp 252-253

3 Byhat-Samhuta ,IV. 26-27

तक्षमिलपर्कलावतकेसावतकण्ठधानाइच । ग्रम्बरमद्रकमालवपीरवकच्छारदण्डपिङ्गलका. ।।

4 Mahabharata II, XXVII, 15-17 जिगाय सेनया राजन् पूर पौरवरक्षितम् । पीरव मुधि निजित्य दस्यून्पर्वतवासितः । गणान्तसवसकेतानजयत्सप्त पाण्डच ।। तत काश्मीरकान्वीरान्धनियान्धत्रियपंभ। व्यजयल्लोहित चैथ मण्डलैदंशभि सह ॥

¹ Cf Naksh-i-Rustam inscription of Darius I in Sukumar Sen Old Perstan Inscriptions p 92 There the word 'Paru'nām' occurs as a common noun meaning 'many'

It is likely that Purūravas Aila, the son of a ruler, who migrated from Balhi (Bactria) in Central Asia to mid-India,' had something to do with the Pauravas settled in the North-West He is said to have lived with his wife Urvasi at a place named 'Nandana',' which has been identified by Sir Aurel Stein with the mountamous territory of that name situated right above a difficult path in the eastern part of the Salt Range on the bank of the Jhelum ³ In this way, the Aila conqueror is associated with that very region in which Poros had a hard contest with Alexander in the 4th century is Thus, Poros belonged to the old and powerful clan of the Purus, which had played a leading part in the Aryan Voelkerwanderung in India

2 The identity of the Paurovas and Pariatakas

The Pauravas inhabited a rugged and mountainous country Hence they were counted among the Parvatiyas (mountaineers) mentioned by ancient writers among the peoples of the North-West Pännin includes 'Parvata' in the Taksabilädigana' and the Greek writers refer to the settlements of the Parvatiya people beyond the borders of India Herodotus (I, 101) states that the Paraitakenas occupied a mountainous part of Media, Isidoros of clatrax says that another trube of the same name ived between Drangiana and Arachosia, and Arrian writes that

¹ Rāmayana VII, 90, 21-22-23

² Vayu-Purana ch 90

वने वैत्ररये रम्ये तथा मन्दाकिनीतटे । श्रसकाया विद्यालागा नन्दने च बनोत्तमे । गन्धपादनपादेषु प्रेरष्ट्रपुरे नथोत्तमे । उत्तराव्य कुरूद्रप्राद्य कलापग्रामधेव च । एतेषु वनमुखेषु जुर्देराचरितेषु च । उदरथा सहितो राजा रेमे परमथा युद्रा ।

² Sir Aurel Stein Archaeological Survey in North-Western India, p 25

4 Pāŋını IV, 2, 143 सिन्युत्त झांसला दिम्योडण ज्या Cf Ganapatha under तझरिासादि. Cf. Panmı IV 3, 93 मायधनी विम्यदछ पर्यते

30

POROS

the Paraitakenai (Paraitakai)¹ mhabited the country to the east of Baktra and Sogdiana They had a great rock-fortress which was in the occupation of their chick Khorenes (Chorenes) When Alexander invaded this fortress, Ovyartes persuaded Khorenes to submit to him. But the surrender of this chief did not damp the spirit of independence of these people. Hence Alexander sent Krateros against the Paraitakenai who were holding out against him under their chiefs Katanes and Austanes. Krateros had a severe struggle with these people ². Thus, we see that the Paravatiyas were far filing in the North-West

We learn from the Mahabharata that the Purus were the leading tribe of the Parvatiya group When Arjuna marched against Paurava, he encountered the stiff resistance of the Parvatjya warriors and after defeating them in a battle he proceeded towards the capital of that country, which was "guarded by Paurava" ^a

¹ Hillebraudt has identified the Parutate or Parautan of Gedrosia or Aria mentioned in vite Rigeda [1 educte Mythologie Vol 1, pp 94-97, Cambridge Hiutory of India Vol 1, p 87, D R Bhandarkar, Some Appeds of Anexil Indian Culture p 3] The vord Paravata appears to be a variant of Parvataka or Parvatiya, for both of them are synonymous Dr Motichandra doubts this identification and holds that Parautakena or Parautaka stands for the Para tangamas mentioned in the Mahabharata [Corgoribhical and Econome Studiets in the Mahabharata Pargraphical and Econome Studiets in the Mahabharata [Sorgaphical and Econome Studiets in the Mahabharata [Sorgaphical and Econome Studiets in the Mahabharata [Sorgaphical and Econome Studiets in the Mahabharata p 80] But he adduces no evidence in support of his view Should we consider the forms Parautakana, He sullix 'nai' in it recalls that an Assaketon and Astakeno which stand for the Asvakas and Astakas respectively Hence it would be implausible to connect the 'na' of this word with the masal in 'para-tangana'

² J W M²crindle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p 57

³ Mahabharata II, 27, 14 15

म ते परिवृठ सर्वे विदवगश्य नराधिपम् । सम्यगच्छ महातंजा पौरव पुरुषपत्र ।। विजित्य चाहव सूरापर्यंतीयान्महारपान् । जिगाम सेनया राजन् पुर पोरवरक्षितम ।

(पोरज is described as विष्ठनगरन, that is, "surrounded by horses' Here 'Asva' may signify the Asvakayanas, who were the neighbours of Paurava) Here it is noteworthy that Pauraya is used in singular while Parativa is used in plural According to a suita of Pänna, Pauraa means the king of the Purus. It appears that the Puru king ruled over the Paryatiya people. Hence Arjuna planned his expedition against the Puru king, for by defeating him he could easily become the master of the country of the Paratiya Had it been otherwise, the author of the Mahābhārata would have described him as marching against the Parvatiya people instead of proceeding towards Pauraya designation Hence the identification of Poros and Parvataka suggested by Γ W Thomas and H C Seth rests on very strong grounds 1

3 Political conditions of north-western India on the eve of the rise of Poros

In order to understand the rise of Poros at the time of the invasion of Alexander it is necessary to east a flying glance at the history of North-Western India in the later Vedic period In the Vedic age the Panjab was the cock pit of the conflicts of various tribes, that migrated into India in successive waves These tribes pressed towards the Last and established their setdements in Mid Indian regions. Hence the importance of the Supta-Sindhu-Pradeša, passed over to the 'Kuru Ksetra' and the 'Anturvedi' of the Ganges and the Jamana The Brühmanas and the Upanisads represent this stage of transition of the Aryuns from the North West to the Middle country. In these texts the states of the North-West and the states of the Middle country and the East are treated on an equal fooing We learn from these works that the chief states of the North West were Gandhura. Kekaya and Madra The Gandhara territory embraced the Rawalpindi district of Western Panjab and the Peshawar district of the North Western Frontier Province. The Kekaya country was situated between the Jhelum and the Chenab and comprised the territories occupied by Poros at the time of Alexander's invasion Its chief city Rajagrha or Girivrya, mentioned in the Ramajana,² has been identified by Cunninghum with Girjak or Jalalpur on the Jhelum, in the neighbourhood of which the camp of Alexander was laid According to the Jatahas, the kingdom and capital of the Kekayas were known as Kekaka after them and this capital was one of the three principal eities of Jambudyipa A

² II, 67, 7

त्रभो भरतशतुब्नो केक्वयेषु परन्तपो । पुरे राजगृहँ रम्ये मातामह-निवेधने ।।

¹ Cambridge History of India, Vol 1, p 471, H C Seth, On the Identification of Poros & Parvataka, Indian Historical Quarterly (June 1941) p 173 ff

branch of the Kekayas had migrated to the South and settled on the bank of the Mähismati. Below the Kekayas were the Madras. Their capital Sākala (modern Sialkot) was situated between the Chenab and the Rāvi. In early times, the realm of the Madras was an important seat of Vedic learning and produced such eminent scholars as Madragāra Saungāyani and Kāpya Patañcala, the teacher of Uddālaka Āruņi.¹ The Madras also played an important part in the wars and confederacies of those times. Salya, the king of the Madras, fought in the battle of the Mahabharata and rose to be the commanderm-chief of the Kuru forces after the death of Karna. But gradually their morals sank and their political prestige also waned. Below the Madras, the Usinaras lived along the approaches to the Madhyadesa. Besides these principal peoples, there were other states and tribes like the Sibis. These peoples and states were swept away by the imperialist movements that started at the tune of Gautama Buddha and culminated in the Maurya empire. In the North-West, Gandhara played the part, which was destined for Magadha in the 'East, 'The Jalna Uttarādhyayana-sūtra² refers to the Gandhāra king Nagnajit or Naggaji as an important king (bull of kings), who ranked with Dvimukha (Dummukha) of Pañcāla, Nimi of Vidcha, Karakandu of Kalinga and Bhima of Vidarbha [Jātaka Vol. III, p. 377] and adopted the faith of the Jainas. In the middle of the sixth century B.C., Pukkusāti was the king of Gandhāra; He was a contemporary of King Bimbisara of Magadha and Pradvota of Avanti. Buddhaghosa³ states that there was a friendly relation between Pukkusati and Bimbisara in token of which he sent an embassy and a letter to him. He also waged war with king Pradyota of Avanti and would have inflicted a erushing defeat on him had not the Pandavas, who inhabited the regions around Sākala in the days of Piolemy, launched an attack against him.4 Neaser home, the territory of Kasmira formed part

1 Ibid 11, 68, 22

गिरिव्रजं पुरवरं धीझमासेदुरंजसा ।

Brhadaranyakopanisad 111, 7, 1.

² Ed. Jarl Charpentier XVIII, p. 45.

³ Papañcasūdani (Singhalese edition) Vol. 11, p. 982' cited by T. W. Rhys Davids; Buddhist India, p. 28.

⁴ I'clix Lacote : Essay on Gunādhya (English translation by Rev. A. M. Tabard) p. 176.

of the Gandhara Kingdom as we gather from the Gandhara Jataka1 and the region between the Chenab and the Rays formerly occupied by the Midras, pissed under the domination of its kings, for we find a tribe named Gandaris (Skt Gändhära) hung there at the time of Alexander's invision, according to Siribo' As already noted, Pallusäti tried conclusions with the Pandayas, who lived in the Panjab and were probably menaced by his expansionist policy³ He also seems to have acted as a bulwark against the expansion of the Achaemenian power in the North West Nearchus informs us that Cyrus planned an expediuon against India through Gedrosia but lost his entire army except seven men. If we study the political conditions of the North West at the time of Cyrus, we find that the only power which was more than a match for the Persian monarch was Gandhara Hence it is not unlikely that it was the armies of Gandhara which smashed the might of the intending invader But the growth of the Achaemenian power under Cambysis and Darius I synchronised with the decline of Gandhira and the irrup tion of many exotic and outlandish elements in the Panjab Darius I conquered Gandhara and annexed it to his empire 4 The downfall of Gandhara gave an occasion to many foreign and indigenous tribes to carse out small states and principalities for themselves and thus fill the vacuum caused by the

I Ed Fausboll No 406

^o J W M crindle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, pp 111-112, 133

⁹ The Pandavas, the Pandoou, mentioned by Piolemy, as settled in the Panjab, are probably the same as the Pruguas settled in the Panjab, are probably the same as the Pruguas or Ajunayanas mentioned in the Alfahabad Pillar Inscription of Samudra Gupta [H G Raychoudhry Political History of Ancard India 5th ed p 544] According to the Arthassatra of Kauthya, the Janapada of the Prayunakas (Pruguakas) was held in as much respect and esteem as Gandhara Evidently this Janapad was near Gandhara [See Anthassatra fl, 18, p 194 XIANT III and Assemption of doubt for its authors also said in the Uahasathati (Yanushkaphalani) (P TS Vol p 181) to have been a resident of Panjunakas renders it possible that Pukkusati, while embarking on an expansionsit policy, came into collision with them

⁴ Sukumar Sen Old Perstan Inscriptions, pp 93 94 (Persepuls inscription of Darius 1)

lapse of political authority. Jean Przyluski has shown that shortly before and after the rise of the Achaemenian power, many Iranian and Central Asiatic tribes entered into India probably as a result of the pressure of imperial authority. These tribes were collectively known as "Bahlikas".' It appears that in course of the movements and migrations of tribes, the Purus settled down between the Jhelum and the Rāvi in the seats of the Kekayas and the Madras. One of their states lay between the Ihelum and the Chenab and the other between the Chenab and the Ravi. The decline of the Acliaemenians after Xerxes and the weakening of their authority in their Indian satrapies of Gandhara and Sind (Sindhu) gave an impetus to the new tribes to strengthen and consolidate their power in the territories, which they had occupied. A. V. W. Jackson has held that the Achaemenian sway in India lasted up to 330 p.c.2 and Dr. S. Chattopadhyaya has shown that Artaxerexes II (404-395 p.c.) maintained intact the Indian empire created by the genius of Darius and his predecessors and that even Darius III (336-330 n.o.) evercised authority over it.3 These conclusions are based on the presence of Indian soldiers in Persian armies. But we learn from Pāņinis and Kauţilyas that the Panjab was rampant with floating contingents of mercenary soldiers, who lived by the profession of arms and lent their services to those kings, who

Jean Przyluski : Un ancien peuple du Panjab: les Oudoumbaras', Journal Asialique, 1926, pp 11-13.

⁶ Sudhahara Chattopadhaya: "The Rule of the Achaemenids in Indua, Indum Historical Quarterly, Vol. XXV, No. 3, (Sept. 1949) p 197, See also J. M. Unvala, "Political and Cultural relations between Iran and India", Amali of the Bhondarkar O. R. Institute Vol. XXVIII, pts. 3-4, July-Oct. 1947, p. 174.

- * Paņini IV, 3, 91 मायुघजीविम्बरछः प्रबंदे 1
 - Ibid V, 3, 114

ग्राय्धजीविसञ्चाञ् ज्यद्वाहीकेष्वत्राहाणराजन्यात् । 5 Arthasastra XI. 1

कास्मोजसुराष्ट्रसत्रिषश्रेष्यादयो वार्ताशस्त्रोपत्रीविनः । Ibid II, 35

समाहर्ता चतुर्पा जनपद विभज्यः स्थानाग्रं परिहारकमायु-धीर्षं स्थान्स्य स्थित् ।

² Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, p. 341.

paid them best Such contingents of soldiers sought fortune under the Achaemenian emperors, who promised rich prospects to their troops Hence it is quite implausible to conclude on the basis of the presence of such contingents of soldiers in Persin armies that Persian rule actually prevailed in India As a matter of fact, the decline of Achaemenian power was so rapid and thorough that it is erroncous to think that the boisterous tribes of the North West continued to cling to that corpse unto the last ¹ Commenting on the decadence of Persian art under the later Achaemenians, Prof Herzfeld observed that "Iranian art after Artaverexes II shows an astoundingly quick decline, an unparallelled fall, to the point that even the more technique was almost entirely lost Old Persian art was dead before Alexander conquered Persia and with the art the whole culture died this complete decay was the cause, the conquest was its consequence. The burning of Persepolis by Alexander was only the symbolic expression of the fret that the ancient East had died 'z Under these circumstances, the presumption of Persian rule in India up to the death of Darius III is quite baseless, as there is absolutely no evidence to show that there wis any vestige of Persian rule in India after Xerxes It appears that sometime after the defeat of Acres in Greece the hold of that sometime after the defeat of Active in Sector are noted to the Achieventanas on the outlying provinces of their empire hegan to weaken D R Bliandarkar held, on the basis of a passage in the *Harakarila* of Bunabhatta and the commentary of Sinkuvirya theron, that Kakavarna, son of Situnaga, king of Magadha, who unlerted from his father the empire of the whole of Northern India except the Panjab and Rajputana, unvided the Panjab and conquering up to the confines of the Achievenin empire, inflicted a defeat in the Persian saturap of Gandhār. But this minister wild not have my haring effect, Contentry, was was available to be a solution when they there and the training there and the solution of the s

¹ For a like view see R C Mazumdar 'Achaemenian Rule in India', Indian Historical Quarterly, Vol XXV, No 3, Sept., 1949, p 153, et seq

^{*} E Herzfeld Iran in the Ancient East, p 274

lapse of political authority Jean Przyluski has shown that shortly before and after the rise of the Achaemenian power, many tranan and Central Asiatic tribes entered into India probably as a result of the pressure of imperial authority. These tribes were collectively known as Bahlkas ¹ It appears that in course of the movements and imprations of tribes, the Purus settled down between the Jhelum and the Ravi in the seats of the Kekayas and the Madras One of their states lay between the Jhelum and the Chenab and the other between the Chenab and the Ravi The decline of the Achaemenians after Xerxes and the weakening of their authority in their Indian satrapies of Gandhara and Sind (Sindhu) gave an impetus to the new tribes to strengthen and consolidate their power in the territories, which they had occupied A V W Jackson has held that the Achivementian sway in India lasted up to $330 \text{ B} \text{ c}^2$ and Dr Achievent in the state of the second presence of Indian soldiers in Persian armies But we learn from Panini⁴ and Kaujilya⁵ that the Panjab was rampant with floating contingents of mercenary soldiers, who lived hy the profession of arms and lent their services to those kings, who

¹ Jean Przyluski 'Un ancien peuple du Panjab les Oudoum baras, *Journal Asiatique*, 1926, pp 11-13

² Cambridge History of India, Vol I, p 341 ³ Sudhakara

" " " Achaemenids n India, Indian . p 197 See also 111 . (Sept 1949) relations between Iran and India, Annals of the Bhandarkar O R Institute Vol XXVIII, pts 3 4, July Oct 1947, p 174 Panini 1V, 3, 91 ग्राय्घजीविम्यदछ प्रवते। Ibid V, 3, 114 मायुधजीविसञ्चाञा ञ्यड्वाहीकेव्वत्राह्यणराजग्यात । ⁸ Arthasastra XL 1 काम्भोजनुराष्ट्रसमिधग्रेण्यादया वार्ताशस्त्रोपजीविन् । Ibid 11, 35 समाहर्ता चतुर्या जनपद विभज्य* ग्रामाग्र परिहारकमाय-घीय निवन्धयेत ।

36

paid them best Such contingents of soldiers sought fortune under the Achaemenian emperors, who promised rich prospects to their troops Hence it is quite implauable to conclude on the basis of the presence of such contingents of soldiers in Persinn armies that Persian rule actually prevailed in India As a matter of fact, the decline of Achaemenian power wis so rapid and thorough that it is erroneous to think that the boisterous tribes of the North-West continued to cling to that corpse unto the last ¹ Commenting on the decadence of Persian art under the later Achrememans, Prof Herzfeld observed that "Iranian art after Artaxerexes II shows an astoundingly quick decline, an unparallelled fall, to the point that even the mere technique was almost entirely lost Old Persian art was dead before Alexander complete decay was the cause, the whole culture died this complete decay was the cause, the conquest was its consequence. The burning of Persepolis by Alexander was only the symbolic expression of the fact that the ancient Last had died "2 Under these circumstances, the presumption of Persian rule in India up to the death of Darius III is quite baseless, as there is absolutely no evidence to show that there was any vestige of Persian rule in India after Xerxes. It appears that sometime after the defeat of Xerxes in Greece the hold of that sometime after the defeat of Xerxes in Greece the hold of the Achaemeniums on the outlying provinces of their empire began to weaken D R Bilandarkar held, on the basis of a passinge in the *Hersacarita* of Bānabhatto and the commentary of Sinkarārya ilieron, iliat Kākavarna, son of Situnāga, king of Magadha, who inherited from his father the empire of the whole of Northern India except the Panjab and Rājputanā, invaded the Puiyab and conquering up to the confines of the Achaemenian empire, inflicted a defect on the Persion satrap of Gandhāra But this mvasion could not have any lasting effect, for ar Bilm and Confiction courset. Kakurara, was elliged Canoniara put this invasion could not have any lasting effect, for is Bina and Sinkarārya suggest, Kikavarna was ullured by the Yuvunis, by which term they mean the Persiuns, as Dr Blinndurkar has shown, to the vicinity of a place named Nigur and assissuated with a digger thrust in his neck [D R Bhandarkur, 'Notes on Ancient History of India', Indian Culture

¹ For a like view see R C Mazumdar 'Acliaemenian Rule in Indin', Indian Historical Quarteris, Vol XXV, No 3, Sept, 1949, p 153, et seq

^{*} E Herzfeld Iran in the Ancient East, p 274

I, p 13 ff] This conjecture may not be historically Vol true but the fact that the Achaemenian power was waning after Verses admits of no doubt Almost at that time the Indian provinces threw off the yoke of the Persians and the tribes and peoples who had crowded the Paniab in the centuries gone by, set up their autonomous states In this period of conflict and turmoil the Purus pursued an imperialist policy and struck their blows at the neighbouring states We learn from Greek sources that the Elder Poros1 who ruled between the Jhelum and the Chenab was feared and envied in the surrounding areas Taxiles, the juler of Taksatila was his old enemy Abhisares his powerful ally, was sceptical of his friendship as was manifest from his indecisive policy it the battle of the Jhelum , his own family member, who ruled between the Chenab and the Ravi, was ready to welcome a foreign invader in order to put an end to his greatness and prospe further south the Ksudrakas and the Mulavas had rits just repulsed his attack and armed themselves to the teeth to mard their independence. But the power of Poros was steadily increasing and his destiny as a great monarch of India was fast unfolding itself

4 The relations of Poros and Darius III

Poros had developed so much power that the Achaeneman emperor of Iran approached hum for assistance in times of need ¹ Dr H C Seth has suggested that the personal name of the Plder Poros was Devipi on the basis of the following passages of the Puranas I supplurana IV, 21 45

देवापि पोरवा राजा महरवेदवानुष्याज । महामोगढ रोपिनो ग नापत्राममत्यती ।। Bhaçatal Prana 112 2, 37 देवापि गठनोस्तांत गररदर्षस्वाजुदराज । बनापद्राम पासाती महायोगयलान्वितो ।। I gru Furana ch 99, vcrse 437 देवापि पीरयो राजा इन्यावोदर्जन यो मह । महायोगवनीयेत बन्दापद्राममास्थिन ।।

Dr Seih identifies Devipi I'nurava with Poros and Maru Aikş vakava with Candra Gipta Maurya See lus च द्रमुख्य मोरय यौर यसेंग्वण्य की भारत में पराजय (in Hindi) pp 66-69 But this is only a conjecture

38

POROS

We learn from Greek, Syriae and Persian sources that Darius III Codomannus sought the help of Poros against Alexander the Great According to the Pseudo Kallisthenes and its Syriac version, Darius invited Poros to meet him with an army at the Cuspian Gates and promised him half the spoil and Alexander's horse Boukenhalas 1 But Firdausi in his Shah Nama gives a slightly different version of this chisode He says that in course of lus flight after his defeat (at Arbela) Darius wrote a letter to Alexander in which he set forth his terms of peace with him Alexander's response to this offer was very favourable but in the meantime Darius was struck with remorse at the thought of sur rendering himself at the feet of a foreign invader and leading the hie of an humble vassal Hence he made another attempt to resist and repel Alexander and wrote a letter to Poros (Furs in the Arabic and Persian version) requesting lum for succour and promising him a rich return 3

The Ethuopic version of *Pseudo Kallisthenes* also refers to the ravitation extended by Darius to Poros To quote the translation of Budge

"And it came to pass that Darius wrote to Poros, the king wf India, and he asked him (for help) in his letter saying this

From Darius, who was the king of kings to Poros, the king of India (greetings) Formerly I dwelt in my kingdom in glory and power, but now I entreut thee to receive me, and to be pleased graciously to help me, because of this in ghty man of war

Pseudo Kallisther es cd C Muller Vol II, p 19

The History of Alexander the Great being the Sprac version of the Pseudo Kallisthenes edited and translated by Ernest A Wallis Budge 11, p 11

^{*} In the Arabic script the letter p is written as 'f

Shah Nama eduted by Turner Macan Vol III p 1279 chu yavar nabudash zunazdik-o dur i yaku manh banavshi nazdik Fur u Pur az labah va zir dasti-o-dard i nakhisi affin har jahandar kard i Digar gufi kat mehtar i hundavan i Khurdmand o-dan i va rositan ravan ji Human i kih nizd i ti amrid khabar ji Kikandar baya urd lashkar za rim ji

⁽continued on the following page)

who hath come upon me, he knoweth not fear, his courage is mighty, and his body is thick, and I never saw his like either among kings or among all other men Behold, too, he hath gained possession of my women, who are the source of my depravity, and behold I came upon the Greeks in several places, but I was not able to heat them And he hath overcome me and put me to shame because there was none (among us) able to do battle against him He hath taken my kingdom, and hath curried into cuptivity my mother, and my wife and my drughter, and there is nothing left to me but death , and it is better for me to die than to become his servant And now, help me, and do thou take heed to the love, which hath always existed between us and then make ready for me an army of the soldiers of the country, for (Alexander and his hosts) are mighty men of war and are strong. Hasten thou to me with this army, for I place my hope and confidence in thee and I will abide on the borders of my country until thy message shall reach me, and I will deal graciously with those who shall come unto me from thyself and I will reward them abundantly with possessions If I conquer Alexander I will send to thee one half of whatever I find with him '

(continued from the preisous page)

nah baimand ma ta nuh ubad bum ji nah pevand o furzand-o takht-o-kulah i nah didam shahi nah ganj o sipah it Garidun kih bashi meri yarmınd i Kih az kheshtan baz daram gazand a Farastamt chandan gohrha za ganj ; Kazañ pas nah bini tu nz ganj ranj i Haman dar jahan niz nami shavi i Bi nizd i bizuragan garami shavi u For English translation see the Shah Nama of Furdausi by Arthur George Warner and Edmond Warner Vol VI p 51 ' As there was no one far or near to help, He wrote to F ur a humble, flattering letter In deep distress and having first of all Praised God said, 'Ruler of the men of Hind, Thou man of wisdom, rede and ardent soul! Thou surely must have heard of my misfortune, Sikunder hath led forth a host from Rum, Nor corps or settlements or kin or children, Or crown or throne or royal dindem, Or treasury or host are left to us

(continued on the following page)

40

And it came to pass that when Alexander heard these things he straightively commanded his army to make ready for war, and he and all those who were with hum rose up, and they pursued Durius the long 11

These letters show that Daruis had a high opinion of Poros and pinned much hope on his assistance. In the dark hour of distress the prospect of the help of this Iudiau ally was the only ray of hope for the Achivemenian emperor. Poros made an immediate response to the request of Daruis and sent his clephant corps to him. But Alexander came to know the arrangements of Daruis and swooped down on his worsted rival with great force and alacity. Daruis collected the remaining his broken array and tried to withstand the uttack of Alexander. But his troops had no heart to fight with the biospant hosts of Alexander. Hence many of his chiefs deserted him and sided with the centy.³ Only three

(continued from the precious page)

Now if thou will help me to keep away Destruction from myself I will despatch So many gens to thee out of my treasures That never shalt thou need to toil for more Moreover thou shalt be renowned on earth And held m honour by the great

- 1 F A Wallis Budge The History of Alexander the Great pp 87 89
- ² Sha/ Jama ed Macau Voj III p 1280 ehu Iskandar agala shud zau i sukhan i buh darabi dara chah afgand ban t Bufurmud ta bar kash dand n a i Bur amud ghaukaus o hindi dara n Bay ivurd az utahr chandru sipah i buh khurshid bar cheful gum kard rih n Kath khurshid bar cheful gum kard rih nu Kathar i madalawa Vol XII.
 - Warners translation Vol VI p 51 Sikunder On hearing what Data san of Datab Hud done hude blow the trumpet There arose The din of kettledrum and Indian bell Sikunder from Istaklir led forth such powers That sol was lost in heaven
 - ³ Shah Aama ed Macan Vol III p 1280 chu dara bayavurd lashkar barah i s pulu nuh bur nzu nazm khush i Shakistih dil vi grishirih nz razm sir i Sur bahki i ranuan gashtah zir ii

hundred evvalueral followed the kang in his flight but finding hun a broken recel to lean upon his runnisters usassinuted hum² and went over to the sude of Alexander. Meanwhile, the elephant corps of Poros also arrived but it wis joo lute³ The emperor who had staked his all in the expectation of this and was no more

(continued from the previous page)

Navavikhtand hich berümiün i chu rubah shud an narrah sher i ziyan ji Garañ mayagan zinhāri shudand i za arı ı bazurgı bakhyarı shudand ji Warners' translation Vol VI, p 51 "When Dara Led forth his troops-no army bent on strife But broken hearted and grown sick of war-The fortunes of the Iranians drooped its head They closed not with the Rumans hand to hand, They were the fox, the Rumans were the hon, And all the chiefs asked quarter, having come Down from their pride to deep humility 1 Shah Nama ed Mnean Vol 111, p 1280 chū dara chunan did bar-gasht r'ū i Garizan hami raft baha h'u n Baraftand hashah social sowar i Az-irin har-ankas kih bud namdar it Warners' translation Vol VI, p 51 "Dara saw, turned away, and fied lamenting With him there went three hundred cavaliers The noblest of Iran ' ^a Shah Nama ed Macan Vol III, p 1280 j chū shab tirāh gasht az huwa bud khast ij yake dashnah ba gıraft Janusayar (ba zü barbaru smah i shahryar ji Nagun shud sarnambardar shah t Vazū baz gashtand yaksu sipah ij Warners translation Vol VI, p 52 'Night gloomed, a storm arose, Janüsiyär Laid hand upon a dagger, stubbed the king Upon the breast, that famed head reached its fall, And then the troops deserted, one and all ' Vide the following excerpt from the letter of Poros to Sikander

³ Shah Nama ed Meean Vol III p 1305 Brdang-lh kuh dira merä yär klivast i Di o bakht bävi nadidaim rast ii Hami undah pilan farastadmash i

(continued on the following page)

42

In order to examine the correctness of the account of Firdhusi, it is necessary to refer to the history of Darius III, as we know it from reliable sources Darius fought three battles with Alexander the first on the banks of the river Granikos in 334 BC the second at Issos in 333 BC and the third at Gugamela and Arbela in 330 B c In all these battles the vast armies led by Darius crumbled before the onslaughts of the Macedonian forces and he took to flight in panic and confusion At Issos when his cavalry on the extreme right was just on the verge of victory, his flight from the hattle field broke the morale of his forces and resulted in his crushing defeat Likewise, at Gugamela, just as his cavalry on the right side broke the ranks of Parmenion and burst through the gaps to capture the enemy's baggage, his sudden flight gave the signal of his defeat Furdausi gives a correct account of these three battles. He states that the first two battles were fought on the western side of the Euphrates, that Darius flew from the battle-lields just when the issue of war hung in the balance, that his flights spread such panie in his troops that they either flew or went over to the enemy and that Alexander treated the conquered country with great courtesy and considerateness He thus avoids the error of the Syriac tradition that there was only one battle between Darius and Alexander,1 and steers clear of the confusion of the Arabic historian Hishain bin Mohammad, who, as quoted by Tabari, remarks that they fought for one year in Mesopotamia * Firdausi is also correct

(continued from the previous page) Hamidün bayarı zuban dadmash u Chũ bar-dast än bundāh bar-kashtah shud) Sar-t-bakht träntañ gashtalt shud ti Za darā chū rū-ı zumin pāk shud i Tira zahr barınd'ah-ı-tıryak shud u Warners' translation Vol VI, p 112 "When Dara asked help And I perceived his heart and fortune failing, I sent him mighty clephants and gave him Ward mighty clephants and gave him Words of encouragement When he was slam By that slave's hand the Iramans' fortune fell, And when earth's face was franchised from Dara That trenchent bane became thine antidote " ¹ Ernest - A Wallis Budge The History of Alexander the Great being the Syriac version of the Pseudo-Kallisthenes II, 9

² T Noldeke Beitrage zur Geschichte des Alexanderomans, p 42

In regard to the murder of Darus at the hands of his ministers He again escapes the fallacy of the Arabic writers that the murder of Darus was encompased with the connurance of Alexander³ Hence it is elear that Firdaus a account of Darus III rests on a better tradition than that of the Synac and Arabic writings and his treatment of the episode of the request of Darus to Poros for military assistance, which accords well with the Synac and even the Greek works, cannot be rejected as merely fictuous

Greek writers inform us that after the battle of Gaugamela, Durius was making efforts to raise another army. When Alexander had captured Babylon, Susa and Persepolis and was marching against the Mardians he came to know of the efforts that Durius was making at Echatana (Hamadan) to fight once more with him. Hence he lost no time in moving against him to nip his efforts in the bud But Darius was completely cowed down and fled towards Baktra to get protection under his kinsman Bessos Firdausi states that he fought a battle with Alexander hut was routed While giving this information, Greek writers omit to mention as to what efforts Darius was making to collect fresh troops Three times his armies had borne the brunt of the nttacks of the Mneedonians His officers and generals were killed or dispersed and their morale was completely crushed Hence it is unlikely that Durius was dreaming of getting victory over his triumphant rivil who had routed him on three occasions, by means of his broken and disspirated followers. He had some other source of help, which infused some hope of success in his heart Considering the political conditions of Asia at that time we do not find any king other than Poros who could effectively assist the fullen monarch of Irun against a formidable invader Therefore, it suits the context of events that Darms sought the assistance of Poros and he readily agreed to give it

5 The Indian invasion of Alexander and the attitude of Poros towards it

As the forces of Poros could not reach Darius between and Alexander overtook hun before he was able to put up in effective

¹ T Noldeke Bestrage zus Geschichte des Alexenderemans, pp 44 50

resistance, the faic of the Achaemenian empire was sealed The murder of Darius removed the symbol of the imperial authority and the Greek conqueror felt entitled to wrest the sceptre of the Achaemenians from the usurper Bessos After achieving this end Alexander thought of invading India, the north western parts of which constituted the richest satrapies of the Persian empire The fact that the Indian contingents of troops fought on the side of Darus and especially that Poros tried to help him hy sending lus elephant corps might have elergrined Alexander and led him to invade India and defeat her warlike peoples. The ethiopic version of the Pseudo Kallisthenes is explicit on this point Budge has rendered this passage as follows 'And Alexander heard that Poros, the king of India, had come to the aid of Darius, the king of Persia but when Poros heard that Darius was slain, he returned to his country together with his troop. And Alexander entreated God Almighty to help him against Poros, the king of India, and concerning the armies which he had gathered together to him and he commanded his soldiers to make ready to march '1 The peoples living in the north western parts of India as seen above, had thrown away the yoke of the Persians long before the advent of Alexander Poros was also on a look out for some opportunity to conquer them as his enmity with Taxiles shows But they proved a hard nut to crack. When Alexander marched against them, Poros chuckled at the prospect of their annihilation and felt confident that he would repet Alexauder, should he enter his territory after defeating them Hence he kept silent when Alexander struggled with these peoples, but mobilized his resources to guard against a possible attack from him Alexander defeated the Astakenoi (Hastikayanas) whose chief Astes (Hastin) suled at Puskalavats, the capital of Western Gandhara. reduced the Assakenos (Asvakayanas), who offered him a suff resis tance, stormed the independent citadels of Bazira, Ora and Aronos, the people of which fought him to the last, entered Taxila (Taksasila), the metropole of which toget mine the Land stored states and sila), the metropole of Southern Gandhara, whose king hugged him as a great support against Poros Meanwhile, Poro was making brisk preparations to accord a warlike welcome to the advance ing invader, as is manifest from the arrangements of battle made

¹ E. A. Wallis Budge. The History of Alexander the Great, p 107 by hum We learn from the "Universal History" of al-Makin that Poros sent letters to the neighbouring kings, saying "since Alexander hath come unto me, come ye unto me and help me, and give me your advice, so that we may all be of one mind and one intention, for if we be divided, Alexander will come and will capture our kingdoms, and will conquer us." And the kings consenting made answer into him, saying, "We will come," and they made ready and came to him with all their armies." There is little substance in this remark. Though Poros might have written to the neighbouring kings his overweening and agrandizing attitude had antagonized them and none of them was ready to help him in right earnest, Even the king of Ablusara on whose assistance he counted much was playing a dual game. Thus Poros had to bear the brunt of Alexander's onslaught single-handed

6 The battle of the Jhelum between Alexander and Poros B C 3262

From Taxila Alexander sent an envoy named Cleochares to Poros to demand tribute from him and ask him to come to meet him on the frontiers of his kingdom ⁸ Poros replied to thus ultimatum in a stern and provocative tone and promised to meet

1 E A Wallis Budge The History of Alexander the Great, p 369

• Arrive states that the battle of the Jhelum was fought in the month of Mounychion $r \epsilon_{0}$ between the 18th of April and 18th of May 326 s c and E R Bevan accepts this view [Gamb Hitz India, Vol I pp 361 362] But at another place Arrian observes that at the time of the battle the rivers were swollen, 'for the sun is then wont to turn towards the summer trope' Thus, he makes the battle take place after the solstice of June 21st Strabo states that the end of June The description of the river and the weather clearly suggests that the rany season had come Under these circumstances, there is much to be said in favour of V A Smult's view that the battle was fought in July [Early History of India 3rd edition, p 85 f] But the matter is most to two for the two any with certainty is that the buttle was fought in July [Early History of India 3rd edition, p 85 f]

² Curtuus in J W M'erindle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p 203 (Henceforth, this work will be referred to as "Invasion" for brevity's sike)

See also Shah-Nama ed Macan Vol III, p 1304

him at the appointed place in arms. The correspondence that passed between these two monarchs has been given in detail in the Shah-Namah 1 This left no alternative to Alexander but to march aganust Poros He also learnt that the king of Abhusāra. who had sent him envoys, was advancing with an army for the help of Poros Hence he made haste in order to prevent Ablusares from meeting with Poros He took the lower route, which proceeded with an inclination to the south, to Dundhial and thence by Asanot and Vang to Jalupur, as we gather from the account of Strabo (XV, I, 32), and encamped on a six mile long expanse on the bank of the Ihelum from Shah-Kabir, two miles to the northeast of Jalalpur, to Syadpur,2 four nules to the west-southwest of it In the way he had an encounter with Spitaces or Pittacus, the governor of the territory, in which the battle was fought, [Arrian ' Incasion" p 107] He sought to stem the advance of Alexander at the instance of Poros But he could not withstand the onset of the Macedoman forces and had to fall back and join the main army of Poros. In the battle he was killed Polyaenous IV. 3, 211

Alexander's army consisted of the Companions, who were mounted and armed, the Hyparputs (the bearers of round shields), who acted as heavy infinitry, but were lighten in equipment and more rapid in movement than the Hophtes (the bearers of oval shields), who wore heavy armour, carried a sword and a sperr and formed the backbone of the famous Spatru infantry, the phalans, which was six rows deep, each soldier standing three feet behind another, wearing full defensive armour consisting of a helmet, hereast-plate and two long curved plates protecting the thighs and

¹ Shah-Nāma ed Macan Vol III, pp 1304-5

² Sir Alexander Cunningham Geography of Instent India pp 157-179 V A Smith, ou the other hand, holds that the sate of the battle is represented by the modern town of Ilecium [Early Hinlory of India 3rd edution, p 78] L R Bevan holds that a point in favour of Ilecium is that it shigher up and Alexander seems to have kept close to the hills [Lamb Hist India Vol I, p 361] . was at Jielcium [Alexander with this view [Alexander Jalalpur [Archaelogued Recommanisances in North-Western India and South-Eastern Iran [1937]] As a matter of fact, it is impossible to ascertain the site of the battle with precision with our defective documents. carrying long swords, long shields and 24 feet long spears called satissa so as to have the appearance of a gigantic porcupine or a moving forest of glittering steel points, the archers mounted on horse-back comprising the Scythians and Aguanians and making lightning movements and skirmishing and harassing the enemy lanks from a distance, and the engines called balists and catapulis, which were meant for during stones Tarn has shown that Alexander had 5300 cavalry, 15,000 mfantry and at least 14,500 archers The cavalry consisted of two regiments under Komos. The battalions of the phylanx were 7, those of Klitos the White and Antigones crossing with Alexander, the other three of Mcleager, Attalus and Gorgias remaining on the opposite bank of the river strung out in different places, and subsequently crossing and joining Alexander, and the reinming two under Polyparchon and Alketas staying with Krateros in Alexinder's camp and reinforcing the fighting ranks at the concluding stage of the battle Besides these forces, the mounted light ervalry of the Thiacians and Scythians was posted in the right wing The right wing consisted of the cavalry with the Scythian regiment forming the vanguard Then, there was the unit of the foot archers and the light infantry, then the five battalions of the phalanx were posted in a straight line and again on the extreme left were the units of the light infantry and the archers shirted by a regiment of javelin men

animul being not less than a plethrum (101 English feet) apart But Tarn thinks that the elephants were divided into two shorter lines inclined right and left towards his rear from the ends of the front line making the face look like a linge redout. Belund the elephants was the infantry of 50,000 foot according to Diodoros or 30,000 according to Arrian, which protruded to fill the gaps between the towering beasts On each end of the array he posted his casalry,1 4000 according to Arrian or 2000 according to plutarch, and near it were the chariots, 300 according to Arnan and 1000 according to Diodoros Thus the Indrin army presented the spectacle of a mobile fortress. As for the armaments, each chartot was drawn by four horses and carried six men, namely a shield-bearer and an archer on each side and two drivers armed with javelins. The infantry carried the bow of the size of a man, one end of which was pressed under foot and the other was held by one hand while the arrow was mounted on the string and shot forth by the other,² some carried javelins and some were equipped with swords and wicker-shields only These shields were made of buffalo-hide and were no match for the long metallic shields of the Macedonians They were also handicapped for want of long pikes The cavalry was not armoured and had no section of mounted archers Thus the Greeks had a marked technological superiority over the Indians which increased the drive and vigour of their numerically larger cavalry

On the other side of the Jhelum was the army of Poros It was the rainy season and the river had swollen to immense proportions ^a Hence it was very difficult for Alexander to cross over to the other side, for the armies of Poros were there to pounce upon him and frustrate his attempt at landing

¹ Kautilya provides that horses and bodyguards should be placed on the sides of the arms, *Arthasastra* X, 2, p 364 viteductar largent

^{*} According to Kautilya a bow was equal to five cubits or seven and a half feet Arthasastra X, 5 p 372 पुरुषारति धन

Such bows are called by Panini and the author of the Mahabhārata Makswasa The arrows were fitted with birbs (patra) which made their blows deadly [V S Agrawala, India as known to Panini, p 422

¹ Curtus 'Invasion' p 206 Arrian "Invasion' p 95

Small bodies of soldiers however, used to swim to the rocky islands in the middle of the river with their weipons on their heads and fight there with the soldiers of the other sude, while the rest of the troops gized at their durils from the brinks and guessed the issue of the struggle from there. One dry a party of bold youths led by two adventurous ehefs, Symmachus and Nicanor, swam to an island occupied by the Induits and wrought havoe in their ranks But fresh reinforcements urrived from the bank and heimned them on all sides. Most of them were killed in the rain of missiles that poured from all sides and such as escaped were swept by the fast eurrent or swallowed by the whirring eddies ¹. Such incidents resulted in the alternation of toy and grief in the hearts of the belligerents

Many days passed in this state of suspense. The banks of the Jhelum were covered with horse and foot, echoed with the creas of war slogans and were made picturesque by towering clephants and sparkhug chariots. Each side was extremely cautious of the other. The Greeks were on a look out to steal a pussage across the river and the Indians were bent on checking their landing by swooping upon them. Hence each side had spread the chains of sentincls to keep a strict eye on the movements of the forces of the other and communicate the news and orders, that were frequently passed. The Greeks mide several shows of crossing the river but when the Indians usenbled on the opposite positions to stop their landing, buy give up the intempt and dispersed. With these feintes they induced a belief in the minds of the Indians that they were not serious about crossing the river. In course of time the Indians that disted ther vigilinge

Meanwhile, Alexander found a suitable place for crossing the river 150 stadia (about 17 miles) away from his eanip. There the river made a remarkable bend and from its bank rose a bluff densely covered with trees. Near this bluff was a deep rawine which served to screen the eavalry and the infantry. This place is, accord ing to Cumunghian, identical with the bed of the kandar Nala to the north of Julipur. Tarn objects to this view on the Ground that the bend of the river at that place is slight and not 'notable us Arrian states. Fricing this bluff was an untrodden island overspread with dense forest. Alexander moved thinker with

¹ Curtuus Ir asion p 205

his army, 31,000 men according to Arrian, and prepared the fulleys and hides for crossing the river ¹. In order to divert the attention of the Indians at another point, he ordered Attlos who resembled him in shape and appearance, to make a show of guarding the bank without any intention of crossing the river and asked Kruteros, with whom were the hattahons of Polyperchon and Alketas, to remain on that side till he had crossed the river and enjaged the elephants of Poros in war, which terrified the horses by their roars and forms and immeded their orderty landing. He also left another body of troops consisting of the battalions of Attrilus, Gorgias and Meleater at a point half way between the camp and the place of embarkation The three battahons were not together but were strung out along the bank in different places, obviously to meet Poros if he tried to ship a force across the river between the camp and Alexander's crossing place. They were to cross one by one whenever they should see the Indian army fully engaged The battalions of Cleitus the White and Antigones crossed with Alexander Kautilya also provided that having detached the flower of the army and kept it nn a favourable position not visible to the enemy the and kep in the laterator posted in the remy, Athassina X, commander in chief should array the rest of the army, Athassina X, o,p 372 भूमिवज्ञेन वा विमन्तम्स्यामवस्युधियवे मोगविरवा सेना सनापतिनायनो ॰यहेयाताम ।

It was a stormy night The heavens were thundering rain was pouring and a blizzard was howling. In that dismal hour the dring leader of the Macedonians ordered his troops to cross the roring river⁸. The howl of the blizzard drowned the ritile of the arms and the noise of the army and concealed the design of Alexander from the Indown forces. When the storm ceased, a pall of pitched darkness muffled the face of the sky and mide sight moperture Hence he landed on an island mistaking it to be the mainland and drew his armics in battle order. But finding that a channel of the niver, which was immensely swollen by the rains of the might, rashed between the island the mainland, he searched a ford and waded through neck deep water with difficulty. Menawhle,

¹ hautilya provides that when the crossing of a river is obs tructed by an enemy the invader may cross it elsewhere Arthasastra X, 2, p 365 तीपाभिग्राहे हत्यरवेरचता रात्रावृत्ताय सत्र गृह गीयात।

² Lautilya also prescribes crossing the river at night op cit X, 2, p 365

news of the attempted landing spread in the Indian camp¹ Poros did not believe in it because he saw the other bank full of the armies of Krateros and thought that it was the real force of Alexander He conjectured that his ally, the king of Abhusāra, was approaching for his assistance². But a reconnaissance parity,³ lid by a son of Poros overtook the Greek armies while they were landing on the mainland. He was in command of 60 chariots, according to Aristobolius, or 2000 men and 120 chariots, according to Ptolemy ⁴. Curtus states that this army consisted of 100 chariots and 4000 horse and was commanded by Hages, the brother of Poros ⁵. An encounter took place between the two forces and there was a severe contest Arrian states on the basis of old authoritues that in this battle Alexunder himself was wounded and his horse Boukephalas was killed ⁶. Justin writes that he fell headlong on the ground but he attendants rushed to his help and saved his life ⁷. For sometime

² Curtius "Invasion" p 207

³ Poros does not appear to have taken the report of Alexander s landing seriously. Had be done so he oright to have despatched a better force, for there was no better opportunity to overcome the Greek armies than at the time when they were emerging: Tom the river, we can deshaused A E Anspach [De Alexandr Magni Expeditione Indica (London 1903)] supposes that the son of Poros was already near the spot when Alexander landed and that finding a larger body than he could engage with, he sent for help to Spitaces, who was holding a post opposite Meleager Spitaces brought 60 chanots and 1000 horse.

Whatever the position may be, it is clear that the Elder Poros had no knowledge of the landing of Alexander till then and his son met the Greek force just by accident

- Arrian "Intasion" p 101
- ⁶ Curtius Invasion" p 207

⁶ Arrian "Intaston" p 101, Pseudo-Kallushenes (Branch A) also states that Boukephalas was killed in the battle of Jhelum

7 Justin "Invasion" p 323

¹ Tarn observes, "after Alexander had crossed, he turned downstream towards Poros' position, he would thus pass in turn the three brithness strung out on the other bank. Each of them, as he came level, became useles where it was, as Poros could no longer ritempt to cross there even if he wished to, and would cross in its inrn and join Alexander. He had more than ample transport and would have sent some of his boats back for them [Alexander the Grant II p 101]

POROS

the issue of the battle hung in the balance. It was difficult to say which side utilized more, for the Macedonians were trampled down in the first charge of the chariots.¹ But the chariots stuck in the mud caused by heavy rains and the mobile cavalry of the Greeks soon overpowered them. The whole bank was so flódded with water that there was little to distinguish it from the current of the river. Hence many horses plunged in the river with the charlots and the drivers.⁴. In this state of panie 400 horsemen including the son of Poros fell³ and the rest of the forces were eventually routed

It was, as a matter of fact, on hearing from the 'soldiers, who escaped from the initial encounter, that Poros was really aware of the landing of Alexander. He was labouring under the delusion that the regiments of Krateros represented the main armies of Alexander. But when he became sure of the advent of the enemy he proceeded to draw up his army in order of hattle. He left some of his army (four or live hundred men and thirty-five some of his fitting your or itse hundred men and thirty-itse elephants) at the opposite position of Krateros, to keep, an eye on his movements and led the rest to a flat field, where the ground was less plashy and undulated.⁴ According to Arrian⁵ he took, with him 4000 horse, 300 charlots, 200 elephants and 30,000 foot. Diodoros' gives a bigger figure, 50,000 foot and 1000 charlots, but he lessens the number of the elephants to 130. Plutarch³ gives a smaller figure, 20,000 infanity and 2000 cavity. Curtities gives the number of elephants still further to 15. It appears that the have wrought by the elephants in the Creek forces ways o appalling that they were driven to magnify their menace by exaggrating their number. The menace of the elephants led some later writers to invent the myth of iron steeds which Alexander made to counteract

¹ Curtius : "Invasion" p. 208. ² Curtius : "Invasion" p. 102.

* Arrian ; "Invasion" p. 102.

4 According to V. A. Smith the hostile forces met in the Karri plain marked by the villages Sirval and Pakral (Oxford History of India, p. 62).

- Arrian : "Invasion" p. 102. 3
- * Diodoros : "Invasion" p 274.
- 7 Plutarch : "Invasion" p 310
- Curtus : "Invasion" p 204.

their attack 1 The figures of Alexander's army were not very much different from those of the army of Poros He had no elephant corps but had a numerical superiority in cavalry [Camb Hist India Vol I, p 361] He had four hipparchies in the brittle. those of Hephaestion, Perdiceas, Coenes and Demetrius besides the agema [Alexander the Great Vol II, p 196] A force of 5000 Indians communded by Tayles was also with him [Arrian 'Invasion" p 93] Poros took some time to draw, his army on the battle field and was attacked by the enemy just when he was finishing his arrangements. He placed his elephants in the front at the intervals of 331 yards, according to Arrian,2 and 50 yards according to Polyaenois," and drew his infantry behind them in a compact line, which protruded to fill the gaps between the towering beasts 4 Tarn holds that the elephants covered the infantry only in the centre, on the left of Poros his infantry extended far enough

¹ Shah-Nama ed Mecan Vol III, p 1308 Ba-asp o br-naft äush andar zadand Hamöb lashkari-frir bar-aar-zadand Az äush ba-afrokht naft-i-siyäh ba-junhid azār k-ähanin hud sipth Warners' translation, Vol p 116 "They lit the naphta in the steeds', Fur's troops were in dismay The naphtha blazed, Fur's troops recoiled because those steeds were iron"

The problem of the iron-steeds has been recently discussed in detail by a German scholar [Han von Mzik, "Die Schilderung der Schlacht zwischen Alexander dem Grossen und dem InderKoenig Poros in Firciouss" Kaeingsbuch", Zeitneruf der Dintischen Morzenlaenduchen Geschlichaft Vol. 104 (1954) pp. 337-361] He traces this legend to aneient Hebrew sources "Nach der Rabbanischen Theologie und urberlaupt die Engelscharen Gottes feuriger Natur, sie gleichen gluebendem Erz" [1] 5611

- 2 Arrian "Incasion" p 103
- 3 Polyaenois "Imasion" p 346

• Kautilya prescribes that an array in which the front is occupied by elephonts, the flanks by chariots and the wings by horse is an array which can break the centre of the enemy— $Arthais_{3}tra X$, 5 p 373

हस्तिनामुरस्य रयाना वक्षावरवाना पक्षाविति मध्यभेदी ।

The array of the army of Poros exactly resembles that described by Kautilya above Kautilya terms this array Vijaja (ibid X, 6) beyond the elephants for horse-archers to be able to attack them On each end of the array he posted his cavalry and in front of it his chariots 1 Alexander adopted a simple and handy plan of operations The battle line was very much like that of Issus and Gaugamela from right to left, first Alexander's own cavalry, then the hypaspists, then the phalanx, with the light-armed troops on either flank, the only difference being that he massed his cavalry on the right He divided his cavalry into two units, one he took under his own command to attack the left wing of Poros and the other under Komos he kept to his right to fall on the rear of the enemy's cavalry as it moved from the rights to meet his attack on the left or to attack the horsemen under the command of Alexander Tarn thinks that Koinos was to move away from Alexander leftward so that the Indians might suppose that he was going to support the horse-archers The order was that when the Indian cavalry should see the body of cavalry facing themselves and should attack it. Koinos was to swing round (to his own right) and take them in the rear The order shows that Alexander was certain that the Indian cavalry, a weaker force than his own, would attack him The only way he could be certain was if he knew that he could make them do so And he made them do so by showing them that all he had with him after sending off Koinos was the agimn and two hipparclues, a weaker force than their own. It is wrong that Koinos attacked Poros' right wing [Alexander the Great II, pp 196-197] As for the phylanx he ordered it to wait till he had thrown the Indian ranks into confusion by the cavalry charges. Thus Alexander completed his arrangements very briskly and was the first to launch a fierce attack on the left wing of Poros with an advance squadron of 1000 mounted archers under Tauron 3 Seeing this the Indian cavalry

³ Justin ("Intaston" pp 322-323) states that Poros was the first to attack the Macedomans and he demanded from them then

(continued on the following page)

¹ According to Kautilya the elephants were enshrouded with cotton and leather dress Attlass.stra X, 3, p 367 τητετητήτις effective ² Droysen, Thurwill and Muberly held that Konos was ordered to station lumielf opposite the enemy's right [Moberly Alexander m the Pampab, p 610] But Koch) and Russow in their History of the Greek Altilary System point out that Konos was placed at the externer right wing of the Greek forces. Had he been de-tached to oppose the right wing of Poros he would have been too far from the operations

guiloped forward. As they moved Konnos pointeed on their rear according to the preconceived plan. Grught between the two attacks the Indian creatly hastily broke into two sections, one facing the stack in the front and the other resisting the charge in the rear While they were busy changing their lines, Alexander fell upon them and threw them in utter confusion. Konnos also battered vehemently on the rear. The Indian cavality took, refuge behind the elephrants.

Meanwhile Poros made a dashing charge on the enemy with his elephants. His original plan was to move under cover of his elephants, first to frighten the enemy with the fiery assults of these beasts and then to make a heavy carnage of them with the compact tanks of the infantry. This plan was analogous to the modein method of moving the infantry under cover of tank fire But the hasty attack of Alexander had somewhat upset his plan by routing the cavily y let he strained his nerves to launch the elephant attack betimes As the drivers hoaded the furious animals towards the enemy, the infantry followed closely behind them The elephants flightened the horses by loud trumpeting and trampled the regiments of the phalanx under their massive feet They crushed the armours and bones of the soldiers and gored them through and through with their tusks. Usually they lifted the soldiers in their tranks and dashed them violently to the ground 1 Sometimes they grasped the men, arms and all with their tranks and hoisting them above their heads delivered them unto the drivers who cut their heads in a trice " Thus, they

56

⁽continued from il e precious page)

king This statement is incorrect because it is unsupported by other authorities. Curtures (Invasion p 207) writes that when the news of Alexander I landing eached hum he thought that Abhisares came to his help. He became conscious of the real situation only when the routed soldiers of the party of his son gave the full report to lum Arrian ('Invasion p 102) says that he was in a dilemma be cause kraterox appeared to be undertaking the passage on the opposite side. But at last he decided to find a flat field and draw his army on it. All this took much time. It appears that he was just completing his arrangements when the enemy began the action Diodoros clerify says that the Macedoman cavilry began the action ('Invasion p 275].

¹ Diodoros Invasion p 275

^{*} Curtius Invasion p 211

went crushing through the Macedomin phalan, and spread great terror and distater in it¹ All day long, the battle remained doubtful, the Greeks sometimes pursuing and sometimes fleeing from the elephants². Towards the end the Greek cavalry drew together in course of hattle and fell upon the Indians with great vigour³ The Greek infantry also, being more mobile and light, attacked the elephants on all sides with sickle-like choppers, javelins and axes, wounded them bidly and killed their drivers Indian archers were also at a great disadvantage Their long bows which were about the size of nin and were pressed under foot at one end and held by the left hund in the middle, while the arrow was fixed on the string and discharged with the other, did not fix firmly in the suppery ground ⁴ Hence the solders could not cequit themselves as they could nit any other day. The charlots also got stuck in the mud and became useless for the action⁵. In the result, the Indian forces fell back on the defensive

Seeing the elephanis of Poros in disorder, Krateros crossed the Jhelum and reinforced the embattled armies of Alexander The arrival of fresh forces redoubled the vigour of the Greek offensive and spread panie in Indian troops Poros himself was wounded particularly in the right shoulder and turned his elepliant back⁶ to murshal as much forces as possible. Diodoros states that he gathered around him forty of the elephanis that were still under control and falling on the enemy with all the weight of these animals made a great shuighter with his own hand. The juckins, he fing will his hand, few like the shots of a catapult. The elephant of Poros showed remarkable saga-

- Arrian "Invasion p 106
- ² Curtius "Intasion p 211
- ³ Arrian "Invasion' p 106 ⁴ Curtius "Invasion' p 210

5 Kautilva held that the terrain which is even and firm and not wet is fit for chariots Arthasastra X, 4, p 370, तोबारायाभयवती निरुत्वातिनी नेदारहीना व्यायतंनमम्बेति रयाग्रामतिनय

⁶ Arrian "Invasion" p 108 ⁷ Kuntulya prescribes that when the enemy's army is an a compact body he should break it by means of his clephants Artha sastra X, 3 महतानीक इस्तिभिभेदयेत

⁸ Diodoros ' Invasion' p 276

58

city and care for his roy-1 master and defended him against the assulants by constantly repelling them³. This charge of the Indrin elephanti led by Poros himself spread great terror and des truction in the Greek armies. If the Ethiopic texts are to be believed most of the Greek cavalry was destroyed in the attrack and the soldiers were filled with giert agong and grief. Some of them threw off their weapons and thought of going over to the enemy s ide. Viewing this strict, Alexander who was himself in great dis tress ordered a cease fire and approached Poros with the proposals of pence." Budge has translated the relevant passage of the *Pseudo Kalluthers* as follows

'And Poros continued to fight with Alexander for 20 days, and many of Alexander's horses were slain and by reason of this there was such great sorrow among them that they wept and howled like dogs, and they wished to throw down the arms, which were in their hands, and to forsake Alexander and go over to the enemy When Alexander saw this he drew nigh into their midst, being himself in great tribulation and he wished to stop the fight And having commanded the soldiers to cease fighting, he cried out saying, 'O Poros, King of India, behold, I perceive and know thy strength and might, and moreover, what thou doest helh hard upon me, and my heart is weary, and I have considered the fatigue whereby we are all peristing Now, although I may wish to destroy my own life, I would not that these men (who are with me) should perish, for it is I who have brought them nigh unto death here, and it is not a right thing for a king to deliver his soldiers unto death and to save his own life Now I would that we command our armies to cease fighting fo a little and that we two go down and do battle with each other ' "

Joseph Ben Gorion in his "History of the Jeus" furnishes the follow ing information about the battle between Alexander and Poros

'Now the war between the Macedonians and the Indians was prolonged until a great number of Alexander's soldiers were destroy ed and those (that remained) took counsel together to $|_{X_1} \xrightarrow{1} \infty$ of Alexander and to deliver him over to the long of $\ln \frac{5}{2}$

¹ Plutarch Intaston p 308

² Ernest A Wallis Budge The History of Alexand being a series of translations on Ethiopic Histories of p 123

when Alexander knew this thing he sent message to the king of India, saying ' Behold, the war between us hath been prolonged and many of our men have perished, let us now make a first agreement together and let us two leave our armies behind us and meet in combat with carli other "1

Firdausi also states that when the intensity of the war reached a high pitch Alexander addressed Paros as follows

Our two hosts have been shattered by the fight, The wild beasts batten on the brains of men The horses hoofs are trampling on their boues Now both of us are heroes brave and young Both palading of cloquence and brain Why then shughter be the soldiers lot Or bare survival after combating? 2

Arrian remarks that Alexander sent his friend Faxies in persuade Paros to make peace with him But as this messenger of peace reached near Porces has blood boiled at the sight of his old enemy and he threw a javeho at him with such force that it would have broken his bones had not be galloped his horse back very quickly 3 According to Curtis this messenger was the brother of Taxiles and was killed with the javelin of Poros 4 But Alexander was so solicitous and desirous of peace with Poros that instead of feeling slighted by the disrespect shown for his cuyny he sent messencer after messenger tu Poros and at last commissioned Merces to persuade Jum to come to terms with him 5. This person Merges is described as an Indian and a friend

Warner's translation of the Shah Vamah Vol VI, p 117 Shah Vamah ed Macan Vol 111, p 1309 Sikandur badu guft kai namdir Do Inshkar shakistali sluid az karızırı Hami dam o did migliz i murdum kliurad i Humi nul i aspustakhvin ha spurid ii Do Mardam har do dilar-o ias in i Sukhan go va ba magha da pahlavin h Chara ba bar lashkar hami kushtan ast i Vagar zind ih az razm har gashtan ast Arrian haston p 108

Curtus Invasion p 212 Arrian Invasion p 108

Ernest A Wallis Bidge The History of Alexander the Great being a series of translations of the Filipopic Histories of Alexander, pp 420-421

All Greek millionities agree that Poros was reinstated in his state and that the territories conquered by Alexander in India were added to his dominions. This sounds strange and incredible that a victor give his own conjugered territory to a defauted enemy instead of annexing his dominious to his own state History affords no paralled to this event in the light of which we may judge how far it is believable. All that we know about the treatment that is meted out by a victorious king to his van quished rivil goes against the reality of this event. It is said that Alexander wanted to make friends with Poros in consideration of the valour d splayed by him on the field of battle 1 This remark scarcely applies to a person who got such a gallant fighter as Bessos whapped mutilated and executed who flung a lance at Kleitos who was the brother of his purse and the saviour of his life in the battle of the Granikos simply because he proised his father Philip on an occasion who ordered his most trusted generals Parinemon and his son to be put to death on account of a flimsy rumour of conspiracy, who imprisoned and fortured to death Kullisthenes the nephew of his preceptor Aristotle, because he made an unswoury comment on his adopt on of oriental manners who made a wholesale massacre of the fugitives from Massaga whom he had promised slichter and safety, in the dead of night, who put innocent men women and children to death in course of his homeward expedition through lower Panjab and Sindh and who bornt Perspois and destroyed many etites of his defated enemies like Sangala. In first Alexander's heart was full of such pignacious vindictiveness and unbridled impulsiveness that he brooked no affront or resistance and went all out to cluminate everyone that came in his way Hence it passes comprehension that he was so lement towards his most redoubtable enemy, who inflicted a heavy loss² on him as to add to his power and prestige even after

All Greek authorities agree that Poros was reinstated in his the and that the territories conquered by Alexander in India were added to his dominors This sounds strange and incredible that a victor gave his own conquered territory to a defeated enemy instead of annexing lus dominions to lus own state History affords no paralled to this event in the light of which we may judge how far it is believable. All that we know about the treatment that is meted out by a victorious king to his vin quished rivil goes igainst the reality of this event. It is said that Alexander wanted to make friends with Poros in consideration of the valour displayed by him on the field of battle.1 This remark scarcely applies to a person who got such a gallant fighter as Bessos whopped mutilated and executed who flung a lince at Kleitos who was the brother of his nurse and the saviour of his life in the battle of the Granikos, simply because he praised his father Philip on an occasion who ordered his most trusted generals Parmenion and lus son to be put to death on account of a flimsy rumour of conspiracy, whin imprisoned and tortured to death Kallisthenes the nephew of his preceptor Aristoile, because he made an unsavoury comment on his adoption of oriental manners who he had promised shelter and safety, in the dead of night who nut innocent men winnen and children to death in course of his homeward expedition through lower Panjab and Sindh and whin burnt Persepolis and destroyed many cities of his defeated encines like Sangala In fict Alexander's heart was full of such pugnacious vindictiveness and unbridled impulsiveness that he brooked no affront or resistance and went all out to eluminate everyone that came in his way Hence it passes comprehension that he was so lenient towards his most redoubtable enemy, who inflicted a heavy loss' on him, as to add to his power and prestice, even after

¹ Cicero in his Pro-Marcello and Seneca in his de Glementia praise Alexander in the highest terms for his magnanimous behaviour towards Poros

^{*} Arran states that on Alexander s and there fell 80 of the infantry, who took part in the first attack, 10 of the horse archers who began the action 20 of the companion cavalry and 200 of the other cavalry Diodoros gives a bigger figure of the losses of Alex-

8 The aftermath of llexander s Indian vreasion and the alliance of Poros at 1 Can Iraqupta Maursa

Meanwhile, a terrible storm was brewing in the Panjab. The Brahmanas had launched a crusade as anst Greek rule.1, the worsted tribes and peoples were smarting under foreign dominauon , the class and corps of increasing soldiers were thrown out of employment as a result of the extinction of small states and the establishment of paramount authority over them, and the people, as a whole, were conscious of the need of a stable government, strong enough to withstand foreign invasions and internal disruptions. This new spirit led the people to rise avainst Greek sene rils and governors Candragmun and Poros sensed the situa tion and became the leaders of the resolution which was spread ing in the North West. They began by overthrowing the remnants of Greek, rule and attacking the Greek prefects which were its nonunal symbols When Alexander was in Karminia the news of the murder of Philippos reached him and he commissioned Taxiles and Ludimos to assume the administration of the province governed by him " But the wave of revolution invept away the last remnants of Greek rule in no time and Poros and Gaudra gunta emerged as the undistuited leaders of the people, under show the liberation of the country was accomplished

9 The conquest of Magadha a d the murder of Poros

After liberstin, the Punjab from the yoke of the Greeks Gandr-gapta proceeded to conquer Magidha. The Mudra raktara of Visakhaduti the Iamatahapkakum (Vaha anua Tika), the Mahatanua of Moggall na known is the Cambodian Maharanita, the Paisistepartar of Hemicandra and the Cariji and Tika of the fraugala virgith on which Hemicandra bused his narrative, and other Jun works like the commentary on the Uttradhjaajana Sütra named 'Sukhabodha' by Desendragabin alias Nemicandra Sun and the Bihathathakora of Haragebacarja, state that Cindra gapta had an important colleague and collaborator in Parvataka

⁴ Arrian It Lasion p 177

¹ Plutarch Invasion p 306 Arrian Invasion p 159

or Parvatesvara in his conquest of Magadha¹. There are very strong grounds for holding that Parvatesvara is identical with Poros, as

Mahāvamıa of Moggallāna V 86-94 G. P. Malalasekera. Extended Mahāvamısa pp. 59-60. (Royal Asiatic Society, Ceylon branch)

> तस्त रञ्जो तु पब्वतकुमार-बच्हयो (कुमाराज्हवो) सुतौ कुमारस्त उपट्ठाके पभाते ते युदिषित्तम ।। सन्ययञ्च करित्वान तेहि सद्धि मनेकघा । पटिलद्धपत्र्ला दिस्वा राजपुत्तं पसीदिम-॥

Ibid V, 123 p. 62

पब्यतराजकुमारं सो वसापेत्वान एकतो । सत्तसहस्सम्पनिकं हेमपानाळियाथुतम् ।

These traditions relate that when Cānakya was distressed by the order of arrest passed against him by King Dhanananda, for hisinsolent conduct at the session of the Alms Committee, he approached prince Parvata (Pabbato), who lived with his mother in the outskirt of the city, and sought exit from there with his help. These traditions state that Parvata was the son of the Nanda King [See G. P. Malalasekera: Dictionary of Pali Proper Names Vol. I p. 860 ; C. D. Chatterji: Early Life of Candragupta Maurya, B. C. Law Volume I p. 590] This appears to be a mistake for no other source connects Parvata with the Nanda family. Only Diodoros writes that the younger Poros, who ruled between the Akisenes and the Hydraotes, fled from his kingdom to Magadha to take refuge under the Nandas when the joint forces of Alexander and the Elder Poros threatened to attack him. But this semark does not in the least imply any blood relationship between Poros and the Nanda family. The account of the Ceylonese chronicles in this respect is based on a illy fictitious is the story of the living urvataka (Parvata) as fellow-students

at the assassination of the latter

by the former at the instigation of Cānakya after the discovery of his inferiority as a ruler.

66

shown in the earlier part of this chapter. Dr. Hermann Jacobi identifies Parvata with Parva, alias Panchen, the eleventh king of the Kirata dynasty of Nepal, mentioned in the Buddhaparrahyaramsāvali, on the ground that in the reign of the seventh king Jitedasti, the Buddha visited Nepal, and in that of the fourteenth king Sthunka, Asoka also visited that country [Parisistaparcan (2nd edition) Introduction pp. LXXV-LXXVI; Indian Antiquary Vol. VII p. 90]. Hence it is likely that Parva was contemporary of Candragupta Maurya. About this view Mr. C. D. Chatterji pertineutly observes as follows ; "while we do not question the historicity of Parya alias Panchen, the eleventh Kirāta King of Gokarna, it passes comprehension how an astnte politician and strategist like Canakya could count so much on the military assistance of a barbarous Mongoloid ruler of a hill state for overthrowing the last Nanda King, when the war-veterans of Alexander, who had brought under their licels the vast tract of Asia stretching from the Hellespont to the Hyphais, wavered for want of confidence in their success against the most powerful Xandramas, King of the Prasioi and the Gaugaridai and ultimately retired almost from the frontier of his kindgorn." [C. D. Chatterji. Early Life of Candra-gupta Mataya B. C. Law Volume I p. 602] It appears that Canakya and Candragupta were discussing a treaty of alliance with Poros for the invasion of Magadha when Alexander appeared on the secue. for Atrian says that they were old friends.³ On the advent of Alexander Candragupta tried to make peace with him in order to make him an instrument of his policy of conquering the Natida empire and when his plan was impeded by the uncertain verdict of the battle of the Jhelum, he brought about a reconciliation between him and Poros and thus established a triple entente against Magadha. But when Alexander retraced his steps he and his ally Poros changed their policy, wiped out the vestiges of Greek rule from India and led an expedition against Magadha. Hemacandra*

चाणक्यो हिमनत्कूटं ततोऽगालनन्निवेशनम् । यध पर्वतकाख्येन नुपेण सह सौहृदम् ।।

(continued on the following page)

¹ Arrian's remark applies to Merces, who has been identified by me with Candragupta Maurya.

² Parisistaparvan VIII, 290-299 ed. Jacobi

and Višākhadatta¹ state that it was agreed upon between them that they would equally divide² the empire of the Nandas between themselves like two brothers.

We know that Poros was appointed by Alexander to govern the territories between the Jhelum and the Beas. Alexander had also founded two cities Nikaia and Boukephala in his kingdom which were peopled by Greek settlers, who were mainly soldiers. Poros had under him a contingent of Greek soliders, who were partly enlisted from the Greek settlements and partly drawn from the regiments that Alexander left in India to keep watch on his interests Besides the Greeks, the Persian, Baktrian and Scythian

(continued from the previous page)

चन्द्रगुप्तगुरुरचके तत्याहायककाभ्यया.। तमग्यदोचे चाणवयो नन्दमुग्मूल्य पायिवम् ॥ तद्राण्यं संविभज्यावां गृह्णीव भातराविव । ततः पर्वतकेगांघ प्रत्यपद्यत्त तद्वचः ॥

स हि चाणक्ययुक्तोऽभूत् सन्नद्ध इव केसरी ।

Mudrārākşasa ed. Telang Act. 11, p. 126-127.

राक्षसः—किं बातियुध्दः पर्वतरुआते वैरोचकाम पूर्वप्रतिशुत्तो राज्यार्थ-विभागः ।

Sukhabodhä of Devendraganin, see H. Jacobi: Parisistaparvan Appendices pp. 15-16.

हिमवन्तकूई......प्वत्वत्रो राया......मेत्तिकया... नन्दरज्जं समं समेण विभंजयामो ।

A reference to 'Parvata' is found in the following verses of the Byhatkathākaja of Harisenācarya (931-32 A.D.).

> प्रत्यन्तवासिमूथोऽपि निदाम्यास्य बचः परम् । निनाय तं निजस्यानं चाणववं मतिशातिनम् ।। पर्वतान्तं परिप्राप्य मूरीः जरमन्तवासिभिः । भवतं प्रवेशयामात्रुपेनं च स्वरुवं तदा ।। ——Bikatkathäbia ed. A. N. Upadhye

(S. J. G.) 143, 66-67 p. 338.

The account of the Brhatkathakoia is mixed with fable and romance. There is no sense of historical accuracy in it. Only the name 'Parvata' is of historical import.

POROS

soldiers, who came to Index with Alexander, swelled his ranks) and his neighbours the kings of Kuluta Kismira Sindh and Milava (Malaya) joined him with their armies if the Mudraralisasa is to be believed

With these forces Poros moved towards Pitaliputra and con quered it But just as he reached the punacle of power, his life was cut short by the conspiracy of Canalya and Candragupta We learn that the Greek official Endamos murdered an Indian king just as Alexander turned his back on India and that Poros was the king who was murdered after his departure. Hence it is plausible to conclude that Eudamos murdered Poros at the instance of Cinakya and Candragupta. It appears that Eudamos was at the head of the Greek regiments that accompanied Poros in his Magadhan expedition When he had victory within his grasp and was going to ascend the imperial throne, Canakya tipped Eudamos to mirdler Poros According to the *Mudraraksasa* Parvataka was murdered by poisoning a (physical contact with poison girl)

According to the Mudraral sasa after the murder of Pariataka his son Malayaketu tried to avenge the death of his father on the conspirators But his plans were foiled by the conspiracies of Canakya We shall refer to Malayaketu in another study of this collection The fortune of the Purus declined and their dominions were annexed to the Maurya empire Only a legend of the feats of Poros survived and was rendered into form and colour by sculptors and painters Philostratos of Lemnos states that outside the walls of Taxila was a temple of shell marble, round which were hung pic tures on copper plates representing the feats of Alexander and Poros 4

- रिखावने परे मह स्वरूपा पत्र राषाणां पूर सह समुप्परणसिंगहा । ते जहा सहुनुदाहिदो सित्त दम्मी मत्र मण वर्राहिवो सिहणादो वम्हीरदेसगाहा पुस्कारवंगी मि युराषी वि युषेणो पारगोमा महणादो हि। । * Mudarakstar II, 16
 - क'या तस्य वधाय या विषमधी गूइ प्रयुक्ता मया। देवात्पनतकस्तवा स निहतो यन्तरथ राज्यापंहत ॥

4 Translated by J W M Crindle Ancient I idia as described in classical literature R C Majumdar Classical Accounts of India p 388

¹ Mudraraksasa Act II, p 122 श्रस्ति सावच्छकयवनकिरातकाम्बोजपारसीकवाह लीकप्रभृतिभिश्चाणक्य मतिपरिगृहीतैरेच द्रगप्तपर्वतश्वरव नेष्दधिभिरिव प्रलयोच्चलितसलिले समन्तावुपगढ न सुमपुर। 2 Ibid Act V, p 240

CHAPTER III

The Home of the Mauryas

1. Buddhist traditions about the Mauryas

Ceylonese Buddhist traditions connect the Mauryas (Pall Moriya) with the Sākyas The commentary on the Mahāvaijisa, known as Vanusatthappaläsuri, states that a body of the Sakyas, frightened by the persecution of Vidudabha, the King of Kosala, fled to the mountainous regions and finding there a tract of land having adequate water supply and overgrown with forest-trees like Pippali, (ficus, religiosa) founded a beautiful city on it, which was adorned with various attractions such as orchards and rest-houses and protected by strong ramparts and moats. This city was built with bricks, coloured like peacocks' necks and echoed with the cries of the peacocks. Hence the Sakyas who resided there came to be known as Moriyas in the whole country.1 The Tika suggests another explanation of the word 'moriva' : the residents of the aforesaid city rejoiced at its heavy (modapiti) and came to be known by the word for 'rejoicing', 'moda', the 'd' of which was changed into 'r' so as to form the word 'moriva'." Exactly like this is the account of the origin of the Moriyas given in the Mahāramsa of Moggallāna known as the Extended or Cambodian Mahavamsa. This work refers to the migration of the Sakyas following the attack and persecution of Vidudabha to the mountainous regions where they founded the 'city known as

¹ Vaiinsatthappäkasini ed. G. P. Malalasekera (P.T.S.) vol. I, p 180

p. 180 तेन हि पन घरमाने वेन मगबंदि निइडभेन उपरुंतुता केणि, साबिया हिमवन्त पविसित्वा घठठावर सनिवामयनम्भ उसस्वपिपचोननादिपादपत्वनेहि उपसोभित रमणीयं भुमिमागं दिस्वा तत्याभिनिविट्ठपेमहृदया सस्मि ठाने जुविभत्तमहापद-दारकोटठकं पिरपाकारपरित्यापरित्वाप्रतिविद्धतमारामयव्यानादिविद्धिपराम्लपेरप्रसम्पन्न नगर-वरं मणिषु । प्रपि च, तं मयुर्पीविद्यामछदानिट्ठन पासारपतिकों च मसूरवेकाना-देहि पुरित्यामीतितं महोसि । तेन तस्स गयरस्म सामिनो साविया च तेसं पुलपपुता व मकलजबुदीपे मोरिया नामा ति पाकटा जाता ।

² Ibid., vol. I, p. 180 मोरियान ति बतान नगरतिरिया मोदागीति एक्ष सजाता ति, दुकाररेस च रकार,कल्वा मोरिया ति लढवोहारान लत्तियान ति अत्वो । Moriyanagara in the very language of the Vanistihappakasini⁷. With the details given in these works agrees the version of the Mahabahinamas.⁴ All these versions of the origin of the Moriyas (Maurya) are based on the Uttaravhära-atthakathä as the Yanisathappakasini lets us know.⁴ This work is relegated to the first century A p. and the *Vanisathappakaini*, the Mahazanita of Moggalläna and the Mahābahhitanita have been assigned to the 8th-9th, 9th-10th, and 10th-1th, centuries respectively by G. P. Malalasckera ⁴ This, we find that the tradition of the Salxan origin of the Mauryas

¹ Extended Mahapanisa ed. G. P. Malalaselera (Royal Asiatic Society, Ceylon Branch) V 95-101, p. 60

यदा पत्था तु ग्रम्हाकं निब्बानं न पवीसति । साक्यराजा यह येव तेनेव मित्तद्विभगा ॥ पातिना प्रनसारेन मयावत्तेन विधिना । सेमा पञ्जों पविसित्वा हिमवन्तप्पदेसमभ् ॥ एमं खायुदक्त्वेतं ठानं एकं युदिविखय । \$1 गापेग् नगर तत्म इद्ध फीत गनोरमम् ॥ छादिता इट्टिकाहेब पासादपन्तियो ग्रह । मयुरगीवसंकासा सुविभत्तामहापयम् ॥। हारकोट्रवपावारपरिविलत्तं समन्ततो । ... मयुरकोचमट्टेहिऽभिरदं नगरं सदा '॥ 14 तेनेव पानटं भागि मोरियनगराव्हंयम् । रज्जं तियेव भारेमु सामयराजा तदा पन । सहेव पत्तनसोहि मोरियनगरे वरे । जम्बदीपे नरा मध्वे मोरराजेन अव्हयय्' ॥

In this passage the reading of the word 'mittadubbhintā' is very doultful Malalasekera gross three more variants of it, Vittaga, bhitā', 'Vittaubbhina', But the fact that Vidudabha is intended liere admits of no doubt. In VII, 19 the author clearly mentions Vidudabha and his massacre of the Sākyas Vide Leitade Maharama, p. 102 i 11

> परिस्मयो च भम्हाक भविम्मति इतो परम । मभ्ने सापयराजानो विदुष्ठभो हनिस्मति ॥

- ² Mahlbodhivanisa ed Strong (P.T.S.) p 98.
- ³ Vanusatthappakäsini I p. 180.

यो चन्दगुतस्त भनिमिञ्चितवानो च मनभिशिष्ठिवतवालों च तेन उभिन्नं मधिवारो च मी सञाबारेन उत्तरविहारमट्ठव्याय युत्तो ।

⁴ G. P. Malalasekera: Pali Literature Of Colon, p. 256; Extended Mahācamta (Introduction) p. 52. was current in Ceylon since the dawn of the Christian era It is however, noteworthy that this tradition is not mentioned by Bud dhaghosa in his commentary on the Mahaparinibbana sultanta of the Dighanikaya which is the earliest Buddhist text to refer to the Moriyas

In some Ceylonese works the Moriyas are mentioned as a Singhalese clan¹

In Burmese traditions the foundation of the Maury1 city (Morijanagara) is ascribed to the princes of Vaišali who had esciped from the massacre of Adzatutlint (Ajatasatru)². In these traditions the princes of Vaišali and Ajatašatru have been substituted for the Śakyas and Vidudabha respectively

2 Jaina traditions about the Manyas

Jama traditions connect the Muiryas with the Mayuraporskas or peaceck tamers who lived in the lungdom of the Nandra³ These Mayurapoaskas may be connected with a tribe named Mayuraka, which is mentioned in the Mahābharata⁴ among the peoples living in the southern part of the¹ Utarapatha division lying to the west of the Midland⁴ Thus tribe is described in this text as warklike and frenzied. Modern scholars have found the confirmation of the traditional association of the Mauryas with the peacock in the monuments of their period. The figure of a periocic has been found at the bottom of the pillar of Asoka at Nandangarh. In the sculptures on the Greut Stupa at Sanci also, which are ascribed to Ašoka on the bissis of the stores of his life that they represent, the periock figures

¹ G P Mulalasekera Dictionary of Pali Proper Names, vol II, p 673

 Hemacandra Parisistaparwan VIII, 229 ff (cd 'Jacobi) चणेरवरीक्रुशिजन्मा हिजन्मा साइयदा ययो ।

मयूरपोयना यत्रावात्सुनन्दमहीपते ।।

Devendraganın alias Nemicandra Süri Sukhabadha on Uttarad) ayana Sutra 111, i ed hy Vijayomanga i Süri, Nirnaya Sigar Press edition (1937), p 57

नन्दम्स मारपोमगा तेसि गामे गधो परिवायगलिगेण । तेसि च, मयहरधूयाए बदपियगम्मि दाहता

Mahabharata, II, 35

R K Mookerji Candragupta Maurya and His Tames, p 24

^{*} Bigandet Life and Legend of Gautama, II, p 126

prominently¹ An indication of the importance of the percock in the age of Mauryas is chetted from the remarks of Aelian that peaceds were kept in the parks of the Maurya palace at Pataliputra³ But the evidence of Asoka's Rock Edic I leads to the conclusion that the Mauryas were peaced eaters rather than peaced tamers³ In the light of this internal testimony of Maurya records, the explanation of the sumame 'maurya' through 'mayura' has only a superficial plusibility.

Brahmanical iraditions about the Manyas

Brahamanical traditions connect the Mauryas with the Nandu family Rainagrabha, the commentator of the *lisinfarana* suggests that Clyndragupia was the son of King Nundi by a wife named Mura, and Dhundhuraja, the commentator of the *Mudraraksara*, adds that she was a sudae by caste. Her son was nimed Maurya, who was the father of Candragupta. Mahadevin in bu *Vidaraksarakatha* (ed § C Law, Calcutta) and the nuthor of the *Puropitfihia* which forms a part of the commentry of Dhundharagi (ed Danharathu Sharma, Bikaner) agree with him in this respect. Assementers in his *Bihakathanañjari* and Somadeva in his *Laihäanitagara* call eas a king Vishkhudatta in his *Mudraralisara*, which is the earliest among the works noted above, no donbt, describes Candragupta and an danagoah (V,5) build raws a lear distinction between his family

¹ J Marshall A Gunde to Salter, pp 44, 62, A Foucher Monuments of Salter p 231

* J W M'Crindle Ancient India as described in Classical Lit erature, pp 141-142

³ The meaning of the word 'mora' occutring in R E I of Ašoka is not quite certain Buddhaghosa in his commentry on the Bhyabhravanita of the Mayhimanikaja states that 'mora' signifies a bird in general [πίταξαξα τα τα τα τητή τρά παιτική] Hence it cannot be said with certainty that 'mora' in R E I stands for a peaceck in particular However, the absence of the peaceck from the list of protected creatures in PE V is remarkable and that of the Nanda's (**11**,7, **V1** 6)¹ The import of the apparently contradictory statements of **V** is klandarta is that though Candra gupta was so inturately associated with the Nanda sovercigns as to merit the epithet *i* and an egab he belonged to a separate family that had nothing to do whatsoever with the Nandas. The opinion of H C Ray Choudhury that the *Mukraraksata* "chums a Nanda origin for the first Mairya is manifestly erroneous? The aforecal view which lies at the brass of the *Mukraraksata* constitutes a sufficient repudration of the theores of the origin of the Mairya's from the Nanda family advanced by hitter Brahmanical writers²

4 The thories of the north western origin of the Mauryas

Some scholtrs hold that the Munryns hailed from the northwestern regions now included in Pakistan II C Seth and B M Barita have shown sectitiesm for the traditions counceting the Munryns with Magidlar⁴. The former has identified Gradragupta with Suigupta who was the chief of the Avakas and played an important part in the doings of Alexander the Great in India according to hum He bases his theory on the similarity of the carcers and characters of these two persons. But a careful permail of the account of the Greek writers conclusively shows that Saisupta was not the governor of the Assakening or Alexands but was only an agent of Alexander, that he was powerless to quell the revolut of these

1	Mudraraksasa II, 7 Telong s edition, p 112
	पुथिऱ्या कि दग्धा प्रथितकुलजा भूनिपतम ।
	पति पापे मौर्य यदसि कुलहीन युतवती ।।
	Ibid VI, 6, Telongs edition, p 271
	पति त्यक्त्वा देव भुवनपतिमुच्चैरभिजनम् ।
	गता छिद्रेण श्रीव पलमविनीतेन वपत्री ।।

² K A Nilukuntu Sustri and others The Age of the Aandas and Mauryas, p 141

³ The grammutural error involved in deriving the word 'Maurya' from Mura his been exposed by C D Chatteria 'Early Life of Candragupta Maurya B C Law Volume, I, p 590 ff'

⁴ H C Seth 'Condragupta and Sasigupta' Indian Historical Quarterly vol VIII pt 2, 'Did Candragupta Mauyra belong to North Western India' Annals of the Bhandrakar Oriental Research Institute Vol VIII part 2 Condragupta Manya aur Bharat me Alexander & Paragna (in Hindi) pp 87 et seq

B M Barua Social Status of Mauryas,' Indian Culture, vol X, part I, Asoka and His Inserptions, I p 51

people and that far from being on the side of the reliefs he served the cause of Alexander by informing him through envoys of the strue of affairs in that province. There is in evidence to prove that Salsupta ever espoused the cause of the Indanas against Alexander All that we know shout him is that first he went over from the Indanas to Bessos the Persian Governor of Baktra and, when the Inter wis defected by Alexander, he went over to his side and served him furthfully so as to command his confidence. These traits of the career of Sassuppta have nothing in common with the events of the events life of Canderagupta

H C Seth supports his theory of the north western origin of the Mauryas with some traditions which relate that the Mauryas went into exile as a result of the persecution of King Vidudablia and founded a city that came to be known as Monyanagar The Ceylonese texts which record these traditions and are cited alkaye, say nothing to in dicate that Monymagar was situated in the western parts of the Himaliyas But Hinen Trang strites on the bisis of herirsiy infor-mation that 'in old days Pi lu ise kin (Virudhakariya) linving led his army to attack the Sakyas, four of the tribes resisted the advance These were driven away by their own classmen and each fled in a different direction 1 One of these Sikyas went to the North West and founded a state in Udynus on the bank of the river Swat This person married the daughter of Nagaraja who lived there, and with his help killed the king of Udyan and screed his throne After the death of this perosn his son U to lo s na (Utiorsena) ascended the throne and once when he was out for hunting Buddha came to his house and told his mother that her son belonged to his family and that he should take a part of his ashes after his death amidst the Sala trees of Kusimighr On returning home Uttarasena proceeded to Kusinagar and succeeded in getting a portion of Buddha's relics with difficulty The kings of other countries treated hun scornfully and were unwilling to give him a share of the much prized relics they were taking to their own countries. On this a great assembly of Devas acquirinted them with Buddhi s wishes on which the kings divided the relics coulds, beginning with him 2

This tradition nowhere says that the person who founded the state in Udy ina was of Mauryi family. But if it is assumed that he

¹ S Beal Buddhist Records of the Usestern World vol I p 128

³ Ibid, vol I, p 133

did belong to that family, since the account of his exile as a result of the persecution of Pi-lu-tse-kia agrees with that of the Maurvas given in the Cevionese texts, cited above, it is unsafe to place implicit reliance on these traditions in view of their being very late in origin. Besides this, there are glaring discrepancies in the account of the apportionment of the relics of Buddha in the narrative of Hiuen-Tsang and the Mahaparinibbanasultania. In the former the Sakya exiles were the first to receive the relics, whereas, according to the latter, they came too late to get the relics and had to content themselves with the ashes. Hence the evidence of Hugen-Tsang cannot be relied upon in this matter. We know for certain that the Maurya empire embraced the whole of north-western regions and that the branches of the Maurya family ruled over some states of these territories, notably Kāšmīra, Khotan and the Indus-valley even after the decline of the central authority at Pätaliputra. After the adoption of Buddhism by Ašoka the entire resources of this vast empire were harnessed to the drive of Buddhist propaganda in the North-West. In the wake of Buddhist bhiksus and Maurya Mahāmātras, the legends and traditions current in the Buddhist world travelled in the North-West and got a local colouring there. It is, therefore, necessary to determine the original forms of these north-western versions before drawing any conclusion from them. In this particular case, we find that the bulk of authentic historical evidence militates against the legend recorded by Hiuen-Tsang.1

As regards the argument of H. G. Seth that Sakuni, whom the Rajdarangini represents as the great-grandfather, of Asoka, is lue same as Sakuni of Gandhara mentioned in the Mahabharang, it is manifestly untenable in view of the fact that Sakuni is not an exclusive designation of Gandharian princes and occurs in the Puranie list nf Videhan kings as well.³

¹ Fa-hien refers to the visit paid by Buddha to Purotaputa. He states that when Buddha was travelling in this country with his disciples, he said to Ananda, 'after my parinirvina, there will be a king named Kaniska, who shall on the spot build a tope.' [James Lerger, Travels of Fo-him, p. 33]. Writing about Woo-chang or Udyina Fa-hien states : 'there is a tradition that when Buddha came to North-India, he came at once to this country and that here be left a print of his foot, which is long or short according to the ideas of the beholder.'' (*Ibid*, p.29). But Fa-hien does not say anything about the tradition of the meeting of Buddha with Uttarstenn-

^{*} Vayu-Purana, 89, 29.

B M Barun bases his theory of the north western origin of Candragupta Manya on the first that his education, military truining and alliances were uli in that part of India and that some of Asoka's scribes were habiturited to Khriosthi and a few of his artists were versed in the traditions of Persepols. He hays emphasis on the fret that the Greek writers did not connect Condragupta with the Mauya family

These arguments are so lightly developed that we need not discuss them in detail. The trend of the history of Candrigupta was such that he had to leave his birth place and seek his fortune hundreds of miles away. His activities in the North-West by no means disprove his eastern origin. Lakewise the influence of Persian art and klarorthi script on the art and writing of the Maurya period does not suggest that the founder of the Maurya dynasty hailed from the North-West. As for the evidence of Greek writers, it is too meagre and scanity to warrant any conclusion regarding the origin of the Mauryas.

R K Mookerji¹ holds that the Moeres or Morres, menuoned by Greek writers, correspond to the Mury's Should this view he correct, it would not only cut at the root of his own theorers, but would also autount to locating the Maury's in the delta of the Sindh But Curturs, who refers to Moeres, describes it as the name or title of the king of the territory of Patala and says nothing to suggest that it was the name of a tribe J W M Crindle' equites this word with 'malifiziaga' Thus no theory of the non-Magadhan origin of the King the woore no this word

The aforesaid discussion of the theories of the origin of the Mauryns shows that those of the north western origin of these people are baseless, those connecting them with the family of the Nandas are fallacious and those associating them with the tribe of the peacock-tamers are superficial. Thus we are left with the theory of the Sakyan origin given in the Ceylonese Buddlist texts that have been cited at the beginning. Let us, therefore, examine how far it is historically correct and acceptable.

¹ R K Mookerji Candragupta Maurya and His Times, p 24

² J W M²Crindle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p 256

5. The Mauryas and Moriya Sannivesa

The Kalpasütra of Bhadrabähu informs us that Mahāvira had eleven gaņadharas. The eldest was Indabhūi (Indrabhūti), then followed Aggibhūi (Agnibhūti), Väuhkūi (Vajubhūti), Väyata (Vyakta), Suhamina (Sudharman), Mandiya (Mandita), Moriyaputta (Mauryaputra), Akampiya (Akampita), Ayalabhāyā (Acalabhrātī), Meija (Metārya) and Pabhāsa (Prabhīsa). These ganadharas were all Brāhmana teachers and alt except Indrabhūti and Sudharman died during the life-time of Mahāvira. The sivth ganadharas Mandita belonged to the Väsiețika gotra and the seventh Mauryaputra was of the Kāiyapa gotra. Both of these gaṇadharas were the residents of Moriya sannivesa,¹ which was situated in Magadha.²

Early Buddhist literature refers to a place Moliyagāma, which evidently represents 'Moriyagāma' or the Moriya sannivesa of Jaina texts. In the commentary on the Anguttaranikāja mention is made of a monk who went to Moliyagāma for alms.³ In the Anguttaranikāya and the Sanyutlanikāja a paribbājāka (parivrājaka) named Moliyasıvaka is mentioned.⁴ He is stated to have visited Buddha at Veluvana and questioned lim regarding predestination after which he became a convert to Buddhism. The name Moliyasivaka perhaps suggests that this monk was a resident of the place known as Moliyagāma or Moriya sannivesa.

Thus we observe that Moriya, Moliya (Maurya) was the name of a place In Magadha, which roughly corresponds to the modern Patna district of Bihar. As B. C. Law observes : "the kingdom ocuntry roughly corresponding to the modern Patna and Gaya districts of Behar was broadly divided into two khettas: Gayā and Magadha, from a religious and may be also from a fiscal point of view. In the Jambudiza-pannath the latter is distinctly called Māgaha-tilthakhetta."

1 Kalpasūtra VIII, 1.4; Sacred Books of the East, XXII, p. 286.

² Avassaya-nijjbhutti (Avašyaka-Niryukti) (Agamodaya Samiti edition), p. 645.

Manorathapurani (P.T.S.), vol. 1, p. 398.

⁴ Anguttaranikāya (P.T.S.), vol. III, p. 356; Saniyuttanikāya (P.T.S.), vol. IV, p. 230.

⁵ B. C. Law: India as described in Early Texts of Buddhism and Jainism, p. 46.

Gangā and in the east it was hordered by the river Gampā. Near Rājagtha, the ancient capital of Magadha, there was a place called 'Moranivāpa', which may be reminiscent of a name connected with Moriya.¹

Moriya is described as a saminesa, which, according to the commentator of the Kalpanitra, means a halting place for the caravans.³ Hence Moriya must have been situated along a route of Magadha by which caravans used to pass. Being thus situated it was the place of residence of many wealthy traders and merchants. The BilagaoutiSture refers to a rich merchant named Tamali Moriyaputta,³ B.C. Law holds that he was apparently a citizen of Tāmalhti (Tāmralipti).⁴ But his surname suggests that originally he belonged to Moriya in Magadha and later on settled in Tāmralipti for purposes of trade, which perhaps gave him his name Tāmali. In this way, we find that the place named Moriya was peopled by men of all castes and callings including Brāhmaņas, parivrājakas and Vaiyaz. Was it founded by the Sākyas, who branched off from their parent body to escape the persecution of Vidugabha?

A critical estimate of the theory of the separation of the Moriyas from the Sākyas following the persecution of Viqudabha.

We know that Buddha passed away at the age of eighty. In the Mahaparinibbiana-sultanta of the Diphanikaya (II, 3) he is säid to have told Subhadra just before his death that he took pravajyä at the age of twentynine and fiftyone years had passed since then. In his eightieth year he had an interview with king Pasendi (Prasenaju) of Kosla, in course of which the latter remarked that both of them were eighty years old, as the Dhamma-ceilya-sulta of the Majhima-nikāya (II, 2, 9) states. At that time Prasenajit was the chief-minister. The king took Dirghacārāyana with him to Medalumpa(?), the town of the Sākyas, where Buddha was staying and before entering the monastery banded over his sword and crown to him

¹ B. C. Law: Rājagsha în Ancient Literature (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, no. 58), pp. I ff.

² H. Jacobi: Jaina Sūtras (Sacred Books of the East) vol. 22, introduction, p. 22.

³ Bhagavalisütra (Ågamodaya Samiti edition), Ill, 1.

⁴ B. C. Law: Some Jaina Genonical Sutras, p. 37.

and walked inside alone This minister was the son of the sister of Bandhula, at first the commander-in-chief and then the chief Justice of Kośala, whom Prasenajit had got treacherously assassinated on the gound of a flimsy rumour of conspiraty. Hence he was full of malice for that king and had entered into a secret pact with his son Vidudabha with the object of avenging the death of his maternal uncle on him. Therefore leaving the king in conversation with Buddha, he rushed back to Sravasti with the insignia of royalty to coronate Vidudabha. When the king came out and learnt of the revolt, he proceeded towards Rajagtha to seek succour from his son-in-law Afatasatru and punish the rebels. But in the way he had an attack of diarrhoea and expired at the gate of Rajagrha. Vidudabha ascended the throne and instantly marched on Kapilavasti to punish the Säkyas for marrying Vāsabhakhattiyā, born of a slave girl, to his father and thus debasing his maternal descent. On learning of the expedition Buddha went to Kapilavastu and his presence deterred the invader from attacking the Sakyas and forced him to retrace his steps. Thrice did the king lead the expedition against the Sakyas but everytime he was overwhelmed by the presence of Buddha near Kapilavastu and retreated to his capital, as we learn from the Dhammapada althakathā (IV, 3). Fa-hien also refers to the intervention of Buddha . in the invasion of the Säkyas by Vidudabha: "Four I south-east from the city of Śrāvasti, a tope has been erected at the place where the world-honoured one encountered king Virūdhaka (Vidudabha) when he wished to attack the kingdom of Shay-e and took his stand before him at the side of the road." But the fourth time when Vidudabha launched the attack, Buddha was no more to dissuade him from his bloody design. Hence he fell upon the Sakyas and wrought terrible havoe among them. All these events took place in the eightieth year of the life of Buddha, since in that year he received Prasenajit as a king after whose deposition and death Vi-dudabha attacked the Säkyas, and in the same year he passed away at Kusinagar. If the Dhammapada-atthakatha,2 on which the above

1 James Legge: Travels of Fa-hien, pp. 62-63

^a Dhammapada-aijhakathä, Book IV, Story III, English translation by E. W. Butlingame, Baddhust Legends (Harvard Oriental Series), vol 29, pp. 30-46, Hindi translation by Rähula Sänkrityäyana, Buddhacaryä, pp. 473-480. *account is based, is to be trusted, the absence of Buddha from the land of the Säkyas, when Vidudabha launched lis attack fourdt time, was due to lis incapacity to go there. It appears that his intervention led Vidudabha to give up his plan of invading Kapilavastu for the time being. But when he had passed away, Vidudabha found the field free to invade and exterminate the Säkyas. Had he done so in the life-time of Buddha, the latter should have referred to it in his last discourses recorded in the Mahparinibbanasultania for this was a subject in which he was particularly interested, Besides this, the Säkyas appear as the claimants of a portion of the last remains of Buddha. But just after the Great Decease we find the Moryas after place that Vidudabha invaded the Säkyas after the demise of Buddha. But just after the Great Decease we find the Moryas of Pipphalivana among the claimants of the remains of Buddha on the basis of a common Kyatriya caste along with the Säkyas. Hence it is quite impossible that the Moriyas cance into existence as a result of the separation of a body of the Säkyas rom the main clan and their migration to the sub-montane tract known as Pipphalivana, with a view to escaping the persecution of Vidudabha.

The evidence of the Pali texts, cited above, clearly shows that Viduabha's three expeditions against the Säkyas were launched in the same year in which Buddha passed away. Is it not likely that the fourth expedition, on the eve of which Buddha could not be present at Kapilavastu, occurred before his death, though in the same year, so as to allow the necessary time to the Moriyas to separate from the Säkyas and make their apperance at the last rites of their illustrious kinsman? It has been shown above that the *Dammapdas-alfhakathis* leady us to a conclusion that runs counter to such an argument. Besides this, there are some more weighty considerations which make this reasoning quite untenable. If has been stated above that two of the Ganadharas of Mahāvira, Maŋdita and Mauryaputra, belonged to Moriya (Maurya) sanniveša. Both of them are said to have died during the life-time of Mahāvira Buddha and Malāvira were contemporaries. Though scholaras differ as to who of them predeceased whom, the fact that a major part of their lives was spent in the same period goes without doubt. Hence these two Ganadharas lived in the life-time of Buddha also. That' is to say, the residents of Mariya sanniveša, who are apparcndy the same as Mauryaputras or Mauryas lived more than one year before the death of Buddha Hence the hypothesis of their coming into existence in the year of the death of Buddha is out of the question

7 The location of Moriya Sannivesa, the home of the Mauryas

We have seen that Morrya sannivesa was inhabited by people of all castes and classes Brahmanas of different gotras and merchants lived there They used the surname Mauryaputra irres pective of the differences of their callings. It is no wonder that the Kastriyas belonging to that place set up their claim to a share of Buddha's ashes on the basis of a common caste.

As regards the location of Maurya (Moriya) we know that it was in Magadha Hence we should search for its site in the Patna district The Gazetteer of the Patna district informs us that 287 miles from Calcutta on the East-Indian Railway is a station named More (Mor) 1 Professor Syed Hasan Askari of Patna college has let me know that this place was visited by the Englishman John Marshall in the third week of April 1670 and he referred to it as Mohore in his diary that has been edited by Sir Shafaat Ahmad Khan This place is near Mokameh, which is mentioned by Marshall as Mokoia Prof Askari writes that More is an important place aboun ding in old mounds My friend Prof Ram Charitra Prasad Singh of the department of Ancient Indian History and Archaeology, Patna University, who recently visited this site at my instance, reported that More station is 58 miles to the east of Patna The old site is represented by More dih From this place have come a large number of icons, Buddlust and Brahmanical, that are now placed in front of a modern temple of Durga, Devisthana, situated neurby, which is surrounded by peepul and mh trees Two of these scons represent Buddha in Parintroana mudra Important among these finds is a beautiful Neolithic celt showing that the place has been inhabited from almost the very dawn of history There are two more mounds, dihs, in the same locality at a distance of two miles south of the present village They are called hadke dih and chothi dih Prof Singh found that chothi dih is a horse-shoe type of mound with a depression in the north, which probably represents a tank The mound is strewn with red ware potshreds

¹ Bihar and Orissa District Gazetteer (Patna), by LSS O' Malley revised by JFW James, p 237.

Some of these shreds have a black interior. Grey-ware shreds are also found there in abundance. They are made of fine grained paste and appear to be well-finished. He also found there two terra-cotta collared beads. At balki dih also which is a rectangular mound, situated half a mile west of chotki dih. Prof. Singh collected many northern-black-polished and grey-ware shreds. The N. B. P. ware have a silvery appearance and the grey-ware shreds are comparable to those found at chothi dih. Whereas the discovery of a Neolithic find shows that the site was populated in Rare Neolithic times, the abundant finds of N. B. P. and grey-ware shreds indicate that it was well inhabited in the Maurya and Post-Maurya periods. Pmf. Singh gathered some legends about these mounds. He was told that the owner of the two mounds, chatki and *ladil dils*, was a wealthy dosada (a member of law caste). He wanted to establish marriage relation with the residents of More Dih who were Brähmagas. But these Brähmagas were poor people and they could not resist the pressure exerted on them by the Dosada raja. Therefore they planned a conspiracy and invited the whole family of the Dosida raja for the marriage. When the Dosada raja arrived with his family they offered them poisoned food resulting in the death of the whole family. Only one pregnant woman escaped and gave birth to a son who was the ancestor of the Monghyr raia Sir Kamleshwar Prasad Singh. This levend is of course a later concoction and is of the nature of folk lore of cock and bull type. Prof. Singh observes that "it is an area which is very rich in archaeological finds. The famous sites of Rajora, Chawki and others fall in straight east of More and your Pataliputra would be straight west of More." This chain of sites may point to the existence of an ancient route through this region. (I am very grateful to Prof. Singh for taking the trouble of visiting More and sending me a detailed report of his observations.) This region is also notable for peepul trees which might explain the name of Pipphalivana. In fact, peepul trees grow so abundantly in Patna district that many sites bear names based on Peepul or Pipphali. At the southern foot of the Baibhar hill is a place named peeput stone house.¹ Behind it is a cavern that has been identified by Cunningham with Asura's caver.

¹ Ibid, p. 229. Samuel Beal: Buddhist Records of the Western World vol. II, p. 156.

The Pipphali cave is also mentioned in Udana and Dighanikaya and the travels of Fa-luen ¹

This place 'More' represents the Moriya town of Jama and Buddhist literature, which was the home of the Mauryas

Modern scholars have sought to identify the site of Moriyanagar by locating Pipphalivana B C Law finds an echo of the name Pippalivana m Pipravi, a village in the Birdpur estate in the district of Basti,2 and Rahula Sinkrityayana identifies it with the place called Pipiria, near Rampurva at the Narkatiyagan) station in Champaran district 3 H C Raychoudhury takes a clue to the identification of Pipphaliyana from the site of the Embers Tope, which the Mauryas are stated to have built over the ashes of Buddha, according to the Mahaparinibbanasulianta Ta luen says that four yojanas to the east of the Tope of Rama was the place where the heir-apparent sent back Chandaka with his white horse and four vojanas to the east from this place was the Charceal Tope (Ashes Tope) where there was also a monastery Going on 12 yojanas still to the east, one eame to the city of Kusanagar, which is identical with Kasia in the Gorakhpur district 4 Fa hien says nothing to indicate that the Charcoal Tope represented the site of the Maurya capital Hiven Tsang specifically gives the lie to such an assumption 'To the south-east of the head shaving stupa," he writes, "in the middle of a desert, going 180 or 190 li, we come to a Nyagrodha grove in which there is a stupa about 30 feet high Formerly when Tathagata had died and his remains had been dwided, the Brahmanas who had obtained none, came to the place of cremation, and taking the remnant of coal and cinders to their native country, built this stupa over them and offered their religious services to it. Since then wonderful signs have occurred in this place, sick persons who pray and worship here are mostly cured "' Thus, it is clear that, according to Hiuen-T'sang

~

¹ Udana 1, 6, 111, 7, Jughanskāya II, p. 116 James Legge, Travels of Fa-huen, p. 85

² B. C. Lisw Geography of Early Buddhism, p 29, India as described in Early Texts of Buddhism and Jainism, p 56, Historical Geography of Ancient India, pp 111-112

³ Rahula Sankrityana Buddhacarya (in Hindi), p 596

James Legge Travels of Fa hun, pp 69-70

⁸ Samuel Beal Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol II p 31

the so-called Ashes Tope was constructed by the Brahmanas rulier than the Moriyas Resides this, Hunen-Teng locates this tope in the Nyagrodhavana rather thru the Paphriliv and There is no suggestion, whatsoever, that the site of this tope abounded in pepul trees Therefore, the assumption of H G Raychoudhury that this tope was identical with that constructed by the Moriyas over the ashes of Buddha has no leg to stand upon and his identification, on this ground, of the city of the Moriyas with some place between Rummindei in the Nepalese Taru and Kasia in the Gorakhpur district' is very doubtful

The upshot of the above discussion is that the identifications of Papphalwana proposed by the aforestud scholars are conjectural There is nothing to show that Piprava and Piparia areas were noted for peepul groves and there is no topographical or monumental evidence to connect them with the Mauryas Lakewise the location of the city of the Mauryas near Gorakhpur is doubtful In fact, the Mauryas hailed from the place which is now represented by the town More in Patna district This town existed before the death of Buddha and produced eminent teachers and traders mentioned in Jaina and Buddhist literature. The Ksatriyas⁵ of this place were destuned to rule over almost the whole of the country and produce men like Candragupta and Asoka. They immortalised the name of their parent town by using it is their surname. But they had no connection, whatsoever, with the Sakyas of Kapilavasta The tradition of their being a branch of the Sakyas is the Inbreations of later. Buddhat monks who were out to invent connections between their Dharmabokas and their great Master

CHAPTER IV

Candragupta Maurya in the Shah-Nama of Firdausi

1 The sources of the history of Alexander and Candiagupta Masiya

The contemporaneity and association of Candramipta Mairya with Alexander the Great have in many cases resulted in shedding light on his lustory. Alexander was such a notable figure of ancient times that the accounts of his life and achieve ments assumed various forms and spread in numerous countries All these accounts were based, in one way or the other, on the memoirs and lustories prepared by the contemporaries of Alexander who accompanied him an his expeditions These original accounts are now lost and we have their later versions, reductions and quotations for reconstructing the history of Alexander These later works differ among themselves on many vital points and, burring a few exceptions, their historical value is open to question Professor Freeman has observed that among the five authors of the life of Alexander, Diodoros is impenetrably stupid inspite of being perfectly honest , Plutarch is a compiler of anecdotes rather than a writer of a formal narrative of political and military events , Justin is a feeble and careless expitomizer and Quintus Curtus is little better than a romance writer and is hable to the suspicion of wilful departure from the truth Arrian alone scenis to have had at once the will and the power to exercise a discreet judgment upon the statements of those who went before him 1 But in some cases the authority of Arrian is manifestly dubious as, for instance, in the explanation of Alexander's attack on the evacuees of Massaga and the number of casualties on his side in the battle of the Hydaspes. In the opinion of a modern historian he has skilfully thrown the veil on the losses of Alexander in this battle 2 Arrian notes some striking differences among the original sources and though he usually

¹ Freeman Historical Essays, second series 3rd eddition, pp 183 184

^{*} W W Tarn Cambridge Ancient History, vol VI, p 409

follows the accounts of Ptolemy of Lagos and Aristoboulos of Potidaja or Kassandreia, he is at times at a loss to decide as to which account is closer to the trath. He also gives us to understand that some of the information given by these first-hand authorities is rank nonsense. For instance, Onesicritus' reference to two dragons of 80 and 140 cubits respectively and whales half a stadia long and the remark of other writers about the natives of mount imaus, who had backward-pointing feet, pass all comprehension. In some cases, these writers merely jotted down the rumours current among the people without examining their correctness, e.g., the barber-ancestry of king Nanda (Xandramas) and the marriage of Cleophis, the queen of Massaga, with Alexander, as we shall see later on. These writers were not free to give their independent judgment on the events they recorded. We know that Callisthenes of Olynthos, a kinsman of Aristotle. was imprisoned for making some unsavoury comments on the Asiatie expedition of Alexander. Thus, we observe that the writings of the companions of Alexander were tendencious attempts to elevate his exploits at all costs. They were informed with the Aristotelian idea that the superiority of the Greeks to the rest of mankind gave them a natural right to attack, plunder and enslave all barbarians who did not acknowledge their sway.

Hence while writing the history of Alexander or of Candragupta Maurya we cannot close the door on the light that comes from ouler sources which lie embedded in the legends, traditions and anecdotes current in Asiatic countries overrun by Alexander. Most of these legends are obviously of the nature of romance and have poor claims as reliable materials of history. But, sometimes, we do get torue corn in this wast mass of thad and considering the scarcity of materials the attempt is well worth making. Here I am going to examine some traditions contained in the famous storehouse of Persian legends, the Shale-Nama of Firldausi.

2. Firdausi and his Shah-Nama.

Abu'l Qisim Firdausi was born about A D. 920 or a little later in a family of village esquires or Dihqans at Tus. A taste for anti-

¹ J. W. M'Crindle : The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p. 92, F. N. 2.

quarian research and folk-lore fostered by the perusal of the prose "Book of Kings" compiled in Persian from older sources by Abu Manaur al Mamari in A.p. 957-88 led him about A.D. 974 to undertake the versification of the national epic, the first edition of which was completed in A.D. 999 and dedicated to Ahmad bin Muhammad bin Abu-Bakr of Khalanjan. About A.D. 1010 he prepared the second edition of the Shilu-nimil and dedicated it to Sultan Mahmood of Chaznä. But a quarrel took place between him and the Sultan and he had to leave Ghaznā and live for some time with a prince of the House of Buwayh. After that he returned to his native town, an old man of ninety or more, and died about A.D. 1020.¹

¹ E. G. Browne : A Literary History of Persia (from Firdausi to Stdl) Vol. II, p. 141. According to T. Nöldeke, Firdausi was born in A II. 323-324 corresponding to A.D. 935-36. [T. Nöldeke : Dar Iranische Nationalepos [Turbner 1936] pp. 22-23].

Recently it has been shown that the whole theory of the writing of the Shah-nama by Firdausi in response to the invitation of Sultan Mahmood and the promise of gold coins made by him is a myth. The actual fact is that Firdausi was 58 years old when he came to Gaznā and had been working on the epic for nearly 25 years and had written half of it. Professor Mahmood Sheerani of Lahore in one of his masterly essays 'Firdausi par car Magale' has proved that Fridausi never wrote a single couplet of the said satire on Sultan Mahmood or a single line of Yusuf-o-zulaikha, wrongly attributed to him. Sheerani's views have been endorsed by J.E. Sanjana in his paper Firdausi and Sultan Mahmood : Who wrote the satire ? pp. 1-10. But it is patent that the old age of Firdausi was full of deep disappointment and misery. He lost his health ; his teeth had decayed ; his eyesight had gone; he had become deaf and suffered from tremor of the hand. His monetary difficulties capped his poor health. At the end of the Shah-nama Firdausi expresses regret that the Sultan has not cared to look at the great epic and solicits the help of the Sultan's favourite brother Amin Nasr who was favourably inclined towards him. In the epilogue of the Great Epic he requests Amin Nasr to remind the Sultan about his case. This shows that Firdausi's merit was not recognized or recompensed by the Sultan and posterity wrote the spurious satire to condemn the Sultan in the name of the great poet

Firdausi hused his Shah nama on the historical traditions current among the Persian Dihqans who were the lower landed gentry and the actual preservors of the national traditions and legends, as Noldeke has shown As early as the fifth century A D we find a reference to these historical traditions in the work of an Armenian author Moses of Khorene During the reign of Nau shirwan, the contemporary of Muhammad, and by the order of that monarch an attempt was made to collect from various parts of the kingdom all the popular tales and legends ralating to the ancornt kings and the results were deposited in the royal library Under the last sovereign of the Sassannan dynasty, Yezdegurd, the work was resumed, the former collection was revised and greatly enlarged by the Dihgan Dansihwar aided by several learned moheds His work was called the Ahudai Nama which in old dialect also mean the "Book of Longs" After the Arab invision this work was in great danger of perishing at the hands of the iconoclastic Caliph Umar but it was fortunately saved and in the second century of the Hejira was paraphrased into Arabic by Abdullah-ibn-al Mukaffä, a learned Persian converted to Islam Other Gebrs (fire worshipping Persians) occupied themselves pri vately with the collection of these traditions and when a prince of Persian origin, Yaqub ibn Leith, the founder of the Saffand dynasty, succeeded in throwing off the Caliph's yoke, he set about continuing the work of his predecessors In his reign the "Book of Kings" was translated from Pahlvi into Persian by Abn-al Manur-altrusted with the composition of the Shāh-Nāmā and ultimately Firdausi embarked on the collection and completion of the Persian national epic which his predecessors had begun.³

Firdausi was well versed in Pahlvi lore and his knowledge of the legendary and historical tradition of his country was very deep. Profestor F. G. Browne has shown that he followed his sources very closely and presented the traditions of his country very correctly and faithfully.² But it would be a mistake to suppose that Firdausi's work is a genuine history of Persia. It is primarily an epic poem describing the wars, ways and manners of the ancient heroes of Persia. Its only use to a historian consists in the fact that it preserves some ancient traditions intact which can be searched for some historical material.

3. Firdaust's treatment of the history of Alexander.

Firdausi deals at length with Alexander or Sikander in his Skab.Namā and it is with some sections of his account of this monarch that I am directly concerned here. Hence it would not be out of place to menution in a nut-shell the growth of the legends of Alexander in Iran. We have seen how the accounts of the contemporaries of Alexander contained seeds of romance which grew in the fertile soil of Egypt. About the third century we come across a work at Alexandria, which is said to have been based on the history of Callithener, and is named by Isaae Catanbon as the Pseudo-Callithener. In the seventh century AD. a Greek text of this romance was translated into Pahlvi and this pahlwi version was rendered into Syriac verse by Jacob of Sarug in 521 A.D. The subject-matter of this legend became known to the Proplet Muhammad who made use of it in Arabs held him in esteem, the Persians changed their view and regarded him as their own national emperor. Tabari (died A.D. 922) and Dināwari (died A.D. 896) refer to the Persian ancestry of Alexander but do not accept it. It appears that this story was invented by Ibn-Mukaffā while translating the Persian epic into Arabie. From there Firdausi incorporated it in his Shāh-Nāmā. Arable. From there income of Alexander reached Firdausi in a mingled stream and he accepted it as such. Hence though there is an unmistakable Arab element in his account, which is unknown to Pahlvi writers, the nucleus is the same as in Pahlvi traditions. which grew independently on the soil of Persia. From the eleventh century onwards Alexander becomes a legendary figure and appears sometimes as an ideal sovereign and often as a prophet encircled by a group of philosophers. In two Arabic works of the eleventh ecutury the legend of Alexander assumes a purely dilactic character. Nizāmi not only depicts him as a just king engrossed in the terrice of the people but also adumbrates through him the ideal of an egalitarian society. On the other hand Amir Khusrau presents through him a magnificent picture of a brilliant feudal monarch of the thirteenth century. Two of a orman teach monator of the university first centuries later, the mysic writer Jäni makes him the moulthpiece of his moral precepts and throws the narrative aspect of his legend into the background. But the famous Uzbek author Mir 'Ali Shir Navai in his Sadd-i-lakandar again emphasizes tha political wisdom which this legend embodies and bases his utopia on it. This sketch of the growth of Alexander's legend shows that Firduss occupies a midway position. While he is keenly conscious of the old Iranian tradition he engrafts the new Arabic elements on its out standar to the loss of the bistorical aspect of the legend. [Y. E. Bertels; Roman ob Aleksandre I jego glavnye versio na vostoke (Moscow-Leningrad 1948) in Russian.]

4. The reference to Kand or Kaid by Muslim historians.

Muslim historians refer to Alexander's meeting with a wise Indian ling in course of his Indian expedition. This king is called 'Kaihan' by Yäqühi¹ (9th cent. A.D.), 'Kand' by

91

¹ T. Nöldeke : Beitrage Zur Geschichte der Alexanderromans, p. 47.

trusted with the composition of the Shah-Mama and ultimately Firdausi embarked on the collection and completion of the Persian national epic which his predecessors had begun.¹

Firdausi was well versed in Pahlvi lore and his knowledge of the legendary and historical tradition of his country was very deep. Professor E. G. Browne has shown that he followed his sources very closely and presented the traditions of his country very correctly and faithfully.² But it would be a mistake to suppose that Firdausi's work is a genuine history of Persia. It is primarily an epic poem describing the wars, ways and manners of the ancient heroes of Persia. Its only use to a historian consists in the fact that it preserves some ancient traditions intact which can be searched for some historical material.

3. Firdausi's treatment of the history of Alexander. . .

Firdausi deals at length with Alexander or Sikander in his Shah-Nama and it is with some sections of his account of this monarch that I am directly concerned here. Hence it would not be out of place to mention in a nut-shell the growth of the legends of Alexander in Iran. We have seen how the accounts of the contemporaries of Alexander contained seeds of romance which grew in the fertile soil of Egypt, About the third century we come. across a work at Alexandria, which is said to have been based on the history of Callisthenes, and is named by Isaac Casanbon as the Pseudo-Callisthenes. In the seventh century A.D. a Greek text of this romance was translated into Pahlvi and this pahlvi version was rendered into Syriac verse by Jacob of Sarug in 521 A.D. The subject-matter of this legend became known to the Prophet Muhammad who made use of it in the Qur'an and referred to Alexander under the title of Dhu-'I-Karnayn or "the two-horned". After the Muslim conquest of Persia there was a change in the angle of vision of the Persians regarding Alexander. In Sassanian times he was looked down upon as a persecutor of the Zoroastrians and was classed with Zah'hak and Afrasiab. But after the Muslim invasion, since the

¹ Encyclopaedia Britannica Vol. IX, p. 225.

² E. G. Browne: A Literary History of Persia, vol. II, pp. 140-142, 144-145, 147-150.

Arabs held him in esteem, the Persians changed their view and regarded him as their own national emperor. Tabari (died A.D. 922) and Dināwari (died A.D. 896) refer to the Persian ancestry of Alexander but do not accept it. It appears that this story was invented by Ibn-Mukaffä while translating the Persian epic into Invented by 10n-Nukata while transming the retrain epic more Arabic. From there Firdaus incorporated it in his Shah-Nāmā-In this way, the romance of Alexander reached Firdaus in a mingled stream and he accepted it as such. Hence though there is an unmistakable Arab element in his account, which is unknown on unmassager argo rement in his account, which is inknown to Pahlvi writers, the nucleus is the same as in Pahlvi traditions, which grew independently on the soil of Persia. From the eleventh century onwards Alexander becomes a legendary figure and appears sometimes as an ideal sovereign and often as a prophet encircled by a group of philosophers. In two Arabic works of the eleventh century the legend of Alexander assumes a wouss on the eleventh century the legend of Alexander assumes a purely didactic character. Nizāmi not only depicts him as a just king engrossed in the service of the people but also adumbrates through him the ideal of an egalitarian society. On the other hand Amir Klusrau presents through him a magnificent picture of a brilliant feudal monarch of the thirteenth century. Two centuries later, the mystic writer Jāmi makes him the monthpicce of his moral precepts and throws the narrative aspect of his legend into the background. But the famous Uzbek author Mir 'Ali Shir Naväi in his Sedd-i-Iskander again emphasizes the For other value in this Sough-instances again empinisizes the political wisdom which this legend embodies and bases his utopia on it. This sketch of the growth of Alexander's legend shows that Firdausi occupies a midway position. While he is keenly conscious of the old Iranian tradition he engrafts the new Arabic elements on it and does not entirely lose sight of the historical aspect of the legend. [Y. E. Bertels: Roman ob Aleksandre I yego glavnye versio nu vastake (Moscow-Leningrad 1948) in Russian.1

4. The reference to Kand or Kaid by Muslim historians.

Muslim luistorians refer to Alexander's meeting with a wise Indian king in course of his Indian expedition. This king is called 'Kaihan' by Yäqübi' (911 cent. AD.), 'Kand' by

91

¹ T. Nöldeke : Beitrage Zur Geschichte der Alexanderromans, p. 47.

Ma'sūdi¹ (died 956 AD), 'Kafand' by the author of the Majmulut-Tawarikh², 'Kaid' by Tirduss³ and Ami Khusrau,' Kand'ros' in the synae version of the *Pseudo Kallisthenes* and 'Kanderos' in the Ethiopic version of the sume, the Greek form being 'Candaules'.' All these viriants refer to the Indian word 'Cand' which is the Praketh form of 'Candra'. In order to

¹ Abu'l Hasta 'Ah bin al Husain al Mas'udi Kitab Muruj ah Dhahab wa Ma adin al Fashar Les Prairte d' Or Vol II, p 260 (French translation by Barbier de Meynard and Pavet de Courteille)

² Elliot and Dawson History of India as told by its own historians, Vol I, p 108

⁸ Firdausi Shah Nama edited by Turner Macan Vol III, p 1290

Firdausi hus based his account of 'Kaid' on the Pahlvi sources Hc states that he has simply recapitulated the Pihlvi traditions [Chunan guft gu'inda e-Pahlvi] This is how he opens his account of Kaid

⁴ Amir Khusrau, *Khazain at Futuh* ed by Dr, Wahid Mirza (Asiatic Society, Calcutta) p 69

"Kaide hindi ra chu bakhte Kināvar bi kina gasht

Tegh 1 iskander ba pish-e ru 1-u a'inā gasht "

[When the adverse (lit revengeful) fortune of Kaid the Indian became propitious (lit unrevengeful) the sword of Iskander became for him a mirror]

In this verse Amir Khisrau compares Kaid with räjä Ramdeo of Deogri and Alexander with Aläuddin Khalji and refers to the meeting of the said rajä with him at Delhi which was reminiscent of the meeting and alhance of Kaid and Sikandar

⁵ E A Wallis Budge The History of Alexander the Great, p 191 It is said that Kanderos sought the assistance of Alexander to overcome and defeat his adversary

• In Arabic characters incre is no letter to denote the sound $\gamma^{*}(\eta)$ Hence at is expressed by the letter $\gamma^{*}((kal) \circ \tau^{*})^{*}$ (iterm). Thus the transcription of 'Gand' in Arabic would naturally be 'Kand'. It appears that as a result of the indivertence of some series a curve (shosha) was unserted in this word and it was read as 'Kafand'. It is in this form that we get it in the Magmul ut-Tawarakh A simular misreading resulted in its form (Kand' used in the Shah Nama and other works. This conclusion is reinforceed by the fact that the author of the Magmul-ut Tawarakh expressly states that 'Kafand' is identical with 'Kad' of the Shah Nama'. (Elliot

(continued on the following page)

92

ascertain and establish the identity of this king "Candra" we have to bear in mind the following considerations.

5. The contemporaneity of Kaid and Alexander

According to all authorities 'Candra' or 'Kaid', to use the form given by Firdausi, was a contemporary of Alexander, We know for certain, that Candragupta Maurya, the famous founder of the Maurya empire, lived in the time of Alexander, Plutarch and Justin refer to an Indian King, Andrecottos or Sandrocottos who overthrew the Greek rule after the departure of Alexander from India and laid the foundation of his empire at Pajaliputta. Scleucos sent Megasthenes nn an embasy to his court. Sir William Jones identification has been accepted by historians as the sheet-ancher of Indian chronology.

6. The Milad of Kaid and Taxila

Kaid is called an Indian King ($\delta h \tilde{u} h \cdot i \cdot Hind$) and his capital is named as Milād. From Milād Alevander entered straight into the territory of Für¹ who is identical with Poros. We

(continued from the previous page)

and Dawson op. dt. p. 103), Some scholars have identified Kafand with Kadphises. [R. N. Dandekar : *History of the Cuptas p.*, 77]. But this identification is quite untenable in view of the fact that Kadphises was not a contemporary of Alexander but flourished many centuries after him, whereas Kafand is clearly stated to be his contemporary.

¹ Shàh-Namà ed. Maran Vol. HI, p. 1304 Za-miläd elün båd lashkar barånd Ba-qannoj shud ganjasi anjä bamånd Chu ävurd lashkar ba- nazdik-i-Fur Yaki nämä farmüd pur jang-u-shür.

For translation vide, Shāh-Namā by Arthur George Warner and Edmond Warner Vol. IV p. 110,

"Sikander swift as wind marched from Milad Abandoning his treasures, reached Kanuj And having led his army near to Für Bade write to him a jarsh and hostile letter."

t

,

learn from Greek writers that the kingdom of Poros lay on the eastern s de of the Jhelum and the realm of Taxiles lay on its western side Arrans states that after leaving a garrison at Taxila and appointing Philip satrap of the Indians of that district Alexander moved on towards the river Hydaspes, for he had learnt that Poros with the whole of his army lay on the other side of that river resolved to contest his passage ¹ This position of Taxila agrees with that of Milad and we are not wide of the mark if we identify these two places

We have some evidence to show that Candragupta was at Taxula at the time of Alexander's invasion We learn from the Vanisalitheybeksim and the Mahavamia of Moggallana that Ca nakya or Kautilya, the reputed preceptor of Candragupta, was a resident of Tak-satia (*Takksaulanasaravasi*) and that he brought Candragupta with him and had him educated for seven or eight years in all humanities and practical and technical arts ³ Juina traditions represent Canakya as a resident of a village named Canaya in Colla Visaya ³ This Visaya is probably identical with Gola mentioned in a votive label found at Barhut ⁴ Harisena

¹ J W M Crindle Invasion of India by Alexander the Great p 92

⁴ Vamsatthappakasini (Mahavamsa Tika) ed G P Malalasekera (Pali Text Society edition) Vol I, p 184

ुमार गहेला अतनो बसनटठान नेत्या सतसहस्तापनिक सुपण्णपणालियाबुत काबलमुत्तबट्टि तरस वण्ठ पिलाधापेसि । Ibid, p 185 सत्तरठवस्तिक एव उप्पहिततिष्पकञ्च बाहुम∞चमातञ्ज सकासि ।

The Mahavams' of Moggallana is edited G P Malalasekera under the name Extended Mahavamsa (Royal Asiatic Society Ceylon Branch) V 122 page 61

याचित्वा च दगुत्त त उदिदसापयितु तता।

गहेत्वान कुमार सा उद्दिसापिव सिक्छति ।।

³ Joafyata Nyryakti Churni (Jama Bandhu Printing Press, Indore) p 563 Haribhadra Suri Josephania (dura l'riti: (Agamodaya Samiti Bombay) p 433, Hemacandra Parsita parsan (ed H Jacobi) VIII, 189 199 p 231, Devendra Gannis Sukkabadha on Ulitaradhyajana sutra (III, 1) in H Jacobi, Parsita parsan (Appendices) p 13 The Sukhabadha has been edited by Vujayomanga Suri and published by the Niraniya Sigira Press in 1937 vide pp 57 ets eq of this edition

⁴ Barua and Sinha Barhui Inscriptions, p 21, Cunningham Stupa of Barhut, p 140

In his Bihatkathakoza¹ calls Ganakya, son of Kapila, a rendent of Pataliputra This author is here mistaking Kapila, the father of Kalpaka, who became the chief munster of the first Nanda, for the father of Ganakya In later laterature there is a confusion about Avanti and Taksasila. The leucons identify these two place names In the Vayoyantkass (p 159) we read $\pi i \pi^{+}$ tenerifyin Hence its probable that the Jama traditions took Taksasila, the place of residence of Ganakya, to mean Avanti which included the Gola Vi aya The Mudrarakiasa of Visakhadatta leaves the impression that he halled from the North This view is supported and to some extent corroborated by the fact that Kautilya in his Ailkasalra² presenbes a fine for a person who slanders or vihifies Gandharz of which Taksasila was an important city

Taksasila was a famous seat of learning in ancient times We hear of it colleges and academics in Buddhist literature Jivaka, it e famous physican of the age of Buddhas studied there for seven years ³ and Angulimala, the notorious robber, who had spread terror in the langdom of king Prasenajit of Kosala, received lus education at that place ⁴ There was a special college in this town where the three Vedas and the eighteen arts sueluding arcliery, hunting and elephant lore were taught to the princes At one time 101 princes were on the rolls of this college Another centre of princes in this town was the military academy in which 103 students received education ⁵ It is very likely that Gandra gupta lived in one of these colleges and matured his plans of conjuering the kingdom of the Nandas there When Alexander

¹ Harisena's Brlatkathakosa ed A N Upadhye (Singhi Jaina Granthamala) p 336

³ Kaujuliya Arthasastra ed R Shanishastri III, 18 p 194

³ Matoratha purant (Anguttara Nikaya Atthakatha) on II, 4, 5

⁴ Papalichasudini (Majjhuma Nikaya Atthakatha) on Anguli milasutta II, 4, 6

⁵ R. K. Mookerji incrent Indian Education from the Jalakas in B. G. Law Buildhuite Studies, pp 236 ff That Gandhara was a fumous seat of learning is manifest from Brahmana sources also [Vide Chandegya Upanisad VI, 14 Satapatha Brahmana XI, 4, 1, 1, Asanitah Brahmana VII, 6] activity which reached its elimax in the movement organized by Candragupta.¹

7. Mihran, the guide of Kaid

Kaid worked under the guidance of a sage named Mihrän whose description shows that he was a learned Brähmana leading an ascetic life. The word 'Mihrän' is obviously a Persian transcription of the Indian word 'Brähmana' whose Präkrit form 'Mähana' occurs in the Sukhalodhä of Devendra-Camm. He read the dreams of Kaid and chalked out the course of his conduct following which he attained his objectives.² · The Majmul-ut-Tawänkh also states that Kafand was blessed by a Brähmana who foretold that the sovereignty of the land would devolve upon him. Firdausi's description of the sage is based on

Plutareh (J. W. M'Crindle, op. cit. p. 306) refers to the discontent of the Brähmanas in the North and the Madiardians (p. 69). states that some of the colleagues of Gänakya, e. g., Indusarman took part in the intrigues at Pätaliputra. Some pupils of Gänakya also helped him in his devices and strategems. Hence, we conclude that the movement initiated by Gänakya had its origin in the Schools and Colleges of Takasilä.

Shāh-Nāmā ed. Maean Vol. III, p. 1290.

Yaki nāmadār'ast mihrāā ba-nām Za-gifi ba-dānish rasideh bakām Ba-thahr andarath khvāh-ū-ārām nist Nashīstanash juz bā da-da-dam nist Za-barg-i-gāyāhai-kohl Khurad Chu mā rā bamardum harni nashamrad Nashīstanash bā gor-u-āhu buwad Za-ārām-u-mardum bayaksū buwad

Warners' translation Vol. VI, p. 91:

"Memorial of the great and man of wisdom There is a famous one by name Mihran, Who had attained his fill of earthly lore He will not sleep or rest him in a city, And livelh but with eattle of the field Subsisting on the herbs upon the mountains, And not accounting us as fellow men His home is with the onager and deer, Apart from habitation and mankind." the accounts of Dandamis and other ascetics given by Onesicritus, Nearchus, Chares of Mytilene and others These accounts were freely used by later writers Palladius, the bishop of Hellenopolis, at the beginning of the fifth century AD wrote a treatise on the peoples of India and the Brahmanas on the basis of older authorities This work was interpolated into codex A of the Pseudo Callisthenes and was included by Julius Valerius in his Latin translation of the romance But it is missing in the Syriac version The first Oriental mention of Indian sages is found in a Pahlyi treatise known as harnamak + Ardshir i-Papakan But the account given in this work closely agrees with that contained in Greek treatises This shows that both of them drew on a common source Hence Firdausi's description of Mintan closely resembles that of Dandamis given by Megisthe nes 1 Firdausi calls the Indian sages, to whose class Mihran belonged, 'Brahmans' (Brahmanas) but he knows no distinction between ascetics and household Brahmanas and in his eyes every Brahmana is an ascetic This explains his account of the guide of Laid

We know for certain that Gandragupta won the throne of Magadha under the guidance and inspiration of Visnigiptia Canakya From the time he fell under the influence of Ganakya until his death he followed his advice and acknowledged his authority. His association with Ganakya is home out by the unanimous evidence of Indian records³

¹ J W M Grindle Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian pp 124 126

- Shah Nama ed Macan Vol III, p 1327 Wazan jaygah lashkar andar kashid Ruvan ta bashahri burahman rasid Badan taza Kardarha a kuhum
 - Bapursid parhizgaran sukhun Warners translation Vol VI, p 143

"He marched thence to the country of the brahmans To make enquiry of their ancient rites From those abstemious men "

^a All Indian sources bearing on the life of Candragupta refer to his association with Canakya Only typical sources need be cited here

(1) Vesnupurana IV 24

ŧ

कोटित्य एव चन्द्रगुप्त राज्येऽभिषेध्यति।

(continued on the following page)

8. The dreams of Kaid

Kaid was encouraged to assume the sovereignty of India by his dreams.¹ The *Majmul-ut-Tawārikh* also says that "Kafand had visions of which he asked the interpretation from a Bråhmana.¹² The fact that Candragupta was encouraged to obtain the covereignty of India by some supernatural omens is borne out by Justin. "When Le (Sandrocottos)," he remarks, "lay down overcome with fatigue and had fallen into a deep sleep, al non of enormous size approachung the slumberr licked with its tongue the sweat, which oozed profusely from his body, and when he awoke, quietly took his departure. It was this prodigy which first inspired bur with the hope of winning the throne.When he was, thereafter, preparing to attack Alexander's prefects, a wild elephant of monstrous size approached him and kneeling submissively fike a tame elephant received him on to

(continued from the previous page)

(ii) Mahāvainsa V. 16-17

मोरियानं सनियानं यमे जातं मिरीघरं। चन्दगुत्तो ति पञ्च्यातं माणक्को माह्यणो ततो ॥ नवमं धननन्दं तं पातेत्वा चण्डकोयसा (या)। सकले जम्बदोपस्मि रज्जे समभिमिञ्चिति ॥

- (iii) Hemacandra : Pariii;taparvan VIII, 376 चक्रे समर्थमधने तेन मौर्यं चणिप्रसू: 1 घिया निधिरपात्यो हि कामधेनुमंहीमजाम् 11
- (iv) Visäkhadatta : Mudrārāksasa II, 2 कोटिल्पधीरज्जुनिवद्धमूर्ति । मन्ये स्थिरां मीर्यनुपस्थ लक्ष्मीम् ।।
- (v) Kāmandaka : Nitisāra (introduction) एकाकी मंत्रनक्त्या यश्वरक्य शक्तिघरोपम : । धाजहार नुकदाय कदयुप्ताय मेहिनीम ।)

¹ Shah-Nama ed. Macan Vol. III. p. 1290

Warners' translation badah shab pas-i-yakdigar Hanul Khväb didin shagufti-nagar. Warners' translation *ibid* p. 91 "He dreamt a dream ten days successively."

¹ Elliot and Dawson: History of India Vol I. 100

its back and fought vigorously in front of the army "1 Indian sources also refer to the superinitural signs which presaged the future greatness of Candragupta The Venisathappalasim states that he was protected by a buil named "Cand', when he was exposed by his mother in a cattle shed, and refers to a moot-court held by him, in which the hands of the boys acting as theves sepa rated from their bodies and again goined with them at his beliest

The Mahavaman of Mogguliana known as the Cambodium or Extended Mahavaman describes the king's game $(\pi_i \eta_i \eta_i)$ which he used to play with lus friends in his childhood and the *Parisitaparan*⁴ and the *Sukhabdua*³ inform us that he always acted as king

¹ J W M'Cundle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great p 328

^a Vamsatthappakasint I pp 183-184

त देवताहि गहितस्रत घोसककुगार दिस्ला ठितउसभो विम अन्दा नाम उसगोर-धखन्तो घटठासि । तेमु तथेव बत्वा फरमुना पहरितमत्ते व तेस पादा घिण्जिरवा गता पुनदेव ''सचियन्तू''ति म्राणत्त ते पारुतिका जाता ।

^a Extended Mahāzamza ed by G P Malalasekera [published by the Geylon branch of the Royal Asiatic Society] V, 110 112 p 61

धारकेहेव निषखमा जम्प्रमुत्ती नुमारणा। गोपालदारका दिस्वा नायक यक्छ तती। राजकीळाडूमा कोळ कीठेठको कुमारका। सेनायती प्रसण्चे च करवा। गोपालदारके।। केहिबि उपराजादि प्रविचार क्षवारति।। मन्द्रते सि. स्राय एव सरीरगो व नियोदनि।।

4 Hemacandra Parisistaparoan VIII, 242 250 p 236

इतरन चन्द्रगुरदोऽमेंरंगमाणे दिने दिने । जिलेमे मूर्पाठीरेस तेम्पो प्रामादिक समा ।। इस्तीइरेस इनिहत्स वास्तरोह स बालरान् । प्रामी हि भाविनी सरमोदिद्विवेरी प्रच्यते ।। याणपरतसरोदाग्रीकेसमामाग्रते स्मा तम । हे राज मस्त्रमपि हि किक्तारि प्रवीरताम् ।। जगाद परदाग्रीऽपि मा भेपीनेंतु ते सया । णगाद परदाग्रीकी मा भेपीनेंतु ते सया ।

⁵ Sukhabodha on Ultaradhmana sutra III, I H Jacobi, Parisista parcan (Appendices) p 15 Vijayo Manga Suri s edition p 57 सो य शरएहि मम रमद्र । रायनीईए बिभासा। चाणपको य पटिएइ। तण विभागिषो । यमह वि विज्ञ्य । अणद धावीभी जेहि । मा मारज्या जोदा। वीरमोज्या एनई। नाम जना विणाण पि से प्रारिश । among his playmates and taking them as horses and elephants used to mount them. Once Canakya accosted him as king and begged some alms, whereupon he pointed to a herd of cows and directed Cânakya to take them off, without fear, for "the earth is meant for the enjoyment of the brave." These legends cropped up to explain the meteoric rise of Candragupta and got a firm hold on the people's minds.

9. The tyrant king.

The dreams of Kaid, as interpreted by Mihrän, refer to a king, his extortionate policy, his overthrow and the end of his dynasty. This king had mighty troops which won him great fame.¹ He was very wealthy and the people of his kingdom rolled in prosperity.⁸ He amassed his wealth by exploiting and tyrannizing over his subjects. His grinding taxes and exorbitant 'exactions crushed the people and rendered the fate of the poor miserable.³ Hence he was hated and despised by the people and

1 Shah-Nama ed. Macan vol. III, p. 1295 Hami har zamān nu kunad lashkari Ki săzad az-û nâmdăr afsari. Warners' tr. vol. VI. p. 97 "He will be gathering fresh hosts To win his crown new fame." ² Shah-Nama Vol. III, p. 1294 Zamāni bayāyad ki mardum ba-chiz Shavad shād-u-siri navāyadsh niz. Warners' tr. Vol. VI. p. 96-97 "A time will come when men Will joy in wealth and never have enough." 3 Shāh-Nămā Vol. III, p. 1294 Chū Kaivān ba-burj-i-tarāzū shavad Jahān zir-i-nitū-i-bāzā shavad Shavad kar-i-darvish-u-bimar sust Vazu chiz khyāhad hami tandarust na hargiz Kashayad sar-i-ganj-i-Khish na zū bāzdārad hami ranj-i-Khish. Warners tr. Vol. VI, p. 97 "When Saturn entereth Libra The World will be beneath the strong arm, poor And sick fare ill, and yet the well-to-do Will still exact from them, will never open Their own hoards, nor abate the others' travail."

100

was regarded as "thankless, unjust and faise' His "mean heart, instituble greed and gloomy soul resulted in the overthrow of his dynasty

In the reign of this king holymen were despised, merit was not recognized and learning was not rewarded. Siges and scholars roamed like paupers and begged from door to door to keep body and soul together. Such persons as received royal bounty misused their faculties in flattery and sycophaney and employed their wits

I	Shah Nama ed Maenn Vol III p 1294
	Azın pas yakı ruzgarı buwad
	Kı andar jahan shahryari burwad
	Kı danish na bashad ba nazdık i u
	Pur az gham buvad jan i tarik i u
	Jahan sar ba sar tira az ranj i u
	Za naiki tahi sal u mah ganji u
	× × × ×
	Tu an khanara hamchu giti shanis
	Haman pil i shahi buvad na upas
	hi bidadgar bashad u kathrg'o
	juz az nam shahi na bashad daro,
	ba-dil sifla bashad ba tan na tayan
	ba az andarun tiz u tırı ravan
	Sar anjam chun ba guzard nam 1 u

hazishti bamanad ba farjam i u Warners tr Vol VI. p 97

warners tr vor vi, p 97

"A time is coming when the world will have A king that is decoid of understanding A king whose dark soul will be full of dudgeon The whole world gloom beneath his tyranny"

ibid p 94

⁴ The elephant a thankless king unjust Talse in his words, and royal but in name, A man of mean heart and of feethe body Keen in his greed and gloomy in his soul, At length which he shall pass away, his name Abideth in d shonour in the end.⁹

praising and commending their worthless musters 1 The for ascet cs and mendicants did not fare better They roumed from

Shah Nama ed Macan Vol III. p 1293 Zamani bayayad ki pakiza mard shavad khvar chun 10 1 danish bakhurd Bakardar mahi ba darya shavad Sar 1 had kunash bar thurayya shavad Haini tishnagan ra ba khyanad ba ab Kas u ra z danish navarad javib Gurizand azan mard danish puzhuh Kashayand labha ba bad hamgiroh

> × × × Zamanı bayayad kı zınsan buvad Kı dana parastar 1 nadan buyad Bar ishan buyad damshumand khyar Darakht i khirad shan nayayad babar sataind a mard nadal shavand Satayash kunan pish e ishan shavand Hami danad ankas ki goyad darugh Hami zan parastish na girad farugh

Warners tr Vol VI, p 95

×

"A time w ll come when holy men will be Just like that fish, despised as having drunk Of wisdom's stream, but evil doers heads Will be exalted to the Pleiades When one shall call the thirsty to the water None wisely will respond, but all will shun The wisdom seeker and combine to curse him '

ibid p 96

"When wisemen will be slaves to ignorant, Who will despise the crudite and those, Their tree of wisdom fruiting not for them, Will hud and openly commend, the witless, Though conscious of their own hypocrist, And that such service is inglorious "

door to door and not getting anything acted like slaves and serfs.¹ To sum up, the people as a whole grew weak and wretched and misery and indigence stalked the land. Their life became devoid of all charm and pleasure.²

10. The tyrant king and the Nandas

The description of this king, given by Firdausi, agrees very closely with the account of the nine Nandas that we get from Greck and Indian sources. Nanda and his eight sons, collectively known as Navanandāh' (nine Nandas), were very powerful monarchs. They brought the whole country east of the Beas including a large part of the Deccan under their rule. The

¹ Shāh-Nāma ed. Macan Vol. 111, p. 1294
Zamön] bayayad ki darvish zar
Shavad khvār bar chashm dinārdār
Ba-bichāragi gard-i-dārā-i-chiz
Hami gardad u chiz nadihand niz
Shavad räigäni parastind'ä
Va yābi bahāi yaki band'ā
Warners' translation p. 96 "A time
Will come when the wretched mendicant
Will be misprized in the rich man's eyes
And turn In his resourcelessness to any
Possessed of goods who will not give him aught
So that he will become a wageless servant
Or else a slave without the purchasing,"
² Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan Vol. III, p. 1913
Azin pas nayāyad yaki rūzgār
Ki darvish gardad chunān sust-u-khvār
Ki gar z-abr gardad bahārān pur-āb
Za-darvish pinhān kunad aftāb
na-bārad barū nizbārān-i-khish
Dil-i-mard darvish azu gashtā rish
Warners' translation Vol. VI, p. 96
"A time will come Wherein the poor will grow so weak and wretched
That, though the clouds of springtide, charged with showers,
Shall hide the sunshine from the mendicant
Those very showers not even then will descend
On him, and he will be heart-stricken."

Puranas call Maha padma Nanda, the first of the Nine Nanda k ngs 'the destroyer of all the Kşatriyas and the paramount sovereign whose writ ran unchallenged throughout the earth"¹

The Puranic, account of the unification of a large part of India u ider the sceptre of the Nandas is corroborated by Curtus and Diodoros who relate that the reports of the military strength of the people living beyond the Beas unnerved the armies of Alexander and forced them to retrace their steps According to these writers, the Nandas kept an army of 20,000 horses 200,000 foot 2000 four horsed chariots and 4000 clephants (3000, accord ing to Curtius) at the border of their kingdom for guarding the approaches to it This appalling report sounded incredible to Alexander and he sought its confirmation from Poros who testified to its correctness Echoes of the campaigns and conquesis of Mahapadma Nanda are found in inscriptions and legends The Hathigumpha inscription of Kharavela refers to the water ways constructed by Nanda in Kalinga and the seat of the Jina carried away by him from there as a trophy of his triumph 2 The

1 I isnup trana IV, 24

महापद्मी न द परशुराम् इवापराऽखिलभानान्तकारी भविता । म चैकच्छना मनुल्नघितशासनो महापद्म पृथिवी भोध्यति ।

Cf Mudraraksasa IV, 11 देवस्य येन प्रविश्वीतलवासवस्य ।

² Hathigumpha inscription edited by B M Barua Ol Brahmi Inscriptions in the Udayagiri and Khandagiri Cates, pp 31 33 Old line 6 न'दराज--- तिवससत-ग्रोधाटित तनम्लियवाटा पनाडि नगर पवैसयति.

मतमहमहि च खनापयति । Jine 13 न दराजनीन -- कलिंग-जिनासन-अथमग्रधता कलिंग आनति ।

The reading and interpretation of the Hathigumpha inscription are so uncertain that there is a sharp difference of opinion among scholars as to the identification of king Nanda mentioned in it Considering Kharave'a to be a contemporary of Pusyamitra Sunga and taking the expression is casa sala as meaning three hundred years, K. P. Jayaswal identified Nandaraja with Nandivardhana (cir 453 B C) (Journal of the Bikar and Orissa Research Society vol III parts III IV p 240) But arguing that Nandivardhana is not credited with extensive conquests in any historical account and that Mah ipadma Nanda is acclaimed in the Puranas as a mighty conquetor R P Chanda and H C Raychoudhury identified Nandaraja with the latter (Memours of the Archavological Survey of

(continued on the following page)

war of Nanda with the king of Kalinga in also referred to in a Sanskrit work from which a few verses are quoted in an Oriyi manuscript 1 But the outcome of the war is reversed in this work Some inscriptions of the twelfth century discovered in Mysore state that Kuntala which comprised the southern part of the Bombay Presidency and the northern part of Mysore was included in the kingdom of the Nandas² The Jana Bhagavali sutra³ (XV, 1)

(continued from the preisous page)

India Vol I pp 10, 11, 14 15 Political History of Ancient India 5th ed p 377) Basing himself on the statement in the inscriptions of Asoka that Kalinga was not conquered by anybody before him, B M Barua held that if the expression *twaa sala* is taken to mean 300 years then Nandaraja can be no other than Asoka himself, but if it is interpreted as signifying 103 years, then he is some king of the neo Nanda dynasty of Vidića Sisunaudin or Yasonandin, referred to in the Putanas (Old Brahmi Inscriptions p 282)

1 K P Jayaswal Hathigumpha inscription of the emperor Kharatela 'in' Journal of the Bihar and Ori sa Research Society Vol III (1917) p 482

Sten Konow, Some Problems raised by the Inharatela Inscription in Acta Orientalia Vol I (1923) pp 12 42

न दराजमविल्यात मगधे विद्यते तदा। माकारपासकी नाद वेदयमंपरायण ।। न दस्य महिली यद्धे ऐरो जिलवान भवेत ।

³ L Rice Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions p 3 ³ Bhagatati sutra (Agamodaya Samiti edition) XV, 1

According to an alternative reading of this text, as used by A Γ Rudolf Hoernle, this city named Satadvara is to be located in the land of the Pundas beneath the Vastadhya mountain (Uvasa gadasao (Bib Ind) Vol II Appendix I p II) Punda or Pundra (North Bengal) was not far distint from Magadha and may have formed part of the dominions of the Nandas The Kathasariisagata (ed Durgaprasad and Parab III, 18 pp 268 ff) mentions a king Devasent of Pundravardhana and makes him say "it is impossible to bar the course of fate whose d spensations are wonderful ' ufa मागवा परिच्छेनु न साझ्द्रिभावमे a statement which sums up the Ajuvka creed B M Barus identifies Mahapadma of the Blagaiali with Mahapadma Nanda (Ajurkas m Journal of the Department of Letters Vol II (1920) p 67) though A L Basham doubts this identifica tion (History and Dectrines of the Apurkas (London 1951) pp 142 45)

speaks of a powerful king Devasena Vimalavahana Mahapadma (Mahapanma) reigning in the city of Satady ara at the foot of the Vindhya mountain long after the demise of Mankhalin Gosala This sutra calls him the embodiment or incornation of Gosala This remaik assumes importance in view of the fact that in the reign of the Nandas the Anyikas the followers of Gosala, were so well off that Canakya disguised himself as an Ajivika to ensure a safe fight from Pataliputra 1 An indication of Nanda rule in the South is provided by a city named ' Nav Nund Dehra (Nunder) which is situated on the Godavari 2 The Lathasarit Sagara³ refers to the camp of king Nanda at Ayodhya and the Mahabharatat mentions a city on the Ganges named Mahapadma pura which may have been founded by Mahapadma Nanda in token of some victory In Pali literature⁵ Nanda is described as a king of Kasi From these references it appears that the whole of the Gangetic Valley together with a large part of the Deccan wis included in the Nanda empire

Tradition imputes a base origin to this great conqueror. The Jaina writers Hariubiad'a Suri,⁵ Hemacandra⁶ and Jinaprabha Suri⁷ state that he was born of a courtezin by a barber named Divekrit. Greek writers have made some remarks which some

I amsatthappal asint Vol I p 138

सो पन निर्वलता व नग्गा हुत्वा श्राजवित्रवेस गहेत्वा पत्राय ता अन्तोराज यत्युखि येव गतपच्चागतिवा विरणटठान नित्रीयि ।

The Mahasamina of Moggellann however, says that Cinakya fled in the form of a naked ascetie without specifying that he was an Ajiwika [V, 83, p 59] नग्याचरियवसन गत्त्वा सुरित ब्राह्मणो ।

² MaCuuliffe Sikh Religion V, p 236

* Tr by Tawney Vol I, p 21, 49, 11 353, 1

Apadana II, 583 Thragall (Singhules e china) (Singhulese china) (1, 139 ff, athalyd Lauwi (PTS) U, 140 ff, athan) 73 ff

⁵ Avatjaka Sutra—1 ttt: (Ågamodaya Samiti edition) p 690 नापितदाग राजा जान

Parisistaparian Canto VI, 231 232

इतरच तर्वव परे दिवानीतेरभू मुत ।

एतस्य गणिकाहुमिजमा नदा भिर्यानतः ॥

म नाषितनुमारम्तु प्रभानगमये तदा 1

7 Inidha Tirtla Kalpa ed Jina Vijiya Muni (Singhi Jaina Granthamali) p 6 नाशितगणिवनमुन

scholars have interpreted to mean that Mahipadmu Nanda wus the son of a barber. In order to assess the historical value of these remarks let us study them in detail Writing about Nanda king who was reigning at the time of Alexander's invasion Curtius observes that "his father was, in fact, a barber, scarcely staving off hunger by his daily earnings, but, who, from his being not incomely in person, has gained the affections of the queen and was by her influence advanced to too near a place in the confidence of the reigning monarch Afterwards, however, he treacherously murdered his sovereign, and, then, under the pretence of acting as guardian to the royal children, usurped the supreme authority and, having put the young princes to death, begot the present king, who was detested and held cleap by his subjects, as he rather took after his father than conducted himself as the occupant of a throne "1 This report was based on the rumours that were current in the Panjab about the Nandas and were calculated to exaggerate the excesses which made them unpopular in the estimation of the people This is clear from the version of Diodoros who does not appear to be sure of the veracity of this rumour He makes Poros remark to Alexander that "the king of the Gan-The makes roots remark to Alexander that the sing of the can-gardae was a man of quite workless character, and held in no respect, as he was *thought* to be the son of a barber. This man, the king's father, was of a comely person and of him the queen had become decply enamoured. The old king having been treache-rously murdered by his wife, the succession had devolved on him who now reigned "2

There are marked discrepancies in the aforestid accounts of Curtus and Diodoros Besides stating non commitally that the king's faither was thought to be the son of a barber, Diodoros clearly observes that the Magadhan predecessor of the Nandas was murdered by the queen and not by her barber paramour, whereas Curtus states that it was he who assassinated him Diodoros does not refer to the sons of the Magadhan king and to their assassination by that barber paramour of the queen-facts to which Curtus draws pointed attention Diodoros seems to imply

² J W M Crindle ibid, p 282

¹ J W M'Crundle The Intasion of India by Alexandir the Great, p 222

that the sud king was issueless and on his death the succession devolved on that paramour, Curtuis negatives this statement by referring to the sons of the said king

That the aforesaid accounts of the Greck writers are entirely filse and basele s is conclusively established by the fret that in them the Nanda king who was a contemporary of Poros and Alexander is said to be the son of a barber, whereas, according to all historical sources including the Jama traditions, he was one of the eight successors of the first Nanda called Mahapadma in the Puranas According to the Jama traditions the odium of being the son of a barber attached to the name of the first Nanda only His eight successors were his legitimate heirs [Pansula Parena VIII, 2 3 p 913]

> न दस्य वसे कालेन न दा मप्ताभवनूपा । तेपाच मत्रिणोऽभुव भूयास वरूपना वया ॥ ततस्प्रियण्डपूर्विवीपति पतिरिव धियः । समुरत्यालद्वियत्वन्दो च दोऽमूनवमा नुष ॥

According to the Purnns and Jaina traditions, these eight successors of the first Nanda were his sons, but, according to the Buddhist works, the time and not eight Nandas were his brothers and he died just after his coronation Thus, there were ten Nandas [l'anitaithappalatini (P T S) p 179, Extended Maha ramia V 49 p 57) The fact is that the Buddhist account is bused on a misuiderstanding as it is not corroborated by any other source

Greek accounts lead us to assume that the first Nanda, the father of the last Nanda Xandramas or Agrammes, was himself a barber, a fact which no source even remotely suggests [H C Rychoudhury in Age of the Xandas and Mauras ed by K A Nilalanta Shistir p [4]

Besides this, there is also a world of difference between the accounts of the aforesaid classical writers and the traditions preserved in Jaina literature. According to the former, the Nanda of future greatness in it and, accordingly, married his daughter to him. When his marriage procession was passing through the streets, the procession of the royal insignia, following the assistination of the issueless King Udayin at the hands of an enemy's son disguised as a Jaina monh, met it and the state elephant put Nanda on his back, the horse neighed and other supernatural signs uppeared in response to which the people and the officers anomied Nanda as their sovereign ¹ This story knows nothing of the complicity of the futher of Nanda in the murder of the king and attributes his accession to a lucky flinke

The Buddhist tradition contained in the Vanustikappalasun² states that Nanda hailed from an unknown family, put limited fat the head of a band of robbers and went plundering through the neighbourhood of Malaya, till be gathered so much money and power as to invade Magadha, defeat is king and declare himself as the sovereign. This account shows that Nanda rose to power somewhere in the Vanjab Malaya being in the North West recording to the Madarakiesa, and the robbers signifying the Aratias of the Panjab, as mapfiest from the Baudhayan Dharma-Suira (1, 1, 2, 13 15) and the Mahabaraia (VIII, 4; 2070) Thus, according to these sources, the career of Nanda was similar to that of Candragupta Marya

Some other Buddhist traditions scattered in Pall works describe Nanda as a pious king of Kasi According to them, he belonged to a poor family, covered Kassapa Buddha s caitya with

¹ Hemacandra Parsisi iparean Canto VI 231 243 Jacobi's edition pp 196-197 Cf Avaryaks Viryukis Churni XVII, 11, 30

² Vanisalihaj pal asini (P T S) Vol I, pp 178-179

⁹ In the Madraraksata Act V (Telang s ediuon) p 240, Sin ghanads the king of the city of Makiya, is classed with the kings of Kuluta, Kasmira Sindhu and Persa. Hence it is evident that Malaya was situated in the vicinity of these states H C Seth adduces good grounds for holding that Malaya stands for the Malloi, mentioned by classical writers among the peoples of the Panjab (Indian Historical Quarterly June 1941 Vol XVIII, p 173 ff) But the Madra (111 p 170)distinctly mentions Lohitaksa, the son of the king of the Malaya and Mulava in the eyes of the author of the Malaya But it is undenable that Malaya was somewhere in the North West a golden sheet and in recompense of this act became king After his accession to the throne, he held a great function of giving alms to 500 peecka Buddhas led by Mahapaduma and entertained them up to the time of their death. At the time of their death he was busy quelling a rebellion at the frontier of his state. When he returned home he gave his k ngdom to his eldest son and became an ascetic Echoes of the association of Mahapadima Nanda with Kasi are also found in the *Sultanpata Commentary*¹ which relates that Peecaka Buddha Mahapaduma was born as a treasurer of Benaras (Kasi) in a former life committed adultery and was born in hell as a result

A careful and critical comparison of these traditions shows that they diverge very widely on many vital points and are mainly based on floating rumours and hearisy reports which carry little conviction Hence it is risky to place reliance on these traditions in vew of the fact that the Paranas, the Mudradakasa and the *Argo madyuri-mula kalpa* do not support them but definitely go against them The Paranas which usually represent genume historical traditions, call Mahapadma Nanda the son educed Mahanandin by a woman of low caste But his maternal descent

1 I, 76

⁸ Visnupurana IV, 21 महानविशुत मुदागभांद्रवा, Maissalurana ch 272, v 12 महानविष्मुतरवापि सूत्राया वलिकाशज । उत्परस्यते महापदम सर्वक्षप्रात्तका मृत्र ।।

It is highly significant to note that Canakya calls the Nanda king Nandim' while pronouncing his curse in the session of the alms house (दानमा) [cf Vanisat/hap/aka m I, p 182 'इमाय च चाव्रदताय प्रवीवया नी दानी वडिंड नामा या हात् ति ।

The Mahavamsa of Moggallana states that one of the ten sons of Kalasoka was called Nandin (Extended Mahavamsa V, 14 15, p 55 56]

कालासीकस्य भुत्ता पु अहसु दम भातिका । भट्ट्सेना च कारण्डवण्णा चेवापि सनुरो (भगरा) ।। स"वजहो च जातिकी सजया च उभको तथा । कोरव्यो चेव नदी च भण्डुना चापि दरासी ।।

But this work distinguishes between Nandin and the first Nanda who started his career as a robber It is likely that being the son of the Sudra wife, be was not counted among the ten sons of the reigning king and after usurping the throne he began to call himself Madi and not Na din to distinguish himself from the previous lineand to show himself as the founder of a new dynasty This is clear(continued on the following heat). did not detract from his high birth In a patriarchal society it is mainly the caste of the father that determines the position of a

(continued from the previous page)

From the fact that inspite of the currency of the sumame Anda of the first Nauda and lus successors, their connection with the Naudans could not be concealed and the Familtheybkaini (op ct) made Canakya call Dhunu Nunda, 'Mandan'. It is illuminiting to note in this connection that, according to the Tibetan historian Täranätha, the second Buddhist council was held at Vaisili in the reign of Nanda, while, according to the Mahiaanisa (ch 4), it was held in the reign of Kältökä J Fillozat has proposed to identify Nandin of Täranätha with Kaläsöka of the Singhulere works [Jean Fillozat, "Les deux Asoka et les conciles Bouddhigues," Journal Aninapue Vol CCNLI (1953) pp 48.49] It may be noted in this connection that while enumerating the kings of Avanti the Purāņas give Šisunaga as a iname of Nandixardhan

एकविंगत समा राज्य अजयस्थ भविष्यति । भिगुनाक समा विगत तरसुतो नन्दिवर्धन ॥

(F. F. Pargiter, Djuastice of the halt Age p. 19 N. 35.) In the Puranas this Nardivardhana (Sisunaka) son of Aja is counted among the kings of Magadha

चतुर्विञत् मभा भाव्यो ग्राजेव नन्दिवर्धन ।

Quoting a verse from Jaina sources to the effect that the king of Avanti would become the lord of Pataliputra after the death of Udāyın, Shantilal Shah holds that Nandivardhana, king of Avanti, conquered Magadha after the death of Udayin and became its ruler. [Santulal Shah, Traditional Chronology of the Jamas (Stuttgart) (1935) pp 31-32] Sisunāga's son is called Kākavarna in the Puranas and Kalasoka in the chronicles of Ceylon, whereas Nandivardhana's son is called Mahanandin in the Puranas and the Jaina works Comparing and squaring up both these sets of traditions, there remains no doubt that Nandu ardhana is identical with Sisunaga and Mahanandin is the same as Kalasoka Kākavarna [Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society | p 73] Mahapadma Nanda was a son of this Mahimandin alias Kalasoka Kakavarna After him his sons collectively known as the Nandas came to the throne Thus the so-called Nine Nandas or Neo-Nundas were connected with the Nandins, Nandivardhana and Mahanaudin, of Pataliputra, who succeeded the line of Bumbisära, Ajatasatru and Udavin + ...

person¹ It is certain that the father of Mahapadnia belonged it the ruling family. Hence the author of the Mudrarshias had no hestation in assigning a high birth to him² Firdausi also does not impute any had origin to this king though he refers to his mean character.

The accession of Mahapadma to the throne has a semblance of usurpation "The A_{TT} manual mathematical states that he was at first a minister and umples that he roos to the throne by staging a coup d'etat by means of his wealth ^a But the Parsuija parari avers that the reigning king died issueless and the officers and people anonited Nanda as their king ⁴

It appears that, not being the son of the queen consort, Nanda hid no right to the throne to preference to the lawfal herrs Hence he was given the post of a minister Availing lumifel for the opportunity, that he got by her association with the government, he encompassed the murder of the lawful herrs and ingratured himself with the officers and the people who accepted him as ther king in with of the late that there was no lawful herr of the late king to take charge of the state. It is certain that Mahapadma made extensive conquests and his successors maintained them. They also kept intact the military system of Mahapadma The reports of the Greek writers indicate that the army of the last Nanda king was very big and his hold over the territory east of the Bet was also firm

The wealth and affluence of the Nandas passed into the realm of proverbs. The Tunit writer Manuluar refers to the wealth of the Nandas, which "having accumulated first in Patali hid itself

1 Lisnupurana IV, 10, 12, Arthasasira III, 7

³ Mudraraksasa II, 4 त्रीतिवित्रमगुणव्यापारशास्तविपा सन्दाना विपुले कुर्ने ibid VI 6 पति स्वपस्तवा दव भवनपतिमुपच्चेरशिजनम् ।

Ana madjust mula kalja ed K P Jayaswal in The Imperial History of India p 31 तहन प्राप्त मनीमी नोने पायिनता गम ।

* Parsisfaparpan VI, 242 p 197

ततः प्रधानपुरुषं पौरंजनपदनः च । भवे नःदस्य सागःदममिषेषुमहात्मवः ।। In the floods of the Ganges,¹¹ Xenophon (d after 355 b c) in his Grobadda² refers to the Indian king, a very wealth; man, who can be no other than Nanda, and Huuen-Tsang mentions "the five treasures of king Nanda's seven precious substances". The Aathasantragara and the Mudrārākata state that the Nandas possessed 900 crores of gold pieces³ The Apa-majusri-mula kalpa calls Mahapadma very prosperous (asrār) and the Petatatha cites an adage which altudes to the kingdom of King Nanda abounding m the wealth of all sorts of apparels⁴ Several Pair works state that Nanda had a tree of desires (dapharukha) which provided hum and he subjects with divine robes

The liking of the Nandas for wealth, born probably of the needs of a vast military organization and a gigantic system of administra-

¹ S. K. Aiyangar Beginnings of South Indian History p 87, K A Nilakanta Shastri, Age of the Nandas and Mauryas p 254.

Vanusalthappahanın (P T S) 1, p 180 ग्रन्तागगाय पासाणततले महस्त प्राबाट कारापेरचा तत्य धन निवाहित्वा तताधरि पासाणे सथरापेरचा ... त पक्तिपामाणतत्त विष जात गय विमज्जापति 1

Vide Extended Maharamsa V 54-59 p 58.

The Jaina work Tuthegah painna (verses 636 639) states that a Nanda king, who was very wealthy, beautiful and renowned, buried a large quantity of gold under five topes and Kalki took it away from there

नगरे प्राहिडतो पेच्द्रीहि थवशू में उ। पुंडुाय बेंति मणुका, नत्दो राया जिर इह ग्राति ।। बेलिनो धरयसमिदा स्वसमिदा जनसमिद्वो। गण उड्ह हिरण्ण निस्तित, विवटु(?) वयवपासेणम् । न म पा सर्रन्ति अर्थ्य, रायाणा दाणि चिप् जे ।।

2 Xenophon's Gyropaedia (tr. by Walter Miller) III, 11, 25

³ Kathasarılsagara (Tawneys tr) I, p 21, Mudraraksasa III, 27 नवनवतिशतद्रध्यकाटीरवरास्ते तन्दा:।

⁴ Petavatihu II, 2 16 (ed by Rāhula Sankriyāyana, Anand Kausalyāyana and Jagdish Kasyapa) p. 10

पाणिमत्तस्त चोळहस्स विपाक पस्य यादिस ।

यावता नदरावस्त विजितसिम पटिच्छदा ॥

ıbid, JIJ, 2, 18 p 31

वण्णवा यत्तवा सुखी यावता नदराजस्स।

विजितस्मि पटिच्छादा ततो बहुतरा भन्त ॥

tion, led them to devise ingenious schemes of extorting money from the people. Hence they imposed heavy taxes on the people The Vanisatikappakarism states that they levied taxes even on skins, gums, trees and stones¹. This made them very unpopular in public and resulted in the ercculation of the stories of their greed and extortions⁶. In India greedy kings were usually styled as the sons of barbers. Ling Bharu of Bharukaccha was considered

¹ Vantsatthappakasun Vol I, p 180 पुन ग्रत्तना त्राणायवत्तनटठान चम्प जनुष्प्रवासाणा-पवत्तापन-परणारीहि (गरणाहि) धनसचय धारापत्वा तत्य एव प्रवासि ।

* Unnupurana VI, 24 %[Α]τω], Mudraraktasa Aet I, p 93 α-κίξηταξάζαματα mággutadni Such stories scem to form the basis of the Nanda Jataka (Tusbiol], 1, 224) which relates the story of a slave named Nanda who was so greedy as to think of misippropriating the treasure entitusted to him by his master for his son and hence hiding it away from ium.

We know that the greed of the earlier Nandas was transformed into the generosity of the last Nanda--Dhanananda of the Mahapamita, Mahabodi usuita and Vamisathhophakaini. This change lies at the basis of the tale of a Brahimana who entered into the corpse of the greedy king Nanda and began to lavish his gifts right and left. Vide, Merutunga, Prabandha Cintamani ed Jinavijaya Muni (Singhi Jama Granthamaha) p 136, Puralana Prabandha Sangraha ed Jinavijaya Muni (S J G) p 82) According to the Jaina work, Bhattapariama painna (Agamodaya Samiti ed) p 153 there was a king named Lobhamanda who perished on account of his greed

The stories of the greed and exploitation of the Nanda king seem to refer to the early career of the last Nanda king, though in his later life he turned very generous and even lavish probably to wipe off the discontent caused by his policy. Greek writers and Ceylonese chroniclers relate these stories with special reference to the last king. The former impute a barber ancestry particularly to him. Hence, it appears that, though wealthy and prosperous, Mahapadima was not the greedy tyrant of the anecdotes, it was rather his successors who brought the opprobrium on the family a barber's son because of his miserly disposition.¹ Being the offspring of a barber was thought to be a great disgrace to a person. Hence the inmates of the harem of Bindusăra called the daughter of the brähmana of Campā a barber's daughter in order to divert the attention of the king from her.² Thus, it is no wonder that the greedy Nanda king was dubbed as barber's son by the people who bore the brunt of his grinding exactions. Such slanderous rumours lie at the back of the notices of classical writers and the tradition current among the Jainas about the Nandas.

The burden of the taxes imposed by the Nandas crushed the people so much that they heaved a sigh of relief at their down-fall³ and thought that "the heave-diseases of the earth had been removed."⁴

As seen above, Mahāpadma Nanda roze to sovereignty from the post of a minister with the help of the officers and the people. These officers, who considered him their equal in rank, did not brook his supremacy as a king. Hence he had to deal with them with a hard hand in order to ensure the stability of the state. This repression led to an under-current of restorment among the ministers, which continued to rise under the reign of his successors, and, at last, Candrogupta led his forces against Magadha and invested Päțaliputra. Many of these ministers were hand-in-

¹ Suppāraka-Jātaka ed. Fausböll No 463

सो पिल्लेसि ययं राजा एजरूपानि वि प्रच्छरियानि दिस्ता प्रटठेव कहाएणे वापेशि इमस्स थायो नहागितथायो, नहागित्रस्त जातको भविरसति, कि मे एवरूपेन राजुपट्ठानेन ।

³ Divyāvadāna ed. Cowell p. 370

सा नापितकर्मं शिक्षापिता.....राजाह त्व नापिती ग्रह राजा धातियो सूर्धांसिपिन्तो । क्वं मया सार्पं समागमो भविष्यति । सा क्वयति, देव, नाहं तापिनी द्यपि ब्राह्मणस्याह दुहिंता । तेन देवस्य पत्न्ययं इत्ता ।

³ Mudrarakşasa I, 11

दग्ध्वा सम्भ्रान्तपौरद्विजगणरहितान्तन्दवद्यप्ररोहान ।

- ' sbid, III 10 स्वामिनी मक्तराका.....पीरमख्याः 1
- Mudrārāksasa I, 13
 समुरखाता भन्दा मब हृदयरोगा इब भव: ।

Thus, we observe that Firdausi's picture of the king with his power, armies, wealth, greed, tyranny, exactions and disrespect for the wise and learned fits in the framework of the history of the last Nanda king. This king corresponds to the last Nanda sovereign Dhanananda (Agrammes, Xandramas) in all essentials and characteristics to such an extent that it is difficult to doubt their identity.

The second dream of Kaid related to the fall of one king and the rise of another in his place¹. The real significance of this dream lies in the deluronement of the king referred to in the first dream and the accession of Kaid in his place. This clearly hints at the overthrow of the Nandas and the anointing of Gandrazouta.

11. The treaty of Kaid and Alexander

Kaid entered into an alliance with Alexander under instruction from Minran "to consort with windom and fight him not"." We learn from Flutatch that Candragupta saw Alexander and after his retreat used to declare that had he marched against

1	Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan Vol. III, p. 1293
	Doyam añcha didi tū az tāj-u-takht
	Kaz-ü slud yaki digar āmad za-bakht
	Hamäñ-ast kiñ väzgonä jahäň
	yaki rā burad digar ārad ravān.
	Warners' tr. vol. VI, p. 91
	"Thy second dream concerning crown and throne
	Which one man voided and another gained
	Illustrateth that this inconstant world
	Removeth one and speedeth up another."
2	Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan vol. III, p. 1292
	Sikandar ba-yarad sipah-i-garan
	Za-rūm-ū-z-irān guzidā-sarān'
	chũ Khvāli ki bāshad tirā ābrū,
	Khirad vär kun jang ü tā majā.

CANDRAGUPTA MAURYA

Thus, we observe that Firdausi's picture of the king with his power, armles, wealth, greed, tyranny, exactions and direspect for the wise and learned fits in the framework of the history of the last Nanda king. This king corresponds to the last Nanda sovereign Dhanananda (Agrammes, Xandramas) in all essentials and characteristics to such an extent that it is dufficult to doubt their identity.

The second dream of Kaid related to the fall of one king and the rise of another in his place¹. The real significance of this dream lies in the dethronement of the king referred to in the first dream and the accession of Kaid in his place. This clearly hints at the overdirow of the Nandas and the anointing of Gandragupta.

11. The treaty of Kaid and Alexander

Kaid entered into an alliance with Alexander under instruction from Mihran "to consort with wisdom and fight him not".⁴ We learn from Plutarch that Gandragupta saw Alexander and after his retreat used to declare that had he marched against

1	Shāk-Nāmā ed. Macan Vol. III, p. 1293
	Doyam añcha didi tū az tāj-u-takht
	Kaz-ŭ shud yaki digar âmad za-bakht
	Hamāň-ast kin vāzgonā jahāň
	yaki rā burad dígar ārad ravān.
	Warners' tr. vol. VI, p. 94
	"Thy second dream concerning crown and throne
	Which one man voided and another gained
	Illustrateth that this inconstant world
	Removeth one and speedeth up another."
2	Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan vol. III, p. 1292
	Sikandar ba-yārad sipāh-i-garān
	Za-rūm-ū-z-irān guzidā-sarān'
	chū Khvāhi ki bāshad tirā ābrū,
	Khirad yār kun jang ū rā majū.
	Warners' translation Vol. VI, p. 94
	"Sikander will lead forth a mighty host
	The chosen chieftains of Iran and Rome
	And, if thou wouldst still rule, consort with wisdom
	And fight him not."

glove with the invaders and gave them all possible help and guidance.¹

The high-handedness and overweening demeanour of the Nandas spread such disaffection among the people that they looked down upon them as the leading villaint² of the time and considered their titles to rule utterly false.³

There were also some cases in the reign of the Nandas in which merit was not duly rewarded and wise and learned men were not properly respected. A celebrated instance of the bad attitude of King Dhanananda towards the learned men is that of Gönakya, who was dragged from the high seat by reason of his uncomely features. This episode forms the plot of the Madaräksaa of Visäkhadatta and the Pratijäz-Cänakya of Bhitan, which now survives in some quotations in the Abhinan-bhratif of Abhinava-bhratig and is repeatedly referred to in all branches of Indian literature. After the accession of Candragupta to the throne the vices of the Nandas were grossly exaggerated with a view to emphasizing the virtues of the Mauryas.

¹ Mudrārāksasa I, 23
विकान्त्रैनंयशालिभिः सुसचिवैः श्वीवक्रनणासादिभि- ।
र्नन्दे जीवति या तदा न गमिता स्थैये चलन्ती मुहुः ॥
Parisistaparvan VI, 244 p. 197 ed. Jacobi
ततः च केचित्सामन्ता मदेनान्धम्मविष्णवः 1
नन्दस्य न नसि चकुरसी गापितसुरिति ॥
Arya-mailjuiri-müla-kalpa ed. Jayaswal p. 31
नन्दोर्झय नूपतिः श्रीमान् पूर्वंकर्मापराण्घतः ।
विरागयामास मंत्रीणा नगरे पाटलाह्वये 11
विरक्तमग्त्रवर्गस्तु सत्यसंधो महावलः ।
पूर्वकर्मापराधेन महारोगी भविष्यति ॥
(according to the Tibetan Text)
* Ibid, p. 31
नीचमुख्य समाख्यातो ततो लोके भविष्यति ।

⁴ R. Ramamurti, *Journal of Oriental Research* (Madras) III, (1929) p. 80. CANDRAGUPTA MAURYA

Thus, we observe that Firdausi's picture of the king with his power, armics, wealth, greed, tyranny, exactions and disrespect for the wise and learned fits in the framework of the history of the last Nanda king. This king corresponds to the last Nanda sovereign Dhanananda (Agrammes, Xandramas) in all essentials and characteristics to such an extent that it is difficult to doubt their identity.

The second dream of Kaid related to the fall of one king and the rise of another in his place¹. The real significance of this dream lies in the dethronement of the king referred to in the first dream and the accession of Kaid in his place. This clearly hints at the overthrow of the Nandas and the anointing of Candragupta.

11. The treaty of Kaid and Alexander

Kaid entered into an alliance with Alexander under instruction from Mihran "to consort with wisdom and fight him not".³ We learn from Plutareh that Candragupta saw Alexander and after his retreat used to declare that had he marched against

1 5	Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan Vol. III, p. 1293
	Doyam aficha didl tū az tāj-u-takht
	Kaz-ü shud yaki digar āmad za-baklıt
	Hamān-ast kin vāzgonā jahān
	yaki rā burad digar ārad ravāñ.
	Warners' tr. vol. VI, p. 94
	"Thy second dream concerning crown and throne
	Which one man voided and another gained
	Illustratetb that this inconstant world
	Removeth one and speedeth up another."
2 5	Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan vol. III, p. 1292
	Sikandar ba-yārad sipāh-i-garān
	Za-rūm ū-z-irān guzidā-sarān'
	chū Khvāhi ki bāshad tirā ābrū,
	Khirad yār kun jang ii rā majū.
	Warners' translation Vol. VI, p. 94
	"Sikander will lead forth a mighty host
	The chosen chieftains of Iran and Rome
	And, if thou wouldst still rule, consort with wisdom
	And fight him not."

117

the Nandas he would have been successful for they were hated for their mean origin and wicked disposition.¹ From this remark of Plutarch Dr. H. C. Raychoudhury infers that "Candragupta visited Alexander with the intention of inducing the conqueror to put an end to the rule of the tyrant of Magadha. His conduct may be compared to that of Rana Sangrāma Singh who invited Babur to put an end to the régime of Ibrahim Lodi.¹² This policy of Candragupta must have resulted in the conclusion of a military alliance for the invasion of Magadha between him and Alexander.

12. The character of Kaid

Kaid was a very wise and genial man. His capacity for administration and organization was superb and his bearing was very dignified and graceful.³ We know that Indian writers hold a very high opinion of the character of Candragupta and the *Arya-mañjuiri-mula-kalpa*⁴ states that he was "very affinent, true to the duties of kingship and of a high moral character".

13. The identity of Kaid and Candragupta Maurya

These points of resemblance between Kaid and Candragupta leave no room for doubt about their identity. The legend of

¹ J. W. M'Crindle: The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great p. 311.

² H. C. Raychoudhury : Political History of Ancient India (5th edition) p 268.

³ Shah-Nama ed. Macan vol. III, p. 1290

 Yaki shâh bud hind rā nām Kaid Nakardí juz az dänish-rā-i-said Dil ba-Khiradāň dāshi Maghz-i-ravāň Nashisht-i-Kayāň farr^{*}a-i-mubidāň.

Warners' translation vol-VI, p. 91

"There was in Hind a monarch, Kaid by name, Whose sole pursuit was knowledge and advice He had a sage's heart, a prince's brain,

King's bearing and the grace of Archimages."

Arya-manjuiri-mula-kalpa op. cit. p. 22

महाभोगी (महायोगी) सत्यसंघदच घर्मात्मा स महीपति: 1 Cf. Mudrārāksasa III, 3

मनस्वी दम्यत्वात् स्खलति च न द खं वहति च

Candragupta travelled far and wide in association with the legend of Alexander and found its way in Persian literature. Ma'sūdi's 'Kand' is the nearest approach to the name of Candragupta. Later on, it was wrongly written as Kaid, as already shown, and Firdansi used it in this firm. If we study these legends in correlation with the authentic materials of the history of Candragupta, we are likely to get some precious information about lim. Taking my stand m the identification of Kaid and Candragupta, proposed on the basis of the aforesaid considerations, I now proceed to study the fresh information given by the Shah-Name.

According to the Shäh-Nämä, the first letter was written by Alexander, although Gandragupta was alteady prepared to side with him. Afexander wanted Gandragupta to ally with him and sent him a call to surrender. This shows that Alexander was aware of the important position of Gandragupta. It is well-known that Gandragupta was staying in some royal college of Takessilä as an exile at the time of Alexander's invasion. He was forging his plans in collaboration with his collegues under the guidance of Gänakya. In order to understand the position of Gandragupta at Taksašilä, we should bear in mind his early history.

14. Early life of Candragupta Maurya

'Much ink has been shed on the ancestry of Gandragupta, Ratnagarbha, the commentator of the Vijnupurana, suggested that he was the son of king Nanda by a wife named Murä' and Dhunghiraja Vyäsa the commentator of the Mudrardytana, added that she was a Stüdra by caste.² Her son was named Murya who was the father of Caodragupta, Mahādeva in his Mudrārākņaa-Kathā (ed. V. Raghavan, Madras), Ravi Nartaka in his Cānabya-Kathā (ed. S. G. Law, Galcutta), and the authors of the Püraplikää, which forms part of these bater writers is not to be

 Commentary of Visnupurana IV, 24 चन्द्रगुप्तं नन्दर्स्य परस्यन्तरस्य मुदासंज्ञरय पुत्रं वीर्याणाम् प्रयमम् ।
 K. T. Telang's edition of the Anadraraksasa p. 40

K. T. Telang's edition of the Mudraraksosa p. 40 राज्ञ: पत्नी मुनन्दासीज्ज्येष्ठान्या वृपःशल्पजा। मुराख्या सा प्रिया भर्तु: बीखलावणसंपदा। trusted in view of the reliable nuthority of the historical traditions which connect the Maurvas with a Ksatriva family. The Mahaparinibbanasulanta of the Dighanikya, our earliest authority, refers to the Moriyas being of the same caste as the Sakyas on the basis of which they claimed a share of the ashes of the Buddha. Some modern scholars have challenged the authority of these traditions and held that Candragupta hailed from Gandhara in the North-West,I To accept the views of these scholars is to reject in toto the unanimous evidence of Indian traditions that Candragupta belonged to the East and became associated with Canakya when he was returning from the capital of the Nandas in a state of great unrest and discontent. But, before we arrive at this conclusion, we must have positive and definite evidence to establish that Candragupta did belong to the North-West and had absolutely nothing to do with any tribe of the East. As has been shown elsewhere, the theory of the north-western origin of Candragupta rests on happy guesses and far-fetched conjectures. Some hearsay traditions recorded by Hiuen-Tsang and the circumstances of the association of Candragupta with a the North-West, isolated from their correct context, are the only evidence which is adduced to buttress the conjecture of Candragupta's northern origin. Against this fragile and meagre evidence we have the overwhelming and unanimous testimony of all branches of Indian literature-Buddhist, Brähmanic and Jaina, legendary, dramatic and historical-to the effect that Candragupta came from the East. To diseard the whole of this testimony in preference to vague conjectures is quite unscientifie and unhistorical Hence we should refrain from passing a sweeping judgment on this issue until more reliable evidence comes up to settle it. In the present stage of our knowledge it is safe to fasten our belief on the traditions of India and to hold in accordance with them that Candragupta belonged to the East.

As shown earlier, the Mauryas hailed from a place called More or Mor in the Patna district. It is 287 miles from Calcutta on the East Indian Railway. The residents of this place used the surname

120

¹ B. M. Barua: Social Status of the Mauryas (Indian Culture vol. X, part I); Ašoka and his Inscriptions part I, pp. 49-51. H.C. Seth: Did Candragupta belong to North-Western India (Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Vol. XVIII, pt. 2); Candragupta and Sasuyaha (Indian Historical Quarterly vol. XIII, pt. 2).

CANDRAGUPTA MAURYA

Maurya or Mauryaputra. The Imperial Mauryas were the Ksatriyas hailing from this place. Their main quarter in this locality seems to have borne the name of Pipphalivana or Peepul grove. These Ksatriyas had nothing to do with the Sakyas and their association with the clan of the Buddha was a later innovation. But being Ksatrivas they were justified in calling the Buddha their kinsman and claiming a share of his ashes like many other Ksatriya tribes. With the expansion of Magadha as an imperialist power, the Mauryas lost their independence and were engulied in its realm like many other Ksatriya tribes. As a result of these untoward developments the members of the clan left their home and took service with the leading kings of those times. It appears that some of these Mauryas entered into the service of the kings of Pataliputra and rose to high ranks and eminence there. One Maurya officer became such a favourite of king Mahāpadma Nanda, that he brought up his son Candragupta as one of his own sons. Hence Indian tradition treated him as a dynast of the Nanda family, Ksemendra and Somadeva called him a son of Purvananda. But Višākhadatta1, resting on a better authority, elearly kept the distinction between the families of Nanda and Candragupta.2 Hence his reference to Candragupta as 'Nandanvajah' (V, 5) implies only that he was regarded by Nanda as his own son,

The Mahāvanisa of Moggallāna gives the name of one of the nhne Nanda kings, who are said to be the brothers of the first Nanda, as "Gaudaguttika Nanda" or 'Candagatika Nanda' (Extended Mahāvansa V, 49-52 pp. 5'-58

कनिद्रमातरो तरंत मञ्चयनेव होत्ति ते । उग्गसेनतन्दमेको कनकनन्दमाङ्ख्यो ।। धन्दपुत्तिक (चन्दगतिक) तन्दो व मूत्रपालनन्दो तथा । रद्व्भालनन्दो चेव गोविगांबनन्दको ।।

1	Cf. Mudrārākşasa II, 8
	इल्टात्मजः सपदि सान्वय एव देवः ।
	शादू लपांतमिव यं परिपोध्य तप्तः ।।
-	Mudrārāksasa 11, 7
	पृथिव्यां कि दग्धाः प्रथितकुलज्जा भूमिपतयः ।
	पोत पापे मोर्य यदसि कुल्हीनं बतवती स
	1014 IV, 6
	पति व्यवत्वा देवं भुगनपत्तिमुच्चैरभिजनम् ।
	गता छिद्रेण श्रीव पलमविनीतेव वृषली ॥

दगसिद्धिकनम्दो च केबट्टनन्दको तथा । तुबरमेन्नुवरमेनेव मसिया घट्ठ रि जना ॥ पाटलिपुतनगरे रज्जं समनुतासर्यु । कनिटठो पननन्दो च सब्बेसंनवमो रत्तो ॥ दावीसति च बस्मानि रज्जं ममनुमागयि ।

The Mahabadhivaniua, (ed. Strong), however, gives different names for some kings. According to it, the first three were named Uggasena, Panduka and Pandugati. The names of the rest are the same in both the texts. It may also be noted that F. W. Thomas equates the name of the last Nanda King 'Xandramas' with 'Candramas' (Cambridge History of India Vol. I p. 469) The name of the second king is Kanaka in the Mahavamsa, cited above, and Panduka in the Mahabodhivanusa. This difference is probably due to the fact that the latter word signifies the yellow colour of gold the meaning of kanaka. Likewise the colour of the moon (candra or canda) is yellowish. Hence 'Candagatika' was stated to be 'pandugatika.' All this is pure guess-work. But if the above statement of the Mahāvamsa together with the restoration of Xandramas as Candramas, proposed by F. W. Thomas, be correct, it would give us a clue to the problem as to why Candragupta was connected with the Nanda family by later writers. If Candragupta was also the name of a Nanda king, the Maurya Candragupta could easily have been confused and identified with him.

We have seen above that the haughty and high-handed attitude of the Nandas antagonized the ministers. It is likely that Candragupta's family was also swept by the wave of discontent that was rising among the officers of the state. When Canakya was wronged at Pataliputra and he pledged to averge himself on the Nandas he counted on the dissentient elements of the state and won over to his side a group of young enthusiasts headed by Candragupta.⁴

Candragupta was occupying a key-position at Pāțaliputra. If Mahādeva and Ravi-Nartaka are to be believed, his father

तत्तरकारणमुत्पाद्य कृतकृत्यतामापादितास्चन्द्रगुप्तसहोत्थायिनो भद्रभटप्रभृतयः प्रघानपुरुषाः ।

¹ Ibid Act I, p. 69.

was the commander-in-chief of the forces of the Nandas.¹ He himself was a great favourite of the king and was brought up in close proximity with the royal family, as noted above. Hence he knew all the ins and outs of the Nandas.³ That is why he was especially chosen by Gänakya as the instrument of his policies. The envoys and associates of Alexander appear to have apprized him of the position of Candragupta. Therefore, he took the initiative in opening the talks with him, as Firdausi states.

15. The details of the treaty of Candragupta Maurya and Alexander.

Candragupta's position at Takraśilä was very important. The letter of Alexander gave luim the desired opportunity to enter into an alliance with him for the conquest of Magadha. Firdaui states that he offered to send a beautiful girl, a philosopher, a leech and a cup to Alexander in token of his fealty and firedaship³. The envoy of Alexander communicated the offer of

¹ The Vanisatthappakāsini (I p. 183), however, states that his father was the king of the Moriyas and he was killed before the birth of Candragupta.

दस्मि बळवाहनसम्पनेन सामन्तरव्वना मोरियराजानं पातेरवा रज्जे गहिते ।

⁸ Much romance has gathered round the infancy of Candragupta. He is represented in popular tales and aneedotes, which have found their way in Buddhist and Jaina works and crept into the accounts of Greek and Latin writers, as a miraculous child whose extraordinary acts suggested to Gânakya his future greatness. These stories are intended to atress the greatness of Candragepta. It is difficult to take these stories as historical accounts.

³ Shāh-Nāmā ed. Macan Vol. III, p. 1299

Ghu barkhvänd fi päsakhnämä rä payäm-i-jahänjü-i-khud-kämä rä Sipähdär-i-hindüstää sähäd kasht Ki az-ranj-i-iskandar szäd gasht × x x x Badah pil bar-takht zarriñ niliad Ba pili ki purmäyä tarziñ niliad Ba pili ki purmäyä tarziñ nihäd Faghastäñ babärid Kihnifi sarashk Hämi raft bä fabätif-puzashk Mami raft bä fabätif-puzashk Mami raft as na-i-jam mast

(continued on the next page)

Candragupta to his master, whereon he deputed ten wise men to inspect the gifts and give him their report. On getting a good report from them, he ordered the gifts to be brought over to him. This cemented has alliance with the Indian Aing.

The author of the Majmut-w-Tawōrikh also writes that Kafand sought peace with Alexander "to whom he sent his daughter, a skiltu physician, a philosopher and a glas-vase." It is noteworthy that Firdausi does not expressly say that the girl sent by Kaid to Alexander was his daughter. The author of the said Tawūrikh was a critical scholar and traveller. He took the passages about Kafand from Abul Hasan's Persian rendering of Abu-Saleh-bin-Sh'aab-bin-Jāmi's Arabic translation of a Sanskrit poem, which, according to M. Reinaud, was an old work existing before the Rajataraguni and the Mahabhārat. The original is now lost and the extracts from the Persian version are its only remnants. This shows that the tradition recorded in these passages

I do not, however, know on what evidence M. Reinaud claims such a high antiquity for the Sanskrit original of this source.

The convention of giving one's daughter to a powerful ally to obtain his assistance is referred to by Kaujilya.²

(continued from the previous page)

Warners' translation Vol. VI, p. 103-104

"The King of Hindustan

¹ Buddha Prakash, New Light on the Early History of Candragupta Manya' in New Indian Antiquary Vol.VII. Nos. 11 and 12 Feb - March (1944-45) p. 196.

Arthasastra of Kaufilya VII, 14

उरमाहयितारमात्मनिर्माणे स्थितकर्माणं सान्स्वप्रणिपातेन अनुरत्तप्रकृति कन्यादान यापनाम्या खुव्यमंगद्मैगुण्येन भीतमेम्यः कोसदण्डानुप्रहेण स्वतो भोत विष्वासयेत् ।

16. The rumours of the marriage of Alexander with an Indian woman.

The accounts of the Persian writers, summarized above, show that Candragupta entered into a treaty of alliance with Alexander and sent him some presents in token of it. Among the presents these writers mention a girl, whom the author of the Majmul-ut-Tawarikh takes to be the daughter of Candragupta, as we have seen above. Matrimonial alliances have been entered into for strengthening military pacts and political treatics from the carliest times. Hence there is nothing unusual in the dealings of Candragunta and Alexander as mentioned by these writers. But, there are some considerations which show that these writers were labouring under some delusion while writing the account of the sending of Candragupta's daughter to Alexander. It appears that Candragupta had no daughter of marriageable age at the time of his alliance with Alexander. Plutarch observes that he was but a youth when he met Alexander and the Afudraralsasa says that he ascended the throne of Magadha in his adolescence.¹ The Vaiisatthappakäsini also states that Candra Gupta studied at Takşasilā for seven or eight years under Canakya and immediately after completing his education invaded Magadha and defeated the Nandas. Hence it is not likely that he had any daughter of marriageable age at the time of entering into the treaty with Alexander. The girl in question was probably attached to royal courts or was some courtezan and Gandra-Gupta secured her for presentation to Alexander. At any rate Firdausi does not state that she was the daughter of Kaid. He only says that she was a girl of rare beauty and charm. Hence there was no matrimonial alliance between them. Let us see as to what could be the basis of such a tradition.

Some classical writers suggest that queen Cleophis² of Massaga offered her person to Alexander as a mark of capitulation

1 Mudrāraksasa III, 3

युरं तामवाच्चीनंबवयमि वोढ् व्ययसिता ।

मनस्यी दम्यत्वान् स्ललति च न दु.सं वहति च ।। ibid VI, 12

वाल एव हि सोकेऽस्मिन् सम्भावितमहोदयः । क्रमेणारूढवान् राज्यं यूर्थदवर्यंमिव द्विपः ।

² R. K. Mookerji : Chandra Cupla Maurya and his Times p. 40 equates the name Cleophis with Krpa.

after the storming of her citadel. But there is no agreement, much less unanimity, among these writers on this point. Arrian does not refer to this incident at all. He only observes that after the death of Assakenos, the chief of Massaga, the people sent a herald to Alexander to sue for peace. Alexander agreed to the proposal of peace on the condition that the Indian mercenaries should change their side and take service in his ranks. But, as they were leaving the eity and encamping on a hill facing Alexander's camp, he fell upon them and cut them to pieces. "The city now stripped of its defenders he took by storm and captured the mother and daughter of Assakenos."1 This account nowhere shows that there was any marital connection between Alexander and Cleophis. Diodoros also does not refer to the marriage of the queen of Massaga with Alexander. He states that when the terms of the capitulation were ratified by oaths. "the queen, to show her admiration of Alexander's magnanimity, sent out to him most valuable presents, with an intimation that she would fulfil all the supulations." But Alexander did not keep the stipulations and attacked the evacuees in violation of them, whereupon the latter defended themselves to the last drop of blood and ultimately their women took up arms and preferred death to dishonour. But they were outnumbered and overpowered hy the Macedonians who had a pre-conceived design to attack them. Lastly, Alexander spared the "women that still survived but took them away under charge of the cavalry".2 This account shows that the queen also fought among the defenders and nobody knows if she fell in the battle or was carried away by the enemy. Plutarch also does not mention the matrimonial alliance of queen Cleophis and Alexander. He simply alludes to the violation of the agreement uf safety of the evacuees on the part of Alexander and describes it as a "foul blot on his martial fame".3 Curtius gives some different touches to this episode, here and there. He says that King Assacanus had died before the invasion of Alexander and that Cleophis was his mother, not wife. When the defence of the citadel became impossible on account of the severe pressure of the enemy's assault, she "sent down envoys to the king to sue for pardon".

¹ J. W. M'Crindle : Alexander's Invasion (op. cit) p. 69.

² J. W. M'Crindle : op. cst. p. 269-270.

³ J. W. M'Crindle : op. cit. p. 306.

'The queen herself," Curtius goes on, "having placed her son, still a child, at Alexander's knees, obtained not only pardon, but permission to retain her former dignity, for she was styled queen and some have believed that this indulgent treatment was accorded rather to the charms of her person than to pity for her imisfortunes At all events, she afterwards gave birth to a son who received the name of Alexander, whoever his father may have been '1 It is clear from this statement that Curtius was not sure of the veracity of the rumours about the marriage of Cleophis and Alexander He was aware of these reports and mentioned them in passing without committing himself as to their correctness Justin² alone tersely mentions this event as if he treats it as a proved fact But he is a very late author and his statement cannot be accepted against the evidence of four historians who have better claims to be relied upoo Moreover. we have had occasion to see that these classical writers often jotted down rumours and slanders afloat among the people Their remarks about the barber ancestry of Nanda have been examined in an earlier part of this study The reports about the wedlock of Cleophis and Alexander arc equivalent to the rumours relating to the Nandas and rest on false and futile slanders that become current among the credulous and misinformed people regarding high personages But it is quite unhistorical to repose any belief in them

The sumours of the matrimonial alliance of Candragupta Mautya 17 and Seleucos

If it is argued that the basis of the matrimonial alliance of haid and Alexander was the marital connection established between Candragupta and Seleucos later on, we would observe that the historicity of this latter event does not also rest on any solid foundation No doubt Appianus states that "Seleucos entered into relations of marriage with bim" (Sandrocottos) and Strabo observes that he gave the north western provinces of India to Candragupta "in consequence of a marriage contract and received in turn five hundred elephants" [Syriake c 55, Strabo's Geography translated by Hamilton and Falconer Vol III p 125] But Justin and Plutarch do not refer to this matrimonial alliance in their accounts of Seleucos Besides this, we do not

¹ J W M Crindle op cut pp 196-197 ³ J W M'Crindle op cut p 322

know for certain if Candragupta had any daughter. Even if, it is presumed that he had any, though there is no evidence for it, it is quite unlikely that he married her to Scleucos and got in return from him the north-western provinces, for in that case the marriage would be of aura kind, which is one of the unapproved forms of marriage in Hindu law. As regards Scleucos, we know for certain that he had only one daughter, Phila, who was married to Antgonos Gonatas. How can we, therefore, hold that he gave the hand of his daughter to Candragupta? On this point the best throug is to quote Bouché-Leclercq :

"On ne connait à Sèlencos d'autres femmes qu'Apama et Stratonice, ni d'autre fille que Phila, l'épouse d'Antigone Gonatas. On ne voit pas comment il aurauit pu devenir ou le gendre ou le beau-père du roi hindou ...

Seleucos only established, according to Bouchè Leclercq, a convention "autorisant les marriages mixtes entre Hellénes et Hindous".

"Dans le système social de l'Inde le seul procédé pour réguliser de telles unions consistait à attribuer théoriquement aux Grècs une caste ; et peut-etre avons nous iei la forme Grècque de la tradition indigène qui, comme nous le verrons tout-âl'heure reconnait dans les compagnons d'Alexandre une variété degénéreé de Kshatriya" [Histoire des Seleucides pp. 29-30].

Thus Bouché-Leclercq suggests that the allusion to the matrimonial alliance, mentioned above, signifies only a convention of *jux commbin* that was established among the Greeks and Lidians implying the admission of the former in the fold of the Ksatriya caste. Be that as at may, we have no indication here of a marriage which may be taken to be the basis of the information of the Persian writers. Most probably this episode of marriage was based on floating reports and rumours similar to those which gave birth to the story of the marriage of Cleophis with Alexander or that of the daughter of Kaid with him.

18. The gifts sent by Candragupta Maurya to Alexander.

It is likely that some such rumour found its way in Persian literature and was implanted on the dealings of Kaid and Alexander by Persian writers. They probably thought that the woman who was sent to Alexander at Massaga was the daughter of Kaid who sued for peace with him at Milad Anyway, there is very much chaos, confusion and misunderstanding in the accounts of Persian writers regarding the marriage of the daughter of Kaid with Alexander and we are at a loss to accept this episode as a historical event

As for the other gifts sent by Candragupta to Alexander, we know that he had a strong liking for Indian saints and pholosophers During his sojourn at Tavila, he sent Onesciritus, a follower of the School of Diogenes, to see the famous saint Dandamis and request him to pay a visit to the Gieck camp But he declined to go there, whereupon Alexander limited? went to see him¹ Candragupta was probably aware of the interest of Alexander in Indian saints and philosophers. Hence he persuad ed a philosopher to go over to him. We learn from Greek writers that a philosopher named Kalunos went to reside in the camp of Alexander at Taxila. For this he was despised by other Brahmagas who used to say that 'ti lind not pleased him to drink the water of wisdom at the river Tiberoboam's (Tabra nala), which flowed near Takeasija. It is possible that Kalanos is identical with the sage whom Candragupta sent to Alexander

Alexander also liked Indian physicians and astrologers Firdausi³ quotes his remark to the following effect -

> Ne'er may this world lack Hind, for thou wouldst say That all the leeches and astrologers flock thither

Hence it was in the fitness of things that Candragupta chose a physician among his gifts As for the cup, it was directed to the artistic taste of Alexander Thus Candragupta showed

great skill in the selection of gifts

³ Shah-Namu (Warners' translation) Vol VI, p 108 Shah Nama ed Macan Vol III, p 1303 Sikandar bakhandıd vaz uşahi shad va ra guft bi-hind glu ma bad Puzashkap-u Akhtar shanasan hamu Tu guft ba-hindustan shud rama

¹ J W M'Crindle Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian p 125 127

² Pseudo Callisthenes cited by Sylvain Levi in Journal Assatigue Vol XV, p 236 237

In this chapter we have observed that Firdausi's account of the alliance of Candragupta with Alexander rests on a firm foundation, though the details officen partale of the nature of popular legends. It accords well with the probabilities of the situation and fits in the context of events about which we are historically sure. But Firdaus clothes the dry facts of history in an epic garb. Hence it is difficult for us to take his remarks literally. We can only accept the nucleus of fact as substantiated by sound historical eveloped.

CHAPTER V

The Relations of Candragupta Maurya With Alexander the Great

1. Plutarch on the meeting of Androcottos and Alexander

Soon after the retreat of Alexander the Great from Indu-Gandragupta laid the foundations of the Maurya empire at Piquli putra. It was an unfulfilled ambition of the Maccdonian conqueror to capture that famous seat of Indian empire and in the opinion of Gandragupta it was not difficult for him to do so because the people groaned under the tyranny of the Nandas despised them for their base origin and attrochous policy and were cager to overthrow them.¹ It appears from a remark of Plutarch that Condragupta paid a visit to Alexander. He states, "Androcottos himself, who was then but a youth, saw Alexander Limself and afterwards used to declare that Alexander could have easily taken postesion of the whole country since the king was hated and despised by his subjects for the wickedness of his disposition and the meanness of his origin.¹²

This passage throws some light on the purpose for which Candragupta visited Alexander and implies his view that Alexander did not pay any heed to his suggestion and massed a great prize which was so easy to acquire. But the strain of scaling the glacis of the Panjab had unnerved the armites of Alexander to such an extent that all his entreaties failed to egg them on towards the East and Candragupta failed to secure his assistance and had to fall hack to his towar resources and devices.

This attempt of Candragupta to induce Alexander to march on Magadha and put an end to the tyranny of the Nandas resulted m the conclusion of a formal treaty between them which has been discussed in detail in the preceding chapter particularly on the basis of Persian sources This treaty appears to have been concluded at Taksabila where Candragupta lived with Canakya before his invasion of Pataliputra Following this treaty Candragupta seems to have accompanied Alexander and was present in the battle of the Ihelum in which Alexander had to encounter the stiff resistance of Poros The outcome of this contest is not precisely known, but from the repeated attempts made by Alexander to court the friend ship and alliance of Poros and the assistance that Poros gave to Alexander in his advance towards the East, it becomes evident that both the belligerants at last found discretion to be the better part of valour and patched up an honourable peace instead of fighting to the finish It appears that some Indian princes acted as intermediaries in this treaty and Candragupta took a prominent part in the peace parleys

2 Arrian on the meeting of Meroes and Poros

Arrian states that when Poros, wounded in his right shoulder, wheeled his elephant round and began to retire from the field of battle, Alexander sent Taxiles (the King of Taksasila) to bring him back to him But Poros became furious at the sight of his old enemy and instead of listening to his message tried to kill him with a javelin Taxiles instantly put his horse to the gallop and got beyond the reach of Poros But,' Arrian continues, 'not even for this act did Alexander feel any resentment against Poros, but sent to him messenger after messenger and last of all Meroes, an Indian, as he had learnt that Poros and this Meroes were old friends As soon as Poros heard the message which Merces now brought just at a time when he was overpowered with thirst, he made hs elephant halt and dismounted Then, when he had taken a draught of water and felt revised, he requested Merces to conduct hum without delay to Alexander He was then conducted to Alexander. who, on learning that Merces was approaching with him, rode forward in front of his line with a few of the Companions to meet hum ' 2

132

¹ J W M Gundle The Intasion of India by Alexander the Great, pp 108 109

colleague and collaborator named Parvateśvara or Parvataka in his conquest of Partaliputra This Parvateśvara or Parvataka in been idennified with Poros on very strong grounds, as we have seen in a preceding study of this collection It appears that Candragupta and Canakya were already discussing a treaty of alliance with Poros for the invasion of Magadha Hernicandra and Devendraganin inform us that Canakya approached Poros with a proposal that after the overthrow of the Nandas they would equally divide their kingdom among themselves like brothers Thus the remark of Arrain that Merces was an old friend of Poros adequately applies to Candragupta Maurya

These considerations establish the identity of Merces with Candragupta Maurya and show that he rendered ycoman's service to Alexander by putting an end to his hostility with Poros and by persuading the latter to make friends with him He, thus, eleared the greatest hurdle that barred Alexander's path towards the interior of India and ensured his triumphant advance onwards, which, however, came to a standstill on the bank of the Hyphasis (Beas) due to reasons beyond the control of both Candragupta and Alexander Candragupta did all this not because of any attachment for Alexander but for his own sake, that is, for securing the throne of Magadha, when, therefore, his plan failed and Alexanders armies refused to move towards the realm of the Nandas and compelled him to beat a retreat, he took to another course which commenced with strengthening his alliance with Poros and uprooting what remained of Alexander's rule in the Panjab and entrenching his hold over it with the help of his esteemed colleague

- ¹ Mudraraksasa II P. 122 वाणघपमतिपरिगृहीतरेच दगुप्तपर्वतेदवरवले रुदधिभिरिव प्रत्रयोण्चलित्तालिने समताद्रपरुदम् तुभुमपुरम् ।
- * Parisistaparian of Hemacandra VIII, 290 299 षाणवयो हिमबल्कूट तवो, गात् सतिवेशनम् । यत्र प्वतकार्त्वेत नुरोण नह् सहिदम् ॥ च द्र गुरुतगुरुत्तके तत्पाहायत्रवास्य्या । तत्र परोव बाणववा नन्दमूत्यूत्र्य गायिषम् ॥ नदास्य मविभन्यावा गृहणीव आठरावित् । तत पर्वतनेगागि प्रस्वप्रधन स्ट्रद्र . ॥ स हि घाणाय युवनाडमूत् मन्नद्र इव बेगरी । Suthabodha ed Vuyoming SEN PP 57-58

हिमव तरुड मसिरचा पर्वमा रामा न दराज गम रामेन विभजमामो ।

CHAPTER VI

Historical Characters of the Maurya Period in the Mudrarakasa of Visakhadatta

1. Introductory remarks

The Madrārākļasa! of Višākhadatta is one of the few historical dramas of Sanskrit literature. The historical texture of its plot has

¹ The date of the composition of the Mudrarahsase is shrouded in uncertainty. Several scholars are inclined to place Vitakhadatia in the fourth century A. D., the period of Candragupta II Vikramāditya. [K. P. Jayaswal, Indian Antiquary (1913) pp. 265-67 ; Sten Konow, Indian Antiquary (1914) pp. 55 ff. Hillebrandt, Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenlandischen Gesellschaft [(1885) pp. 1130 ff. S. Srikantha Sastrin, Indian Instorical Quarterly (1931) pp. 163-69]. Jarl Charpentier takes him to be a contemporary of the Later Guptas. | Journal of the Royal Asiatie Society (1923) pp. 586 ff.]. In the bharatavälya of the drama, which is the main source of information about the date of the author, the readings "Dantivarman," "Rantivarman" and "Avantivarman" are also found instead of "Candragupta". The first two of these names are not known to us from any historical source, but two Avantivarntans are quite well-known in history : the Maukhari King Avantivarman, who ruled at Kanauj in the seventh century A. D. and whose son Grahavarman was married to Harşa's sister Rajyasri, and Avantivarman. King of Kasmira who flourished in the ninth century, K.H. Dhruva in the introduction to his edition of the Mudrārāksasa (pp.XXI,VII), V.J. Antani in Indian Antiquary [(1922) (pp. 49-51)] and R. S. Tripathi in his History of Kanauj p. 50 hold that the Avantivarman in question was the King of Kanauj, referred to above, whereas II. Jacobi W. Z. K. M. II, pp. 212-16] thinks that he was the king of Kasmira, mentioned, above. Jacobi has identified the eclipse, mentioned in the play, with that which occurred on December 2, 860 A. D. But as Dhruva has shown, the way in which the king of Kasmira is treated in the play precludes the possibility been interwoven with ingenious situations and tensions that heighten the dramatic quality of the epochal events depicted in it. Though the manipulation of the intrigues and stratagems through which

of any reference to Avantuvarman of Kasmira in the bharataeaha As a matter of fact, the whole argument of the ascription of the play to the time of one Avantuvarman is weak in view of Hillebrandt's opinion that the viriant Avantivarman is most probably a liter interpolation [S K De, l'isakhadatta, in B C Law Valume I, pp 50 ff]

With regard to the theory of the contemporaneity of Visakhadatta and Candragupta II Vikramaditya, some fucts and considerations deserve pointed emphasis In the *bhardi*vakya of the *Mudrarakiasa* (VII, 18) the Boar incarnation of Vismu is invoked and there is a pognant reference to the resting of the Earli goddess on the edge of his protructing tooth In the second line of this verse the king Candragupta is likened to the Boar-incarnation of Vismu in having supported the earth on his runs —

वाराहोगरमयोतेस्तनुभवनविद्यावास्यितस्यानुरूपा यस्व प्राख्यनकोटि प्रल्यपरिगता गिथिये भूतभात्री । म्ठेन्द्रैइढिज्यमाना भूजवुगमधुना सश्रिता राजमूते म श्रीमइन्यूमुर्यारचरमवत् मही पाषिवरच द्रगुर्था ॥

The idea underlying this verse has been rendered into stone in the Variha cave in the Udavagiri hill near Blulsa In this cave the robust and virile figure of the Boar-incarnation holding the frail and frightened body of the Earth goddess on his tooth is sculptured against the background of a multitude of small figures One leg of the figure is bent on a rock and the other is strughtened while the hands press the loins in the movement of according onwards. The pose and frame of the figure breathe a spirit of defiance and advance In the Udayagiri caves there is also an inscription engraved at the instance of Virasena, who states that he went there with Candragupta when the latter traversed those regions in course of his conquest of the whole earth [] I Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum III No 6, line 5 वृद्दनप्रदीजयायन राजवेह सहागत] It is thus, manifest that the visit of Candragupta, occasioned by his compaigns, gave a unique importance to the Udayagiri hill which throhbed with sculptural activity as a consequence of the

136

the political genius of the leading characters finds expression is purely the product of the dramatist's imagination, the bedrock of the play is historical in character and the dramalis personae, are, to a considerable extent, historical personage. Gänakya, Gandragupta

same. The image of the Boar-incarnation rescuing the earth appears as a national emblem of the campaigns of Candragupta. It is the iconographic representation of the spirit that moved the wars and expeditions of the early Guptas against the remnants of Saka rule and the anarchy of contending local chieftains. Hence it is in the fitness of things that this image has been dated about 400 A. D. [The Vakataka-Gupta Age, cd., Alte kar and Majumdar, p. 415]. The conception underlying and animating the bharatavakya, quoted above, unmistakably incorporates the spirit of the Boar-image associated with the reign of Candragupta II. Besides this, the expression Srimadbandhubhityah in the bharataväkya has been interpreted by K. P. Jayaswal to refer to the association of prince Bandhuvarman of Malwa with Candragupta. Conscious of the chronological difficulty involved in this synchronism, Jayaswal conjectures that Bandhuvarman liad come away to the court of Candragupta against the wishes of his father Visvavarman [Indian Antiquary (1917) p. 275]. This view is nothing more than a happy guess and cannot be pressed very far. The only fact that emerges from the above discussion is that the association of Candragupta with the Boar-incarnation in the bharalavakya suggests a striking resemblance with the image of the Varaha cave in the Udayagiri hill that is connected with the movements of Candragupta II.

Besides this consideration, the whole idea underlying the composition of the plays relating to the exploits of Candragupta Maurya fits in very aptly with the time of his namesake Candragupta II Vitramāditya. In addition to this, the fragments of Vitākhadatta's play Decieandragupta demonstrate that the author was connected with the court of Candragupta whose deeds he dramatised in an elegant manner. The writing of both these plays in the time of Candragupta Vikramāditya assumes a singular topical interest. S. V. Sohoni has conjectured that the name Rāksas in this play recalls that of Sikhara, he minister of Candragupta Vikramāditya, with the letters read in a reverse order. [S. V. Sohoni, The Mudrā of Rāţasa and the Nandas are well known historical names As regards Parvataka, the suggestion of F W Thomas and H C Seth that he is identical with Poros rests on a sound footing ¹ Besides these characters, there are some other personages in this drama to whose identification I want to invite the attention of scholars

2 Malayaketu

In the Mudrarāksasa if Canakya is posed against Rakşava, Candragupta has his antagonist in Malayaketu Malayaketu was the son of Parvataka and an ally of Candragupta But after the assassination of Parvataka at the instance of Cantkya, he sided with Raksasa in an effort to avenge the murder of his father At his disposal were the contingents of Kuluta, Malaya, Käsmira, Sindhu and Persia led by Cutravarman, Sinhanāda, Poskaraksa, Susena and Meghanada respectively But the machinations of Canakya folled

In Visakhadaita's Mudraraksasa in Journal of the Numismatic Society of India (1956) Vol XVIII P 1983 But this is a merc guess In short, among the theories of the age of Visäkhadatta, that which relegates him to the time of Candragupta Vikramaditya is most appealing and plausible

As regards the source of the plot of the Mudraraksasa, the oft-quoted expression of Dhanika, the commentator of Dhanañjaya's Dasarupaka, that the drama is based on the Brhathatha, has been ably refuted by C D Chattery [Some observations on the Brhaikatha and its alleged relation to the Mudraraksasa, in Indian Culture, Vol I, p 209] Besides this, the palm leaf manuscripts of the Dasarupaka with the Avaloka of Dhanika in the Covernment Oriental Manuscripts Library of Madras do not contain this expression Hence it is clearly a later interpolation and cannot be given any credence [V Raghavan, The Bihalkatha, the Mudrāraksasa and the Avaloka of Dhanika on the Dašarūpaka, abid, Vol I p 491] Therefore, it is futile to conclude that the characters of the Mudraraksasa, that are not found in the Bihathatha, t e, the Bihathathamanjari and Kathasaritsagara, are the creations of the playwright's imagination To investigate the authenticity and historicity of the characters of the Mudraralsasa, we have to ransack other sources

¹ F W Thomas, Cambridge History of India, Vol I, p 471, H C Seth 'On the identification of Poros and Parvataka' Indian Ilustorical Quarterly (1941) p 173 the designs of Malayaketu and brought about the rapprochement of Candragupta and Räksasa. As a result of these intrigues Malayaketu was captured and brought in the court of Candragupta.¹ By that time Räksasa had been won over to the side of Candragupta and at his instance his life was saved and his patrimony was restored.² Hence Malayaketu evidently returned to his dominion in the North-West together with his armies which included the contingents of Yavana mercenaries.

We know from the *History* of Diodoros (Ch. 34) that an Indian general named Keteus was killed in the great battle of Gabiené between Eumenes and Antigonus³. Keteus was in the army of Eumenes and the battle, in which he fell, took place in Iran in 316 B. C⁴ He had two wives and both offered to burn themselves on his pyre ; the matter was referred to the Greek generals who decided in favour of the burning of the younger wife, as the elder one was with child.

The presence of the Indian battalion led by Keteus in the army of Eumenes is significant from another point of view also. We know that Eudamus, the Thracian, was asked to assume charge of the Indian satrapy by Alexander when he was advancing into Karmania and heard the tidings of the murder of Philippus. Eudamus was a partisan of Eumenes and was therefore disfavoured by Antipater, the regent of Macedonia from 321 to 310 a. e., who appointed Pithon as his nominee. In 317 a. c. Eudamus left India to help Eumenes, and Pithon, who took the side of Antigonus, also left India about the same time. It is stated by Diodoros that Eudamus murdered Pores. As I have shown in my study of Pores, this event,

¹ पुरुष:-जेडु अग्जो । एमो क्लु महुमटमाउरावणप्यमुहेहि संजमिदकल्वरूणो मलअकेंद्र पबिहारमूमि उयदिव्दो । एदं सुणिय अग्जोष्पमाणम् ।

Mudraraksasa Act 7 Telang's edition, p. 313 :

- ² राससः--राजन् चन्द्रगुप्त, विदितमेव ते थया वयं मलयकेतौ कंचित् काल्मुपिता-स्तत्परिरदयन्तासस्य प्राणा: ।
- वाणपरः-प्रतिमानधितव्योऽमात्यराक्षसस्य प्रषयः प्रणयः । (युइषं प्रति) भद्र अस्म-ढचगाडुच्यन्तां मद्दभटप्रमुखा यया- 'अमात्यराक्षमेन विज्ञापितो देवदचन्द्रगुप्तः प्रयच्छति गरुपयेतवे पित्र्यमेव विषयम्' ।

Ibid , pp. 313-314

- ³ J. W. M'Crindle: The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p. 369.
- K. A. Nilakantha Sastri: Age of the Nandas and Mauryas, p. 103.

۰.

In all probability, refers to the assassination of Parvataka at the instigation of Canakya mentioned in the *Mudrarakiaa* According to the drama, Parvataka was murdered at Pataliputra. Hence it is likely that Eudamus with the Greek forces under him accompanied the armies of Candragupta and Poios to Pataliputra and was tipp ed by Canakya to murder that formidable rival of his protegé. But the drama shows that Canakya manipulated the affuris in such a way is to convince Mulayaletu that his father is death was due to the conspiracies of Ralsasa. Later on matters were composed in an amicable manner and Mulayaletu that his father is work a word to both West together with the Yavana forces that were probably accompanied and commanded by Eudamus¹ Thence Eudamus went to the help of Eumenes and it is quite possible that the Indin'n gene ral Ketcus went with him

The name Keteus corresponds to the Indian word 'Ketu', which recalls the name of Malayaketu In fact the word 'Ketu' has been used for Malayaketu in a pumpy verse of the Mudraraksaa' Hence the identity of Keteus and Malayaketu rests on a firm footing which is strengthened by the fact that among the Indian princes whose presence at the battle of Galuene may be traced in the reference to Keteus, Malayaketu answers best to the circumstances of the case, as shown above

The very name Malayaketu enshrines a quaint historical remuniscence. We learn from Arrian that Poros coveted the kingdom of the Ksudrakas and the Malavas who repulsed his attack³ and armed themselves to the teeth to guard their independence. The aliance of the Ksudrakas and the Malavas implied in the remark of Arrian recalls their confederate multityr atrangement referred to

- ² श्रूरप्रह सबेतुददद गपूर्णमण्डल्पिदानीम । ग्रमिभविनुमिच्छति बलात रदात्वेन तु युधयोग ।।
- * J W M Crindle The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p 115

¹ In the Mudraraksasa p 170 one Difgarita is stated to have figured in the retinue of Gandragupta and Parvataka This nime is manifestly non Indian and one is tempted to conjecture that it is a variant of the name of Eudamus, dim' and dam' bring phonetically similar and trala heing a suffix of foreign names as we gather from the Juna oxk Angaouja (ed Muni Punyavijaya) ch 26 pp 150 158

by Panini in the ganasūtra of IV, 2, 45 हुन्द्रमालवात् सेवासंतारमाम् I V.S. Agrawala has shown that this reference relates to the period preceding the invasion of Alexander.¹ It is quite likely that it alludes to the joint armies of these peoples who had come close to each other in the face of the menace of Poros. The prolonged emnity of Poros and the Mälavas probably lies at the basis of the naming of his son as 'Malayaketu'.³ Thus there is a singular historical appositeness in the name of Malayaketu.

The identification of Keteus and Malayaketu demonstrates his historical character and throws light on the later part of his life.

2. Balagupta

The Mudrārākļasa refers to a relative of Candrāgupta Maurya named Mahārāja Baladevagupta or Ealagupta. He is shown to be inclinet towards Malayaketn in view of the prospect of better fortune.³ Dut Gänakya won him over and strengthened his loyalty for Candragupta as of other leading persons. We learn from Jaha sources that the name of a ruler called Balabhada, who belonged to the Maurya dynasty and was ruling at Rājagnha, is counceted with the Third Schism (rinkasa) of the Jaina church caused by the disciples of Ajādhācārya in Seyavvija (Setavya) in 214 A.V.⁴ We also know from the same sources, especially the Tithogālfpaiņaga, that the Maurya dynasty was established in Magadha in 210 A.V. Thus Balabhadra of the Maurya dynasty was a contemporary of Candragupta Maurya who founded the Maurya Empire. This Balabhadra (Balabhadda) seems to have been a local administrative

1 V.S Agrawala: India as known to Panini, pp. 468-569.

In this connection it is necessary to remark that 'malaya' as used in the Mudrārakţasa is only a variant of 'mālava', since we do not know of any other tribe of the North-West whose name may correspond to the word 'unalaya'. It is definite from the Mudrarāksata that 'malaya' belonged to the northern group of peoples. In a reading in Hillebrandt's edition the expression मलयजनायियो is also found which strongly supports the identification of malaya with the Malavas or Malloi.

³ Mudrārākļasa Act III pp. 170-171.

देवसः स्वजनसंवेधी महाराजी बल्टदेवगुप्ताः यावेती डिङ्गरातवल्पगुप्ती तावप्परक्षत्तांसासिमूती स्वद्तं जीवनमबहुमन्यमानी तत्र बहु सम्यत इत्यपत्रस्य मत्यपत्रेनुमाधिती ।

* Shantilal Shah: The Traditional Chronology of the Jainas, p. 78.

officer under Candragupta Maurya He appears to have shown some interest in the ecclesiastical and liturgical disputes of the Jaina church, as the association of his name with the Third Schims shows. Considering the events of the time of Candragupta we are entitled to think that Mahārāja Balagupta, the relative of the great founder of the Maurya dynasty, mentioned in the *Mudrārāksas*, is identical with Balabhadda of the Maurya dynasty, who was a contemporary of Candragupta, according to Jaina traditions It is likely that Gandragupta appointed him as an administrative officer at Rājagriha

4. Virādhagupta

In the Mudrārāksasa Vırādhagupta is an officer under Rāksasa. He does the work of a spy in the guise of a snake-charmer.¹ We learn from the Duyāzadāna that Rādhagupta was a minister of Ašoka.² In the light of this information the name Vırādhagupta assumes some historical significance.

¢

¹ Mudrārāksasa Act II pp. 118-121.

² Divyāvadāna ed. Cowell pp. 373 ff.

GHAPTER VII

Fall of the Maurya Empire

1 Sources and authorities

After the passing away of Asoka we notice the disintegration of his mighty empire Of his successors we have confluent confluenting accounts in the Puränas, which differ, not only among themselves, but each among its different manuscripts Likewise, the Buddhist and Jaina sources are meagre and discrepant and, unfortunately for us, the classical sources also vouchsafe hitle light. This much all the Puranic accounts agree upon that the total duration of the Maurya empire is of 137 years, but, strange to say, the totals of the reigns, detailed therein, when added together, in no case agree with the aggregate of 137 In the Makya version given on page 27 of Pargiter's Dynaities of the Kali Age this total is 16 years, while in the E Vayu (Jones MS) version (thid p 28) it comes up to 240 years and in the Vayu and Brahmända versions, collated together by Pargiter, it is only 133 One Matya MS

¹ The Verses of the Kalijugarajavillanta were first eited by T Narayana Sastri in this work Age of Sankara Mr Krishnamachariar quoted them from this work Their historical value, as regards Gupta the period, was emphasized by B Bhattacharya in the paper, 'New Light on the history of the Imperial Guptas' Journal of the Bihar Research Society Vol XXX Part 1 March 1944 pp 1-47 But these verses are not found in the MS of the Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras, (Catalogue no 2160 P 1946) Prof Jagonath in a paper, 'The Kaliyagaraja-Vittanta and the Imperial Guptas,' Proceedings of the Indian History Congress Madras (1944) pp 119-124 has proved that the verses in question are spurious and were probably composed after the discovery of the Bhitari seal of Kumaragupta in 1889 In view of these considerations, the authenticity and authority of the verses of this text pertaining to the Mauryas should also be looked upon with doubt. The fact. that they assign a reign of 34 years to Candragupta and place Sunärsva after Asoka shows their doubtful character.

Literature gives this total as 300 years and is followed in this respect by the Kaliyagarajamilanda of the Bhavitsoilian Purana cited by that author The Mahavamisa is silent about India after Asoka The Disyasadana really goes further but the incredibility of its account is avouched by the simple fact that it describes Pusyamitra as a descendant of the Mauryas. As for the Jama sources, they end with Sampran

Now to give the names of the kings and the durations of their regins as recorded by various authorities, the Matija version given by Pargiter goes thus 1 Candragupta (no duration of his regin is given), 2 Asoka (36 years) (Bindusara is left out), 3 a relative (naptr) of Asoka (17 years), 4 Dasaratha (8 years), 5 Samprati (9 years), 6 Satadhanvan (6 years), 7 Brhadratha (70 years)

The 'E 'ayu' of Parguter gives this list in this way 1 Candri gupta (24 years), 2 Nandavara (25 years), 3 Asoka (36 years), 4 Kunala (3 years), 5 Bandhupalita (8 years), 6 Dašona (7 years), 7 Dašaratha (8 years), 8 Sampraiu (9 years) 9 Sahisula (13 years), 10 Devadharman (7 years), 11 Satadha usu (8 years), 12 Brhadratha (87 years)

The 'Vayu generally and the Brahmanda version runs as follows 1 Candragupta (24 years), 2 Bhadrasara (25 years), 3 Asoka (36 years), 4 Kunäla (8 years), 5 Bandhupalita (0 years), 6 Indrapaluta (10 years), 7 Devadhurman (7 years), 8 Satadhanus (0 years), 9 Bthadratha (7 years)

The list of the Bhasuryoltarapurana is as follows 1 Gandragupta (34 years), 2 Bindusara (28 years), 3 Aéoka (36 years), 4 Suparsia (8 years) 5 Bandhupatha (8 years), 6 Indrapahta (70 years), 7 Sangata (9 years), 8 Salistika (13 years), 9 Devadharman (7 years), 10 Satadhanus (8 years), 11 Bindratha (86 years)

Turanatha writes thus 1 Kunāli 2 Vigatasoka 3 , Virasena

The Divyavadana observes as follows ---

l Sumprati 2 Bihaspati 3 Vijsisena 4 Pusyadharman 5 Pusyamitra Kalhana in his Rajatarangini mentions Jalauka as the son and successor of Asoka in Kasmira and places after him Damodara about whose origin he himself is in doubt

Some of these later Maurya monarchs are referred to in old hterary works. These references vouch for their sound historical character About Dasaratha we know something from his dedicatory inscriptions in the Nägarjum caves About Samprati we learn much from the Jaina surnis, Tikas, narratives and poems like Hemacandra's Parisitaparoan, Jinaprabhasuri's Pataliputra-kalpa, the Bhadrabahucarita, the Dhammaethipayarana of Sriprabha, the Akkhanamanikasa of Nenucandrasuri, the Kumārava lapeducha of Somaprabhasuri, the Vitte of Malayaprabhasuri on the Joyantiprakarana or Jayanticarita of Manatungasuri ets as well as the Dryhodena About Salisuka we get important information from the Puzopurena of the Gargisamhida and about Brhadratha Bana's Harsconta gives us into esting details All of these four kings, as well as Kunala, are said to have ruled at Pätaliputra Hence we have no doubt as to their historicity All the Purana versions refer to Satadhami The Vaya generally and Brohmanda version names him as Satadhanu Another Vayu version refers to him as Satadharas The Visnu Purana calls hum Satadharman and the Bhagavala mentions him as Satadhanvan 1n the E-Vaya version his name figures as Satandhanu and in the Maiga version it occurs in the forms Satadhanvan, Sadadhanvan and Sudhanvan It appears that Satadhanu is the correct form of this name The unique concurrence of all the Purana versions vouches for the historicity of this monauch. According to the 'E-Vaya' and 'Vaya generally and Brahmanda' versions Satadhanu was the son of Devasarman or Devadharman who is said to have reigned for seven years These two names seem to refer to one and the same king. The Visua and <u>Bhageoala</u> versions and the MS of the *Matya Parana* ented by Krishnamachanar mention a king Somašarman in his place This name seems to be a mistake for Devadharman or Devavarman The *Matya* version describes Satadhanyan or Satadhanu as the son of Samprati This - Samprati is said to be the son of Dasaratha, which is manife the mistake, for all other authorities concur is describing Samprati as the son of Kunala and the grandson of Asoka We learn from Jaina and Buddhist sources that Samprati ascended the throne just after Asoha Hence it passes comprehension that Samprati's son was anointed after the lapse of the rule of two monarchs The probability rather is that the father of Satadhanu was Devadharman or Devavarman as the eogent and consistent testimony of the E Vayaand Vaya generally and Badhanada versions indicates Obviouslythe two aforesaid Purana versions carry greater weight than thesingle Matya version. Thus the historicity of Davadharman orDevavarman appears to be fairly certain

2 Chronological framework

The 'Matya, E Vayu and Vayu generally and Brahmanda versions agree that the total duration of the Maurya empire was 137 years ' We know for certain that Gandragupta reigned for 24 years Bindusara for 25 years and Asoka for 36 years. These three reigns thus covered a period of 85 years. If we take 321 so as the date of the accession of Gandragupta, the death of Akoka took place in 236 so i e 85 years later and the end of the Maurya dynasity occurred in 184 s c + e 137 years later (321-137-184) There fore, the time between the demise of Akoka and the extinction of the Maurya dynasty is (236 s c - 184 s c + 52 years. Let us see how this period of 52 years is covered

We have seen above that Buddhist³ and Jaina⁴ sources concur in stating that the successor of Aboka was Samprati The Matya and E Vayu versions of Pargiter assign to him a regin of 9 years An MS of Matya Puraha refers to him as Saptati The Vinu and Bhagauata Puranas place a king Sangata in his place. An MS of Bhagauata Purana calls him Samputa The MS of the Matya Purana cited by Krishnamachariar gives his name Sammati. All these variants

² Maisya version सत्तर्गित्राच्छत पूर्णं तेम्य दाङ्गान् गमिष्यति E-Vayu version सत्तत्रिंशच्छत पूर्णं तेम्य शुङ्गा भविष्यति Vayu generally and Brahmanda version सत्तत्रिंशच्छत पूर्णं तेम्य

³ Divyunidance ed Contell and New Ch XXIX p 426, Avadanakalpalata of Ksemendra 74th Pallava p 597

We know from the *Tuthogalipanna* that the Maurya dynasty Issted for 160 years But a set of old grithas incorporated in the *Vistatireni* of Merutunga informs us that this dynasty lasted for 108 years Hemacundra is silent about this fact. Thus, we observe that Jana traditions are extremely confused on this point. On the other hand the Purānas unanimously agree that the Maurya dynasty Issted for 137 years

शङ्गो गमिष्यति

Bihatkalpacurni, 22, Kalpakuranāvali, 165

presuppose the basic name Somprati All the versions, cited above, state that he ruled for 9 years

The identity of Dašaratha is established by his innerptions in the Nagārjum ences According to the Matiya and E-Vāgu versions and the MS of the Matiya Parāna, ented by Krishnamachariar, Dašaratha remained on the throne for 0 years. The Vinia Parāna places him after Suyašas and the Blagarata Parāna expressly calls. Simpruti the son of Suyasas. The MS of the Matiga Parāna, quoted by Krishnamachariar, calls Dasyratha the son of Kunāli. It appears that Suyašas and Kungala represent one and the same person, since both of them are mentioned as the father of Samprus in different sources.⁹ On this thowing Samprati and Disaratha seem to be bothers.

As regards Salisüka his name is found in the $iT_i V_{A} u^i$ version of Pargiter and the MS of the Matya Purāna quoted by Krishannumchariar I nithe Bhagarata Purāna his name occurs is Sulisha and in the Puruu Prana the variants of his name are Sālisuka, Salismāka, Salisula and Sulašuka I none Bhagarata MS the expression in question is Sālitūkatietatistaja and in another NIS called J-Bhāga.a'a it is Šāluūkatu Suraiah Here Sujašas is an epithet, just as it appensito be in the case of Kunah, as seen above This Šālistuka is said to have reigned for thirteen years.

Devadharman or Devavarman is mentioned in the *E-Väpu* and Papu generally and Brahmönda' lists of Pargiter We have referred toSomašannan mentioned in his place in the*Isinu*and*Bhägai*andPurānas and the MS of*Matya Purāna*quoted by KrishnamachariarHe is said to have reigned for 7 years in all the versions

Devadharman's or Devavyrman's son Satudhanu is said to have ruled for ϑ years in the 'E-l'qu' and 'l' ϑ u geverally and Brahmända' versions In the Mataya version her assuped a reque of 6 years But the reading saturmath is hopelessly corrupt. Its variants are Saturmath, satjamath and Padmathath. Ite appears to have reigned for 8 years

The son of Satadhanus was Brhadratha He is mentioned in all the versions of the Matsya, Vasu, Brahmanda, Visnu and Bhaga.ata

⁶ D R Bhandarkar holds that Suyasas was possibly in epithet of Kunila or, what is more probable, his personal name. [D R Bhandarkar, 'Asola and his Successors', A Comprehensive History of India Vol II, p. 43]

Purānas. In an MS of the Bhāgavata Purāna called CBhāgavata his name is Uhadratha and in an MS of the Vāyu-Purāna it figures as Vihadāskvas. But it is quite elear that the correct form is Bihadratha. According to the 'Vāyu generally and Brahmāņāa' version he reigned for 7 years. Of course, there are two variants of sapta, sama and samu, but they make no sense. In the Matiya version the reading is saptatāti meaning 70, but the variant 'saptazā' is quite clear and plausible. Pargiter suggests that 'saptatātā' is a misreading for 'sapta vaī'. The soundness of this view is avouched by the fact that a reign of 70 years is precluded by the period of 52 which intervenes between the death of Asoka and the end of the Maurya dynasty, as seen above. Likewise, the reign of 87 years assugned to him in the E-Vāyu version is quite improbable and implausible. The tradition of his reigning for 7 years is sound,

The result of the aforesaid enquiry is tabulated as follows :--

Samprati		9	years	
Dašaratha		8	,	
Śālišūka		13	,,	
Devadharman or Devavarman	•••	7	**	
Śatadhanu		8	,,	
Brhadratha	•••	7	**	
Total	•••	52	years	

The aforesaid six kings reigned for a period of 52 years. As we have seen above, the period between the death of Aśoka and the end of his dynasty is 52 years. Our investigation shows that the aforesaid six kings reigned for exactly 52 years. Thus the consensus of Puranic authority bears out the chronology given above.

We have seen above that Samprati came to the throne just after the death of Asoka⁶ and Brhadratha was admittedly the last Maurya monarch. All the Puranic sources agree that Satadhanu

⁶ D. R. Bhandarkar holds that the successor of Ašoka was Dašaratha. (A Comprehensive History of India Vol. II, p. -13). Romila Thapar suggests that the successor of Ašoka in the East at Pataliputra was Dašaratha and in the North-West and Katmira was Kunāla and after him his son Samprati (Afoka and the Daellice of the Manyas, p. 189). But, this view is incorrect because all historical traditions clearly point out that Samprati was the successor of Ašoka and dubat the ruled in the eastern part₆, of his empire. We shall examine this yiew later.

was the father of Brhadratha and, as we observed, Devadharman or Devavarman was the father of Satadhanu and preceded him. Dasaratha and Salisūka came between Samprati and Davadharman or Devavarman. We have seen above that, according to the MS of Matsyapurana, cited by Krishnamachariar, Dasaratha was the son of Kunāla. The 'Vayu generally and Brahmanda' version assigns a reign of 8 years to Kunāla. The Visnu and Bhagavaia-Puranas call the successor of Asoka Suyasas. The Bhagarala-Purana describes Sam-prati as the son of Suyasas. As Samprati was manifestly the son of Kunāla, it follows that Kunāla and Suyasas are identical. In this way Dasaratha and Samprati were real brothers. As Samprati succeeded Afoka, Dataratha seems to have followed him. It appears that Samprati was elder than Dasaratha and that on his death the succession passed to his younger brother. Thus, Samprati was followed hy Dašaratha? and Brhadratha was preceded by Satadhanu and the latter by Devadharman or Devavarman. Inevitably, therefore, Salisuka, the only remaining later Maurya monarch, comes after Dasaratha and before Devadharman or Devavarman. Thus, in terms of the Christian era our chronology settles down as follows :--

B.G. , 236-Ašoka's death and accession of Samprati.

- B.C. 227—The end of Samprati's reign and the accession of Dasaratha.
- B.C. 219—The end of Daşaratha's reign and the accession of Sälişüka.
- B.C. 206—The end of Sälisäka's reign and the accession of Devadharman or Devavarman.
- B.C. 199-The end of Devadharman's or Devavarman's reign and the accession of Satadhanu.
- B.C. 191—The end of Satadhanu's reign and the accession of Brhadratha.
- B.C. 184—The assassination of Brhadratha and the Coup d' état of Pusyamitra and the end of the Maurya dynasty.

3. The question of Kunala.

Let us now consider the question of Kunåla who is regarded by Brähmana, Buddhist and Jaina traditions as the son and successor of Asoka. In the ' $E \cdot V \bar{a} y u$ ' version one Kulåla is said to have

⁷ Matsya Purāņa says that Ašoka was followed by his grandson and the latter by Dašaratha. Pargiter, op. cit.

reigned for 8 years after the death of Ašoka. According to Pargi-ter, Kulāla is an easy misreading of Kunāla. In the 'Papu generally and Brahmanda' version also Kunāla is expressly stated to have reigned for 8 years after Ašoka. The reading in the Brahmända Purāna is Kušāla and in the B. Vāyn-Purāna. Nušāla. The Visnu and Bhagavata Puranas call Asoka's son Suyasas. In the Matsya-Purana we read Satiriniatiu sama rajā bavitājoka eva ca. Saptānām daļa versām taya nopia ikasiyati. In an MS of this Purāņa, called P, the variant of 'saptānām' is 'suyaiā'. The Dieyāvadāna lets us know that the real name of Kunāla was Dharmavivardhana and the sobriquet Kunāla was given to him because he was as sweet-tongued as the Kunāla bird.⁹ It appears that Suyašas was another sobriquet of this prince for in the Bhāgavata Purāņa he is said to be the father of Samprati whereas in Buddhist and Jaina texts Kunäla is known as his father. F W. Thomas identified Kunāla (Suyašas) with Kustana, mentioned in Tibetan legends as the son of Ašoka, who colonised Khotan.9 This view is corroborated by Hui-li, the biographer of Hiuen T'sang, who says that "the first ancestor of the king of Khotan was the eldest son of king Asoka and resided in his kingdom of Taksasila." Fa-hien also mentions a certain Fa-yi (Dharmavivardhana) as reigning in Afghanistan under Ašoka.¹⁰ As said above, Dharmavivardhana and Kunāla are identical, B. M. Barua goes a step further and identifies Kunåla with prince Tivala,¹¹ son of Käruväki, mentioned in the famous Queen's Edict inscribed on the Sanci pillar. We know that Kunåla was blinded as a consequence of the intrigues of his step-mother Tisyaraksitä. Not only is this incident mentioned in the Dizyāvadāna. the Parisistaparvan and other texts. 12 but Hiven T'sang also lends

- ⁹ Cambridge History of India Vol. 1, p. 507.
- 10 James Legge, Fa-hien's Record of Buddhistic Kingdoms, p. 31.
- ¹⁷ B. M. Barua Aloka and his instriptions, p. 54. But K. A. Nilakanta Sastri holds that Tivara must be presumed to have predeceased his father. The Age of the Nondas and Mauryas, p. 243.
- ¹³ Disydauadana, p. 408 याबद्राज्ञा तित्यरसितामे सन्ताह राज्य दत्ता तस्या बुदि इत्पन्ना । इदानी मयास्य कुनावस्य वरं निर्याधितव्यम् । तया कपटलेखो निवितः तक्षणितकाना पीराणां कुनावस्य नयनं विनादाधितव्यमिति Nifitha-carpi, pp. 180-181 प्रमोगरन्ता चितित्य इदाणी कुपारी धणुवेवा continued on bace 151

³ Divyāvadāna, op. cit p. 406.

his authority to it. He states that "the eldest son of Ašokaraja, when dwelling in Taksasila, having had his eyes put out, the king Atoka was very angry, and sent deputies to order the chief of the tribes dwelling there to be transported to the north of snowy mountains and to establish themselves in the midst of a desert valley."13 It was they who colonised Khotan. Thus, we find that Kunala was in reality the son of Asoka and the episode of his blindness is not without any substance of truth. He seems to have been appointed by Ašoka as the governor of Takşasilā for quelling the insurrection of the people. He won the confidence of the people and reigned over the Panjab and the North-Western Provinces including Afghanistan with peace and success,¹⁴ But due to the machinations of his step-mother Tisyaraksitä, who harboured rancour for him, he was blinded and deposed. Consequently he could not succeed to the throne on account of his infimity though his title and right was fully recognized. But the

continued from page 150

भवादिराण कथाजोगा ततो भगोगरछा सपमेव मेहे लिहिता इदांणी स्राधियतो । कुमारः कला इति लिहितं । रप्रा सणाभोगेणं कुमारस्म य कम्मोदवेण भवितय्वताए स्रागरस्तउर्बार विन्दु पडितो, केति भणनित राय लिहिट स्रांबतियं लिह पोर्सु पच्छा भरे पविश्यो पच्छंतरे व मादिगव्वतीए सणुवाएउ स्रागरस्पूर्वार सिन्द्र कटा । Paristiobaroan of Hemacandra IX, 10-20, pp. 260-261.

(वगःभुतृश्वमः का inemacandra 1.२, 10+20, pp. 200-201 सतौ राजा कुमारायातिजलके से स्वर्म स्वयम् । माइटनं मुखवीयाव यत्कुमारोर प्रधीवड ॥ सगस्ती जननी तत्र कुणातस्य निरोट्ट्रा । राज्ञ: पार्स्ताटुपादाय तं तु लेखम्बाचयत् ।। निष्टीयन्त्राध्निज्या ने दोजन्जात्रक्य ।

भाकृष्य कज्जलं नेयादकारि विन्दकं ददो ।

The Buddhist and Jaina accounts differ on this point. Whereas, according to the former, Tisyaraksitä issued the order of blinding in her capacity of reigning queen, according to the latter, she inserted the dot over 'adhyatam' by foul play. But both these traditions agree that Kunäla was blinded through the machinations of the ambitious queen Tisyaraksitä.

¹³ Samuel Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World Vol. 2, pp. 309-310.

¹⁴ Divyāvadāna, pp. 407-8.

Purāņas assign a reign of 8 years to him. Thus, "his position," to quote H. C. Raychoudhury, "was probably like that of Dhrita-rästra of the Epic, and though nominally regarded as the sovereign he was physically unfit to carry on the work of administration, which was presumably entrusted to his favourite son Sampratí, who is described by Jaina and Buddhist writers as the immediate successor of Asoka,"13 This position accounts for the confusion in the number of Maurya kings in certain Puranic versions. The "E-Vaju" and the "Vaju generally and Brahmanda'1" versions state that the total number of Maurya kings was 9. This figure tallies with the number arrived at by us in this study. We have seen that six kings ascended the throne of the Mauryas after Ašoka. Adding the names of Candragupta, Bindusāra and Ašoka to that number we get the figure 9. But some versions 17 state that the number of Maurya kings was 10. It appears that these versions are based on that tradition which ascribed a separate reign to Kunāla. But since the so-called reign of Kunāla and that of Samprati overlapped, the former being unable to carry on the administra-tion due to his blindness, the correct tradition of 9 Maurya kings became current. In fact, Kunāla had no separate or independent . reign.

Romila Thapar in her thesis Asoka and the Decline of the Mauryas (Oxford 1961) p. 189 has suggested that Jälauka mentioned by Kalhana is Kunāla. It is due to an error or variation in Brāhmi script that Kunāla has become Jālauka. He succeeded Ašoka in Kasmira and the North-West whereas Dasaratha succeeded him in the East at Päțaliputra. Jālauka or Kunāla was followed by his son Samprati in Kaimira and the North-West. Subsequently after the death of Dasaratha Samprati ascended the throne at Pāțaliputra also

¹⁵

H. C. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India, p. 291 E. Vayu, इत्येत नवमीर्यास्तु ये भोट्यन्ति वसुन्धराम् A variant of 16 नवमीर्या: is नर स्लीर्या: "Varu generally and Brahmanda" version इत्येते नव मौर्या वै भोध्यन्ति च वसुन्धराम्

Malsya Purana : इत्यते दश मौर्यास्तु ये भोध्यन्ति वसून्धराम् 17 Visnu Purana : एवं मौर्या दश्च भपतयो भविषयत्ति झटदशतं सप्तविशदुत्तरम् Bhāgavala Purāņa मौर्या होते देश नृपाः सप्तत्रिशच्छनोत्तरम् । ममा भोक्ष्यन्ति पृषिवी कलौ, कुष्कुलोदह ।। quoted in Pargiter, Dynasties of the Kali Age, p. 26-27.

and united the two parts of the Maurya empire. This view is untenable for the following reasons: (1) the identity of Jälauka and Kunāla is based on a mere presumption. As will be shown latter, the name Jälauka has a historical background and Kalhana followed some definite historical tradition on this point, howsoever confused it might have been. (2) According to Kalhana, the successor of Jälauka was Dāmodara; whèreas, Kunāla is known to have been followed by Sampati, unknown to Kalhana. (3) All Indian traditions state that Kunāla met Ašoka at Pāţaliputta and that Samprati was reigning there. According to the DiryLendāna, Sampadi (Samprati) interfered with the gifts of Ašoka to the Buddhist Church in his last days at Pāţaliputta. Jain traditions associate him with Ujjain. (4) Jain traditions particularly Hemacandra clearly say that Samprati ruled over one half of India only and the Decean and hereby preclude the possibility of the empire being united through him. (5) According to Rumila Thapar's chronology given at page 196 of her book Samprati ruled for 9 years after Dašaratha's reign of 8 years. The Purāŋas say that Kunāla reigned for U years. So Kunāla and Dašaratha died at the same time. How could Samprati first reign in North-West and then later at Păţaliputra? (5) In the North-West Kharozhi, hon Brahmi, was used.

4. The reference to other kings.

We have seen that the total number of Maurya kings was 9 or 10, if we include Kunāla in it. But the list of 'E-Vāya' version contains 12 names. The names of Bandhupālita and Datona are extra in it. Obviously, there is some overlapping here for this figure of 12 is contradicted by the number 9, which, according to this version, signifies the aggregate of Maurya kings. In the 'Vâya' gen rally and Erahmanda' version Bandhupālita is described as the son of Kunāla and is said to have reigned for 8 years and Indrapālita is stated to have succeeded Bandhupālita and exercised sovereignty for 10 years. The variants of the expression' 'daiahānirdropālitā' are daimānīndrapālitā' 'daiāanānindrapālitā' tadiāmānandra-pālitā. Pargiter suggests daiābdānindrapālitā. In this version Baudhupālita and Indrapālita occupy the places of Samprati and Daiaratha. In it the names of these two important monarchs are compicuous by absence. But their historicity is avouched by the 'E-Vāyu' and Mataya versions as well as by Buddhist and Jaina traditions and the Nāğa'jainin eğigraphs respectively. Hence the names of Bandhupālita and Indrapālita appear to have been substituted for them following some other cognate tradition. The names of these kings do not figure in the Malsya version and the name of Indrapalita is missing in the 'E-Vayu' list. According to the 'Vayu generally and Brahmanda' version their reigns are said to have lasted for 18 years while the reigns of Samprati and Dataratha were of 17 years. This figure of 18 does not accord with the chronology of Maurya kings, while that of 17 exactly fits into it. Thus, it is clear that Bandhupalita and Indrapalita or Datona did not belong to the direct Maurya line of succession. It appears that these personages were princely governors who tried to pose as independent rulers. The 'E-Vaju' version clearly shows that their names were wantonly imposed on the Maurya genealogy. With their usual lack of historical sense the chroniclers of the Varu and Brahamanda Puranas described them as successors of Asoka and Kunāla. Little did they realise that they were ignoring other authentic traditions supported by independent Jaina and Buddhist accounts inducating that Samprati was the successor of Asoka.

5. The problem of partition.

At one time Dr. V. A. Smith expressed the view that there was a formal division of the Maurya empire after Atoka but in the third edition of his *diola* he admits that the hypothesis that . Asoka left two grand-sons, one Dašaratha succeeding him in the eastern and the other Samprati in the western dominions, is little more than a guess.¹⁴ F W. Thomas almost reiterated the view of V. A. Smith and observed that the extreme confusion reigning in the legends is probably to be explained by a division of the empire beginning after Samprati.¹³ But we have no evidence in support of this thesis. Likewise, the view of Romila Thapar that there was a partition of the empire between Kun3la and Dašaratha does not carry conviction, as shown above.

As a matter of fact, there was a division of the empire but not between Samprati and Daśaratha as held by Smith and others. The Rajataranguri of Kalhana lets us know that the successor of Asoka in Kaśmira launched an expedition and conquered the country up to Kanauj.³⁰ On the other hand, the Parisitaparatan of Hema-

जित्वोधीं काम्यकुब्जाद्यां तजस्यं म न्यवेशयत् । चात्यंण्यं निजे देखे धम्यादेच व्यवहारिणः ॥

¹⁸ V. A. Smith, Asoka (3rd ed.) p. 70.

¹⁹ F. W. Thomas, Cambridge History of India Vol. I, p. 512

²⁰ Rajatarangini I. p. 117

candra informs us that Samprati ruled over one half of India in-cluding the South²¹ Thus, it is clear that the region up to Kānyakubja was under the sway of the successor of Asoka in the North-West and the country to the east of Kanyakubja was ruled over by Samprati. In this way there was a division of the Maurva empire.

6. The North-Western Scene: Virasena and Subhagasena.

We have seen above that the North-Western half of India seceded from the Maurya empire after the death of Asoka. This region with Taksasila as its seat of government had revolted thrice in the hey-day of the Maurya empire due to the tyranny of its officers. After the removal of the strong hand of Asoka from the state its people found a convenient opportunity to overthrow the régime of Maurya officers. We learn from Polyhius that a king named Sophogsenus ruled in north-western India at the time of Antiochus the Great. This king was of considerable importance and standing as is manifest from the fact that Autlochus was his old friend and renewed his traditional friendship with him and courted him on terms of equality. Polyblus states: "He (Antiochus the Great) crossed the Caucasus and ~ descended into India, renewed his friendship with Sophogsenus the king of the Indians; received into increaled and is opposed and is a logerher and, having once more provisioned his troops, set out again personally with his army leaving Androöthenes of Oyzicus, the duty of taking home the treasure, which, this king had agreed to hand over to him."21

We know that Antiochus had marched to the East to suppress the nascent power of the Bactrian Greeks. About 256 B.C. Diodotus had revolted against the Seleucid authority. About 248 p.c. his son

21 Parisistaparvan IX, 54.

कमेण साधयामास भारतार्धं सदक्षिणम् ।

प्रचण्डदासनरवाभूत पाकरासनसन्तमः ॥ 23 Polibius XI, 39 translated in J. W. Merindle, Ancient India as described in Classical Literature and cited in H. C. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India (5th edition) p. 361. D. R. Bhandarkar holds that "Subhagasena must therefore be taken to be an epithet of the Maurya emperor Sallisuka who was reigning in 206 B. C." [A Comprehensive History of India Vol. II, p. 45.] But this is little better than a happy guess for there is not an iota of evidence in support of it.

Diodotus II succeeded him. Both these rulers, however, issued coms in the name of Antiochus. About 235 p.c. Diodotus II met his end at the hands of Euthydemus I. Polybius observes that "after others had revolted Euthydemus possessed himself of the throne of Bactria by destroying their descendants."23 Euthydemus strengthened his hold over Bactria and ruled as a powerful sovereign. In 208 B c. Antiochus III moved against the Parthians and after receiving their submission advanced to reclaim the allegiance of Bactria. He took the highroad to Bactria, crossed the river Arius (Hari-rud) at night as Alexander did at Hydaspes and inflicted a defeat on Euthydemus who retired to his capital Zariaspa (Baetra). Antiochus laud siege to the capital which lasted for two years. In course of this prolonged conflict the Seleucid monarch banked on his old friendship with the Maurya king Sophagsenus or Subhagasena and evidently derived much benefit from his assistance. In the meantime, the pressure of the nomads of Central Asia became unbearable to Euthydemus and compelled him to come to a compromise with Antiochus through the good offices of Teleas. As a result of the settlement the Seleucid king retired to his realm and promised to marry his daughter to Euthydemus' son Demetrius. Tarn holds that the fact that the first overtures towards peace came from him and he surrendered his elephants shows that he acknowledged ' Seleucid sovereignty though it soon became a dead letter.24 After this encounter with Euthydemus Antiochus crossed the Hindu Kush, renewed his friendship with Subhagasena, received more elephants from him and passing through Arachosia and Drangiana reached Carmania and the western shores of the Persian Gulf. The expression "renewed his friendship" used by Polybius indicates that Antiochus was already on friendly terms with Subhagasena. As suggested above, he sought the assistance of the Maurya ruler during the war with Euthydemus and at the conclusion of hostilities with him comented his alliance with this ruler by paying a visit to his kingdom and taking from him elephants to meet the contingencies of the way. In token of his friendship the Indian ruler made monetary offerings to the royal guest which his officer Androsthenes took to the capital. Lassen remarked that "Subhagasena also engaged in this league as a protection from Euthydemus whose power

²³ Polybius X1, 29.

²⁴ W.W. Tarn: The Greeks in Battria and India, p. 82.

had already manifested itself to the south of the Caucasus."25

Subhägasena seems to be the successor of Virasena, who came to the throne after Asoka, according to Taranatha.26 It appears that after the secession of the north-western half of India from the Maurya empire after the death of Ašoka, Virasena entrenched his hold over it while the other eastern and southern half of the country passed under the domination of Samprati. It is not unlikely that Virasena beloged to the Maurya family, as indicated by Taranatha, This ruler maintained the old contacts and alliances with the Scleucids and preserved the integrity of the north-western marches. According to the Rajatrangini of Kalhana, a wide-spread raid of the Mlecchas occurred in Kasinira at that time,27 It is likely that these Mlecchas represented or included the Bactrian Greeks who had set up an independent kingdom in Bactria by challenging the Seleucid authority about the middle of the third century B.c. The reference to a widespread intrusion and upsurge of the Mlecchas (mleechaschaduamandalah) in the Rajatarangini becomes intelligible only in the context of the rise and expansion of the Baetrian Greeks,28 A. K. Narain thinks that the Greeks did not penetrate as far as Kasmira at that time.29 But we know of no other power than the Bactrian Greeks that could be so powerful as to swoop over Kaimira and spread havoc there. There is nothing inherently improbable in the occurrence of this Greek raid, However, it is quite likely that some other exotic elements may have joined hands with these people in course of the invasion. It is

- ²⁵ Christian Lassen: "Points in the History of the Greek and Indo-Scythian Kings in Bactria, Kabul and India as illustrated by deciphering the ancient legends on their coins" translated by Roer in Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal (1840).
- ²⁸ A. Schiefner, Geschichte der Buddhismus in Indien, pp. 50-52; V. A. Smith, Early History of India, p. 236; F. W. Thomas, Cambridge History of India 1, p. 512; K.A. Nikkanta Sastri, The Age of the Nandas and Mauryas, p. 246; J. N. Banerji, A Comprehensive History of India 11, p. 148. The similarity of the ending 'sena' in these names is a strong point in favour of the view.
- *7 Rajatarangini I, 115

म हत्त्वान्यसुधान्म्लेच्छान्निर्धास्याखर्यविकमः । जिगाय जैत्रयात्रामिर्महोमर्णवमेखलाम ।।

- 28 Radha Kumud Mookerji, Age of Imperial Unity, p. 9.
- 19 A. K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks, pp. 9-10.

mount monarch in the whole of the North-West. He seems to have repelled and checked the Bactrians by cultivating friendly relations with their Scleucid overlords. He bequerathed a strong and prosperous kingdom to his successor Subhägasena who played a momentous part in the events of the war of the Selencids and the Bactrians, as said above.

Kalhana observes that the successor of Aioka in Kasimira ushered in the Brahmanical Renaissance by rehabilitating the old Varnäsrama dharma. His preceptor is said to have been an erudite philosopher who had defeated an assembly of puffed-up Buddhist delators who were powerful in those days. He is also stated to have established a stable andministration based on the 18 departments of the state inaugurated by Yudhisthira. But the kingdom did not attain development as it should have by means of trade, wealth and the like and its administration was like that of an ordinary state. According to Kalhana, the king inaugurated a policy of militant ŝaivism and persecuted the Buddhists and demolished their Vihāras.

Kalhana mentions a successor of Jālauka named Dāmodara who was a ŝaiva like him. But he does not specify their relationsip. He is even doubtful whether he belonged to the house of Jälauka. As Jālauka seems to be a doubtful name Dimodara also appears to be based on vague tradition. The paramount chiefs in the North-West were Virasena and Subhägasena. It was they who guarded the northerm-westera marches and acted as bulwark against the Greeks. Their power and polley preserved the integrity of the western half of the Maurya empire for about half a century. Probably these monarchs issued the punch-marked coins which examp a parameter and acted and discovered at Takşašilā and many other uninscribed copper coins, which were struck before the advent of the Indo-Greeks, may be attributed to this period.²³

After the return of Antiochus and his entanglement in a war with Macedonia, in which he suffered a severe reverse, the Bactrian Greeks

³³ John Allan, British Museum Catalogue of coin, Ancient India p. NOXXXIX; Allan's note in J. Marshall's Tavila Vol. 11, p. 855.

mount monarch in the whole of the North-West. He seems to have repelled and checked the Bactrians by cultivating friendly relations with their Seleucid overlords. He bequeathed a strong and prosperous kingdom to his successor Subhägasena who played a momentous part in the events of the war of the Seleucids and the Bactrians, as said above.

Kalhana observes that the successor of Ašoka in Kašmira ushered in the Brahmanical Renaissance by reliabilitating the old Varnäşrama dharma. His preceptor is said to have been an erudite philosopher who had defeated an assembly of pulfed-up Buddhist dehators who were powerful in those days. He is also stated to have established a stable administration based on the 18 departments of the state inaugurated by Yudhisthira. But the kingdom did not attain development as it should have by means of trade, wealth and the like and its administration was hke that of an ordinary state. According to Kalhana, the king inaugurated a policy of militant Saivism and persecuted the Buddhists and demolished their Vihāras.

Kalhana mentions a successor of Jalauka named Dāmodara who was a Śaiva like him. But he does not specify their relationship. He is even doubtful whether he belonged to the house of Jalauka. As Jālauka seems to be a doubtful name Dāmodara also appears to be based on vague tradition. The paramount chiefs in the North-West were Virasena and Subhägasena. It was they who guarded the northern-western marches and acted as bulwark against the Greeks. Their power and policy preserved the integrity of the western half of the Maurya empire for about half a century. Probably these monarchs issued the punch-marked coins which eannot now be identified. But the coins bearing the legend negame, Paizanekama and Miraātame discovered at Takşašilā and many other uninscribed copper coins, which were struck before the advent of the Indo-Greeks, may be attributed to this period.¹²

After the return of Antiochus and his entanglement in a war with Macedonia, in which he suffered a severe reverse, the Bactrian Greeks

³³ John Allau, British Museum Catalogue of coin, Ancient India p. NCNXNIN; Allan's note in J. Marshall's Taxila Vol. 11, p. 855.

began to expand their realm and encroach on the Indian Provinces of the North-West.

It is also held that there was a separate branch of the Mauryas in Khotan. Hiuen T'sang reports that under Kunāla there was an exodus of his followers from Taksasila which resulted' in the colonisation of Khotan and the Tibetan sources, translated by Rockhill in his Life of Buddha, show that the Maurya line of Khotan , assumed independence after Asoka and Kunāla's successors there . were Vijayasambhava, Vijayavirya, Vijayasimha and Vijayakirti. The name Vijaya occurs in the ruling dynasty of Khotan, mentioned in the documents, discovered by Sir Aurel Stein. In document No. 661 there is a reference to Khotan's Maharaya Rayaliraya Hinasha Amiidasiniha.34 But it is doubtful if these rulers belonged to the Maurya dynasty. Some of them are brought into relationship with the Kusānas. As regards the use of the Kharosthi script and the north-western dialect of Präkrit there, it was the result of Indo-Scythian influence and domination, as suggested by Sir Aurel Stein35 and Sten Konoy, 56 Thus, it cannot be confidently asserted that the conquest of the Khasa country by Asoka referred to in the Divyavadana signifies the colonisation of Khotan by the Mauryas, mentioned in Buddhist traditions. It may stand for the subjugation of the Khasa people living on the borders of Kaśmira,

son Samprati.³⁷ According to the *Dinjāpadāna*, he was designated as the crown prince. When Asoka wanted to gift away his kingdom to the Buddhist Order the remonstrated at the instance of the ministers. But the king did make an expression of his intention to donate the kingdom. Hence on coming to the throne Samprati redeemed the kingdom by paying an appreciable sum of morey to the Buddhist Order.³⁸ All this information is contained in legends whose historicity is not immune from fictitious elements. But they undoubtedly show that Asoka was succeeded on the imperial throne by Samprati.

8. Samprati (236 p.c. - 227 p.c.)

.We have seen above that the death of Asoka was followed by a turmoil. The north-western people shook off the imperial

37 Bthat-Kalpacurni 22

कि काहिति प्रंथश्रो रज्जेणं कुणालो भणति । सम पुरोरियं संमत्ति नाम कुमारो दिन्न रज्जे ।। Kalpakiraņāralī 165

तस्य मुतः कुणालस्तन्तन्दनस्त्रिखण्ड भोक्ता संप्रति-नामा भूपतिरभूत् जातमात्र एव पितामहदत्तराज्यः । Parifistaparcan IX, 50-51 pp. 263-264.

प्रपच्छाशोकराजोऽपि कदोत्पेदे सुतस्तव । सम्प्रत्येवेत्यकप्यत्तुणालोऽपि इत्ताञ्जलिः ॥ .तदैव तमद्योकश्री: समानाययदर्भकम् । नामापि सम्प्रतिरिति तस्याक्रत क्रतोत्सवः ॥

38 Divyavadāna p. 426

सस्पिंदच समये कुनालस्य सम्बदि साम पुत्री योवराज्ये प्रवर्तते । तस्यामार्लोरमिहितम् । कुमार व्ययोको राजा स्वल्पकालावस्या-योति । इदं च द्रव्यं कक्टूंगरामं प्रेप्यते । कांग्रवलिनस्च राजानो । यावल्कुमारेण भाष्ठ्यागारिकः प्रतिविद्धः । यावरमा-त्वैरचलत्रकोट्यो भावल्कुसाने दत्ता. पूर्विवी निरोटमं सम्पदि राज्ये प्रतिव्यपितः ।

Ksemendra, Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā Pallava 74, Verses 8-12

दाने निषिद्धं पौत्रेण संधाय पृषिद्योपतिः । भेषय्यानमत्तरत्यार्थं ददी सर्वरस्तां गतम् ॥ प्रस्थानपण्णवतिकीटियुत्तर्णदाने साते दिवं नरपतानव तस्य गोत्रः । योपेण प्रत्यिवस्या शिदनिषाखाद्य, इस्पर्ट क्यां जनवन्नीटिचनुष्टयेन ।

161

began to expand their realm and encroach on the Indian Provinces of the North-West.

It is also held that there was a separate branch of the Mauryas in Khotan. Huuen T'sang reports that under Kunala there was an exodus of his followers from Taksasila which resulted in the colonisation of Khotan and the Tibetan sources, translated by Rockhill in his Life of Buddha, show that the Maurya line of Khotan assumed independence after Asoka and Kunāla's successors there were Vnayasambhava, Vijayavirya, Vijayasimha and Vijayakirti. The name Vuava occurs in the rubner dynasty of Khotan, mentioned in the documents, discovered by Sir Aurel Stein In document No. 661 there is a reference to Khotan's Mahārāya Rāyālirāya Hinajha Avijidasimha.33 But it is doubtful if these rulers belonged the Maurya dynasty. Some of them are brought into ta relationship with the Kusānas As regards the use of the Kharosthi script and the north-western dialect of Präkrit there, it was the result of Indo-Scythian influence and domination, as suggested by Sir Aurel Stein35 and Sten Konow,36 Thus, it cannot be confidently asserted that the conquest of the Khasa country by Asoka referred to in the Divyavadana signifies the colonisation of Khotan by the Mauryas, mentioned in Buddhist traditions. It may stand for the subjugation of the Khasa people living on the borders of Kasmira,

7. The Eastern Theatre.

We have observed that Asoka's son Kunäla was blinded owing to the intrigues of a queen of Asoka. As tradition has it, the blund prince Kunäla acquired proficency un music and in one of his tours in the East attracted the attention of the emperor. Pleased with his performance, the king promised to grant him a boon, according to his desire. He demanded a cowrie (kälän!) and interpreted it as kingdom in royal terminology. Then he gave his full introduction. Moved with feeling the king asked as to what he will do with the kingdom being unable to govern it owing to his blindness. The blind pincer pointed to his new and named his grand-King was greatly pleased with this news and named his grand-

³⁴ Aurel Stein, Ancient Khotan Vol. I, p. 366

³⁵ Aurel Stein, Serindia, p. 143

³⁶ Sten Konow, Corpus Inscriptionum Inducarum Vol. II Part I Introduction, p. 76

son Samprati.³¹ According to the Displandona, he was designated as the crown prince. When Asoka wanted to gift away his kingdom to the Buddhist Order the remonstrated at the Instance of the ministers. But the king doit make an expression of his intention to donate the kingdom. Hence on coming to the throne Samprati redeemed the kingdom by paying an appreciable sum of money to the Buddhist Order.⁴⁸ All thus information is contained in legends whose historicity is not immune from fluctious elements. But they undoubtedly show that Asoka was succeeded on the imperial throne by Samprati.

```
8. Samprali (236 B C .-- 227 B.C.)
```

We have seen above that the death of Asoka was followed by a turmoil. The north-western people shook off the imperial

37	R.L	als K	albact	1771 22

िंग नाहिति प्रेयपी रज्येणं कुणालो भणति । मस युत्तोरियं गमति नाम नुगारो दिग्ग रज्यं ॥ Xalpakvanāzali 165 तस्य सुराः कुणालतरागवतस्वित्रषण्ड भोत्ता मंत्रति-नामा भूर्णीतरभूद् जातमात्र एव पितामहरत्तराज्यः । Parišisfaparcan IX, 50-51 pp. 263-264. . प्रपच्छायोकराजोपि करोल्पेरे द्रुतारुवा । सम्प्रात्येवेत्रावत्रयात्रणांनांऽपि इताञ्वतिः ॥ तदेव सामर्योतन्त्रीः समानायवर्षभव म् ।

तदव तमराकृत्राः समागवयदमयम् । नामापि मम्प्रतिरिति तस्याहत इत्तांत्सव. ॥

नामापि सम्प्रातारात तस्याकृत कृतात्सव

38 Divyāvadāna p. 426

तस्मिंदव इमये कुनालस्य सम्भदि नाम पुरो गोवराज्ये प्रवतंते । सत्यागार्व्यर्थ्मसिहतम् । कुमार प्रसोको राजा स्वलकालावस्था-यौति । इदं च द्वय्यं वर्कुद्याराम प्रेय्यते । कांसवलिनस्क राजानो । यावरकुमारेण भाष्यप्रभाषिकः प्रतिथिद्धः । यावदया-स्पैक्ष्वतक्षतोट्यो अगवज्यासने दरबा पृषियो निरोध्य मम्पदि राज्ये प्रतिव्यत्पितः ।

Kşemendra, Bodhisaltoāradānakalpalatā Pallava 74, Verses 8-12 p. 597.

दाने निषिद्धं पीर्वेण संपाल पूथियोपतिः । भेवज्यामलकस्यार्थं ददी सर्वस्वती यतम् ॥ प्रत्यातपण्णवतिकोटियुप्रणंदाने यात्रे दिवं नृरस्तावय तस्य भोत्रः । योग पनित्ववस्ता सित्तियास्तुरा, स्पष्ट क्रमी नननकोटिलनृष्टवेन् । authority and conquered the country up to Kanyakubja The North West was taken to have been irretriveably lost Hence Sam pratis regime usa confined to the eastern half of India, as we gather from the account of Hemacandra, cited above To cope with the menace from the North West Sampratis seems to have passed much of his time at Ujiayini with which Juna traditions associate him Jrom there he exercised his suay over of the people of Andhra, Saurastra, Coorg and the Tvr South ¹⁹ We learn from the Kurnood district, that Andhradeśa formed part of the empire of this monarch Likewise, Maharastra was also included in his empire Hence the scale reference to these regions shows that Samprati preserved the integrity of the empire in these territories. His welfare musions manistered to the moral and material requirements of the seeple there

We learn from consistent Jaina traditions that Samprati embra eed Jainism It is said that once when the Jaina Patraarch Suha stim came to Ujiayini to pay his respects to Jivantasvamin and his procession was passing through its main avenues, Samprati saw him through a window, was deeply impressed by him, went to his resort and sought initiation as a Jaina lay follower (Stavaka) The Mistika, Bihatikalja Iyawahara and Pañaakalpa texts concur in stating that Suhastin coverted Samprati to Jainism ⁴⁰

89	Nisithacurni
	तैण सुग्ठूविसयो अधा दमिना य स्रोपविया
	Lalpacurni
	साहे तेण सपद्दणा उज्जणीमाइ काउ दविखणावहा सब्वो तत्थ ठिण्ण ,वि
	भ्रज्जावितो ।
	Parisistaparia i IX 54
	तमेण साधयानास भारतध सदक्षिणम ।
	प्रचण्डशासनरचाभूत पाकगासनसन्निम ।।
40	Bthatkalpacurnt p 135 ff
	इतौ य ग्रज्ज सुहरची उज्जणि जियसामि बदयो द्यागया रहाणुज्जाणे य हिंडतो
	राउपगणपदेश रन्ता झालोपणगतेण दिटठो ताह रत्तो ईहपोह वरेतस्य जात
	(जाइमरण जात) तहा तेण मनुस्सा भणितापडिंचरह ग्रायरिए कहि ठतिति
	तेहि पडिचरिउ कहित सिरियरे ठिता ताह तत्य गत् धम्मो णेण सुग्रो ।
	But the Nisilhacurni states that Samprati came down from the
	continued on been 163

continued on page 163

FALL OF THE MAURYA EMPIRE

There is a chronological difficulty in the contemporaneity of Samprati and Suhastin. According to the Yugapradhanapattavali, . Subastin passed away in the 291st year of the Nirvana of Mahavira, whereas, according to the Titthogalipainna, the Maurya dynasty came . into power 210 years after the same, and since Candragupta, Bindusara and Asoka reigned for 24, 25 and 36 years respectively, Samprati was anointed in the (210 plus 24 plus 25 plus 36) 295th year of the Nirvana." Thus Subastin must have been dead before the coronation of Samprati. Muni Kalyanavijaya and following him Santilal Shah resolve this difficulty by assuming that Suhastin converted Samprati long before his coronation, when he was a viceroy of Ujjayini,42 The aforesaid traditions indicate that on his conversion Samprati adopted the five vratas of the Jainas, relinquished violence or injury to living beings and became a

continued from page 162

window just on looking at Samprati and sought initiation at his feet, vide, Parisistaparvan XI, 32 p. 279.

स प्राग्जन्मगुरुं झात्वा जातिस्मृत्या सुहस्तिनम् ।

तदैव वन्दितमगादिस्मतान्यप्रयोजनः ।।

Leumann has fixed the date of the Avasyakacurni as 600-650 A.D. According to Muni Punyavijaya, the Datavaikalikacurni of Agasiyasimha was composed two or three centuries before the Valabhi recension of Jaina texts. Hence its age is about the second or the third century A. p. In the Naudiciiral there is a reference to the Mathura recension. Thus, it is clear that the curni literature is fairly old and authentic.

सम्पइरण्णा मालोयणगग्नेण अन्ज मुहत्वी दिट्ठो । जातिसरणं जातं आयण्छो पाएम पडिमो। पञ्चटिठग्रो विण ग्रोणग्रो भणति ।

The tradition of the Brhatkalpacurni seems to be more reasonable.

Kalyānavijaya, Vīra-nirvāna-Sarivataur Jaina Kāla gananā" 41 (in Hindi) (The Date of the Nirvana of Mahavira and Jaina Chuonology), Nagari Pracarini Patrika Vol 10 No. 4 pp. 585-745; S. L. Shah, Traditional Chronology of the Jainas (Stuttgart 1928). H. Jacobi ; 'Buddhas und Mahāviras Nirvāna', Guirāti translation in Bhāratīya-Vidyā (Singhi Smāraka issue); Schubring, Die Lehre der Jainas pp. 5-30; A. B. Keith, Bulletia of the School of Oriental and African studies VI, pp. 859-866. 42

Kalyānavijaya, op. cit. pp. 661-670.

promoter of the Church ⁴³ Hence it passes comprehension that he could have undertaken the extensive military campaigns in the South and the land of the Tamus I tappears that he followed the policy of Dhammavijaya launched by Ašoka and won the goodwill of the people of these regions by his welfare programmes and missionary activities

Some Jaina traditions point to the contemporaneity of Samprati and the Jaina Patriarch Mahaguri. He is said to have reprinranded Suhastin for accepting the offerings of the lung ⁴⁴ But, as said above, Samprati ascended the throne 295 years after the denuse of Mahavira whereas Mahaguri passed away in 245th year of the Vira Nirvana era or half a century before the accession of the former Hence they cannot be contemporaries. The *Amiyalacurni* clearly states that Mahaguri and Sahastin went from Pataliputta to Vidisa thence the former went to Elakaccha (Dašarna) on a pilgrimage to Gayaggapaya (Gajagrapada) and there fasted unto death, and the litter went to Ujipayini to pay his respects to Jivintasyamin. Thus, it is clear that Mahaguri had passed away before the conversion of Samprati and his munificence for the Jaina Church. It appears that the tradition of Mahaguri was fastened on that of Samprati

After his conversion and subsequent coronation Samprati show ered his generosity on the Jaina order He dispensed largeste and charities liberally,⁴⁵ covered the country with Jaina Shrines ⁴⁸ and

43	Brhat Lalpa Curnz, op cit	freeman
	सो सावस्रो जाधो पचाणु-वयधारी तसजीवपडिक्कममा	पभावस्रो
	समणसंघरसं ।	
	Paristitaparva XI 62.	
	त्रण्यतगणवतशिक्षाव्रतपवित्रित ।	
44	Brhat Kalpa curni p 135	
	महागिरिणा अज्जमहत्वी पुच्छिनो चज्जा पत्ररो झाहारोवधी,	
	जागेज्जासि मा रता लोगों पवत्तन्ना होज्जा।	
	Nisithacurni p 191	
	तता श्रज्जसहत्यी पञ्चाउटटोषिच्छामि दृक्कड गराति।	
45	ण पुणाँगेण्हामो एव भणिएँ सभुत्तो	
	Turisistapa out isi, or p zoz	
	ग्रवदानरतादान दीनेम्योऽधिव ददी ।	
46	Ibid XI 65	
	झावैताढय प्रतापाढय स चवारा विकारधी ।	
	तिखण्ड भरतक्षेत्र जिनायतनमण्डितम् ।।	

became an ardent missionary of Jainism. He exhorted his officials to embrace and espouse the cult of the Sramanas. 47 Following his behest they instituted processions, offered oblations to the caityas⁴⁸ and patronised the monks. They undertook periodical tours to minister to the well-being of the people, planted trees and groves and constructed resthouses and monasterics for the monks.49 At his almshouses people took food incessantly,50 The shopkeepers, confectioners, dairyowners, drapers etc. were directed to supply goods to the monks free of cost and debit their prices to the royal account.49 Adequate facilities for residence and preaching were provided to the monks among the frontagers, 50 and a vigorous missionary programme was launched in the lands of the anāryas, particularly the Andhras and the Tamils.31 The missionaries inculcated the spirit of picty and righteousness as well as inspired the fear of the might of the Maurya monarch in the hearts of the uncouth anārvas.52

Parišistaparcan XI, 84 p. 284
 तद, भवन्तु, सुदिदित्म्प्रप्रापानामुपामका:
 15 Ibid XI 86-87
 एवंनाजाच्य सामन्ता विस्षप्ठाः स्वरवनिवति ।

प्रथमत्राप्य सामरता विषुरुगः रवरवारवृति । गरवा चक्रुः स्वाभिमक्तमा धमणानाभुषासनम् ।। प्रावर्तयन्रस्यात्रां तत्रानुगमनं वया । रयात्रं पुष्पवृद्धिं च चैरयपूचा च तो व्ययुः ।।

¹⁹ Mitthatärni op. cit. जह भन जा साह सामि ममवाणं पण मदामुविहिमाणम् दब्वेण मे न कडजे एवं सुक्यं पियं मठक ।। विराज्जिया य तेणं गमणं पोपायणं तरंपेनु । साहूणं सुह विहारा जाया पञ्चतिगा रेसा अणुजाणं अणुजाई भुफाक्ट्वणाई अकिरजं याई । पूर्यं च जेटवाणां ठेडपिय सुरज्ज रानोर्स्ति ।

- ⁵⁰ Parifistapartan XI, 104 p. 286 तत्रानियारितं प्रापुभोंजनं भोजनेच्छन: 1
- ⁵¹ 16:d XI, 110-11 अमर्थापालको राजा कान्द्रविक्रमनयादियत् । तलायगद्यधिविक्रेलन् सस्वविक्रमकातावि । यर्क्तिचंदुरक्षुदेते मार्गुना देववेव तत् । तम्मूल्यं यः प्रदात्त्वानि मा स्म घाष्ट्रध्वमत्यथा ।
- ⁵² Ibid XI, 88 प्रान्तदेशा धपि मानुविहाराही ययागवन् ।

The activities of Samprut recall those of his illustrous grandfuther His liberality towards monks and sants, feeding and festing of the poor and needy, construction of shrines and monuments institution of periodical tours (anijane anijahi) plant ing of trees and groves (Puppharithanai) propagation of piety and virtue among the frontier and foreign lands are analogous to the measures adopted by Asaka ³⁸ In fact, this close similarity between these policies is an evidence of their historicity ⁵¹ The Jaina accounts summanised above have close parallels in the Asokan enginghls ⁵³

Jama accounts throw meteresting side-lights on the character of Samprut Hs heart was overflowing with the milk of love for all living being (Juadaya transitamanah) He gave up the greed of money and remitted the tributes of fendatories,⁶⁶ and rost above the considerations of mine and thue ⁵⁷ He trained a body of officials who were a tirred as monks (Sadhuseiadhirannarah) and preached the canons of righteous conduct among inhospitable people. Thus he tried to convert the machimary of the state into un organ of reform and welfare

9 Dasaratha (227 вс — 219 вс)

After a reign of strenuous activity lasting for nine years Samprati passed away. His younger brother Dasaratha succeeded

⁵³ Ibid 1, 91, 99, 102 तत प्रैरीदनायंषु साधुवेद्यधरात्ररान् । ते सम्प्रस्वातनायंत्रिवग्धरात्रप्रम् ।। एव रातोऽतिनित्रग्धादाधायं केऽपि सायव । विद्रतुमादिदिगिन् स्वतोज्ञ्मद्रामिसादिषु । एव सम्प्रतिराजेन स्वत्तनज बुद्धिप्रभेवा ।। देया साधुविद्दाराह् प्रनार्था प्रपि चक्रिरे ।।

- ⁵¹ Ibid XI, 93 ग्रध्येतव्य चेदमिद ततो मुष्मासु तोयमाकु। भविता सम्प्रति स्वामी कोविष्यत्य यथा पुनः।
- ⁵⁵ The anujant anijahi of Samprati is but a variant of the anuia (R) yana of Aroka Lilewise Puppharuhanat recalls the planting of trees mentioned in Girn ir Rock Edict III other points are equally common

۰

⁵⁶ Parisistaparvan XI, 85 द्रव्यैरपिन में विचिछुप्मद्द्ती प्रयोजनम् ।

57 lbid 1, 104 ग्रय निज परो वायमित्यपेक्षाविवर्जितम् । him on the throne of the Mauryas at Pataliputra. He dedicated three caves on the Nāgārjuni Hill, Vahiyakā cave, Gopikā cave and Vadathika cave to the Ajivikas. The inscription of Vahiyakā cave is to this effect- "That Vahiyakā cave has been given by Dasalatha, dear to the gods, to the venerable Ajivikas, immediately on his accession, to be a place of abode during the rainy season as long as moon and sun (shall endure)."14 The other two caves bear similar inscriptions, the only changes being in their names. In these inscriptions Dasaratha adopts the celebrated title of Asoka 'dear to the gods' (devānāmpriya) and records his interest and faith in the Ajivikas. We learn from the Seventh Pillar Edict of Asoka engraved on the Delhi Topra Pillar that he appointed special Officers of Public Morals (diarmamahamatra) for the welfare of the Buddhist Sangha, the Brähmanas, the Ajivikas, the Nirgranthas and other sects. 59 He is also known to have dedicated three caves on the Barabar Hill to them, to Thus the solicitude of Dasaratha for the Ajjvikas was in keeping with the tolerant and eclectic traditions of his predecessors. In the present state of our knowledge it can be presumed that he had a special penchant for the Ajivika creed as his grandfather had for Buddhism and his brother for Jainism.

10. Śāliśūka (219 в.с. - 206 в с.) .

Sālišūka was the successor of Dašaratha.⁶¹ We get interesting information about him in the *Lugapurāya* of the Gärgisamhitä.⁶²

- eo Ibid p. 182
- ^{e1} D. R. Bhandarkar conjectures that Sälisuka seems to be an Indian form of the Greek Sciences. He was named by his father after Sciences III. (A Comprehensive History of India II p. 44). Since this guess lacks evidence nothing can be said about it.
- 12 Yugapurāņa, ed. D. R. Mankad lines 29-93. p. 32.
 - ण्डतुक्षा (क्वभूका: ?) कर्मयुतः वालिकृत्तो भविष्यति । तुराग कर्मयुतो दुष्टारमा प्रियविष्ठहः । स्वराण्टं मथरे घोरं घर्मवादी प्रायमिकः : स च्येष्ट्यभावरं साधु तेलेति (? साधुं सालेते) प्रथितं गुणैः । स्थापायेण्यति मोहारणा विजयं नाम प्राणिकम् ।

⁵⁶ G. Buhler, "The Bäräbar and Nägärjuni Hull cave Inscriptions of Asoka and Dasaratha Indian antiquey XX (1891) pp. 361-65, वहिएका कुमा देशवर्षेन देवानापीयना सनन्दलियम्, घभिषितेना (माजीविकेहि) भरवतीह वाप-निर्पादयाने निषिठे सा न्दर्थ,-सुनियम् ।

⁵⁹ Hultzsch, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum 1 pp. 131.

The text of this passage is very corrupt Especially the last two lines bristle with variant readings which make little sense. In the MS of the Yugapurana available in the Bibliotheque Nationale of Paris, bearing number B 184 No 2 of the collection Guirin, the reading is sayreithabhralar un sadia lett, another scaling is sa jeitha-bhralaran sādhuketiti but in the MS Irddhagargi Samhila in the possession of D R Mankad it is 'sa jyesthabhraiaram sadhu keleli brathitam sunath' Javaswal has translated the last two lines as follows 'He, the fool, commemorating (following) his elder brother, the good and famous, on account of his virtues, will establish the so-called conquest of Dharma^{* 63} While making this translation Jayaswal amends kettir' as ketati' and takes it to be the same as Pali 'kitteti' Mankad objects to this amendation and interpretation on the following grounds -(1) it is a form of the present tense and conflicts with the whole trend of the chapter where the future tense is used, (2) by amending 'helili' as 'helali the two lines become syntactically independent and the existence of only one subject 'sah' inditates against such construction, (3) the elder brother is called good and virtuous and it does not stand to reason that Salisūka would be called Mohātma or foolish by following him (4) it is not clear how the sense of 'commemorating' is transferred to 'following' Mankad therefore, rejects the interpretation of Jayaswal

Mankad amends 'sadhu ketilt' or 'sadhu ketilt' as sadhum sakelt and translates the lines as follows —"He, the fool, will establish at Saketa his brother named Vijaya, who was good, famous by his virtues and religious " He takes Vijaya as the proper name of the elder brother of Salisuka According to him, Sälisuka, who was himself wicked and oppressive but strong, appointed his elder brother named Vijaya is a governor of Saketa This hrother was virtuous and religious but apparently weak as a governor Taking advantage of

⁴³ K P Javaswal, 'Historical Data in the Gärgi-Samhita and the Brahmin Empire' Journal of the Bhar and Orisia Research Society (1928) pp 307 ff. 'Demetrus, Khäravela, and the Gargi Samhita, ibid (1928) pp 127 ff. 'The Paris MS of the Gärgi Samhita ibid (1928) pp 129 ff. 'Jointagranhia Gargiannhia mä Bharatiya luhara (In Hindi) (Indian History in the astronomical treatise Gargiasamhita), Agari Pricarni Patrika Vol 10, No 4 pp 1-15 Vide also K H Dhruva, 'Historical contents of the Yugiyur'i, 'Journal of the Bihar and Orisia Research Society (1930) pp 18 ff

the weakness of this governor, the Yavanas, Pañcālas and Māthuras formed themselves into a confederacy and attacked Sāketa, subdued it and thence marched on and captured Pātalīputra. Thus Šālīsūka fell through his action of appointing his good but weak brother as the governor of Sāketa "This is why he is called *Mohātm*ā (foolish) by the writer of this Purāna,⁴¹

This interpretation is quite conjectural and unconvincing due to the following reasons : (1) the reading 'sādium sākeie' is not war-ranted by any MS discovered so far. It is the product of Mankad's imagination, (2) The Purana recounts the misdeeds and misconduct of Sālišūka. It tries to paint as dark a picture of this monarch as possible. The epithets dustatman (of evil disposition), prijavigrahah (fond of guarrels and conflicts), adharmikah (of irreligious nature) and mohāman (of foolish temperament) employed for him by the author of the Purāna indicate his trend of thought. All these epithets occurring in lines 90-91 and 93 respectively have an underlying unity of conception. The substance of the author's view is contained in the remark that 'he terribly oppresses his own country' (svarastram mardate ghoram). Thus, it is unthinkable that while giving details of his defects and demerits the author of the Purana would have mentioned such a good act of him as the appointment of his virtuous and meritorious gentle elder brother as the governor of Säketa. It also passes comprehension as in why the author of the Purana should have called Salisuka foolish for doing such a noble act as the appointing of his goodnatured elder brother as the governor of Sakta, (3) Mankah holds that the Greek invasion took place dur-ing the reign of Sälisäka and was facilitated by the presence of his virtuous but weak brother at Säketa. Hence, according to him, this act of Sälišüka proved his fatal mistake and the author of the Purana was justified in calling him foolish. Mankad ignores the fact that the Maurya empire did not end with Salisuka but endured during the reigns of three more kings. It is an undisputed fact that Brhadratha was the last Maurya monarch on the throne of Pățali-putra and his general Pusyamitra assassinated hum and usurped the throne. The Greek invasion occurred after this event rather than before it. Hence there is nothing to show that the Greek invasion of Sāketa and Pāțaliputra occurred during or after the reign of Sāli-Süka. Mankad errs in treating the events recorded in the TigaPurana as chronologically successive. If his view is accepted, it

⁶¹ D. R. Mankad, Yuga Parana pp. 9-10.

would follow that Salisuka was the direct successor of Udaym' In fact, the Yuga Purana gives isolated snapshots rather than a connected picture (4) If Vijaya was the elder brother of Salisūka he was naturally entitled to succeed to the throne It is strange that he let his brother occupy the imperial throne and himself became contented with the governorship of Saketa It was even more unnatural on the part of Salisuka to perpetuate a danger to his authority by in stalling his elder brother as the head of the administration of Saketa whence he could pounce on his kingdom In such cases the younger brothers remove the menace of the rebellions of the elder brothers root and branch by deposing or assassinating them. If Salisūka made his elder brother the governor of Saketa be sowed tares in his field by keeping alive a source of rebellion (5) There is no evidence to show that Saketa was the headquarters of any provincial administration during the Maurya period. No record or reference to it pertaining to the Maurya period has come to light so far Hence it cannot be assu med that Salisuka made Vijava the governor of Saketa when he was himself at the imperial capital at Patahputra, so near to Saketa (Ayo dhya) (6) The text nowhere indicates that Vijaya was mide the governor It only shows that Vijaya was appointed at Säketa, if Mankad's interpretation is to be accepted The account abruptly ends there and it remains obscure as to what course of events the author had in mind while referring to this fact. In view of these considerations the aforesaid interpretation of Mankad is contrary to the context and defies historical probabilities It is quite unnatural and unconvincing

The correct reading and interpretation of the verse in question was given by H Kern long ago. He suggested the reading 'hato3 in' instead of $\lambda k t a$ ' Thus the line means "having killed his virtious elder brother noted for his qualities". But Kern erred in interpreting the next line to mean that Salisüka "will establish lus virtious brother Vijaya "4". The incorrectness of this view is quite obvious for there appears no connection between the killing of the elder brother and the installing of one named Vijaya on the throne by Silisüka, when he was binself the king. In this line ryga is not a proper nume but means 'conquest' as aptity suggested by Jayaswal. Thus the line signifies that the fool "will establish the

⁴⁵ H Kern, Brhat Samhula Preface p 36

ग ज्येष्ट आतर साथ बेतेति (हत्या बे) प्रथितगुणै ।

^{*} H Kern, op. cat p 37

so-called conquest of dharma." The passage should thus be translated as follows :---

"Having killed his virtuous elder brother noted for his qualities / the fool will establish the so-called conquest of Dharma."

This passage is pregnant with a subtle irony and parody. Here the expression 'mohātman' is a parody of 'devānām-priya' the title of Asoka and Dasaratha, and 'ujayam diarmil am' is a paraphrase of diarmavijaya (Dhammavijaya nr dhramavijaya) of the thirteenth Rock Edict of Asoka.⁴¹ Just after his accession Sälisüka proclaimed a policy of *dharmacijaya* (conquest hy Dharma) just like his prede-cessor Asoka. But since he ascended the throne after killing his noble and virtuous elder brother, his policy of dharmaritara was a sham. His practice contradicted his policy and his action negatived his profession. Thus, there is a subtle irony in the remark of the author of the *Yuga Purāna* that after committing the heinous offence of killing his elder brother, who was a model of virtue and piety, of kinning instance of only who was index of the conquest by Dharma. The word 'nama' in vijayam namı dharmikam' heightens the satirical effect of the verses. It clearly shows that the conquest alled religious was only nominal and shows, for the person, who proclaimed it, in reality, acted against it by wading to the throne through a pool of blood and killing such a noble soul as his elder brother. This verse, thus, elaborates the idea of verse 90, in which Sällsüka is called 'priyavigrahah' or fond of quarrels and conflicts, as well as that of verse 91, where he is dubbed as dharmavädi adharmiwhi or an irreligious man masquerading as religious and making proclamations of religion. In fact, the entire passage has a unique unity of conception and expression. In this way, this construction hrings out the correct import of this passage and elucidates its literary beauty. Thus, we find that after the death of Dašaratha there was a sort of turmoil in which Sälisüka killed his elder brother and assumed the reins of the state.

In line 89 Sälisüka is called *karmanda*' or the son of Karma. It appears that his father's name was Karma. This Karma was probably a relative of Daśaratha. Sälisüka does not appear to have been entitled to the throne. Hence he killed his elder brother and himself became king.

⁸⁷ Asoka's Rock Edict XIII (Shahbazgarlii version) एव विज मज-यो घ्रमविजयो सो हिदलोकिको परलोकिको ।

Salisuka appears to have been a good warrior In hine 89 he is called *tiuksa* which is meaningles. Mankad amends it as *thuksa* which is an epithet of Indra This word no doubli suggests provess but it does not stand to reason that the author of the *Tuga Purana* who was out to revule the character of Salisuka, would have given lum such a good and glorous tuile as *thuksa* or Indra. In the Paris Manuscript the reading of this word is *dhamakşa* which also makes no sense. It is likely that it is a corrupt form of *dhamaksa* which signifies an 'archer or 'warrior ⁴⁹. Thus the line should be 'dhamaka karmasutah salisuka bhasijati' meaning 'there will be the warrior Salisuka the son of Karma. The epithet '*priyragabah* (fond of conflict) used for hum in line 90 is in keeping with the epithet '*dhamustah*

In spite of his martial provess and strength Salisuka followed the policy of *diammayigi* hunched by his predecessors Abola championed and initiated this poles. Though he had great regard for all sects and creeds lie specially favoured Buddhism. Simpriti espoused and spread Jamism and Dafaratha had a penchant for Ajvikum Salisuka also had a learing for these sects and proelaimed and pursued a policy of conquest by dharma rather than by arms. He also seems to be interested in religious disputitions and expounded his own opinions in them. Hence the *zuga Purana* calls him *'diammagal'* or an exponent of religion.

As a consequence of the policy of *dharmanyaya* the administration became hv and the officials exploited the people. The programme of moral uplift and welfare as well as the exigencies of a vast administration necessitated a big revenue. We shall revert to this subject in the next study. Here it is sufficient to note that the average man felt burdened in the later Maurya period. Hence the author of the *Tuga Purana* observed that Subject the country to terrible oppression (*suarastram mariales gharan*).

11 Devadharman or Devavarman (206 B C --- 199 B C)

According to the '*L* Vaya version, cited above, Devidharman will be successor of § ilisüka. He is not described as the son of § ilisüka Hence he might have belonged to a cognate branch of the firmly he reigned for seven years and bequeathed the kingdom to his son Satadhani

^{*} cp Sisupala adha of Magha 11, 27 गिमिसादगराद्वेपोर्घानुव्यस्येव बल्गिनम् ।

12 Saladhanu (199 B C --- 191 B C)

Satadhanu, the son of Devadharman or Devavarman, reigned for eight years We do not koow much about his times

13 Bihadratha (191 B C -- 184 B C)

Brhadratha was the successor of Satadhanu The ' \mathcal{L} Vaya and ' V_{0ju} generally and Brahmanda' versions do not specify his relation to Satadhanu But the Matya version suggests that he was the son of Satadhanu (Brhadisthaita tariana laya putrasa saplath (Sopla rai)

Brhadratha organised a strong and efficient army under the famous Brahmana general Pusyamitra. He used to inspect the parades of the army It was while inspecting such a prirade that Pusyamitra assassinated him and put an end to the Mauryi dynasity, as we learn from the Haracarnta of Banabhatja²⁰ Bana's reference suggests that Brhadratha committed the mistake of delegating great authority to Pusyamitra

Patanjah in the Mahabhaiya on Panimis Aitadhyayisuha quoies a verse showing the 'hearing the words of the king the Pusyamanayaa 'made the announcement' '1 The word Pusyaminava or Pusiamanayaa also occurs in the Jaina text Angazuja '8 V S Agrawala takes the word 'Pusyaminava' to refer to the men or militia of 'Pusyamina' also occurs in the Jaina text Angazuja '8 V S Agrawala takes the point and the enterprising general Pusyamitia organised a lody of his own men by taking advantage of the latitude given to linin by Driadiatha Besides this, the arany was fully under his control and manned by the officers of his choice and hking. Thus he commanded the loyaliy and allegiance of the army. When the king inspecting the parade of the army was satisfation at the instigation of Pusyamitra, the army stolidly watched the incident and joined the proclamation of the accession of its general to the throne

70	Harsacarsta of Banabhatta, 6th Ucchvasa, ed Jivananda
	(Calcutta) p 692
	प्रजादुर्वलञ्च यलदर्धनव्यपदेशदक्षिताशेषसँन्य सेनानी अनायों मौय यहृद्रय
	पिपेप प्रद्याप्र स्वापिनम् ।
71	Vahabhasya VII 2 23 महीपालवच युखा जुबुषु पुष्यमाणचा ।
	Anganita cd Muni Punyayuawa n 160
73	V S Agrawala, 'A note on Pusya Manava'
	Journal of the Oriental Institute M S University of Baroda Vol

VI (Nos 2 3) Dec March 56 57 The expression दींधारोष्प्रमे 4 shows that the entire army was in favour of Pusyamitra inscription19 found in Rājputānā show that there was a king named Dhavala or Dhavalappadeva of the Maurva lineage reigning as the supreme ruler in V.S. 795 (A.D. 738). An inscription from Kankan refers to the Maurya Suketuvarma, 10 In padmaprabhitakam of Südraka there is a mention of Mauryakumāra Candrodava who went with an army to subdue the feudatories.⁸¹ Likewise the famous Aihole inscription of Pulakesin II speaks of the Mauryas as being defeated by this Calukya King. They had a principality in Konkan about (634-35 A.D.). An inscription found at Waghli in Khandesh mentions a Maurya chief Govindaraia with the date 991 Saka (A.D. 1069) as a subordinate of the Yadaya Mahamandalesvara Seunacandra II and further informs us that his family came to that part of the country from Valabhi in Surästra.*2

⁷⁹ Epigraphia Indica Vol. XII, p. 11.

⁸⁰ Bombay Gazetteer Vol. XIV, p. 372-73.

⁸¹ Caturbhani ed. V. S. Agrawala and Moticandra, p. 40. 81

Epigraphia Indica Vol. 11, p. 221-222.

The Juga Purana gives some more details of this $coup d^{2}tad$. It mentions a Brähman whose fame spread all around¹⁴ and whose prosperous reign followed the chaotic and disturbed times of Sälištka and his successors. This world-famed (lokaviirula) Brähmana is in all probability identical with Putyamitra. His own force is said to have consisted of 2000 horses and innumerable elephants.¹³ This force seems to refer to the Putyamina. The parades of this force, Putyamänavas, were held in the southern sector of Pataliputra which appears to have been the cantonment area.¹⁴ It was there that Putyamitra staged his coup and killing Brhadratha assumed the renus of the government. At that time, according to the Fuga Puraza, the whole capital rang with rejocing and festivities.¹⁷

The Hartacarda states that Brhadrathn was of weak brain and intelligence (*arajādurbāla*). Hence he could not effectively maintaa his hold on the administration. On the other hand, Pusyamirta was energetic and enterprising and commanded the confidence of the army. Hence he succeeded in overthrowing the Maurya dynasty. Thus fell the empire of the Mauryas.

14. Remnants of the Mauryas

It appears that some scions of the Maurya family continued to exist in Magadha even after the extinction of the Maurya dynaty. Huen Tsang speaks of Pürnavarman, king of Magadha, who restored the Bodhu tree destroyed by Sašānka. He is said to be the last of the race of Atokarāja. His realm must have shrunk to negligible proportions.

Traces of the Mauryas are also found in Rājputānā aud the Deccan. The Kanaswa inscription⁷⁸ and the Dahok (Mewar)

74	Yuga Purāna, line 148 p. 39.
	ततो विष्वक्यता कश्चिद् बाह्यणो लोकविथतः।
75	Juid, Jine 153.
	हयाना हे सहस्रे तु गजवाहस्तु कल्पतः ।
76	Ibid, line 152.
	पुरस्य दक्षिणे पार्श्वे बाहने तस्य दुस्यते ।
77	Ibid, lines 150-151.
	ततः पुष्पपुरं रम्यं तर्यंव जनसंकुलम् ।
	भविष्यद्वीरसिद्धार्थ (सिद्धयर्थ ?) ।
	प्रस्वोत्सवसंकृलम् ॥
78	Indian Antiquary Vol. XIX, p. 56.

inscription¹⁹ found in Rājputānā show that there was a king named Dhavala or Dhavalappadeva of the Maurya lineage reigning as the supreme ruler in V.S. 795 (AD. 738). An inscription from Kankan refers to the Maurya Suketuvarma.⁸⁰ In padmaþrābhįtalam of Sūdraka there is a mention of Mauryakumāra Candrodaya who went with an army to subdue the feudatories.⁸¹ Likewise the famous Ahole inscription of Pulakešin II speaks of the Mauryas as being defeated by this Gālukya King. They had a principality in Konkan about (634-35 A.D.). An inscription found at Waghli in Khandesh mentions a Maurya chief Govindarāja with the date 991 Şaka (AD. 1069) as a subordinate of the Yādaya Mahāmaŋdaleśvara Seumaandra II and further informs us that his family came to that part of the country from Valabli in Surajtra.⁸²

²⁹ Epigraphia Indica Vol. XII, p. 11.

⁸⁰ Bombay Gazetteer Vol. XIV, p. 372-73.

⁸¹ Caturbhāni ed. V. S. Agrawala and Moticandra, p. 40.

⁸² Epigraphia Indica Vol. II, p. 221-222.

CHAPTER VIII

Main Trends of the Social and Economic History of the Maurya Empire

1. The urban-economic developments of the sixth century B.C. .

The Rgada depicts the conflict and dichotomy of urban and rural cultures. Indra is described as the breaker of forts, the detroyer of clites and the energy of the Papis. The destruction of the Papis is symbolic of the decadence of trade, industry and commerce. The Vedic society consisted of the Arya ois¹ and Arst² which crystallised into the aristocratic class and the common people. The aristocracy gradually broke up into priests (brahmana) and warrior rules (rajaoya). The common people took to agriculture, arts, crafts and commerce. The defeated and backward people were first classed as Dayus and Datas and later as Sudras.³ The later Vedic period saw the tussles and conflicts of priests and kings.⁴ The Upaniads agnify the protest of the Ksatriyas againt the privileges and exclusivism of the Brahmanas.

By the sixth centruy B.C., the age of Buddha and Mahāvira, the ntisans, craftsmen, traders, financiers and capitalists had assumed an unprecedented importance and overshadowed the royal and sacerdotal elasses. Buddhist and Jaina literature mirrors the bria and widespread activities of traders, manufatturers and capitalisus all over the country. The picture of the economic prosperity of the country that it depicts is exceptionally rich and colourful. We see large towns like Campā, Rājagria, Sāvatthi, Sāketa, Koambi and Banaras, mentioned in the Mahāmdasua Sutla, protected by moāts, ramparts and gates and peopled by artisuns, craftsmen, traders

¹ Rgt da X, 89, 7; VII, 19. 5; 1, 32, 10, X, 76, 3.

² Jajunveda XX, 9 विभि राजा प्रतिष्ठित: ; .litareyabrāhmans VIII, 26 राष्ट्राणि ये विद्य:

³ Yajureeda (Väjasneyisamihitä) XVIII, 48; ibid, XXVI, 2; Marvateda XIX 62, 1.

⁴ Illareyabrāhmaņa VIII, II, A. B. Keith, Rgveda Brāhmanat p. 314 ; Matyapurāna I, 63, 64 ; F. L. Pargiter, Incient Indian Historical Tradition, p. 310.

Mundakopanisad 1, 2, 7; Kathopanisad 1, 2, 5.

and millionaires These people followed their lucrative professions with great profit and atiliuence and had attained wonderful proficency and specialisation in them They had also developed their own autonomous organisations and guilds. We hear of the eighteen guilds,⁴ representing their respective erafts and professions, each having uts own president (panukha) or elderman (gtthaka), treasurer or storekceper (bhndagārika) and bankers or financers (sthick). Among the stfhict also we come across the cheef (mahārdith) and deputy chief(anustfhi) These heads were entitled to the use of special misgina(<math>stfhic chatta). They had a significant voice in the affairs of state and a decensive role in the formulation of its policies

In the sixth century BC the power, prestige and influence of plutocrats overshadowed the anstocrats In the kingdom of Bimbisara there were five millionaires, Jouya, Jatila, Mendhaka, Punnaka and Kākabaliya They were the pillars of the strength and prosperity of Magadha On the other hand, the neighbouring kingdom of Kosala had no plutoerat of their standing Hence her king Prasenaut requested the Magadhan monarch Bimbisara to permit the migration and settlement of a financier in his kingdom so that it may also prosper and fourish At first Bunbisara was reluciant to part with financiers of his realm but after great entreaties he consented to permit Mendhaka's son Dhanantaya to settle in Kosala The staff and attendants of this financier moved towards the Kosalan capital Sravasti with gorgeous paraphernalia and magmilicent equipment As tradition has it, this grand retinue halted at the outskrit of the city in the evening to avoid its din and bustle and permanently settled there which, in consequence of the evening sojourn, came to be known as Säketa The daughter of this financier Dhanañjaya, named Višakha' was married to Purnavardhana, the son of the celebrated financier of Sravasti named Mrigara King Pra-

Cambridge History of Indua I, p 183-184, T. W Rhys Davuds, Buddhui India, pp 98-100, B C Law, India as described in the Early Tests of Buddhum and Jamum, pp 178-178, Dighami aya 1, 1, 2 (Samañlaphala Sutta) lists 25 professions. In the Mada catha asadana there are three large lists of gandharm (musicians), scens: (guilds) and alphatamas (crafismen). The first has 24 entres, this escond 36 curities and the third 46 entress Ed Senart Vol III, pp 112-114, Vol III, p 442-443

⁹ Dhanmopada-Alihakatha IV, 8, Anguitara-maja a lihahalha 1,7,2, L J Thomas, Life of Buddha, pp 105 6, G P. Malalackera, Dictionery of Pair Propr Names II, p 901, Rähula Sänkrityayan, Buddha-Carja, pp 325 332

scnajit also attended the marriage and enj-yed the sumptuous festinities for quite a long time. The glamour and brilliance of the marriage live forever in Pali literature. It is said that the bride was offered a dowry of a creeper-necklace (mahālatā-ābhātaṣa) worth nine crores, 5400 carts of coins, 500 carts full of vessels of gold, ghee, husked and winnowed rice, ploughs, ploughshartes and other farmimplements and 500 earts with three slave women in each along with bg eattle, hulls and mileh-cows. When Višākhā drove on the roads of śravasti standing in an open car and wearing the guitering acceper-necklace with the dowry following her, the citizens thronging the windows, balconies and verandalts were dazzled and atomished at the sight of the fabulous wealth.

In Śrāvasti dwelt also the generous Anāthapindaka who purchased the Jetavana for the Buddhist order from prince Jeta by spreading gold coins (hiran ra) over it so that their edges touched each other (Koti-santhara).8 It is said that the owner prince leta told the buyer Anathapindaka that the plot could not be sold even if one were to cover it with gold coins by joining their edges. Thereupon, the buyer said that he had purchased the plot by accepting the price. The seller demurred to transfer it. Thereupon the matter was referred to the court which decided it in favour of the buyer. This shows that business magnates had acquired greater power and pelf than the princes of royal blood. Hence there is no wonder that kings wooed these financiers. It is common knowledge that Bimbisara used to attend the dinners at the house of the father-inlaw of Anathapindaka at Rajagrha. In the KathakoSaprakarana of Jinesvarasūri there is a story that the widowed mother of Salibhadra named Bhadra purchased the costly blankets from some merchants which King Bimbisara could not purchase for queen Celana on account of their very high price. This Bhadra used these blankets for foot-dusters. Once she invited Bimbisara and Celana to drive with her, got the entire passage tastefully decorated and accorded a warm welcome to the King. The King is stated to have been astonished at the sight of her stables of horses and elephants and multistoreyed mansions full of servants and riches.

The aforesaid stories conclusively prove that in the age of Buddha and Mahävira cconomic resources came to be regarded as the mainstay of the state. The richness of Magadha in metal deposits (Raigir has important iron and copper resources) explained

Vinaya Culldragga VI, 4, 9; Jātaka I, p. 92.

her rise as the nucleus of the Indian empire The fertility of her soil also added considerably to her economic potential and political importance ⁹ According to the *Mahānagga* her territory consisted of 80,000 villages ¹⁰ In the sixth century BC these resources were being fully typed ¹¹

As in Magadia so in other states the rise of the urban manufactuming and commercial elass agnified the growing importance of economic factors. We hear of large caravans of merchants comprising as many as 500 wagons travelling from Eastern India to Kusmfra and Gandhärn for trade purposes³¹⁴. These merchants crossed the boundaries of India also, visiting Babylon in the West and the islands of the Indian ocean in the East ¹³⁵. The Jarakas are full of numerous references to large caravans moving by land and

Parsuta-par.an of Hemacandra, pp. 1-2 देगोऽस्ति मगपापिस्कां वसुधासुलमण्डनम् । प्राप्वेकवारमुखाति जूनान्यपि हि वपले ॥ तत्र पाप्पाति दूर्यावेल् प्ररोहति नुहुर्म् ह । सर्ववाष्युर्वदेवी चाले प्रपति वारिष ॥

- ¹² Γ W Rhys Divids, Buddhist India, p 98 'The caravans, long lines of small two-wheeled carts, each drawn by two bullocks, were a distinctive feature of the times " Moti Chandra, Satharaha, p 65
- ¹⁴ Indrin merchants used to go to the Middle Last with comprise errows and peacocks On a larm seal a bird is shown to be hovenor, over a ship (Henri Trankfort, *Ghindar Stell*; plate XI), Sylvan Levi holds that the peacock was introduced into the West in the Achvemenian period "Le passage du paon de l' Inde i Medherranee, sous les auspices des Achemenides, est, meux qu'un fait, un symbole, il exprime l' unite economique d'une immense region railsee pour la premierre fois, l' ouverture des grindes rouites de puetration, la rapidite des echanges L' Inde, entranne d'une sette revolution qui lui a donne unécriture, a neglige d'en conserver l' instore, le conte, plus fidele, en aura du mons preserve la trace " (Autour du Biveru-Jataka, *Minanal Sylam Lett*, p 292) As regards the references to the voyages to Suv trandidpa which i denoted the laud beyond the Eastern Sea (Bay of Bengul) we Sylvani Levi, les Marchinds de Mer et leur role d'uns le Bouddinsme primuti *Memenal Sylam Lett*, p 133 G Goades, *I a Elait Hudonizia d'Indoneu et al Indoneu*, pp 36 52

¹⁰ Mahātagga V, I

¹¹ Arthasāstra VII, 11

sea routes with valuable merchandise and making fabulous profits. These caravans (sar/ha) led by their leaders (sar/hargha) and protected by their own militias were fully organised and disciplined. Their frequent journeys and voyages brought about the economic and commercial unity of the country and rendered the polity of the Sxtten Mahäjanapadas obsolete. The repeated change of frontiers and payment of tolls impeded free commercial activity.¹¹ We know that the traders used to complain about the payment of tolls to the Magadhan officers as well as the Licchavis twice. Thus, the character of political institutions contradicted the possibilities of economic development which necessitated the growth of a unified state.

2. Social and political unity as an expression of economic unity.

The religious leaders of that time, particularly Buddha, adumbrated the ideal of universal sovereignty based on righteousness and rectitude. His ideal was an emperor reigning over the whole of Jambudvipa with love, piety and peace.¹⁵ This ideal was pregnant with the tendency of amalgamation that was very pronounced in the spirit of the tume. To quote B. M. Barua, "the different records of the Brähmanas, the Jainas and Buddhists concur in pointing to a time when the rival religious sects had to make

a compromise among themselves by accepting the detites of one nother especially to an epoch when the emperor was worshupped us 1 God Such changes in Indian religion were coeval with the foundation of an empire and consequent on the growth of the idea of personality in religion and state ¹⁶ The march of Bimbissian against Anga was the beginning of the realisation of the idea of the unified state set forth by the Buddha. This process of translating the ideal into reality was completed with the conquest of Kalinga by Afoka and the promulgation of Dharmavijaya as the policy of the state thereafter.

The economic colution of the age of Buddha manifested itself in a transformation of social standards. As seen above in the Upanisad period the ruling rajanya class questioned the right of the priesthood to exclusive sacerdotal privileges and spiritual attainments In the age of Buddha both the royal and priestly classes were celipsed by the nascent trading and artisan closues. The leaders of the new religious and philosophical thought favoured the merchants and criftsmen The very first disciples of Buddha were two merchants named Tapassu and Bhallika 17 A greater part of his life was spent aniong plutocrats, millionaires and businessmen Likewise, Mahavira and Mankhalin Ghosala lived among craftsmen and artisans like the potter Halahala A scion of degraded caste (Matangakula) like Prasenaut occupied the throne of Kosala and contracted matrimonial relations with King Bimbisara of Magadha and became the favourite of the teachers and thinkers of those times Brahmanas liegan to adopt a variety of professions with istonishing frequency The Mahasutasomarataka tells the tale of a rich brahmana who carried on trade between the eastern and western parts of India in 500 wagons¹⁸ and the Phandana Jataka speaks of a brahmana who took the profession of a carpenter ¹⁹ A brihmans of the Bhursdvaja gotra living at Eknals in Dakkhina giri was a rich agriculturist²⁰ and another living in a frontier

- 19 Ibid, IV, p 207
- 10 Ibid, V, p 127

¹⁶ B M Barua 'Ajivikus, Journal of the Defartment of Letters Vol II (1920) pp 1 80

¹⁷ Vinayi Putaka Mahuvagga I, 1 5 Rahula Sinkrityayana's translation, p 77

¹⁸ Jataka ed Fuisball V, p 471

village earned his livelihood by selling hunted beasts.21 According to the Dasabrāhmaņajātaka, the brāhmanas following the avocations of physicians (likuchasama), servants (pricarakasama), tax-collectors (mggāhaka-samā), diggers of the soil (khānughātasamā), tradesmen (vānijakasamā), butchers (gaghātakā), hunters (luddhakasamā) and bathers or Yājnikas (malamajjanasamā).22 As the brāhmanas took to the callings of the visalas so the latter also aspired to become teachers and prophets by virtue of their piety, purity and philanthropy. In the words of the Suttanibata caste did not prevent a man from attaining the attributes of a brahmana provided he subjugated his passions and instincts.23 The aboriginal svapakas and candalas were no doubt outside the pale of Arya society but even they could think of rising to the status of Brahmanas, Harikesa-bala came of a family of Svapäkas yet ranked as a monk and sage.24 A merchant's daughter got a candala belaboured because he met her at the city gate, but subsequently she became his wife. A ksatriva is said to have worked successfully as a potter, basket-maker, teed-worker, garland-maker and cook, This social mobility and resilience was the result of the urban development of the sixth century B.C. In the cities the chatter of traders and clatter of craftsmen drouned the babble of caste-complexes. Near the gates of the cities, in squares and bazaars, thronged and jostled people of all callings and forgot their respective superiority and exclusivism. This revolution has been brilliantly described by a famous scholar as follows :

"In the Buddhist period the advance of civilization dissolved the old union. Big towns now formed the centre of life. In the towns or before the gates of towns lay the great, perhaps the greatest, part of the scenes of the transactions that the Buddhist texts relate......They were the residence of a highly progressive

3

the sūdras. Guilds and corporations of merchants and artisans stepped into the foreground as adequately representing the actual situation and its living interests pushing into the background such concepts as those of vailya and sūdra.³²⁵

Buddhisni, Jainism, Äjivikism and other religious and philosophieal systems of the times were the expressions of these egalitarian social tendencies.

3. Secular standpoint and rational outlook

The economic orientation of life and culture generated a secular, rational and social trend of thought. People lost faith in supernatural forces and recognised the value of social adjustment. In an carlier study we have referred to the Kutadata Sutta of the $D\bar{l}ghanikaje$ (5) where a priest advises the king to abandon yajha and provide seed etc. to those who desired to rultivate land, furnish capital to those who sought government service. Thus, all people would be busy in their work and the chances of rebellion would be climinated. Likewise in the Catkwauti-fikeriadruta of the $D\bar{l}ghanikaje$ King Drahanemi extorted his son to eradicate poverty and unemployment from the land by distributing work and money among the people instead of swinging between the extremes of wholesale acquittals and convictions of culprits. This secular approach to social problems was based on the imporlance of material and economic factor in human life. Exponding this ideology in clear-cut terms Kautulya wrote that material factor along is inportant, for religious observances and worldly pleasures depend on it.³⁶

4. The dichotomy of rich and poor

The rapid economic development of the Tre-Maurya period ushered in an era of material prosperity but concentrated it in the hands of wealthy people. While we hear of multimillonaires (as fidivibibase settis) rolling in affluence and ready to cover the earth with gold, we also find the worker earning only a mäsaka or

²⁵ Hermann Oldenberg, On the History of the Caste System translated by H. C Chakladar in India Antiquary (1920), pp. 205-224.

²⁶ Kautilija Atthaiastra I, 3 (Shamasastra's edition), p. 12. अर्थ एव प्रधान. इति कोटिल्य सर्थमुली हि धर्मकामावति

half māsala by which he could hardly support himself and his mother.27

Whereas the rich frequented the restaurants and enjoyed cooled meat (pakkvamānisa), rice (odana) and cakes (apūpa) and recreated themselves in taverns (panasala), the poor had to live by a rice-ball (kummāsa piņda) or barley boiled like rice and a little soup (appas upam yavabhattam)." Like trade and industry, agriculture also underwent a process of monopolysation and capitalisation. The kutumbins, setthis and gama-bhojakas began to acquire lands on large scale and work them by means of slave and hired labour. We hear of large estates of 1000 karisas and of farmers owning 500 plough-shares.29 Even simple villagers and farmers are said to be keeping slaves in their families, to It appears that the slaves played a leading part in the domestic life of the people. They were engaged in cooking, fetching water, pounding and drying rice, carrying food to and watching the fields, giving alms, ministering to the master when he retired, handling the plates and dishes, bringing the spitoon and fetching the fans during meals, sweeping the yards and stables and similar other duties.31 Ordinarily the

²¹ 'The rich man in his palace, the poor man nt his door's is the motio of this age. In the dpactane Vol. 11, p. 537 we have the following life-sketch of n wealthy man (settliputta): Born in a rich family he is endowed with the five pleasures of the senses. Inside his palatial residence he is entertained by dancing girls with music and dances. Young malders please him with jokes and plays. Barbers, gardeners, jewellers, acrobats etc. altend on him. The poor and the needy and the beggars and vagrants appear at his door. Traders and merchants of various countries visit luin. Artisans and craftsmen approach luim for jobs and orders.

For the standard of riches see Jataka V, p. 383

- ²⁸ Mahammerga Jatake (Jataka Vol. VI, p. 372) मुद्दि मुद्दि करवा धल्पगूर्य यवभन्त भूजमानम् ; Jataka I, p. 486 सण्ड्रवमणस्य मराम् The rich man enjoyed rich rice (maharrih) holied with water and prepared with meat (मांगोरन्) whose heap on the plate looked like the Vindhya mountain (विष्ण्यो वधिनजन्म)
- Jatala IV, p. 276. "The karisa is a square measure of land, being that space on which a karisa of seed can be sown."

184

Jātaka VI, p. 117; III, p. 162; H, p. 428

¹¹ Ratilal Mehta, Pre-Buddhist Indua, p. 211

price of a slave girl was 100 kärsäpanas but some slaves were 700 kärsäpanas worth and a few had the value of 1000 pieces.²³ The treatment of the slaves depended on the good will of the master. But, we have references to maltreatment of slaves also. They were often thrashed, fettered, chained, branded and coereed.²³

In this period of the concentration of the factors of production in the hands of the moneyed class the free labourer was gradually impoverished and ground down. He could not compete with salve labour employed on large scale projects and could hardly keep body and soul together with his seanty earnings. Hence he ranked even below the slave. The Jätakas deplore as a sign of social decadence the distressing sight of sturdy peasants leaving at home their own empty barns and swelling the ranks of landless agricultural labourers to toil as hirelings (kammakara or bhataka) on the estates of capitalists.³⁴ Buddhism and Jainism deprecated the profession of sickle and yoke and branded the cultivator as engaged in a mean and violent work. In their scale of values the labourer ranked with the slave.³⁵ The dally

(continued on page 18

³² Jalaka, I, p. 299; III, p. 343; VI, p. 577

Jataka, I., P. 239; 11, p. 343; VI, p. 334; Puggalapanpali p. 56; B.C. Law, 'Slavery as known to the carly Buddhist' Bharailys I'dya IX (1948) K. M. Munshi Diamond Jubilee Volume I, p. 305; U. N. Ghoshal, Studies in Indian Hittory and Culture, pp. 461-465; Jagdish Chandra Jain, Life in Ancient India as depicted in the Jains Canons, pp. 105-105.

³⁴ Jalake I, p. 339

³⁵ Dighamkaya I, 51; Abgutlaranikaya I, 145, 206. Buddhism was the expression of the urban-economic culture-complex of the sixth century. The weltanshaaung of arban culture has been graphically described by Oavald Spengler as follows:------'In the city the picture is of deep long gorges between high stony houses filled with coloured dust and strange uprear, and men dwell in these houses the like of which no natural being has ever conceived. Costumes, even faces, are adjusted to a hackground cf stone. By day there is a street traffic of strange colour and tones and by night a new light that outshines the moon. And the yokel stands helpless on the pavement, understanding nothing and understood by nobody, tolerated as a useful type in farce and provider of this world's daily bread." (The Deeline of the Wirk Vol. II, p. 95)—"The splendid mass ciries harbour lamentable poverty and degraded habits."-----The splend et al.

wages of a labourer ranged, as said above, between one masaka (1) Karsāpana) and 1 māsaka (1 Karsāpana). Of course, one masaka* could fetch enough quantity of food and grocery and a small quantity of ghee or oil for single person and sometimes frugal persons enjoyed some other petty amenities, e.g., garland, per-

(continued from page 185)

sucks the country dry, insatiably and incessantly demanding and devouring fresh streams of men." (Ibid Vol. II, p 102).

The dichotomy of rich and poor and the disregard of the village-worker are important traits of Buddha's world-view. In the Jaina text Thananga (sthanaga) the slaves (dasa) lahourers (bhrtaka) and cultivators (bhaillaga) are called people of lower category. These people and the indebted are debarred from inui ution. [Jagdish Candra Jain, History of Prakrit Literature (in Handi) p. 57].

Dr. D. C. Sircar informs me that the relation between the copper and silver kärsäpanas appears to have often been-16 copper: 1 silver. Thus I copper kārsāpaņa: 1/16 silver kārsāpaņa. (Dr. D. C. Sircar's letter to me no. 376-A/4611.4157 dated 11 December 1959.) P. L.Gupta holds that one pana consisted of 32 mäsakas. He interprets a passage of the Arthaiastra (II, 28) prescribing the rates of ferry toll to mean that one pada was of eight māsakas [P. L. Gupta, 'Numismatic data in the Arthasāstra' Journal of the Numismatic Society of India [A. S Altekar Volume XXII (1960) p. 21] An exhaustive list of coin denominations is given in Arth isastra II, 12 as pana, half-pana, quarter pana (pada), one-eight pana (aststabliaga), māsaka, half-māsaka, Kākini and half-Kākini. In it the denomination following 1/8 pana is māşaka. There is no denomina-this work there are

coin. So this two

bhaga or 1/8 pana

If we hold that this two maşaka coin was different and dis' - " in

the

It have been mentioned at it is not there shows In case we think that

2 pana (astabhaga) represented 4 maşakas, it would appear strange that there was no coin for 2 masakas. The above list of coin-denominations is so complete that there hardly seems any scope of omission in it. Therefore, the view of Shāmatāstri and V. S. Agrawala that one Kaisāpana was equivalent to 16 misakas is correct. Thus the ratio between silver and copper was 1:16, since according to the Arthasastra pana was a silver coin and masaka a copper coin. fume or drink with a part of it, yet it is patent that they were hardpressed.

The process of economic impoverishment and squeezing can be measured by the gradual devaluation of the currency. The old decimal coinage of Satamana group had become a thing of the past. Panini referred to a coin standard of 20 masakas or 40 ratus called vimsatikā,31 At the time of Bimhisāra also this standard was prevalent, as we learn from Buddhaghosa's commentary on the Vinaya.37 But, at the time of the Nandas and Mauryas the kārsāpaņ standard of 32 rattis become prevalent.38 There is a Pali tradition that Kautilya or Ganakya increased the treasury by debasing the curren y.39 Probably it refers to the promulgation of the silver kärsäpana standard instead of the old vimšatika standard. In Kosala the karsapana was further reduced to 24 or 30 rattis, as we learn from the finds from Paila in the Kheri district of U. P.46 Subsequently silver-plated copper kärsäpanas of a weight standard of 32 rattis were struck. In these coins silver became nominal, the metal was all copper. Many specimens of these coins showing traces of thin copper plating have come to light. They represent the debased coinage of Maurya times introduced to meet some unusual drain on the currency and replenish the exchequer. Thus, a man earning one kärsäpana in Maurya period had less purchasing capacity than in earlier times.

In the money-economy loans and mortgages are very common. The more the concentration of wealth the greater the rate of interest. Pānini had a special term for a person who advances ten and realises eleven (*dasaikghise*).¹¹¹ Pataňjali has referred

Journal of the U. P. Historical society VI (1933) p. 157-158.

³⁸ Arthaśastra II, 12, p. 84 पणमधेवज् पादमन्द्रभागमिति । पादाजीवं ताम्रारूपं सायकमधेमापकं काकिणीमधेवाकिणीति ।

³⁹ Mahāvamsa Tikā (Vansatthappakāsini) ed. C. P. Mahalasekera, p. 183 एक कहापण बहुकहापणग्धनिक करवा ग्रसीनिकोटिप्प-माणरासी करवा

Pātini V. 1, 32 বিগরিকার ব discussed in V. S. Agrawala, Iudian as Known to Paņini, pp. 268-269.
 C. D. Chatterin, "some New Numismatic terms in Pali texts",

⁴⁰ Durga Prasad, Numismalic Supplement XVIII, p. 77, Walsh, Journal of the Numismatic Society of India No. 11, p. 15-26.

⁴¹ Panini IV, 4, 31.

to usercts who realised double and treble their advances (dvaigurika and traigunika). Baudhayana has fixed the rate of interest at 20% 4^{45} Gautama, Vyāsa, Nārada, Manu and Yājhavallya have recommended the rate of 15%. Kaufilya also treated this rate as equitable but stated that in commercial circles the rate of interest was 60%, in forests 120% and on sea 240% 4^{45} The Mauryas may have devised some ways to carb usuary, for Megashheas states that the Indians neither put out money at usuary nor know how to borrow. Yet the report of the Greek envoy has to be taken with a grain of salt.

5. State capitalism under the Manyas

The Maurya empire was the expression of the economic unity of the country. Its system is reflected in Arthosfartra of Kautija From it we learn that the individual capitalist and trader was treated as a menace to the state. Kautija classes the traders (Yanki) with those people who are really thieves but parade as gendlemen.⁴ Hence we discern a tendency to nationalise and centralise all the key-industries and trades in its economy. A substantial part of the land was directly managed by the state. The Sliadhyaka got it tilled with slaves and labourers.⁴⁵ Other land was settled on rent with the cultivators for life on the assumption that land belonged to him who tilled it. Hence the inability to cultivate land rendered it liable to forfeiture.⁴⁴ Of course, the state advanced seed, cattle and capital to promote cultivation⁴⁷ but it also expected contributions from the cultivators in the shape of labour, bullocks and money for cooperative undertakings launched in the village.⁴⁸ Some land was granted free of rent and taxes

- ⁴⁵ Arthasfastra III, II, p. 174 सपादपणा धम्यों मासवृद्धि पणगतस्य । पर्यापणा व्यावहारिकी । दनपणा कान्तारकाणाम् ।
- ¹⁴ Ibid IV, II, 76, p. 204. विश्वतिषणा सामुद्राणाम् एवं चोरानचोराल्यान् वणिषकारकुशीलवान् । भिञ्चकान्कुष्टकांदचान्डान् वारसेट्रेझपीडवान् ॥
- ⁴⁵ Ibid II, 34, p. 115 सीताष्यक्षी बहुहुलपरिष्ट्राव्याया स्वभूमी दामकर्मकर-दण्डप्रतिकर्तु मिर्धापयेत् ।
- 46 Ibid अकृपतामाच्छियान्येम्यो प्रयच्छेत् ।
- 47 Ibid धान्यपशुहिरण्यदर्चनानानुगृहणीयात्तान्यनुसुलेन दशुः ।
- ⁴⁹ Ibid सम्भूय सेतुवन्धादपत्रामतः कर्मकरवलीयर्थाः कर्म कर्युः । व्यय-कर्मणि च भागो स्यात् न चांशं लभेत् ।

¹³ Patañjali on Panini IV, 4, 30; II, 3, 31,

to teachers, priests, scholars and officials in lieu of salaries but it could not be alienated by sale or mortgage.⁴⁹ As Romila Thapar says, land revenue given to religious sects did not mean a transfer of ownership but only the gift of revenue so that the members of the sect did not have to work for a living. This position somewhat accords with the observation of the greek writers that in the Maurya age all land was deemed to belong to the crown.⁸⁹

Besides land, all tanks and ponds and their produce,⁵¹ the ⁵⁰ sub-soil yields and minerals,⁵² the forest produce,⁵⁹ the pastures¹⁴ and cattle, hortes and ekphants, roads, waterways⁵³ etc. belonged to the crown and were managed by their respective departments.⁶⁶ The state ran its cotton, oil, sugar and dairy industries ; it had the monopoly of the armament industry and ship-building yards, it had the sole right to the manufacture of wincs and liquors, the mining of coins, the prescription of weights and measures and regulation of prices and customs and wages.⁶⁷

• ¹⁰ Ibid विकयाधानवर्जम्।

- ¹⁰ Cambridge History of India Vol. 1, p. 360-428; Bernhard, Bröler, Kauflya Studien Vol. 1, pp. 77-93. R. Thaper, Jösäd and the Decime of the Mauryos, p. 67. R. Thaper, Jösäd inscription of Asoka is that the King deals directly with the question of esemption from land tribute. If there had been any intermediary in the form of a landowner, the King would have had some diffully in granting the exemption, since it would have effected the landowners' economic position Megasthenes mentions that military officers were paid in cash. This eliminated the necessity of granting them land revenue by way of parment as was done by most later Indian governments. Thus there could not be any landlord system.
 - ⁵¹ Arthasastra II, I, p. 47 मत्स्यप्थ्वव हरितपण्यानां सेतुषु राजा स्वाभ्य गच्छेतु।
 - 52 Ibid II, 12, pp. 80-85
 - 101 Ibid II, 17, pp. 99-101
 - 51 Ibid II, 33, pp. 140-141
 - 55 Ibid II, 4.55, VII, 12, p. 300
 - 56 Ibid II, 31-32, pp. 135-140
 - ⁸⁷ Ibid II, 15, p. 97 प्रथ्याच्यक्ष: स्थलजलजाना नानाविधाना स्यल-पयवारिपयोपयातानां सारफल्यपग्तिरं प्रियाप्रियता च विद्यात् । तथा

190 STUDIES IN INDIAN HISTORY AND CIVILIZATION

6 The lot of the poor in the Maurya Empire

The Maurya State frowned on large accumulations of wealth. An intricate and ubiquitous network of spice gathered reports about the assets of wealthy pople and kept an strict eye on both the prodigal and frugal persons.⁴⁴ Ingenious methods of fleecing and appropriating the wealth of the rich by making false imputations and concocting false charges were also in vogue.⁶⁹

Thus an effort was made to transform private capitalism into state capitalism by eliminating vested interests in rural and urban economy. This meant some safety and security for the common man. An Årya could not become a slave. His free status was guaranteed by the state.⁴⁰ The rights and equilites of the slavet, drawn from aborigmal and foreign tribes, were also regulated and codified.⁴¹ The free worker, artisan and merchant were also afforded some protection.⁴⁵ Kautilya haid down that a person causing hurt to a crafisman was fiable to be put to death ([11, 13). Likewise a person guilty of stealing the belongings of artisant had to pay a heavy fine of 100 papas (11, 13). Prices were fixed from the standpoint of public convenience. Large profits were disallowed if

bid II, 3G, p 144. द्योण्डिकपाधयमानिकोदनिवक्त्याजीयाः परिप्रातमा-यामयेषुः । दिराषसरोपकपयिकपप्रयोगनकालान् स्रतिष्ययकर्तारमस्याहितकमाणं च निवेदयेषुः । Ibid II, 9, p. 69- मूसहरनादरियककरः योर्ज्यप्रतियेययेत् ।

⁴⁹ Ibid V, 2, 90, p. 243.

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC HISTORY OF MAURYA EMPIRE

they proved oppressive or deleterious to the people.53 Usually the profit of a trader was fixed at 5% on local goods and 10% on foreign goods (Kautilya II 21). Special attention was paid to the problem of famines which must have been very keen in that age. Two inscriptions in Maurya Brahmi found in Sohgaura in the Gorakhpur district (Indian Antiquary XXV, pp. 261-6) and at Mahāsthanpgarh in Bogra district Bengal (Epigraphia Indica XXII XXII, pp. 1-3) speak of the state granaries instituted to combat the ravages of famine whenever and wherever such contingencies arose. Yet the Maurya measures did not signify any appreciable amclioration of die lot of the poor. Kaujilya fixed the wages of the slaves, agricultural labourers and field watchmen at 11 kärsäpana per month or 2/3 mäsaka per day plus food in proportion to their work.64 On the other hand, the lowest daily wages of a Government servant was two karsapaga and that of a skilled worker four kārsāpaņas.63 The disparity of the rich and poor is also indicated in the description of food. While an Arya eats 1 prastha of rice and soup equal to one fourth part of rice and ghee equal to one fourth part of soup, the dasa or karmakara can only have one sixth prastha of soup and one twelfith prastha of ghee, " In fact, the deplorable condition of the worker is manifest from the injunction of Asoka to mete out proper treatment to the slaves and workers as part of one's religious duty.67 But the pious wish of this noble emperor

63	Ibid 11, 16, 35, p. 98
	उभयं च प्रजानामनुगृहेंग विकापवंत् । स्यूलमपि च लार्भ प्रजानामौप- पातिकं वारयेत् ।
63	21/10/23/18 11, 24, 41, p. 110
	पण्ड्वाटगांपालकदासकर्मकरेम्यो यथापुरुपपरिवापं भक्तं कुर्यात् सपाद- दणिकं मासं दद्यात् ।
65	lbid V, 3, 91, p. 248
	चतुष्पदद्विपदपरिचारकपरिकीमकोपस्यायिकपालकविष्टिवन्धकाष्पष्टि वेतनाः;
	कारशिस्पिनां विद्यतिकाः ।
55	11, 10, 51, p. 50
	TRUTUSTING AL AND ALL TRADITION TO A STRATE AND A STRATE

ग्रखण्डपरिसुद्धानों वा तब्बुलानां प्रस्यं चतुर्प्रायस्यूयः सूपपोडको तवणस्याकः चतुर्भागस्यपिपः तैवस्य वा एकगायभक्तम् । पुत्तः पडमागस्सूपः ग्रमस्तेहमवराणाम् ।

⁴⁷ Asoka's Rock Edict IX (Kalsi) इयं चु खो पासमटकसि सम्मापटिपाति गुलुना अपचिति महाफले ये घर्ममगले । Aloka, text and glessary I,

191

could not remove the miseries and improve the condition of this mass of humanity.

7. Maurya etalisme and bureaucracy

As said above, the Maurya experiment of étatisme and absolutism brought in its train a centralised bureaucracy. Almost all the important officers of the state were paid in cash. The importance of setthis and mahasettis had passed on to them. It is noteworthy that in the Arthasiastra we have no reference to these millionaires (setthis) controlling the government. Instead of them we have the nigaraka and cope running the administration of the cities. In rural area the samaharta was the head of a unit of 3200 villages, the stharings was incharge of a circle of 800 villages, the dronamukha administered a division of 400 villages, the Abaroatika controlled a district of 200 villages, the sangrahana was responsible for a pocket of 10 villages and the gobg managed the affairs of 5 villages, 58 At the capital a secretariat consisting of 31 departments each having its president or adhyaksa administered and superintended collections and revenue, mines, gold, stores, commerce, forests, armoury, weights and measures, customs, spinning and weaving industry, agriculture, excise, saughter houses, courtezans, shipping, cattle, horses, elephanu, chariots, infantry, passports, pastures, inctals, mint, treasury, elephant forests, general trade, gambling, jails, ports and religious institutions. The policy of these departments was chalked out by the advisers of the king and their activities were corelated by a council of ministers having its chairman and chief. The highest salary was 48000 panas payable to the Chief Minister, Chief Priest, Commander-in-Chief and the Crown Prince and the lowest was 60 panas payable to peons and orderlys etc. This vast and complicated bureaucracy penetrated into every walk of social and economic life and started a process of tyranny and exploitation. Hence the people grouped and rebelled. We have three intanets of the citie zens of Taksasila rising in revolt against the Maurya afficials." When the princes of the royal family went to pacify them they

Arthoi astra II, I, p. 46 प्रान्टरातवाम्या मध्ये स्थानीव, चतुरसातवाम्या होणमुखं, दिरातवाम्या खावंटिकं, प्रयागीसिंगूहेण मंग्रहणं स्थापयेत् II, 35, p. 46 पञ्चवामी गोपदियनयेत् II, 35, p. 141 झवाइलां चतुर्या जनपदं विभग नियन्पयेत् 1

^{*} Divyaradana, ed. Cowell, pp. 407-8

acknowleged their loyalty to the state and complained of the superciliousness and high-handedness of the wicked officials.⁷⁰ This shows that even though the people wanted to remain loyal to the throne, the tyranny of the officials goaded them to rehellion. In the Jätakas we read of tax-collectors (miggahaka) who plundered the wealth of the people like robbers without fear. Kaujlya visualised forty chances of embezzlement and provided deterrent punishments to avoid them.⁷¹ For a time the vast machine worked, but soon afterwards it began to break down.

A significant step in the disintegration of Maurya administrative machinery was the giving of extensive autonomous powers to his rajidas by Asoka.⁷² Hence they often began to disreguid imperial injunctions. As a result Asoka had to address the Dhauh-Jaugada Separate Rock Ediets to them uphraiding and reprimanding them for not appreciating at all or for partially realising h s solicitude for his subjects.⁷³ He appointed special Dharma-mahāmātras to see that his policy of welfare and upluft was effectively carried out. Their duty was to encourage the spirit of tolerance among different

- ' प्रस्युद्गम्य कृताव्य्वलिरुवाच 'न सर्य कुमारस्य विरुद्धा न राझोऽजीवन्त्र्याति तु ग्रापितु दुण्टामारयागरयास्माकमप्रमानं कुर्वन्ति ।
- 71 Arthasastra II, 9, p. 70
 - धालावयंच्योपचितान् विपर्यस्येच्च कर्मस् ।

Kautilya was keenly aware of the tendency of corruption in a bureaucracy. According to him, a government servant was bound to eat up, at least, a bit of the king's revenue just as it is impossible not to taste the honey or poison that finds itself at the tip of tongue.

जिह्लातलस्यं मधुवा विधंवा ऋषैस्तथा ह्यथैचरेण राज्ञः। स्वल्योऽध्युना-स्वादयित् न अवधः ।

- ¹² Atoka's Fillar Ediet IV, Delhi-Topra version लजूका में बहुसु पान्या देखे पुजनाते मायका तेलं ये प्रशिद्दाले वा टण्डे वा अतरुतिये मे कटे येन एसा च भीता घरस्य सन्त अविगना कम्माति / परतपेय दि।
- ⁷³ Atoka's Separate Rock Edict J, Dhauli-Jaugada version हेमेन पमापे इध्राप्ति कि मे खरेन हित्रमुखेन सुरोन् ति हिरलोगिक पाललो-किकेन। हेमेन से दृष्ठ सन मुनिसेसु। नो च तुप्ते एतं पापुताप आवागनक इसं घठे। केचा एक पुलिसे (वि) पापुताति मनाति । में पि देसं न सर्च।

⁷⁰ Ibid

sects for whom separate sectarian mahamatris functioned Atoka made it obligatory for all high officials, the rajukas, pradesikas and yuktas to undertake quinquentrial official tours of inspection (anusamyāna) in their respective administrative units and to look to the execution of public works of utility and impart instructions in the principles of piety Later on, he directed the special rajavacanika multiumatras to undertake these tours to prevent the miscarriage of justice and high-handed actions The officers of northern and central India were asked to go on such anti-corruption tours every third year ⁷⁴ But all these measures proved abortive as soon as the mighty hand of Asoka was removed The following all contrast unguy must have been a period of great corruption, explosi-tion and impoverishment The common man must have groaned and shrieked and quailed As a result, the administration crumbled and broke to pieces Unfortunately no Kaulilya has given us any picture of this change We have only some hints in the Mahabhaya of Patanjali, the contemporary of the Sungas, who ushered in a new policy of reform With their help we can reconstruct the picture of the new movement which gave the coup de grace to the expiring tragedy of the Maurvas

8 Economic aspect of the fall of the Maurya empire

Commenting on a Varttika of Katyayana on Panini sütra V, 4, 116 Patrijali lets us know that the monthly wages of labourers n his time were five six or ten kärsapana. He calls these workers Pañcaka masikah Shatka masikah and dasake masikah He also mentions a labourer working for one padika (1/4 karsapana) per day, i c, 7j karsapanas per month It appears that food and clothing were

Asoka's Separate Rock Edict I, Dhauli Version 74

¹⁵⁰⁶व э окрагие Nock Faler 1, Jnanni Version एताये भ अध्ये हरू दामले पचसु पचसु पत्रे पुनेखा मयिसामि ए अलयते अप्रभः धर्षिनातम्मे होसति । एत प्रय जनितु तया कलति सय मम अनुसयी ति । उजनित पि चु कुवाले एतापे व मठाये निरातमयिसति हेरेसमेन बग ना च प्रतिनामयिसति तिमनि वसानि । होने तस्वसिलाते पि अदा अनुसयानम (Jaugada Version) ते लाजवचनिक अदा अनुसयान निलिमिमति । ग्रतने बम्म एत पि जानिसन्ति | [A C Woolner, Asoka Text Glossary Vol I, pp 25-27]

⁷⁵ Patanjali's Bhasya on I 3, 72 कर्मकरा मुर्वन्ति पादिक ग्रहर लप्म्याम्हे ।

provided extra to the labourers.²⁸ Thus the Kaujiliyan salary of 14 kärsäpanas per month was enhanced to 74 kärsäpanas. Side by side the old Vintsatikä currency was also revived?²⁹ so as to increase the value of money. Thus the fall of the Maurya empire and the onset of the Sungas signified an economic change. It was in response to economic factors that the Maurya empire-rose and it was in answer to the same that it fell.

9. Oppressive taxation and exaction in the Maurya period

We have observed that though the Maurya empire curbed the capitalists, industrialists and merchants by improvising drastic state controls and evolving a centralised statist economy it failed to improve the lot of the worker to any appreciable extent. The state stepped into the shoes of the private entrepreneur and its bureaucracy gradually assumed the role of plutocracy. In order to feed this gigantic bureaucratic machinery enormous money was squeezed from the people through grinding taxation and exaction. In Pre-Maurya period the rise of trade and industry in eastern India had necessitated a new policy or taxation. Panini framed a special rule to regulate the names of special taxes prevalent in the eastern parts of India. Commenting on this sutra the Kasika has given four examples; (1) supe sanah a levy of one sana coin per kitchen or household, (2) mukute-karsapanam, a tax of one karsapana coin per capita, (3) drisadi masakah, an impost of one masaka coin per handmill, (4) hale dvipādikā and hale-tripādikā, a fec of two or three pada coins per plough-share.78 These taxes were called kara rather than kara and the officer in charge of raising them was named as

Pataijali cites a teacher as stating that in "times past sixteen mägas made one Kärsipaga" implying that in his time the kärsäpana of 20 mägas or vinsistika vas known in his locality. Mahabhäsya on 1, 2, 64 संबंत्र सन्तेतिरामा पत्त-पाद [मांगीत: मांदा रक्ष किशायालायता विद्याना व प्रतःत्वात पूरा कल्प पत्तासीत पीडस माया: कार्यापणम् पोडस वला: मांघ संस्वरा ! Actual specimens of vinsistikä weight coins and their lower denominations have been found in the Pančala coinage (V. S. Agrawala, India at Known to Panim, p. 270) In the Smit of Kätyäyäna quoted in the Smiticandrikä (Par I, p. 231) also the currency of 20 mägas or vinsistikä is mentioned instead of that of 16 mägas which was a thing of the past.

⁷⁸ V. S. Agrawala, India as Known to Ponini, p. 242.

 $k_{arakara}$ Inheriting this policy of taxation from Magadha the Mauryas extended and intensified its scope and incidence as we gather from the Arthas astro

The usual land revenue was the fourth part of the produce of the soil (bhaga) 19 Besides this, there was a special cess called bali Irrigation charges (udakabhaga) varied from 1/5 to 1/3 of the produce Often the revenue was increased to 1/3 of the produce 80 There were also many other imposts and levies like kara (a duty on orchards), vivita (a levy on pastures), variant (road-cess), raiju (settlement charges), corarana (police cess), senabhahta (military imposis), pindakara (collective taxes), utsanga (compulsory presents on the birth of a prince), parsoa (taxes that are collected when there is some margin left for such collection), parihinaka (compersation for damage done by cattle to crops), aubaiantha (presents made to the king) and Kaustheyska (taxes on lands below tanks, lakes etc built by the king) \$1 Trade and transport was subject to sulka (toll), variant (road cess), atwähika (escort charges), gulmadeta (multiary imposis), taradeya (ferry charges) and bhaga (tax of 1/6th of the value of goods) 82 Besides these regular taxes there were special emergency levies cuphemistically called 'benevolences' (pranaya) Their incidence on trade was particularly severe. dealers in gold, silver, jewellery, horses and elephants were assessed at 500 panas, traders of yarn, textiles, copper, brass, bronze, sandal, medicines and liquor at 400 panas, merchants of corn, liquids, hardware, vchicles etc at 300 panas, dealers in glassware and handicrafts at 200 panas, persons carrying on small crafts and carpenters at 100 panas and workers

¹⁹ Megasthenes, Fragment I We fearn from Asoka's Runminde: Pilla, Inscription that he rendered the Lumbun village, where Buddha was born, *ibeliks* and *idabhagyy*. This shows that the usual rate of *bhagg* was 1/4 of the produce and Asoka reduced u by half. This datum agrees with the remark of the Greek envoy.

³⁰ Arthasastra V, 2, 90, p 242 जनपद महान्तमरूपप्रमाण वा देवमातूक प्रभूतयान्य धान्याक्ष त्वीम चतुर्वं ना याचेत् ।

Ibul II, 6, 24, p 60 सीता मागो वलि करो वणिक नदीपालस्तरो नाल पट्टन विवीत वर्तनो रज्जुश्चोररज्जुश्च राष्ट्रम् । Ibid II, 14, 33, p 93 पिण्डकर पडमाग नेतामॉंग, सचि कर, उत्सन पाहर्व परि हीणक, प्रीपायानिक, कौष्ठेयक च राष्ट्रम् ।

⁸² Ibid II, 16, 35, p 99 झुल्कवर्तेन्यातिवाहिकगुत्मतरदेवभणतभागव्यव दुढम् ।

of wood, bambooes, stone, earthenware, confectionaries and vegetables at fifty panas.

Actors and prostitutes had to pay half of their salaries to the state and the goldsmiths and shroffs had to give away practically their entire belongings.** Dealers in animals had to pay 1 of fowls and pigs, 1/6 of small beasts like goats and sheep and 1/10 of cows, buffaloes, horses, mules, asses and camels to the treasury." The state frequently issued loans and appealed for donations 85 The superintendent of religious institutions arranged supernatural demonstrations in temple compounds and wayward places and incited and invited the people to make monetary offerings.** The spice disguised as merchants used to cheat and rob the traders of all their belongings, and prostitutes appointed by the state extorted large sums of money from the people.37 Murders for appropriating wealth were frequent, and intrigue and trickery, were rampant.83 The king being the greatest manufacturer. stockists and traders looked upon the private artisans and merchants as thorns in their way which had to be removed by all means. Trade was licenced, prices were controlled, profits of traders were fixed (5% in the case of home made goods and 10%

- Anhaiäsira V, 2, 90, p. 243. सुवर्णरप्रतवच्य तणिमृत्र गाप्रवालास्व-हरितपण्या. पञ्चाग्रतरुता: । सुप्रतस्वतास्र वृत्तास्य गांभभेतज्यनीपुण्च्या-त्त्रव्यवारियांत् करा:) धान्यरसलोहण्य्या:) जवव्यवदारियात्त दियारस्वरा नावच्यवहारिणो महाकारदरव वियातिकरा: । शुरुवरारवो ययेकिगोयकारम् दाकरा: । कारवेनुप्रपाण्च दुराण्डपत्रकार्यदेरियण्या. पत्तकरा: । कुसीलवा: रूपाठीवास्व वेतनाथं दृद्ध: । हिरण्यंकरकर्मण्यानाहाररीयु: । According to Shamasati 1 kara = 10 Panas (English translation of the Arhaisatina, p. 272.
 - Ibid, V, 2, 90, p. 243. कुपकुटसूकरमर्थं ददान् । क्षुद्रपक्षवष्यडमागम् । गोमहिवादव्यकरोष्ट्रादम् दद्यभागम् ।
 - 85 Ibid, p. 243-244. समाहतों कार्यमवदिवय पौरजानप्रदानभिक्षेत ।
 - ⁸⁶ Ibid, p. 244. टेन्ताच्यक्षी देवत्तर्पेत्यं सिद्धपुण्यस्थानेनोपपादिकं ना रात्रानुत्साप्य यात्रासमाजाध्यामाजीवेत् प्रसित्यक्तं या दंगयित्वा योगदर्शन प्रतीकारेण वा कौद्याभिसंहरणं कुर्वात् ।
 - ⁸⁷ Ibid, p. 243. बन्यकिपोपका राजप्रेप्याभिः परमरूपयोवनाभिः कोश संहरेयु. ।
 - Ibid, p. 245. तं दूश्यगृहव्वतिद्वारि रात्रायुवरायानमन्यत्र वा वसन्तं तीव्णो हत्वा यूयात्—"हतोऽयमिर्थं कायुद्र:" इति ।

on foreign good)89 and monopolies, combines and corporations were forbidden Artisans forming associations to strike work and to raise their wages or to increase the price of the good they sold or decrease that of the things they purchased were convicted and punished " Thus the king kept everybody under his iron heel and ferule it is therefore, no wonder that the people were oppressed and empled With the passage of time the tide of misery and discontent swelled to immense proportions A chronicler writing about a later Maurya king observed that calling himself virtuous but really devoid of virtue he subjected his country to terrible oppression 91 It appears that this oppression occurred inspite of their intention. It must have contin buted to the fall of the empire We know that the successors of the Mauryas openly denounced these measures and policies As if cas ting an aspersion on the Maurya system, Mahaksatrapa Rudrida man stated that he constructed the embankment of the Sudariana lake in Saurastra, originally dug by a Maurya governor, with hu own money, without oppressing (abidanitea) the town and village people (pourajanaj adam) with taxes (kara), corvee (risfi) and bene olences (prana)a)

In the 3xx period the rate of interest also went down Two weavers' guilds at Govardhana (Naik) stipulated to pay interest G only 1% and 2% per month, i.e., 12% and 9% per annum instead of the standard rates of 15% and 24% in respect of two permanent investments. This shows that the capital position in Western India became ersy. The lowering of the rate of interest and the reduction in taxes indicate a calculated effort to case the economic ten sion of the preceding ages.

- ⁸⁹ Ibid, IV, 2, 77, p. 206 धनुजातनपाडुर्पा चैवा स्वर्द्धीयाना पण्याना पळचक गतमाजाव स्थापथेत । परदेशोधाना दशवम् । तत परमर्थ वपयना पणघते पवपणाट[उनको दश्ड ।
 - ⁴⁰ *Ibid*, 1V, 2, 77, p 205 काइनिस्टिता कमगुणापर पंभाजीय वित्र फयोगपात या समूय समुऱ्यापयता सहस्य दण्ड ।
 - ³¹ 2 a Purana ed D R Munkud, p 32 म्वराष्ट्र मदंत पार पर्मवादी मर्घामिक ।
 - ³³ Girnar Inscription of Malarkşatrapa Rudrad in an, Dishallar *Video on from Saskii Inscriptions* I, p 2 स्तौरदिस्ता वर्राविद् प्रणयरियामि धौरतापद चन स्वम्मास्त्रोपान् महता यनीपेतानतिवर्ग व राज्य तेषु वारिराम् ।

We have referred above to the discontent of the workers, tillers and artisans and the disconfiture of the unrelants, traders and weakly classes in the Mauya period. We have shown that its policy of protecting the interest of the common man (awarahmatrajānām)¹² by curbing the greed of luminessmen proved a thin veneer for the oppression of bureauerats. Though starting with the assumption that the state should not thrive at the expense of its subjects it was driven to adopt the measures of string gent taxation and exaction in order to fiel its vast administrative system. Thus, it tried to uproot the thorns (*Lagladiolhana*) of private enterprise but itself became a thorn for national weffare.

10, Revolt against Maurya secularism

The Maurya empire was the culmination and embodiment of the economic, material, rational and militarian weltanschaaung that was growing for the last three centuries in castern India as a consequence of the development of urban culture. We have observed that the concept of caste lost all substance and the privileges associated with it were shorn of all validity. We learn from the Maijhimarikara that the Brahmanas were not exempt from capital punishment." The attitude of the state towards life was purely secular It severed its connection with religious sects and superstitions. Rather It harnessed religious bellefs to its economic pursuits and policies. Kautilya uses religion as a byword. For him the use of temples is to coax money from the people in the form of offerings. His devaladhraksa is an important arent of the imperial exchequer. In his polity the authority of the Veda, the lure of heaven and the doctrine of retribution are invoked only to push up the morale of the army." Asoka openly expressed his disapproval of the ceremonies that people abserved on the occasions of birth, marriage, departure etc. and called them petty and worthless," Instead of them, he

⁴³ Arthaiastra X, 3,153, p. 367. वरेष्यनुष्ठ्रयते गमाज्यदिशणानां यज्ञाता-मवभृतेषु सा ते गतिर्या गुराणामिति ।

⁹⁴ Asoka's Rock Edict IX (Kalsi) जने उत्ताव्यं मंगलं कलेति पावागति प्रवाट्मि विवाहीन प्रजोगदाये प्रवागति एतार्थं प्रप्राए ना एदिमागे जने यहांगलं कलेति...प्रपक्त जु खो एसे ।

^{**} Arthasastra IV, 2,77, p. 206

⁹⁴ Madhura Sutta, Majihimanikāya H, p. 83 Jātaka I, p. 439; Fick, Social Organization in North-Eastern India in the Buddha's Time, p. 212.

emphasized the practice of piety and rightcousness consisting of good treatment of slaves and workers, reverence to teachers and elders, non-violence for all living beings and liberality for Brähmana and Sramana ascetics. Ašoka also established equality before civil and criminal law and resended all privileges and impunities. Though his reforms were animated by a high moral idealism, they cut at the root of social privileges and the popular beliefs which buttressed them. Likewise, the policy of his successors Samprati, Dašaratha and Šālsūka was to patronise the heretical sects which stood for social equality Hence the old nobility and presthood melted and merged in a common stream of humanity. The Yaga-Purāna describes this state as follows:

⁹⁷ Asoka's Pillar Edict IV (Delhi Topra) इखितविये हि एमा किति वियोहालसमता च सिया दण्डसमता च

U. N. Ghoshal interprets this passage in a slightly different way. He observes: "The passage, however, really means that Asoka, while completely delegating the right of judicial trials to the class of officials called Rājukas (not to all his officers), made this subject to the condition of uniformity in judicial procedure and in the award of judicial sentences. This is illustrated by the accompanying order granting three days' respite to condemned criminals lying in prison under sentence of death." [U. N. Ghoshal, "On a Recent Estimate of Social and Political System of the Maurya Empire," Annals of the Bhandarkor Oriental Research Institute Vol XL (1959) p. 66]. But that Asoka wanted to enforce uniformity in judicial trials, proceedings and awards admits of no doubt. His injuction may better be read in the light of the Madhura Sulta where the King announces his policy of sentencing an accused convicted of theft or adultery to capital punishment irrespective of his being a Brahmana, Ksatriya, Vaisya or Sudra or of the rule of the Bandhavana and Vasistha Dharmasütras providing that the killing of a Brähmana desperado is no murder. Of course, Kautilya distinguished between the accused belonging to different castes as regards the pronouncement of sentences. But Asoka could make an innoration and improvement on his views and was not bound by them. It is clear from several texts, Yuga Purāna, Mahābhārata etc. that as a result of the policies of the rulers of heretical views there was a great social pammixia with the consequence that the four castes were reduced to one only. This reference to the dis-appearance of caste distinctions following the growth of egalitarian tendencies would have had no significance if the "In that age people would lose their nobility and religion. Brāhmanas, Ksatriyas, Vaišyas and Šūdras will behave and dress themselves alke. In that decadent age the people joining false seets will undoubtedly make friends with each other for sexual motives. The Šūdras clod is barks and gowns and growing matted hair will become monks. In the Kali age Šūdras will surely perform sacrifices, prayers and fire-oblations. The Šūdras will address others as 'the' and the Brāhmanas will address a 'fara'."

Likewise the Mahābhārata lets us know that in the age of decadence (*jugaksaja*) Brāhmanas, Kşatriyas, Vaišyas and Šūdras will no longer survive and the world will become of one caste (*ekavarŋa*).⁹³

continued from page 200

iumunities and privileges of the Bråhmanas were allowed to remain as they were. In that ease the authors of these texts should have no ground to grudge the policles of these Kings. The fact that they did so suggests that these rulers were not favourably disposed towards the immunities and privileges which the Brahmanas enjoyed. The injunction of Aska mentioned above should be read in the centext of his following principle of policy : स्वत्र प्रसिन्धे प्रजा माम । प्रदा उलगी कड़वामी स्वतृ दित देव स्वतृ दि दुस्त्र में दिद्वनी किरण स्वतिकित्र वृज्ये द्व दि तया म्निस्सेज़ fit इस्वाृति दुस्त्र Est 1. Here this pious monarch desires to minister to the well-being of all his subjects alike.

(A.C. Woolner, Asoka: Text and Glossary I, p 44).

98 Yuga Purana cd. Mankad, p. 33-34.

भनगार्वकाण्ययगरिव मविष्वनित नरापमाः । बाह्यणाः सत्रिया देदयाः सुदास्तैव युगायमे ।। समयेपाः सत्रावारा प्रविध्यति न संत्रथः । पापण्डेक्स समायुक्त नरास्तरिकन् युगायने ।। स्रीनिमित्तं च मित्राणि करियाति न संत्रथः । चीरवस्कनसंबीठा जटावस्कलधारिष्णः ।। मित्रकृता बुपना नोठे भविष्यति न सत्रथ. । अग्निकायं च जप्ये च मन्दिते न संतर्य. । भनिकायं च जप्ये च मन्दिते न संतर्य. । भनिकायं च ज्ये च मन्दिते न संतर्य. । भनिकायं च ब्राय् बाह्यणाक्ष्यायेनारित. ॥ Mahabhanda III, 190, 42.

व।ह्यणाः शविया वैदयान निष्पन्ति जनाधिपाः । एकवर्णस्तदा लोको मविष्यति युगक्षये ।। Describing the social mobility and fluidity the great epic observes: "After being a Brähmana one becomes a Ksatriya, or Vaisya or Sūdra, Vähika or barber; then again a barber becomes a Brähmana and a twice-born becomes a slave."100 There was so much miscegenation between the Brahmanas and the Sudras in the ages to come that the parentage of the former became doubtful. Hence writing after the commencement of the Christian era Asvaghosa remarked that the Brahmanas as a caste had disappeared and instead of calurournya (four castes) there was only one caste (ekāvarņa).101

The secular policy of the Mauryas and their successors must have antagonised the caste people who joined hands with the disgruntled moneyed classes and the disenchanted working masses to bring about the downfall of the Maurya empire. We know from the Rajatarangini that the successor of Ašoka in Kaśmira resuscitated the pristing four-fold casts order and the polity based upon it in the western half of the empire soon after his denise.¹⁰² The Taga*Purāna* hails the advent of Brālimana rule after a long period of thraldom and decadence:

"Then a certain world-famous Brahmana whose glory will spread all around will rule for 3(33?) years. Under him the kingdom will thrive, Puspapura will be beautiful and crowded, and people will rejoice in honour of his achievement."103

100 Mahābhārata VIII, 45, 6-7.

तत्र वे बाहाणो भत्वा सतो भवति क्षत्रियः । बैंदय: शुद्रइच वाहीकस्ततो भवति नापित. ।।

नगरः पूज्य पहुल्मप्रधा मयात् नागतः ॥ नापित्रम् रेतो मूरवा पुत्रमेति बाह्यणः । ढित्रो मूरवा च सत्रैत पुन्दसिऽभिजायते ॥ ¹⁰¹ *'iginsuci'* (cd. Surjit Kumar Mukhopädhyäya, Säntiniketan 1950) p. 1 and p. 5 कोउन वाह्यणे नाग । यदि वाह्यणपुत्री बाह्यगस्तदि बाह्यणामायः प्राप्नोति डदानीत्त्वेयु बाह्यणेतु थित्र[ि संदेहात् गोतवाह्यणमारभ्य बाह्यणीनां घदाभिगमनदर्शनात । ग्रतो जाति-ब्राह्मणो न भवति ।।

102 Rajatarañ sini I. 117. जित्वोची कान्यकृव्जाद्या तत्रत्यं स न्यवेशयत । चात्रवैर्ण्य निजे देशे धर्म्याइचे व्यवहारिणः ॥ Yuga Purāna, cd. Mankad, p. 39. 103 ततो विष्वकयशा कश्चिद् बाह्यणो लोकविश्रतः । तस्यानि त्रीणि वर्षाणि राज्यं हुन्दं भविष्यति ।। ततः • पूच्यपूरं रम्यं तथैव जनसंकलम ।

11. The Brohmanical Renaissance

The coup of Pusyamitra in 181 B.C. signified a Brähmana Renaissance. His performance of the long forgotten Asvamedha was the signal of the resuscitation of the old social system. His chief priest Patanjali, who probably presided at some of his sacrifices, flings a subtle sarcasm at the Mauryas by remarking that the "Mauryas greedy of gold caused images (of gods) to be manufactured and installed.³ They were meant to be worshipped, sold and used as sources of making money. Hence they could not be called 'Siva, Skanda etc'. They should have been termed as 'Siyaka Skandaka'. Pānini's rule V, 3, 99 did not apply to them. On the other hand, the images in the time of Pathajali which were only for worship and not for sale could aptly he called "Siva, Skanda etc", according to Panini's rule.101 Here Patañjali suggests that the images of gods in the Maurya period were devices of making money by sale or demonstration as Kautilya prescribes in the Arthainstra whereas the images of his time were the objects of worship only. Thus the policy of exploiting religion for financial purposes and playing upon the credulity of the people for extorting money was reversed immediately after the overthrow of the Mauryas This is a significant indication of Brahmanical Renaissance about which Sylvain Lévi wrote as follows:

"Les Brahmanes éplaient avec inqui étude les progrès done civilisation rivale qui les surpassait. Jamais leur morgue aristocratique n'avait pensé à utiliser de pareils moyens de séduction pour agir sur la multitude. La fin d'Asoka et la fin de sa dynastie qui suivit de près marquent une réaction Brahmanique qui parait avoir pris un charactère violent."¹⁰⁶

The policy of the Sungas and Kanyas was manifestly anti-Buddhist. Kalhana lets us know that a Buddhist nun once sco ed the king Jalauka in these words. "You should hear the reason why I bave been raised by the Buddhists whom you have antagonized by

¹⁰¹ Panini V, 3, 99. जीविकार्ये चापण्ये। Pataājali's Bhāya प्रपथ्य इत्युच्यते तत्रेदं न सिध्यति दिव. स्कन्दो विसाख इति । कि कारणम् । मीयेंहिरण्याथिभिरवीं: प्रथल्पिताः । मवेत्तासु न स्यात् । यास्यवेताः संप्रति पूचार्यास्तामु भविष्यति ।

¹⁰⁵ Sylvain Levi, L' Inde et le Monde, p. 115.

your wrath."* These Buddhists must have been gratified when the Yavanas showed a penchant for Buddhism.

12. Centrifugal forces and policies of pacifism

We have seen above that the Maurya empire was a complex bureaucratic machine whose efficiency depended on its tightness and cohesion. Even slight laxity and looseness was detrimental to its working. Atoka gave an impetus to centrifugal tendencies by increasing the rights and authority of his governors (leight). Side by side he turned his attention from military strength. After the battle of Kalinga he became averse to the army. In the words of Raychaudhury, "the martial ardour of Imperial Magadha had vanished with the last cries of agony uttered in the battlefield of Kalinga."106 A'soka devoted his full attention to his welfare missions and activities. His schemes of constructing roads, resthouses, watering-sheds and hospitals on a large scale must have been a great strain on the exchequer.107 His declared policy of replacing the sound of wardrums by the sound of moral sermons108 resulted in the sapping of the vitality and strength of the army. In his thirteenth Rock Edict he advised his sons and grandsons to desist from new military109 ventures and the pursuit of arms. Hence it

* Rajatarangini 1, 136.

पुनवैभाषे सा भूषं थोतव्यं मरप्रयोजनम् । महं ह्युत्यापिता बोर्द्ध: कोषाद्विप्रकृतैस्त्वया ॥

- ¹⁰⁶ H. G. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India, p. 304.
- ¹⁰⁷ There is a story in the Diogaoudana that Asoka donated the whole of his empire to the Buddhist order and that Samprati redeemed it after his death: uni राजा महाप्रियी मंघाप दरवा मालंगत: र पावदपाल्येप्यलयक्रोट्यो मानवच्छामने दरवा प्रयिवीं निरोडम सम्पर्य राज्ये प्रतिष्टानितः Diogaoudana, pp. 426-427.
- ¹⁰⁸ Aioka's Rock Edici IV (Girnar) त झज देवानं प्रियस प्रियदर्सिंगे रात्रो यम्मवरणन मेरीभोसो यहो पम्मपीसो (A. C. Woolner, Aioka: Text and Glossar, p. 6.
- Nioka's Rock Editet XIII (Shahbāzgarhi) एनने प्रठने बगी प्रमर्शित गिसित किति पुत्र परोत्र के आतु तर्व विजयं म विजेतीवर्य मन्त्रिय । राक्ति (पत्रकरि) यो विवये छन्ति च तत्र तृदरण्डतं च रोपेतु तं च यो विजयं । मञ्जातु यो प्रमतिवच्यो । (A.C. Woolner, op. cit., p. 50).

204

is clear that the military strength which acted as the cement of the centralised state system soon flagged away. It is true that Asoka tried to galvanise his administration hy his moral sermons and fire his officers by his high ideals, but as he himself admits, they did not imbibe or appreciate them and paid little heed to his noble behests. During his lifetime the peoples of the frontier regions seem to have become turbulent but he curbed them by declaring that he will tolerate only those acts of them which can be tolerated (S R E II).116 In fact, he commanded sufficient prestige to inspire awe in every part of his far-flung empire and maintain its unity and cohesion. His action of enforcing the concordat in the Buddhist Church by expelling and unfrocking the dissentient monks amply demonstrated his energy and stamina,111 But lus successors had no such administrative acumen or military experience. Unlike Asoka they had no record of the quelling of rebellions as of Taksasila and the conquest of countries like Khasa and Kalinen to their credit. His successors lacked the assertiveness to restore order in the kingdom. Brhadratha entrusted the affairs of the army to the energetie and enterprising general Pusyamitra who maintained his own militia called Pusyamänava¹¹² and became virtually indepen-dent and ultimately assassinated his master. These latter Maurya monarchs no doubt carried out the dictate of Ašoka by pursuing the policy of religious conquest (dhermavijaya) but they lacked the strength and vitality to push it through. Their sermons had more sound than substance. They had the effect of loosening the administration and intensifying the exploitation of the people. In the result the people were impoverished. Unscrupulous officials gave free rein to their acquisitiveness.

The decay of martial spirit and statecraft in the later Maurya period resulted in the disintegration of the empire. The policy of Asoka stunted the growth of a political system which would have given a new turn to human history. Judging his role a gifted scholar has observed, "of the vision of the Cakravarti dhärmika

¹¹⁰ Asoka's Separate Edict II (A. C. Wooler, op. cit., p. 29) हेव च पापुनेयु खीनसति ने लाजा सकिये खनितये ।

¹¹¹ Asoka's Bairāt-Bhādra Ediet (A. C. Woolner, op. cit., p. 34)

¹¹² Atgazijiā, ed. Punyavijaya Muni, p. 160, Patañjali has cited this verse about them महीपालवज्ञ: श्रुरंग ज्युपु: पुच्यमाणवा. in his Mahābhāsya (VII, 2, 23).

dharmarāja had not haunted his mind and thus completely metamorphosed him, the irresistible martial spirit and marvellous statecraft of Magadha would have found a further vent by invading and subjugating the Tamil states and Tamraparni towards the southern extremity of India and would probably not have remained satisfied except by going beoynd the confines of Bharatavarsa and establishing an empire like that of Rome. Asoka's new angle of vision sounded a death-knell to the Indian aspirations of a centralised national state and world-wide empire. The effects of this change of policy, of the replacement of Vijaya by Dharmavijaya, were politically disastrous though spinitually glorious."113 Similarly other scholars and historians have held Asoka responsible for the precipitous decline and disruption of the once powerful Maurya empire.¹¹⁴ Other scholars differ from this view and hold that Asoka's failure was worth more to humanity than the success of many others, 115 But all of them agree that soon after the death of Ašoka the mighty Maurya empire crumbled. He sacrificed arms and authority on the altar of pacifism and humanism, but thereby exposed the state to fissiparous forces and centrifugal elements. He could not adjust ideals and realities and was oversanguine about human nature. He became oblivious of the need of coercion in human affairs. Hence his policy of pacifism removed the veneer of unity from the social and economic contradictions which tore the Maurya empire to pieces. The flower of cosmopolitanism and humanitarianism was nipped in the bud for want of the vital sap of polical stability, national integrity and military potency. Peace without power is a fiction, piety without strength is a dream, dharma without artha is moonshine.

The policy of ASoka and his successors led to the proliferation of ascelic and monastic sects. Ašoka favoured Buddhism, Samprati Jainism, Dašaratha Ajvikism and Šališūka similar heretical cults. As a result, the number of monks and nuns must have increased. A large number of freed slaves and workers must have embraced

¹¹³ D. R. Bhandarkar, Aioka, p. 256.

¹¹⁴ K. P. Jayaswal, Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, Vol. II, p. 23; C. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancent Index, p. 804; K.A. Nilakanta Sästri, Calcutta Review (1943), p. 123.

¹¹⁵ B. M. Barna, Asola and His Inscriptions, p 855.

monastic life to escape from the miscries of the work a day world ¹¹⁶ Many sinceures, sluggards and truants must have found a safe asylum in these orders. We learn from a Jataka story that some monks used to pass as ascetus in day and commit nefarious offences at night. Hence the larg issued a proelamation banslung all ascetus from his kingdom¹¹⁷ Some similar considera uon might have led kautilya to proseribe the monks and ascetus He laid an embrygo on the construction of monasteries and rest houses for recluses in country side¹¹⁶ and prescribed a penally for a person who takes to ascetic life without providing for his family and instigates the women to do so¹¹⁹. In fact, there was no place for ascettes and lotus-caters in his polity But from the time of Ašoka there must have been a spate of such people who avelled the ranks of ullers and preached escapism and pessimism

13 Mystic, escapist and metaphysical trends of thought

The policy of Dharmavijaya had a sniveling effect on the mind of the people Religions especially Buddhism took a mystic and metaphysical turn Prior to the advent of Asoka the rational, material and utilitarian orientation of Buddhism was undergoing a change In the second Buddhist council said to have been held under Kalasoka Kakavarjun in Magadha the breach between the Mahasanghikas and Mahasthaviravadins had definitely taken

- ³¹⁴ Ordinarily Buddhism did not permit the initiation of slaves in the Buddhist order But freed slaves could join it Some of these slaves became eminent sains and authors. The mile slave Dasaka and the female slave Funna ranked as eminent composers of the gailas (*The agaila* p 4, *Thergalia*, p 123). These slaves were freed by Anathapindaka.
- ¹¹⁷ Jaluka Vol III, p 301 इम दिवा समगरसेन चरिरवा रति यताचार वरोगि ति पत्र्ववितान नुज्जिकवा मुख विजित सब्वे पव्यजिता पत्तापनु निद्द दिवडान नव रावाव करिसति ति भाँर चरागेति, Dhammapada Atthakatha III, p 54, mentuons the expulsion of a monk because of his association with a woman
- 118 Arthaustra 11, 1, p. 48 बानप्रत्यादस्य प्रतजितभाव नास्य जनपद-मुपनिवेशैत । न च तत्रारामा विद्यारायां शाला स्यु ।
- 10 Ibid पुत्र वार्यप्रतिविधाय प्रवचत पूर्वस्माहसदण्ड जिय च प्रत्राजयत Ibid IV, J, p 201 ााष्याचीवनादीन् युपनप्रप्रजितान् देवपिनृकार्येषु मोत्रयतदात्यो दण्ड ।

place and according to Vasumitra, the schism was due to the fact that the Vajpan monks did not agree with the sthaviras in recognizing Arhathood as the highest spiritual state and treated it only as an intermediate state leading to the highest which in their view was Buddhahood. Religious differences reached a high pitch at the time of Asoka and he had to deal with the discordant elements rather harshly. He attempted to reconcile their differences by appealing to the dynamic of conduct and morality enshrined in the teachings of Buddha. The scriptures, he recommended, are quite devoid of mystic or metaphysical controversies But the immense popularisation of Buddhism led to its deviation from the position envisaged by Buddha. The Kathavatthu composed by Moggalliputta Tissa probably during the lifetime of Asoka gives an account of the tenets of different sects including the Vaitulyakas according to whom Buddha never liver in the world and it was his image that delivered the teachings. Besides treating the Buddha and Sangha as transcendental they gave evidence of the tendency of adopting sex-symbols.10 This was a sign of the unision of mysticism and sensualism which turned Buddhism into new directions in the first millenium A.D. Thus, the economic orientation and worldly weltanshaaung of the Maurya empire came into conflict with mystic and supernatural tendencies of thought. People no longer treated man as a productive unit and an economic entity and were not satisfied with his definition as a bundle of sensations and an aggregate of electrochemical energies. They began to regard him as possessed of mystic and transcendental forces. Thus the very basis of Maurya economy and sociology was undermined. This new conception of man was a challenge to the thesis of the Maurya state. Thus fell the empire of the Maurvas.

¹¹⁰ N. Dutta, "Emergence of Mahāyāna, Buddhism and Buddhist Institutions", *Gultural Heritage of India*, Vol. I, pp. 280-285.

CHAPTER IX

A New Approach to the Indo-Greeks

1 The settlement of the Greeks in Bactria

Arnold J Toynbee has formulated a law that "the march which is exposed to the heaviest external pressure is stimulated into a greater vitality dua any other region "A According to lum, a "march" faced with a lasting menace of invaders is more vital, creative and expansive than an "interior" shelded by strong frontiers and defences * Never was this rule more poignantly illustrated than by the rise and growth of the Bactman Greeks as a paramount power in the East

Bactria and Sogdiana mark the dividing line of the Steppe and the Sown and thus act as a frontier march between nomadic communities and sedentary societies. From earliest times the settled population of Iran has tried to strengthen this march as an effective bulwark against nomadic peoples and from equally remote times the latter have attempted to pierce and occupy it as a jumping ground to attack the former The Achaemenids aimed at reducing and fortifying this march and Cyrus fell fighting with the Massa getae Darius and Xerves settled the Ionian exiles and captives in this region When Alexander campaigned there, some of these Ionian colonies put up strong resistance He was able to reduce them after fighting for two years After the end of fighting he settled about 20.000 soldiers, the sick or wounded, in the towns founded by him there in order to keep the diligans of Balkh and Sughd in check But on his death in 323 BC they rose in revolt and wanted to be repatriated in 321 BC Stasanor was appointed governor of this region by the successors of Alexander who met at Triparadeisos In 312 B C Selcucos triumphed in the East and reclaimed the allegiance of all recalcitrant provinces including Bactria Though he had to code the provinces bordering on the north western frontier of India to Candragupta Maurya in 305 B G 3 there is strong reason

¹ Arnold J Toynbee, A Study of History, Vol II, p 139

² Ibid, p, 112

³ The tradition of the ceding of the provinces of Aria, Aracho sia, Paropamisdae and Gedrosia has been recently concontinued on base 210

to believe that his hold over Bactria and Sogdiana was secure. He associated his son, the future Antiochus, in his rule and, dividing his

continued from page 209

firmed by the discovery of a bilingual inscription of Asoka near Kandahar. Sommer, E Ber Asoka, *Journal*

is in Greek and Aramaic scripts and languages. It has given the lie to the view of Tarn that the frontier of the Mauya empire was the same as separater Pakstan from Aghanistan now-a-days (W W. Tarn, *The Greeks in Bactria ad India* p. 100) and has confirmed the view of Foucher that it was marked by the Helmund to the west of Kandahar. (A. Foucher, *La neulte route de l' Inde Bactrea 3 Taxila* Vol. p. 203) In Rock Ediet XIII Asoka refers to the Yona, Kambogas, Gandhāras and other peoples living in the northwestern regions of the empire. This inscription enables us to locate the Yavanas (Greeks) with precision and certainy: This document was manifestly addressed to the Yavanas in their own language and script and was engraved by a scribe of their nationality.

In the Seleucid period the Greeks carrying their language and culture with them had spread far into the east. The capitals of Istakhr and the temples of Persepolis, Khurha and Kangawar in Iran indicate the course of the eastward wave of Greek culture. (Ernst Herzfeld, Iran in the Ancient East pp. 275-286). The Kandahar inscription of Asoka indicates the easterninost extent of the expansion of Greek culture. It also sheds a flood of new light on the reference to the script of the Yavanas, Tavanani, in the grammar of Panini. Goldstucker, Spooner and others suggested that Tavanāni refers to the Persian Cuneiform script and Tarn and Bloch held that Les inscriptions d' Asoka p. 9 has confirmed the view of V. noted a Greek and Yavanā Indische Studien IV. 89; A. I ture p. 425) We have seen above that the Achaemenian emperors had settled lonian captives, refugees and colonists in the east upto Bactria and Sogdiana. When Alexander invaded India he was astonished to find a colony of Greeks at Nysa. These Greeks spoke and wrote their own language. The words Yavana and Yavanāni particularly refer to them and their writing. The Kandahar inscription of Atoka has solved this problem for good. The Greek script and language came to stay in Afghanistan. At Surkh Kotal a large number of Greek inscriptions have recently come to light continued on page 211

210

empire, entrusted him with the provinces of the Orient with Selection as his residence. But under Antochus I (280 261 B C) the signs of weakness appeared and it is mostly believed that Persia became independent. The reign of his successor Antochus II (261 246 B C) was marked by serious territorial losses. Parthir and Hyrcania secoded about 249 248 B C. and Bactria made a bid for independence about the same time. Thus, the dissentient Jonian and Greek elements, that were restive from the very outset und had been steled in their resolve to overthrow the impenal voke by their contacts with the freedom-lowing dibgans and were galvanised to create a great empire by the atmosphere of a march state, rose against the Scleucids end established an independent state

2 The rise of the Bactrian Greeks under Diodotus

The leader, whn acted as the chosen vessel of the discontent and assertiveness of the Bactran Greeks, was the Seleucid governor <u>Dodotus</u>. On the basis of come and the portraits, firey bear, it has been argued that there were two kings bearing the name Diodotus One of them bears an elderly face and the other has younger and angular features 4 The first Diodotus rebelled in Bactra in the

continued from page 210

(Raoul Currel, 'Inscriptions de Surkh Kotal,' Journal Anatique (1955) pp 199-205). Of special interest is the macription of the Kusana emperor Kanitka found there. (André Maricq (La grande nascription de Kanitka et l'etto tokharnen' Journa Anatique (1958) pp 345-440, W B Henning, 'The Bactrian Inscription', Jadictian of the School of Oriental and Africal Statist, London Vol XXIII Part I (1950) pp 47-55). This inscription refers to the reparing of a sanctuary of Kaniska by an official named Nokonzoko with the help of three other officials in the Kisma year 31. This script has enabled us to identify the writing mentioned by Hiuen T sang in Banuyan Its documents have come from Faghlan. In the hands of scribes accustomed to rounded scripts like Aramaic, Kharosthi and Pehlvi this script assumed a cursive form which was current in the period of the Kusano Sassands and Hepithalites. Thus, for more than a thousand years the Greek script was prevalent in these regions

Greek script was preventing in these regions of Coorge MacDonald, "The Hellenic Kingdoms of Syria, Bactria and Parthia', Cambridge History of India Vol 1 p. 393, W W Tarr, The Creeks in Bactria and India p. 73, A K Narain, The Indo-Greeks p. 16 E T. Newell (The Coinage of the Eastern Schweid Marks PL. L11, 56) does not subarribe to this view and holds that the portrait with younger features beginning of Antiochus' reign about 256 B C. But he seems to have kept the semblance of allegrance to the Seleucids as is clear from the fact that the name of Antiochus continues on some of his coins. But subsequently he issued his own coins with his name and portrait. Ahout 248 B C Diodotus II succeeded his father and adopted the cautious policy of issuing coins with the name as well as the head of Antiochus II. But gradually he substituted his head for that of Antiochus and lastly he replaced the name of the latter also by that of his son. Thus, Diodotus II acted with great care and caution in his political career and ultimately assumed full independence 5

The revolt of Diodotus gave the signal to a flare up in the East In the words of Justin "all the other peoples of the East, influenced by his example, fell away from the Macedonians One Arsacet, a man of uncertain origin, overthrew Androgorus and after putting hum to death took upon himself the government of the eountry Not long after, too, he made himself master of Hyrcania and, thus, invested with authority over the nations, raised a large army through fear of Seleucos and Theodotus (Diodotus), king of Bactra But being soon refleved of his fear by the death of Theodotus (Diodotus), and not long after, engaging with King Seleucos, who came to take vengeance on the rebels, he obtained a victory '' This passage shows that Diodotus was not finendly towards the rehels of Parthia It is likely that his connection with the Seleu eds made hum look down upon the uprising of the Parthians But

continued from page 211

212

is the result of the idealisation of the face with the passage of time A N Lahuri also holds that there was only one Diodotus ('The Diodotus cons', Indian Historeal Quartely Vol 33 (1957) p 228) But, as Justin clearly says that Diodotus was succeeded by a son of the same name as hum self, there is no reason why the numismatic evidence should not be interpreted in that hight Inspite of his shortcomargy. Justim is too clear here to he ignored The account of Polybus that Euliydermis told Antochus that he had "put the children of the releals to death and that was how he happened to he the king?" (XI, 34) also suggests ishat Diodotus I was succeeded by his son

- ⁵ A Cunningham, Coins of Alexander's Successors in the East p 98 LP 1
- Justin X/i, 4 In this passage Theodotus is a mistake for Diodotus

his death removed the menace that stared the Parthuans in the face. His successor Diodotis II seems to have entered into an alliance with Arsaces and his successor and brother Tiridates or at least adopted a favourable attitude towards them. In the result, Tiridates obtained a victory over Seleucos II and annexed the province of Hyrcania. Bactrana.

3 The advent of Eulhydemus

The career of Diodotus II was cut short by the rise of the satrap of Aria and Margiana named Euthydemus 8 Strabo informs us that The and vargania names through the state moments of the country near the Euclydemus occasioned the rovolt of all the country near the province of Bactriana^a and Polybus states that he possessed himself of the throne by destroying the descendant¹⁰ of Diodotus Eucly-demus pacified and strengthened his state and completely broke away from the Seleucids We have referred to the march of Antiochus III against him in 208 BC in a preceding study of this collection Antiochus strengthened and renewed his friendship with the Indian king Subhagasena in course of this campaign This struggle ended in a compromise between the belligerants Euthydemus made a show of submission, as is clear from the remark of Polybius that " having caused a written treaty to be drawn up and the terms of the treaty to be confirmed on oath he marched away after liberally provisioning his troops and accepting the elephants belonging to Eutlightemus "11 However, the title of king was conceded to Euthydemus and Antiochus desired to marry his daughter to his handsome and polished son Demetrius After the departure of Antiochus, Euthydemus occupied the Parthian satrapics of Asiaucne and Apavarktkene and perhaps part of Parthyene which became the Bactran satrapies of Tapura and Traxiane ¹² But Strabo, who is the chief authority of Tarn, does not expressly name Euthy-demusiconnection with the cooquest of the Parthan satrapies by the Greeks

⁷ R Ghirshman, Iran p 244

^{*} A Cunninghum, of cit p 134

Strabo's Geography ir by Hamilton and Falconer Vol II p 251

¹⁰ Polyhus, Historiae XI, 39 tr by W R Paton .

¹¹ Polyburs, op cit XI, 39

^{1*} W W Tarn, The Greeks in Bactria and India p 88

4 The reign of Demetrins I

Euthydemus was succeeded by his son Demetrius A D H Bivar has suggested that Euthydemus had designated Demetrius as a sub king during his own reign 13 The entanglement of Antiochus III in the affairs of the West which culminated in his defeat in Magnesia in 189 B C gave an incentive and opportunity to the energetic and enterprising Bactrian monarch to expand his realm He conquered Arachosia and founded a city called Demetria there after his name This city was situated somewhere between Seistan and Gazni, as is clear from the account of Isidore of Charax It is noteworthy that the early Scytho Parthuan kings of Arachona em ployed the coin types of Euthydemus and Demetrius 10 The fact that some coins of Demetrius have been found in Seistan may be taken to indicate that he annexed this region to his kingdom Likewise, his influence is deemed to have spread in the eastern part of Gedrosia In the north the Greeks advanced beyond Sog diana and marched up to the Seres and the Phyrni that denote the regions of Kashghar and Tashkurghan From these regions came the nickel which was used by the Greeks for minting cours Since the first Greek king to issue nickel coins is Euthydemus II, who is distinguished from Euthydemus I on numismatic considerations, it has been conjectured that he directed and led the cam pugn in Central Asia. It has also been surmised that he was a brother of Demetrius, whom his father Euthydemns I entrusted with the charge of the operations in the North, whereas the other brother was commissioned to conquer the South 18 But there is no sound cuidence in support of this guess

While Demetrius was busy with his conquests, a certain Antimachins, who assumed the title of Theos, carved a kingdom in Bad Akshan, where his coins have been found in a number larger than that of the coins of Demetrius, in the hoard discovered at Quinduz¹⁹

214

¹⁵ A D H Bivar, 'The Bactra Comage of Euthydemus and Demetrius' Aumismatic Chronicle 6th series Vol XI pp 22-39

¹⁴ Tarn, of cit p 91

¹⁵ R B Whitchend, Catalogue of the Coins in the Panjab Museum, Labore Vol I Indo Greek Coins PL XIV, 379, 385 6

¹⁶ A K Narun, The Indo Greeks p 27

¹⁶ A D H Eivir, 'The Bactran Trensure of Kunduz' Journal of the Numumatic Society of India (1956) Vol 17 Purt 1 p 37 In this treasure there are 14 coins of Antimuchus Theos and 8 of Demictrus

From there he penetrated into the Kabul and Upper Indus valleys and led an expedition up to Taksstälä. He was the first Indo-Greek king to strike square copper coins on the Indian model.¹⁰ On the basis of physiognomy Mme. Trever holds that he was a Hellenised Sogdian.¹⁰ It appears that after the death of Euthydemus he started on a career of conquest as a rival of Demetrius. This tussle between two factions of the Bactrian Greeks led by Demetrius and Antimachus teems to have delayed the effective conquest of the Indus valley and resulted m an intermeeine conflict among them which curbed and stopped the promising activity of Demetrius.

Scholars have set up the theory of the conquest of the Gangetic valley up to Pāțaliputra and the Indus valley up to Saurastra by Demetrius ' I. Tarn has suggested that Demetrius I was fortunate in having two able commanders in Apollodotus and Menander. According to him, Apollodotus marched from Kabul to Broach conquering a territory of 900 miles, and Menander swooped down the plains of the Gangetic valley up to Pataliputra occupying a land of 1100 miles between 182 B.C. and 167 B.C. during the reign of Pusyamitra Sunga. Tarn is of the opinion that Demetrius kept Pätaliputra under occupation for seven years from 175 B.C. to 167 B.C. to Besides the aforesaid generals, the sons of Demetrius I, Euthydemus II, Demetrius II, Pantaleon and Agathocles managed the affairs of other parts of his empire.21 Tarn's views about Demetrius' invasion of India have been accepted by many scholars with minor variations. H. C. Raychoudhury holds that the Yayana invasion under Demetrius took place during the reign of Pusyamitra Sunga.** D. C. Sircar believes that this event occurred just after the death of the Maurya emperor Salisūka mentioned in the Tugapurana of the Cargi samhita.23 A. N. Lahiri thinks that Demetrius crossed the Hindukush sometime before 185 B.C. and after staying in the north-western parts of India

¹⁸ R. B. Whitehead, Notes on the Indo-Greeks' Numismatic Chronicle (1940) p. 104.

¹⁹ K. B. Trever, Pamyatniki Greko-baktriskogo iskusstva (Moscow 1940) p. 7.

²⁰ W. W. Taru, The Greeks in Bactria and India pp. 155-6.

²¹ Ibid PP. 134, 137, 156, 157; Cambridge History of India I p. 401; J. N. Banerji, A Comprehensive History of India Vol. II (The Mauryas and Sätavälianas) pp. 153-154.

²² H. C. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India p. 383.

²³ D. C. Sircar, 'The Yavanas', The Age of Imperial Unity pp. 106-108.

for some time led a long-distance expedition up to Pätaliputra held by the weak Brhadratha Maurya²⁴. P. C. Bagchi opines that Demetrins' invasion followed the death of Pusyamitra at his hands.²⁵

The aforesaid theory of the invasion of India by Demetrius I is controverted by A. K. Narain. He has shown that Justin does not call Demetrius the son of Euthydemus. He has hinted that the remark of Apollodorus of Artemita, in which Demetrius, the son of Euthydemus, is bracketed with Menander as the conqueror of a realm larger than that subdued by the Macedonians, was looked askance at by Strabo, and that this Greek historian mistook Demetrius, the son of Euthydemus, who was better known by reason of his association with Antiochus III, for Demetrius, king of the Indians, who ruled south of the Hindukush and issued bilingual coins.26 He has further proved that the bilingual copper and silver coins bearing legends in Greek and Kharosthi and disclosing Aniketos (aparājita) as the title of the king were struck by Demetrius II rather than Demetrius I who issued the Attic silver tetradrachms found in Baetria only.27 The most important feature to distinguish the Demetrius of the bilingual silver coins from that of the Attic tetradrachms is that the former do not conform to the standard Attie weight. Had these eoins been the issues of one and the same Demetrius, there is no reason why the bilingual coins should have deviated from the Attie standard. Since no coin of Demetrius I has been found in the north-western regions of the Panjab there is nothing to show that he had anything to do with India. Hence Narain dismisses the theory of the conquests of Demetrius in India in toto. As regards the reference to the invasion of the Yavanas after the reign of Sälisüka in the Yugapurana, which is taken by Sircar and Lahiri as evidence of the invasion of Demetrius during the reign of Brhadratha, Narain observes that there is no chronological sequence in the narrative of this chronicle, for, otherwise, Salisūka will have to be taken as the successor of Udayin 28 Thus, there is nothing to uphold the theory of the invasion of India by Demetrius I.

²⁴ A. N. Lahiri, 'When did Demetrius invade India', Indian Historical Quarterly Vol. XXXIII (1957) p. 48.

²⁵ Bagchi, 'Krimiša and Demetrius,' Indian Historical Quarterly Vol. XXII (1946) pp. 81 ff.

²⁶ A. K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks p. 37.

²⁷ Ibid-pp. 29-30.

²º Ibid p. 85.

5 The career of Pusyamitra Sunga

It is also noteworthy that Brhadratha's reign is known to have been disturbed only by the coup de elat, staged by his general Pusyamitra which resulted in his death and the overthrow of his dynasty There is no evidence to prove that his times witnessed any invasion of the Greeks On the other hand, the appointment of Pusyamitra as the commander in chief of army by Brhadratha shows that his military administration was tidy and strong After his assassination and the accession of Pusyamitra, the military system of the Magadhan empire was strengthened and revitalised Relinquishing the snivelling ideals of peace and non violence, the energetic warrior emperor Pusyamitra embraced the Brahmanical standards of aggression and expansion His performance of two Asvamedhas19 at the climax of military campaigns shows that he made a valuant effort to resuscitate the falling structure of the Maurya empire His attention was rivetted on the north western Hence he seems to have conquered the Paniab." which frontiers formed part of the kingdom of Subhägasena, as we gather from the Diviziadana This Buddhist work indicates that Pusyamitra went out on an expedition killing Buddhist monks and destroying monastries and stupas and reached Salala, the capital of the Panjab, where he issued a proclamation that he, who would present a head of a Sramana to him, will get a reward of one hundred dinaras 30

³⁰ Ding acadana ed Cowell p 426 यावरणुव्यमित्रो यावत सधारीम भिक्षु रंग प्रमातयन प्रस्थित । स यावच्च्याकलमनुप्राप्त । तेनाभिहित या मे ध्रमणशिररो दान्यति तस्थाह दोनाररात दास्थामि तरय गुनिहत इति सज्जा व्यवस्थापिता ।

According to Taranadha, Jalandhara and Sakala were included in the empire of Pusyamira, These legends are reproduced in the Arga-Maaydar,-mula-Ralpha (K P Jayaswal, An Imperial History of India (text) pp 38 verses 530 533)

भविष्यति न सदेहस्तस्मिन नाले पुगाधमे । राजा गोगिमुस्पस्तु यागना तपपको गम ।। प्राचि दिनिम्पुरादाय वस्मीरेद्वारमेव तु । गागविष्य्यति तदा मूढ विहारान् पानुवर्रासदा (धानुपरास्तदा) ।। continued on bace 218

²⁰ दिरस्वमेघयाजी in the Ayodhya stone Inscription of Dhana deva, D C Sircar, Select Inscriptions bearing on Ancient Indian History and Civilization p 90

The account of the anti-Buddhist activities of Pusyamitra is highly coloured and exaggerated. It is the renaissance of Brahmanical sacrifices and the extension of imperial patronage to this religion in preference to Buddhism and Jainism that resulted in the painting of Pusyamitra as the arch-enemy of the Buddhists. But these accounts contain the historical nucleus of the victorious expedition of Pusyamitra to Sakala in the Panjab. The suggestion of A. K. Narain that Sākala was situated somewhere near Pāțaliputra³¹ has no leg to stand upon, for no locality bears this name there. His argument that since Puşyamitra is shown to have reached Sākala after marching from Pātaliputra, the former should be in the vicinity of the latter, is entirely conjectural, because the account here describes the two extremities of the empire. From Sākala in the Panjah the armies of Pusyamitra advanced to the North-West to stem the menace of the Yavanas who were hovering over the frontiers. One of his grandsons Vasumitra is shown to have inflicted a crushing defeat on the Yavanas on the banks of the Sindhu in the Malavikagnimutra of Kalidasa.32 Attempts have been made to locate this river in Central India and identify it either with a tributary of the Chambal called Kali-Sindhu or with a river named Sindhu which joins the confluence of the Chambal and the Yamunā.33 But as R. C. Majumdar has shown, these views are

continued from page 217

भिक्षेत्र. शीलमम्पना चानविष्यति थुमैति: । उत्तरा विसमाप्रित्य मृत्युस्तस्व भविष्यति ॥ ग्रमानुपेणैव कुढेन सराष्ट्रापश्चवान्यवः । श्राकान्तोऽद्रिखण्डेन पातालं याख्याति दुर्मतिः ।

³² Malavikāgnimutra of Kālidāsa (Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series), pp 227-228 स निन्धोदेक्षिणरोधसि चरझत्रवानीकेन यवनेन प्रार्थितः । तत: उभयो: तेनयोमंहानासीरसम्पर्द:—तत: परान् पराजित्य बर्मुनित्रेज

. धन्विना प्रसहा ह्लियमाणो मे बाजिराजो निर्वाततः "A reference to the defeat of the Yavanas is found in Maha-

. bhārata 11, 4, 23

सततं कम्पयामास यवनानेक एव यः । बलपोध्यसम्पनान् कृतास्नानमितोजसः ।। ययामुरान् कालकेयान् देवो वच्चघरस्तया ।

³³ Louis de la Vallée-Poussin, L'Inde aux temps des Mautyas el des barbares, Grees, Seythes Parthes et Yue-tchi p. 179; Tarn, The Greeks in Bactria and India p 228.

³¹ A. K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks p. 87.

quite speculative and Sindhu is no other than Indus 31 Pusyamitra. who was an adept in military tactics, must have strengthened the defences of the north western marches and deputed his grandson Vasumitra as their warden to keep the Yavanas in check After the victory of Vasumitra over the Yavanas, Pusyamitra performed the horse enerthice mentioned in the phy of Kälidäsa But towards the end of his reign he himself undertook an expedition in the North-West He marched at the head of his armies to subdue the Yavanas beyond the Indus IIc penetrated into the region called Kosthaka³⁵ which is the same as Sthulakostha, Barakot or Virakot, modern Birkot and Udeygram in the region of Manglawar. This region represented the Buira of the Greeks and the coins of the Indo greeks, Parthians and Scythians have been found there in profusion ³⁴ According to the Funga of the Mülasarvüstivädins, the Buddha visited this region and converted the mother of Uttarasena. As Uttarasena is associated with Udyana (Uddiyana) by Hiuen T'sang 37 it is certain that Kosthaka was included in this province The king of this region is called Danstranivāsin in the Div) gradāna This name recalls the legend of the tooth relie of the Buddla, which was venerated in the North-West, according to Buddhist writers 38 Being unable to face Pusyamitra, Danstranivasin invited a Yaksa Krmisa from the North for his assistance. Krinisa is said to have hurled a rock under which Pusyamitra was crushed to death In other words, in the war with Krmisa the Sunga emperor was killed This event took place in 154 BC or 149 BC, the date of the death of Pusyaimira, if the Jama traditions assigning a reign of 30 years or 35 years to him are believed,³² or in 148 B C if the Puranic chronology specifying the

- ⁵ R G Majumdar, 'Some Observations on Puyamitra and his Empire,' Indian Historical Quarterly (1923) pp 91 ff 'North India after the Maurya Empire Journal of the Numimaric Soricity Vol XNII (1960) pp 47 55
- 35 Duyātadana ed Cowell p 434
- ³⁶ Aurel Stein, An Archaeological Tour in Upper Swat and Adjorert Hills (M A S I) 42

show him as a man of about 45 years only ⁴⁷ Hence it is out of the question that he lived up to 85 or 90 years

7 The struggle in India after the death of Pusyamitra and the invasion of Demetrius II

The death of Pusyamitra in the fight with Krmisa or Demetrius 11 in the north-western regions beyond the Indus unleashed a storm of fissiparous forces in India Already in the time of the great Sunga general there had been trouble in central India Yajnasena, a descendant of a minister of Brhadratha, had set up an independent state in Vidarbha, which Agnimitra, the son of Pusyamitra, had brought into subjection after an armed conflict, as we gather from the Malavikagnimitra of Kalidasa After Pusyamitra's death, Agnimutra ascended the throne at Pataliputra and Balamitra-Bhämumutra succeeded him in Avanti and the western dominions It is note-worthy that the Jama texts refer to Balamitra Bhanumitra after Pusyamitra and assign them a reign of 60 years 48 and take no notice of Agnimitra, whereas the Puranas pointedly mention Agnimitra as the son and immediate successor of Pusyamitra 10 The only inference these conflicting traditions suggest is that Agnimitra assumed the reins of the Sunga state at Pätahputra and Balamitra Bhänumitra set up an independent state in the western regions Coins reveal the existence of a king named Bhanumitra who can be easily

49 Titthogalipainna, 621-622

धालगरण्णो सट्ठी, पुष्प पण्णसय वियाणि णदाणम् । मुरियाण गटिठसय, पणतीता पूसमित्ताषम् (मित्तस्त) ।। वतमित-भाणुमित्ता गट्ठा चत्ताव होन्ति णहसेणा । Vicanation of Menutumga वर्षे ३० पुष्यमित्राणा वलमित्रभानुमित्रराज्य ६० वर्षीणि ।

the Jann texts refer to the joint rule of Balamira-Bhanumtra It appears that the real power vested in Bhānumintra and Balamitra was associated with lum only in name Hence, while we get enough coins of Bhanumitra, we have no issues of Balamitra

¹³ Aguinitia is said to have reigned for 8 years H C Rypchoudhury, Political History of Aneent India p 331, Cambridge History of India p 467, F E Pargiter, Dynaities of the Kali Age pp 30 31, Age of Imperial Unity p 97, V A Smith, Early History of India p 191, Väyuhuräna eited by Pargiter,

तःसुतोऽग्निमित्राष्टी भविष्यति समानुष ।

¹⁷ White King Sale Catalogue Part I (1904) PLX 955

identified with his namesake of Jaina traditions. These coins of Bhānumitra have been found in Pancāla-Košala and Ahicchatrā as well as in the Kangra district of the Panjab. 50 They show that this king helds way over these regions. As a matter of fact, the coins of a large number of kings having mitra-ending names have been found at Pañcāla-Košala, Ayodhyā, Ahicchatrā, Kosam, Mathura, Avanti and other places. The names of 25 kings can be recovered from these coins and inscriptions.51 They show that alter Pusyamitra the Sunga empire had broken up in fragments presided over by rival rulers. With the pas-age of time the process of balkanisation became more and more rapid and the Magadhan empire was reduced to a congertes of conflicting principalities According to Jayaswal, Pusyamitra had 8 sons52 and, in the opinion of Bhattäcärya, Agnimitra had this number of sons, named after the 8 Vasus worshipped at Gaya, 53 and they became independent in the regions that they administered. Allan believes that the Mitra kings refer to a dynasty different from the Sungas,54 but, in view of the fact that many Sunga kings mentioned in the Puranas have names occurring on the coins, it is quite possible that this line is not different from the Sungas and is rather a combination of several offshoots of this dynasty.53 However, it is clear that after Pusyamitra there was dissension and discord in the empire and atleast Bhanumitra and Agnimitra reigned as rivals in the West and the East. There is also some evidence to show that Agnimitra led an expedition in the western regions and fought against Bhanumitta. The coins of Agnimitra have been found at Pañcāla-Košala, Ahiechatra and Mathura which were included in the kingdom of

⁵⁰ Alexander Cunningham, Coins of Ancient India pp. 69, 74, 79, 93; V. A. Smith, Catalogue of Coins in Indian Museum part I p. 184; Part II p. 166

^{/81} Tārāpada Bhattācārya, 'The Sunga Dynasty', Journal of the Bihar Research Society (1949) Vol. XXXV pp. 47-48.

K. P Jayaswal, 'Notes on the Brahmana Empire', Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society (1930) p. 259

⁸⁵ Tārāpada Bhattācārya, op. cu. p. 50.

^{51.} John Allan, A Catalogue of Indian Coins in the British Museum, Coins of Ancient India (London 1936) pp. 120-121.

⁵⁵ K. P. Jayaswal, 'A Further Note on the Hathigumpha inscription', Journal of the Buhar and Orisza Research Society (1917) pp. 476; H. O. Raychoudhury, Palitical History of Ancient India pp. 392-395; Louis de la Vallée-Poussin, L'Inde aux temps des Mauryat, et des Barbars etc., pp. 175-176.

Bhānumitra, as the discoveries of his coins in these regions indicate. The finds of these coins of Agnimitra show that he occupied these territories in order to restore the integrity of the Sunga empire by liquidating Bhānumitra. In this atmosphere the Yavana invader Demetrius found a golden opportunity to plunge into the interior of the Indian plains and penetrate up to Pāţalaputra. This raid is described in the *Tugeparāna* of the Gargisamhitā and hinted in the remarks of the grammarian Patāŋala about the seege of Sāketa and and Mādhyamikā by the Yavanas The Purānas give some lucid and interesting details of this invasion to which due attention has not so far been paid.

8. The Puranic accounts of the Indian intesion of Kalayavana

In connection with the account of Krana the Puränas describe an invasion of Mathurā by the Yavana forces led by Kālayavana at the instance of King Jaråsandha of Magadha's I it is stated that after the defeat and death of Kausa at the hands of Krana and Balarāma and the setting up of an independent state at Mathurā, his father-in-law Jaräsandha, kung of Magadha, hud sege to Mathurā with a large army of 23 aksaubunls. But Krana and Balarāma defeated him and put hum to tlight. The attack, of Mathurā and the disster of Jarāsandha kere repeated 18 times. Despairing of defeating the Yādavas led by Krana single-handed Jarāsandha thought of seeking the succour of the powerful king of the Yavanas named Kālayavana. He secured the service of the Sālva king and sent him on an embassy to Kālayavana to solicit his asistance for the firvasion of Mathurā.¹⁹ In his oplinion

³⁶ Brahmagurāna Ch. 196 Verse I; ch. 197 verse 7 Visnēpurāna, Amsa 5, ch. 23 verse 1, ch. 24 verse 7, Padmagburāna, Uttarakhangla ch. 273 verses 33-70 Haivanizēpurāna, Visnuparvan II chs 50-57 Bhāgandagburāna Xth Skaudha, ch. 50 Verse 44, ch. 52 verse 5, 20

Mathura ⁸⁵ Salva conveyed the message of the Magadhan monarch to the Yavana chuef who agreed to the proposal chuckling at the prospect of reducing and destroying the nascent power of Mathura ⁵⁹ With a vast army consisting of the Sakas, Tusaras (Tukharas), Daradas, Paradas, Srngalas, Khasas, Pahlavas, Miecchas and Haimavatas, equipped with various weapons and dressed in variegated costumes, the Yavana king Kalayavana mested Mathura,⁶⁰ His fleet footed cavalry of celestial horses which moved like wind, and regiments of asses and camels shook the earth by their furious marches and charges ⁶¹ So numerous and comiles

58 Ibid 52, 25 28

ण्य तस्य रभा जेता सवनाधिवतिम् च । स कालयतनो नाम झवध्य केझवस्य हू । एव मार्ग्स्य तन्य धीमान् स्ट्रवरोद्भन. ॥ माधुराणामवय्गेऽय मचुराया विदोपत । ** Jaud 3. 25

जाताऽय जगता वाधी वृष्ण परमद्जय ।

विदिरवा तस्य दुवृंत्तमह हत्तु समुद्युत ।। * Idid 57, 15 20

> धकाश्मुपारा दरदा पारदा श्रूगला खसा । यद्दलेवा शतरावाग्वे म्लेच्छा हेपवतत्वपा ॥ स ते परिवृतो राजा दरस्युपि शलभेरिव । नानविधायपुर्धमॉमेर्मपुरामस्पत्रतत । गववानिवराष्ट्राणामसुर्तेन्यु देररि । पथिनी कम्प्यायास सैन्येन महाजा वृत ।।

From this account it is clear that the Yavana raid state from the North West The peoples enumerated here are weld known The Singalas may be identical with the Singues of Mahabharaia 11 47, 2 (Singali Tirit Tirit Tirit Tirit Tirit They are probably remniscent of the Viscinins of Reed (VII, 83). It is noteworthy that several persons depicted in the art of Mutura are shown as wearing headdheresics consisting of ram's horas They are a foreign people of northerm origin

The invision and siege of Mathura by the Yavanas and other northern peoples is also described in the Mahabharata X11, 102, 5

त्तपा यनननाम्वाजा सथुरामश्रितरच ये । 11 Haricanisapurana, Vispuparvin 53, 10 वाहित दिव्यतुरोमंनोमास्तरहमे । was this army that the sun also became invisible by the cloud of dast raised by it, and the excrements discharged by animals formed rives ⁶⁵. Krian challenged the invader by sending a black poisonous serpent in a jar to him through an envoy who pointed out the resemblance of Krisna to that snake Kalayavina took the gauntlet by kulling the serpent with nut ⁶³. Jarsandha also was likely to attack Mathura about the same tune ⁶⁴. Considering himself sandwitched between these two perils Krisna resorted to a unique strategy and ordered the women also to take up arms⁶⁵. But considering himself unequal to the task of withstanding the attack of the Yavanas and the Magadhans he decided upon quinting Mathura. He sent his people to the newly-founded eity of Dvartha, which was stuated on a tongue of land reclaimed from the sea ⁶⁵. There the Yadavas,

42 ibid, 54,21 22

रेणुना सूर्यमाग तु समवच्छाद्य पाथिव। शाकता चैव सैन्येन ससजे नदीम ।। मञ्जूष ग्रेश्वोप्ट्रबरुता रासेनिस्पतेति जनाधिप । ततोऽध्वराकुदित्येव नाम नद्या वभूव हु ॥ Earlier in connection with the siege of Mathura by Jara sandha it is stated that Mathura was fortified and its walls were pierced by four strong gates 63 1bid 57.32 34 कुम्भे महामप भिन्नाजनचयोपयम् । สส घोरमाशीविष हूण्य हुण्य प्राह्येपयत्तवा ॥ 64 Brahmapurana ch 196,9 कृष्णोऽपि चिन्तयामास क्षपित यादव चलम । गवनेन समालीतव मागध सप्रयाख्यति ॥ Visnupurana, Amsa 5,23.9 कृष्णाऽपि नित्तयामास क्षपित यादन यलम । यवनेन रणे गम्य भागधस्य भविष्यति ॥ Padmapurana, Uttarakhanda 273,37 मगधाधिपतेस्तस्य सहायार्थं महावला । 65 Brahmapurana ch 196, 11, Vişnupurāna V, 23,11 तस्माददगं नरिष्यामि यद्रनामतिद्रजयम् । स्तियोऽपि तत यध्येय कि पुनव् ष्णियादवा । ⁵⁶ Brahmapurana ch. 186, 13 14, Visnupurana V, 23, 13-14 इति सचित्य गोविदो योजनानि महोदधिम । ययाचे डादशपूरी डारका तन निर्ममे ।। महोचाना महावया तडागशतशोमिताम । प्राकारशतसवाधामि दस्यैवामरावतीम ।। मथरावासिन लोक तनानीय जनादन । Padmapurana, Uttarakhanda 273, 39-40

Andhakas and Vrsus flournhed for a long time. Krsua and Balaräma went out to fight the enemy and had a severe content with the Yavanas, according to the Padmapurana 4° But the Brahmapuräna and the Visnupuräna do not refer to the fight but simply state that Krsua presented himself in the Yavana camp and recognizing him as his chief enemy Kähayavana gave him a hot pursut 6° Ali the Puränas concur in stating that Krsua fled before Kalayavana and reached the cave in which King Mucukunda was lying in a sound sleep in consequeue of a divine boon that he, who would disturb his sleep, would be burnt to death by his fiery look There Krsua concealed himself in some crany and Kälayavana mistiang the sleeping Mucukunda for Krsus lakeded him violently⁴⁹ so as to

17 Padmapurana, Uttarakhanda 273, 45 H यवनेन तदा योद्धु रामकृण्णो महाबनी। विनियंयतुरात्मेशी मयुराया बहिस्तदा ॥ रामो लागलमादाय मुसल च महारयः। जधान समरे कुछी यबनाना महद्वलम् ॥ 48 Brahmapurāna 196, 16-18, Visnupurāna V, 23, 16-18 वहिरावसिते सैन्ये मयुराया निरायधः। निजेगाम स गोविन्दो ददर्श (दद्री) यवनरच तम ।। स जात्वा वामुदेव त वाहुप्रहरणो नृष । अनुयातो महायोगिचेतोभिः प्राप्यते न यः ॥ तेनानुयात इत्णोऽपि प्रविवेश सहागहास् । यत्र दोते महावीयौं मुचुकृन्दो तरेइवर ॥ ** Brahmapurana 195, 19-20; Visnupurana V, 23, 19-20 सोऽपि प्रविष्टो यवनो दृष्ट्वा राय्यागत नरम् । पावेन ताडवामास कृष्ण मत्वा स दुर्मति ॥ दुष्टमानरच तेनासी जज्वाल यवनोगिना। तस्त्रोधजेन मैत्रेय भस्मीभूतरच तत्वाणात ॥ Harwamsapurana, Vispuparvan 57, 55. ददाह पावरस्त तु सुष्क युक्षमिवाशनि । शगेन वालयवन नेत्रतेजो विनिगंत ॥ Padmapurana, Uttarkhanda 273, 54-55-56, पादेन ताडयामास मुचुकुन्द महामुनिम् । प्रवोधमागस्य मुच्चुन्दो महामुनि ॥ สิก कोमात् सरत्तनयनो हुनार युत्तवानसी। तस्य हुकारदाम्देन तथा कोषनिरीक्षणात ।। निदंग्धों भस्मता प्राप यवनस्त्यक्तजीवितः।

disturb his skeep. Mucukunda woke up furiously and cast an angry glance at Kalayaxana. With the fire radiating from his eyes Kälayavana was instantly burnt to ashes. Thus freed from the menace of his formidable rwal, kraya returned to Mathurā and took possession of the army consisting of elephants, horses and charlots, but he elected to repair to Dvāraka and coronated Ugrasem there.⁷⁰

9 The identity of Kalayarana and Demetrius II

In this account of the invasion of Mathura by Kalayavana there are several suggestive points which assume a singular importance in the light of lustorical facts. Kalayayana was the king of the Yayanas or Greeks He belonged to the north-western regions as the composition of his armies of northern and central Asiatic peoples like the Sakas, Tukharas, Daradas, Paradas, Khasas, Pahlavas and the Himalavan tribes demonstrates The Puranas obviously erred in referring his birth to the southern regions, as is manifest from the composition of his tmops Kalayavana's invasion is symbolic of a raid of these northern peoples courped with contingents of horses, asses and camels in the Gangetic valley His attack was launched at the invitation and instigation of the king of Magadha who was desirous of reducing the power of Mathura and bringing this region under his control His success was facilitated by the antagonism and animosity between the kingdoms of Mathura and Magadha that were at war with each other His adversary could not meet hun on the battle-field and took to flight leaving him a free passage to advance further His victory proved pyrrhie, for he met his death just after his invision and that his onslaught, though smashing, disastrous and irrepressible. ended in a vain march of northern peoples and arnues in the plains of the Gangetie valley, leaving only a bleak trail of devastation without achieving any lasting military or political result

These facts fit in very closely and adequately with the listory of the Graeco-Bactrian invasion of India after the death of Pusynmitra Sunga We have observed how Pusyamitra breathed his last while fighting with Krmiss or Demetrius II in the trans-Indias rec-

10 Brahmapurana 197, 6-7.

उत्णोऽपि घातयित्वारिमुपायेन हि तद्वलम् । जप्राह मयुरामस्य हस्स्यरवस्यन्दनाज्ज्वलम् ॥ ग्रामांग चोग्रसेनाग डारकत्या ग्यवदयत् । परामिध्यतनि शद्भ बमव च यदो कल्लम् । 1011s. He appears to have fallen down in a defile and been crushed to death by collision against some boulder or rock. The Greek invader came there at the instance of the local Buddhist chieftain menaced by the triumphant advance of Pusyamitra as the barbinger of the movement of the Brahmanical renaissance. After the death of Pushamitra his empire broke up into at least two parts ruled by Bhanumitra and Agnimitra respectively. We have suggested above that Agnimitra marched in the West to reclaim the allegiance of the recalcitrant clique led by Blianumitra and campaigned in Kosala, Alucchatra and Mathura. This event has its reminiscence in the besieging of Mathura by the Magadhan king Jarasandha to quell the rebellion of the Yadavas and suppress the uprising of Kuna-But, being unable to vanquish the army of Mathura, he sent for Kalayayana through Salva. It appears that Agnimitra invited Kymisa or Demetrius from the the North-West to invade and invest Mathura and facilitate the reduction and defeat of Bhanumitta-It was in response to this invitation that Demetrius descended trough the Panjab into the Gangetic Valley at the head of a vast army of northern peoples at d swept off the nascent power of Mathura and Paficala. A. K. Narain has suggested that it was rather at the invitation of and in collaboration with the peoples of Mathura and Pañcala that the Greeks invaded the Gangetic valley and decended up to, Pataliputra.71 Ile prefers the reading of verses 91-95 of the Jugapurana of the Gargisanhita given in some manuscripts to the effect tatah sakelamakramya pancala mathurastatha. Yavanaha suvikrantah prapsyanti kusumadhrajam to that adopted by Javasual which is as follows: tatah saletamäleamya pailealan mathuramstatha yavanāh dustavikrāntāh prāpsyanti kusumadhvajam." According to him, the discovery of a coin of Indramitra in the Kumrahar excavations and the occurrence of the names of Bhanumitra and Indramitra in the inscription on the Bodh-gaya railings show that these kings raided Pätaliputra. His opinion is that the Greek invasion, which was led by Menander rather than Demetrius, took place about 150 B.C. at the fag-end of the rule of Pusyamitra. But there is nothing to establish that Indramitra succeeded Pusyamitra in the Mathura-Pañcala region. Such an assumption is clearly contradicted by the consistent

A. K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks pp. 86-87. A similar view has been expressed by R. C. Majumdar, "North India after the Maurya Empire", Journal of The Numismatic Society Vol. XXII (1260) pp. 47-55.
 ibid. pp. 175-176

Jaina tradition that Balamitra-Bhanumitra succeeded Pusyamitra at least in the western dominions We have seen that coins of Bhanumitra arc found not only in Pancala, Mathura and Ahicchatra but also in the Panjab Hence there is no question of Indramitra succeeding Pusyamitra If he at all occupied a kingly position it was after Bhanumitra and Agminitra, that is to say, after the lapse of some years after the death of Pusyim tra Thus, the occurrence of the Greek raid at the time of Indramitra does not accord with the theory of its taking place about 150 BC In view of these considerations the so called numismatic basis of the preference of the reading paneala and mathura to Pancalan mathuram falls through As for the figuring of these variants in some manuscripts of the 1 uga-purana, A S Altekar has observed that" on such points of controversy we can, however, arrive at definite conclusions not by any eritical examination of a text which is admittedly corrupt, but by the discovery of fresh and conclusive evidence"79 Altchar emphasizes the fact that the Yugapurana "while describing the retreat of the invaders, refers only to the Yavanas and not also to their allies

madhyadese na sthatyanti yavanā yuddha durmadāh

If the Yavanas are described here as 2uddhadurmadah, one presumes that in the cartier prisage also they should by described as parand durantifath and not as 2udances unitariath ¹⁴ Thus, there is no reason why the definite conclusion that the Greeks were ass sted by the Mathuras and Pancëlas in the invasion of Patalipuitra should be based merely on some variant readings of the corrupt text of the *Yugopurãna* On the other hand, the clear statement in the Purãnas about the invitation of Kulayavana by the king of Magadha for attacking Mathura, supported by the discovery of the coins of Agnimutra in Pancala, Mathura and Ahiechatrā, lend colour to the correctness of the reading *philolan mathurām* in the text of the *Yugapurana* and shows that the primary and of the Yavana invader was to reduce and occupy Mathurā But, thereafter, he made the policy of inviung foreign atriues recoil on the head of Agnimitra and after conquering Mathura and Pancala movie forward to capture Yatian othe Prinas were concerned with the narrative of the chroniclers of the Furinas were concerned with the narrative of Kirma only, they finished their account of the Yavana invasion with

74 1bid p 212

⁷³ Journal of the Aumismatic Society (1957) Vol XIX Part II p 212

the investment of Mathurā and the flight nf its inlabitants implying the conquest of this city and its kingdom.⁷⁸ Besides this, the reduction and capture of Mathurā was the most spectacular achievement of the Greek invaders and their further march and hasty retreat proved a vain cavalcade. Hence the authors of the Purāņas concentrated and confined their attention to this part of the invasion. Only the author of the *Pugapurāņa*, concerned as he was with the affairs of Pāţaliputra, as the entire trend of his narrative from Udāyin, Sālbāka, etc. shows, gave some information on the further course of this invasion. While the authors of other Purāṇas described this episode from the standpoint of the account of Mathurā, the writer of the *Pugapurāņa* narrated it from the standpoint of the account of Pāţaliputrā. Hence, whereas the other Purāṇas left the thread of the narrative with the conquest of Mathurā, the *Yugapurāņa* carried it further to the size of Pāţahpurāņa.

According to the *Yugopurāņa*, the tide of Yavana invasion clashed with the mud fortifications and emhankments of Puspapura.¹⁰ But a sudden outbreak of rebellion in the héart of the Yavana statefored the invaders to retrace their steps from the outskirts of Pätalipura.¹⁷

¹⁵ It is noteworthy that the chroniclers of the Purānas did not describe the Yavana invasion led by kālayavana as the main and central theme of their narrative. They were concerned with the affairs of Mathurā only and had to refer to this invasion only so far as it related to the affairs of that city and Krsna. Hence, it was natural for them to omit the subsequent course and stateges of the invasion. This part of the invasion was adventitous to their narrative.

⁷⁶ Yugapurana, cd. Mankad p. 33; Kern, Brhatsannhilā (introduction) p. 37 तत: पुराषु प्रे प्राप्ते कर्दने प्रथिते हिते (कर्दम प्रथिते हिते)। याऱ्ला विषया सर्पे अविध्यनित न संतय: ।।

¹⁷ Ibid lines 113-116, Mankad's edition P. 35 मुख्यदेवे ज स्पास्मति घवना सुद्र दुर्घरा । तेथान्स्पोऽन्यसम्मावा भविष्यत्ति न संतथः ii म्रात्मचक्रोरियतं घोरं युष्टं परभदारूगम् । तती युगवपात्तेपा यवनाना परितये ।।

+1

Just after referring to the laid of the Yavanas upto the fortifications of Patalignetra and the terror spreading in the country the chronicler of the *Pagaharāna* mentions a western war in line 98 तस्वतुमाहायुक्त तर्शावच्यति गरिषगा This suggitt continued on page 231 Hence the chronieler of the *Tugh wara* described their retireat without bringing in any Indian power. Thus, to sum up, the tradition of the invision of Mathura by Kalivarian at the instance of the Magadhan king Jarasandha enslirings the memory of the invision of Mathura and Paneals by the Bactran Greeks in the atmosphere of the interneeine scramble for power among the successors of Fusyamitra probably on the invitation of Agnimitra who was out to liquidate the growing power of the rival state of Bhanumitra in Funcala and the West

The Puranie account, summarised above, shows that the people of Mathura tool, to their heels and quitted Mathura when it was invested by the Yavanas They are stated to have migrated to Sauratra in the couth west This explains the association of Bhānumitra with these regions including Bhrgukaccha in the Jaina traditions Thus, avoiding the struggle with the overwhelming armies of the Yavanas, Bhanumitra was able to rule for a long time, hunted in the Jain's traditions But the advancing tide of Vavana onslaught had to roll back as a result of its own internetine pressure The appearance of disruptive forces led by Elicratides in the heart of the Greek empire and their movement towards the Panjab endangering the very existence of the invaders of Mathura and Magadha forced them to march back to the North West But this vast army swollen by Indone captives and retriners was somehow outmanoeuvred by the picked warriors of Eucratides and Demetrius was prohably killed in the affary, for he his heard of no more The death of Demetrues just after the Indian invasion has its counterpart in the death of Kalayayana just ther the invasion of Mathura The role of Eucratides has been transferred to Mucukunda by a quaint process of the evolution of legends

10 The war of Eucratides and Demetrius II

We learn from Justin that Eucratides started his career in Bactria when Mithridates I ascended the throne of Parthia

continued from page 230

that just at the approach of the Yavana forces at the ramparts of Patalipuira a great war broke out in the West This western war is the interneuene conflict which is described in 1 ae 115. Thus it is clear that the Yavanas returned from the ramparts of Patalipuita ou account of some war in the West without occupying or conquering Patalipuita or fighting any battle there.

Midiridates I is held to have started his reign about 171 B. C. Hence it is assumed that Eucratides also began his activities about that time. According to Justin, he waged several wars with great valour and was much weakened by his lorses in them, yet? " "when he was besieged by Demetring, king of the Indians, with a garrison of only three hundred soldiers, he repulsed by continual sallies a force of sixty thousand enemics." The account of Justin is su loosely connected that its historical value hat been rightly questioned by Tarn and others " Yet taking the said passage as it is, one cannot conclude that the use of Eucratides about 171 B.C. synchronised with his war with Demetrius in which the latter saw his end. On the other hand, Justin here implies that the rise of Eucratides ushered in a hout of warfare as a reall of which his power was considerably reduced. Though he displayed remarkable valour in these wars, his losses told so heavily ca his military ittength that he could not marshal more than 300 soldiers when he tried conclusions with the mighty Demetrius equipped with an army of 60,000 soldiers.* It appears that when Demetrius was busy consolidating his hold over the newly-occupied regions of the Paropamisdae and Gandhira, Lucratides rosein Bactria and proclaimed himself the ruler of that country. But the times were troubled and the expansion of the Parthians under Mithridates I in particular subjected Entratides to a severe military strain. It is well-known that Eucratiales had to cede the two satrapies of Turiva and Aspionus to Minhridates. The reference to the military losses of Eucratides in the account of Justin probably denotes this surreniler of territory to Mithridates. But when Demetrius defeated the armies of Puyyamitra in a battle in the North-West and swooped down the Panjab into the Gangetic

⁷⁸ Justin X/i, 6 Multa tamen Eueratides bella magna virtute gessit, quibus adtritus cum obsidionem Demetrii, regis Indorum, pateretur cum cee militibus IX milia hostium adsiduis eruptionibus vieit.

⁷⁹ W. W. Tarn, The Greeks in Bactria and India P. 199; A. N. Lahiri, The Diodotus Goins', Indian Historical Quarterly (1937) Vol. XXXIII p. 222

⁵⁰ Tarm, (sp. ci pp. 140, 155, 156) doubts the story of 300 soldiers of Eucratides outmanocuvring the 60,000 men of Demetrius. In fact, nothing is known about the last days of Demetrius. He may have been killed in the fighting in Bactria.

valley up to Pataliputra to fish in the troubled waters of Post-Pusyamira rivalries and conflicts and conquer an empire in India, Fucratides found a golden opportunity to pounce on the kingdom of Demetrius This menace forced Demetrius to return to the North-West hurnedly and suppress the power of Eucratides But, as luck would have it, he expired in the encounter, though equipped with a vast army A dashing and desperate sally of the besieged soldiers of Eucratides made short shrift of Demetrius This event took place about 150 B C Naram has conjectured that Demetrius II died in 165 B C ⁸¹ but there is no evidence in support of this view On the other hand, there is eogent proof of the fact that Demetrius died after undertaking his Indian campaign The reference to the death of Kalayavana just after the invasion of Mathura in the Puranas and the outbreak of internecine strife among the Greek invaders in the Yugapuraza complements and corroborates the remark of Justin about the insurrection and war of Eucratides and the disaster of Demetrius It is noteworthy in this connection that for about two decades Eucratides and Demetrius ruled over Baetria and Paropamisdae respectively The history of the Indo Greeks furnishes several parallels of the simultaneous rule of more than one king over different parts of the same realm It is held by scholars that Euthydemus I entrusted the conquest of the south to his son Demetrius I and that of the north up to the Seres and the Phyrni to his second son Euthydemus II and that both of them struck coms and ruled as joint kings 12 Even the powerful Menander ruled his big realm with joint Lings or subkings Antimachus II ruled over Gandhära and his coins have been found in the Swat valley and northern Arachosia. In the first Bajaur hoard his coins numbered 152 and in the second, 17, whereas in the Mir Zakah treasure their number was 133 ⁸² Another such king was Polexcaus, who assumed the grandiloquent titles of "Epiphanes' and 'Soter', and whose coins have been found mostly in the Peshawar and Utmanzai regions 44 A third king of this

^{*1} A K Narain, The Indo Greeks p 53

⁸² Tarn, The Greeks in Bastria and India p 111

⁵⁵ M F C Martin, 'A find of Indo Greek Hemidrachms in Bajaur, 'Journal of the Royal Astatic Society of Bargal, Numismatic Supplement (1925 27) Vol 40 pp 18

^{*1} H L Haughton, Miscellanca, Notes on Greek and Kushan

category was Epander whose coins have been discovered in the upper Kabul valley. A fourth contemporary of Menander was Heliocles I, whose 204 coins⁸⁵ figure in the Kunduz treasure indicating his flourishing rule, and who is stated to have died in 140 B. C. when Menander was at the height of his power in western Panjab. Indeed, there is some evidence to show that Menander crossed the Hindukush in an effort to recover Bactria and issued Attic tetradrachms one of which has been found there. According to Plutarch, he died in the camp in course of the campaign beyond the Hindukush. The substance of the above discussion is that even in its palmy days the Indo-Greek kingdom was a thin veneer covering a number of kingships which were virtually independent and occasionally at loggerheads among themselves It is, therefore, no wonder that Eucratides and Demetrius II ruled side by side in Bactria and the Paropamisdae, the former struggling with the waxing power of the Parthians and the latter entrenching his dominion in the mountainous retreats on the northwestern frontiers of India. It was only when Eucratides tried to wrest the seat of Demetrius during his absence in the Gangetic valley that hostilities broke out between them with the results mentioned above. Thus, the remark of Justin about the rise of Eucratides about 171 B.C. is in harmony with the dating of his war with Demetrius about 150 B.C.

As regards the identity of Kålayavana, we have to note that $2\pi sina$ is a tribal designation and kda is a personal appellation. We have observed in the discussion about the name "Krmisa" how kr represents tr or dr of the eastern version of the name of Demetrius s^3 available in the name Tremiz or Trinid. In the initial conjunct consonant the cerebral liquid becomes dental very frequently. As seen above, in the translation of the Dispavadāna the name of Krmiša figures as ki-li-sho. In some Indian versions also kr may naturally become kl which gets Sanskriitsed as kala. Thus, $ka^{3}k$

cotinued from page 233

Coins from N. W. India, "Numismatic Chronicle (1947) pp. 141 F.

R. Curiel and D. Schlumberger, Tressers monetaires d'Afghanistan (Paris 1953) p. 78.

⁸⁵ A. D. H. Bivar, 'The Bactrian Treasure of Kunduz,' Journal of the Numismatic Society of India (1956) Vol. XVII Part 1 P. 37.

has a phonetic connection with the name of Demetrius as current in the eastern versions. This Sanskritisation has also a semantic value in as much as it shows that the person hearing this name was dreadful as death or relentless as time. There is no other name in the history of the Indo Greeks to which the name kala may correspond The synchronism and association of Krana and Demetrius became current in legends A remote echo of the tradition of this association is found in the Middle East. The Armenian historian Zenob de Klag relates that during the reign of Valarsace two Indians named Gisane and Demetr field from their country as a result of the persecution of their king Tinaskeh and sought the protection of this Armenian king Valarsace gave them the province of Daron where they refounded the city of Vishap Soon afterwards they reached the neighbouring city of Achtichtat and set up the idols of the gods worshipped in India there Their son installed two copper idols on a mountain named Larke This Indiau colony prospered and flourished and remained futthful to Indian Gods In the fourth century the Christians had to contend hard with these people ** I assen has equated the name Gisané with Krana and Sylvam Lévi has also identified the name Gisane with Lisna and Demete with Demetrius According to Levi, the association of Gisane and Demetr or Krsna and Demetrius in the aforesaid legend is symbolic of the cooperation of the indians and Greeks in founding an Indian colony in Armenia87 Though in this legend Gistne and Demetrare not given any kingly position and there is no suggestion that they correspond to Krsna, the Indian hero and Demetrus the Indo-Greek king yet the association of their names appears to be reminiscent of the traditional association of Krsna and Demetrus in popular tales and legends In the light of this datum the fact that the name $k_a l_a$ can be linguistically con nected with the first syllable in the name of Demetrius assumes significance

Thus the legend of the measure of Mathuri by Kalayavana reflects the lustory of the rud of Demetrius in the Gangetic valley just after the death of Pusyamutra about 150 B C

⁸⁰ Zenob de Klag Histoire de la province de Duron Journal Asiatique (1864) Zeitschuft fur die Kun de des Morgenlandes (1837) Vol i P 235 Ernon Pagenisme Armei ien P 30

⁸⁷ Sylvain Lévi Le Bouddhisme et les Grees' Memorial Splvain Lévi p 211

11. The criticism of the theory of the Indian Inpasion of Menander.

Some scholars hold that the credit of leading the armed expedition in the interior of India goes to Menander rather than Demetrius;58 others treat it as a joint venture of Demetrius and Menander.89 The remark of Strabo that "more tribes were subdued by them rather than by Alexander, mostly by Menander" is wrapt in doubt by his own conditional expression: "at least if he actually crossed the Hypanis towards the cast and advanced as far as the Imaus." In the following sentence this author couples Demetrius to Menander as regards the Yavana conquests in India. Evidence for Menander's invasion of Mathura is sought to be extracted from an illustration of the use of the imperfect tense given by Abhayanandin in his Mahamili on the Jainendra Vyakarana which purports to show that a certain Mahendra besitged Mathurā (aruņan-mahendro mathurām). V. S. Agrawala has amended the reading mahendra as menandra for which there is no sound basis at present. to Hence A. K. Narain has rightly cast doubt on the alleged historical worth of this evidence." As for the story of the Buddha prophesying to Indra that a king Milinda · would erect a stupa at Pätaligrāma, given by Ksemendrasa, Ithas no evidentiary value, because, besides being very late in date, it is not corroborated by any ancient source and is rather contradicted by the Jugapurana which categorically states that the Yavanas retreated from the ramparts of Pataliputra by reason of the breaking out of a a dreadful war in their homeland. As regards numismatic evidence of Menander's so-called invasion, Narain has convincingly shown that it does not bear out his reign to the east of the Ravi. Of course, stray coins of Menander have come to light in Hoshiyarpur, Kangra, Saharanpur, Sonipat and Bundelkhand but 'they are evidence not of his rule in these districts but of the popularity of

236

⁸⁹ V. A. Smith, Early History of India pp. 211-212; A.K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks pp. 78-90.

⁸⁹ W. W. Tarn, op. cit P. 155; E. J. Rapson, The Successors of Alexander the Great, Cambridge History of India Vol. I p. 490; H. C. Raychoudhury, op. cit.

⁹⁰ V. S. Agrawala, 'An Ancient Reference to Menander's Invasion', Induan Historical Quarterly Vol. XXI, (1953) P. 180.

¹¹ A. K. Narain, The Indo-Greeks P. 84.

⁹² T. W. Rhys Davids, The Questions of Mulinda Part II Page XVII

his money is commercial circles"'02 As Allan has shown Mathura was in the hands of the local kings until its conquest by Rajuvula who, like his son Sodāsa, copied the local type on his coins Had the Yavanas been already there, there would have been a break in the Hindu coinage earlier"st and the Saka kings would have imitated the Indo-Greek coun-types rather than those of the local rulers On the other hand, there are definite traces of the advance of Demetrius in Madhyadesa Agrawala has shown that on some clay-seals found at Rataghat in Benaras Pallas Athene is depicted as standing holding shield in left hand and a spear in right as on the coins of Demetrius Similarly, on some seals the naked figure of Heracles is portrayed with one elbow resting on a club just as on some coins of Demetrius Several scalings depict the head of an Indo Greek king which resembles the head of Demetrum on his cours. These finds indicate the invasion of Demetrius in these regions 95 The most damaging objection to the theory of ascribing the Indian invasion to Menander arises from the remark of the Yugapurane that a serious internecine disruption and revolt flared up in the heart of the Yavann kingdom. when they were nearing the purlicus of Pajaliputra, which compelled them to retreat in haste The Lugapurana further states that this internal disastrous struggle brought about the destruction of the Yavanas" and their disappearance from Madbyadesa97 The traditions of the other Puranas also show that the Yavana leader was killed soon after his raid of the Gangene valley We know that Menander long flourislung rule memorable for literary, entoved a scientific and philosophical activities" which made his name and

⁹³ A K Narain, The Indo-Greeks p 89

[&]quot; John Marshall, Taxila II p 862

⁹⁵ V S Agrawala, 'An Ancient Reference to Menander's Invasion, Indian Historical Quarterly op cit p 182

^{*} Jugapurana line 116 तत्ताम् गवगात्तपा यवनाना परिशये ।

^{**} Ibid line 113 मध्यदेशे न स्पास्यति यवना युद्धदूमंदा ।

^{*5} The peace and prosperity of the age of Menander is minifest form the Minidopath Thus work is a product of the impret of Buddhist philosophy on Greek mind This unique irreatise of logic and dialectics has an Indo Greek stiting. Its dialogues heather the spint of Sagala (Sakala) where Milinda with his entourage of Sogola (Sakala) where Milinda with his entourage of Sodo courtiers including Devanantuya, Anantakaya, Mankura, Stubadinna etc

fame travel far and wide and earned for him a lasting place in Buddhat traditions. There is no suggestion that Menander faced any revolt of his rivals or that he was killed in 150 B. C. in some interneties struggle soon after the demise of Puşyamitra. The comparatively long, peaceful and prosperous rule of Menander definitely gives the lie to the suggestion that it was he who led the ill-fated invasion referred to in the tradition of the Purāpas. Rather, the tragic end of Demetrius in a desperate encounter with Eucratides accords with the tradition of the death of Kalayaman, when he was leading the invasion of the Middle country, and of the

continued from page 237

argues with an open and caser mind with the Buddhuit patriarch Nägasena. As shown by Tarn, the author of the *Aliadapaha* knew the current Greek of the Hellenistic East and had read a little current literature. The picure of an ideal Budhut city in which all men are wise and faithful, depicted in the second part of this work, reall the account of Plato. There is a Greek original of a part of the framework of the first part of this text, which reached Alexandria, as shown by Tarn.

Menander was not only interested in philosophy and logic but also in astronomy. It is a well-known fact that the Greeks made a substantial contribution to Indian astronomy (Sylvain Levi, 'La Grèce et l'Inde d'après les documents Indiens' Mémorial Sylvain Lévi PP 197-198) Varahamihira notes in his Bihatsamhita (11, 14) that the Yavanas are Mlecchas but they were honoured like rsis since astrology was studied and cultivated among them. He mentions a writer called Yavancivara and his commentater Utpala quotes at least 200 verses from him. (P. V. Kane, 'The problem of the introduction of Rais in Indian Astronomy and Astrology, 'Bhāratija Vidyā Vol. IX (1948) F. 315). Recently a treatise entitled Vradhayavanajā taka composed by Yavanācarya Minarāja known as the overlord of the Yavanas has come to light and in it occur the twelve verses which Utpala has cited from the work of Yavanesvara. This Yavana king Mlnaraja seems to represent the Greek king Menander (P. V. Kane, 'Yavanes' vara and Utpala, 'Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bombay Vol. XXX (1955) Part I). In this connection it is signifi-Milinda eiven in the Milinda given in the . . s hard to equal, harder

d superior of all the ... of thought". (T. W.

Rhys Davids, 'The Questions of Milinda' Sacred Books of the East Vol. XXXV PP. 6-7)

CHAPTER X

Thakura

1 Connotations of the word "thakura"

The word thakura and its variants are used in almost all the languages of northern Indea In Nepali the word thatur (femuine thakurani) means a master and is the title with which a master is addressed by a slave, in Shina thakur stands for a barber, in Assamese thatur signifies a Brahmann and in Bengali and Onya it denotes the same as well as a derty, in Hindi it is used for a land lord and is employed by the Rapputs as their surmane and is also a synonym of God in Paninbi thakar connotes a landlord, in Sindhi thakuru is used to designate a beggar (faqir), in Kashmiri (holar has the sense of an idol, in Guirats thakor or thakar is a tribe of Rayputs and in Marathi thehar is the name of a jungle tribe of North Konkan All these words are derived from the Sanskrit word thakkura and the Prakrt words thakkura thakura or thakura' In Praket the aforesaid words mean a Ksattiya or Rajput and the owner, head chief or leader of a village etc * In Sanskit the word thakkura means a derty, an object of reverence, a man of rank and a chief, according to Monier Williams,3 an idol, a deity and a title of the Brahmanas (dvijas) according to the Facaspahas and "Gotiheit ein Gegenstand der Verchrung, als Ehrentitel nach dem Namen ausgezeichneter Personhelikeiten', according to Otto Böhtlingk and Rudolph Roth 4 In Pali we do not come across this word But G P Malalaschera notes a word thaluraka in his Dictionary of Pali Proper Names" which is used in the Gulavania" as the name of the chel

- ³ Sir Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary p 430
- Vacaspatya, Part IV, p 3189
- 4 Otto Bohthingk and Rudolph Roth, Sanskrit Worterbuch, p 187
- G P Malalasekera, Dictionary of Pali Proper Names Vol 1, p 979, 288
- 7 Culavamsa, Xc 16, 24, 27

¹ Relph Lilly Turner, Comparatue and Etymological Dichonary of the Nepali Language p 251

² Panduin Hargovind Das Seth, Pain sadda mahannato, p. 460 Vijaya Rujendra Surisvara, Abhidhana Rajendra, Vol. IV p. 1379

of the Anyakkhaltajadha who were the mercenary soldiers employed by Bhuvanekabahu I for assassnatting his adversary Mitta and regaining possession of the throne of Simhali Wilhelm Geiger thinks that these mercenaries must have come from South India, but Malalasekera holds that they were Rajputs as the name of their general thakuraka indicates. In order to determine the original import of this word it is necessary to study its early uses

2 Literary uses of the word "thakura

The word $th_a kura$ occurs in the Samaraccakaha of Haribhadra Suri who flourished in 585 (Vikrama era) or 529 A D according to Juna tradition, but whose data has been worked out as 788 820 A D by Hermann Jacobi⁸ In this work the word *thakwa* is used in plural⁹ and refers to the people who fought with the Sabaras The fact that the *thakura* are contrasted with the Sabaras Thows that they were a tribe like the latter. No peculiar prestige or honour attaches to this word here. It is the name of a people like other ordinary tribal designations

In the 9th century the siddha Kanhapa (Kranapada) used the word \dot{h}_{ab} (kran and \dot{h}_{ab} (aracterised by nescence (antifyacitia). He sings that by the true and beneficent teaching his sense of dualism is iernoved, his nescence (\dot{h}_{ab} (ara) is destroyed and he has attained unision with Jinapura ¹⁰ Again he says that by his wisdom he has turned his mind enveloped in suffering and ignorance (\dot{h}_{ab} (aracha), into an enlightened state ¹¹ Here \dot{h}_{ab} (ara symbolises the state of ignorance suffering and an It is used in a derogatory sense. In the beginning of the tenth

प्रातालग हुए जातिया कुलउत्तया, भग्गा थाडी, वाणरेहि विय युक्तारिय सवरहि। सत्ता अभीरेक्षण वियत्ता ठकुरा थेव सवरा ति वोदेशा साध प्रसाहशण। सम्पत्तमा जुआ। महरा वियहन निज्जिया सवरा। पाशिया कुमारफ्लीवर । परिभा व पहि। जुभारवरिएण विस्हिया ठकुरा को उण एसो ति पितियमणहि।

10 Caryagitikosa ed P C Bagehi and Santibhiksu p 41

फीटउ दुमा मादेशि रे ठाकुर। उम्रारि उएस काछ निमड जिणडर।।

11 Ibid

मतिएँ	ठाङ्गरक	परिनिविता		
भवश	करिंगा	भववल	जিता ।	I.

⁸ Samaraiccakaha of Humbhadra Suri, ed H Jacobi, Introduction p 8

Mahendrapala, used the word "thakura' in his Prakrit play KarpuramaRjari (III 8) in the sense or a chief or king ¹³

After Haribhadra Surt the historian Kulhana, who completed his chronicle Rajatarangini in 1150 A D, mentioned the *jhakkuras* in his account of the events of the reigns of Kalayi and Harag (1009 A D 1101 A D) in Kaimira The account begins with the death of the king of Rajapura (Rajauri) and the coronation of his son Sangramapala The latter s uncle Madamapala rose in revolt and as a result of his few the sister of Sangrama and the *thakkura* Jassaraj implored the assistance of Kalasa ¹³ But the people of Rajaur suspected Laivia and his armies came back. The *thakkura vere* the supporters of Kalasa successor Haraa He sent them from the jail to keep the royal armies neutral at the tune of his release There is also a reference to the *fhakkuras* of the Lohar fort¹⁴ stuated in the Lohrin valley which was attacked by Mahmud Gaznavi according to Tenshita and the *Tabagat i theri*. These references show that *fhakhura* as a designation of warriors

About the same time Lakymanagapin, a pupil of Hemacandra used the word halking an his Substandstanta that was completed in 1133 A D³ In it there is a story of a merchant named Navadhana residing it Udayapura. Once a thakking purchased utensils worth ten thousand dammas on credit hen clothes of the value of twenty thousand dammas on credit. Nivadhana demanded the price and it list went to the public officers for help hat everybody deminided a bride. In this story this thalking is

In the works of the Muslim historians of the period of Arabian and Ghazavide invasions the warrior class of India is generally

- भइटठो ठनगुरा मनोरहमादएहि भक्ताण विष्ठम्बदि ।
- 13 Rajatarangini VII, 533 537
- 14 Ibid, V11, 706 739
- ¹⁰ Supasanahacana of Laksmanaganin, ed Hargovind Dass I Seth (introduction) p 9
- ¹⁶ Supätanahacaria, op ni, p 112 तर पुरितृद्धनएण रिषपार्थनिमा गरद याणिज्ञम् निपरटठे उपविध्ठा विनिटटवर्षिष्ठ्दि निपर्ट् यह वावि उपवृर्धा निपद तरम हर्द्धनि दयानहत्ताणम् भग्द दार्ज देग्म सद पुणा सह पुणा न्द्र। यह मनपा म तण उद्यार विननदरगण्डम्माणम् - दिरा गण्डता विभव दा नेवषणा मत्तम ।।

THAKURA

referred to as thakura The word Ksatraya is seldom met with and the term Rajput had not yet become current Thirteenth century authorities divide this thakura class into the three grades of rais, ranas anh rawate 17

Thereafter the word thakura is used in thirteenth century of the Vikrama era by Nemicandra Bhandāri in his Saļthusayapayarana 18 Here thakura is a man of position and authority who commands and demands submission

Then, in the fourteenth century A D Juotirisvara Kavi Sekharacarya (about 1324 A D), the friend of King Harisimha, notes the word thakkura in his prahasana entitled Dhurlasamagama The reference here is to the hermitage of one Mitangara thakkura 19

Between 1400 A D and 1550 A D the author of the Laws predipa, a commentary on the Kampaprakasa, named Govinda Thakkura, bears this surname thakkura

In the Ananlasamhitä quoted in the Smityarthaiagara of Chhalari Nrsimhacārya (later than 1675 A D) the word thakkura is taken to mean a deity 20

In the Padmaval of Mahk Muhammad Jayası (1540 A D) the word thakura is used to denote the Raiputs and thakurari is used for the generality of Rajputs 21

A study of the above references to the word thakura thakkura in a more or less chronological order shows (1) that this word was first used in Präkrt and thence became current in Sanskrt. (2) that at

17	Elliot and Dawson's History of India as told by its own Historians, Val II Introduction by M Habib p. 38
18	Satthusayapayarana of Nemicandra Bhandari, gatha, No 98
	इयराण उकुराण झाणाभर्येण होइ सरणदुहम् । कि पुण तिलोयपट्टणा जिलिन्ददेवाहिदेवरस ।।
18	Dhurtasamagama of Jyoturisvara 75, 9,
	मृताङ्गारव्यन् रस्याश्रमोध्यम्
~0	Ananlasamhitā cited in the Vacaspatya, op cit, Part 4, p. 3189
	सुदामा नाम गोपाल श्रीमान् सुन्दरठवकूर ।
21	Padmatat 42, 503, 3-4, ed V S Agrawala,
	खत्री भो पचवान द्येले।
	मगरवाल चौहान चढेले ।।
	गहरवार परिहार सो कुरी।
	मिलनहस ठक्राई जरी ।।

243

first it signified a tribe like the Sabaras but later it became an honorific and was employed as such by men of prestige and position, (3) that the tile $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1$

3 'Thakura' and 'Tegin'

It was suggested by Sylvain Levi that the word thakura is derived from the Turkish word legen, lekyn or tengin S K Chatterji accepted this equation observing that "phonetically as well as structure of largen could be easily connected with thälma. In a large number of languages, final 'r', 'l' and 'n' are interchangeable, the vowel in the second syllable in this word in the original old Turkish was not a proper 'i' but a kind of spread lip 'u' which could easily become a 'u' in Indian adaptation The interior consonant is either a 'k' or a 'g', and the vowel in the first syllable 'e' or 'a' can easily be rendered by short or long 'a' in India, while the alveolar 't' of the original Turkish word could normally become cerebrahsed and aspirated "22 In fact, a Western Turk king 15 known to have adopted the title of Sahi-tegin between 630 AD and 658 AD The comes of this king bearing legends in Brähm and Pehlvi have been published and studied by R Ghirshman" It is believed that this ruler received Hiuan-T'sang at his capital on the Kunduz in 614 A D 24 But there is nothing to show that this ruler had anything to do with India, nor is there any evidence to prove that the kings bearing the title of tegin played any significant part in Indian history so as to lend their honorific per manently to Indian languages Unless and until it is established that the kings or people using the word tegin had intimate and important connections with India, it is difficult to hold how a word of theus became so wide-spread and came to acquire such sanctily and prestige in India Linguistic exchange presupposes a cultural intercourse and in the absence of evidence showing cultural or social affinity the mere resemblance of phonetic elements cannot warrant the inference of a loan of words Besides thus, legin is a

^{2°} Sumitikumar Chattern's letter to me, dated 12th April, 1953

²³ R Ghirshman, Les Chionites Hephtalites, pp 48-49

E Chavannes, Documents sur les Tou-L'ine Occidentaur, p 197

THALURA

kingly title in Turkish rather than a tribal nume, whereas in India facture is first used as the name of a people or the designation of a tribe There is no suggestion of kingly status or honorary position in the early uses of this word, as seen above Hence it is far-fetched to connect it with the Turkish title tegin In the words of H. W. Bailey "Turkish tegin is not likely to be concerned line:"is

4 The word 'llaugara'

In order to determine the etimology of the word *flackura* it is necessary to consider a group of words relating to the Tokharians A study of these words has assumed a new significance since the discovery of the word *langera* in the manuscript known as the Stach-Holstein Scroll⁴⁴ This manuscript contains a list of names of places and peoples and the relevant passage has been translated by W. B Henning as follows "The royal clan Yaghlagar, the five tubes Buqu, Bugut, Kurabor, Qorbar these are Tolis The royal clan Sikar (the five tribes) *langera*, Lyabor, Carigb, Yahutkar and other Ghilpabut in the Black mountains these are cilled Tardus In Sulmi the Turk Bayregu and the Cumul "27

H W Bailey identifies *llangara* with an important city in Kan-su on the silk-route named $\theta_{0,\ell}$ permethoned in the innerary of a simerchant Maes Titamos Bailey finds in it the name of the Tokhanians "toghara tokhara" and after a brilliant discussion records he conclusion as follows "It has been argued that 'togharatokhara' is the midgenous name of the people of the $\theta_{0,0x}$ -diw'n region who are later known in the Baetman region under the same name tokhara. Ther history thus concides with that attributed by Clinnes the torans to the Ta Yue Che "¹⁸

Henning disagrees with this conclusion and holds but the occurrence of *liaugara* in a list of purely Turkish tribes shows that it designated a Turkish tribe of the Tardius group, and that a reference to the Tokharians or Yue che is out of the question According to

²⁵ H W Baileys letter to me dated 4th March, 1955

²⁶ F W Thomas and Sten Konow, "Two Medieval Documents from Tun huang", Oslo Ethnografiska Musuum Sl rifter, (Oslo, 1929), pp 120

²⁷ W.B. Henning, "Argi and the Tokharians", Bulletin of the School of Oriented and African Studies, Vol. IX Part 3 (London, 1938), pp. 546 571

^{**} H W Bailey, "Trangara", Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, Vol VIII (1937), p 916

him, this word becomes itaumgara with a nasal (anusvara) and stands for a Tolis tribe named tongra which is mentioned in the Orkhon inscriptions and whom the Chinese have called 1 ung-lo 29 Expressing his agreement with this view Pelliot observed "nous avons accepte un instant que le nom khotanais "tlaugara" representait celui des tokhares sur la foi de H W Bailey et malgre des objections de temps Mus le khotanus est plein de pièges On a reconnu et de heu depuis lors que 'Paugara' est une médiocre transcription khotanaise du nom de la tribu turque des Tongra (les T'ong le des Documents sur les Tou-krue de Chavannes) bien connus 4 l'époque des T'ang 30 But the mere presence of itaugara in a list of Turkish tribes does not necessarily mean that it had nothing to do with the Yue che It is a common phenomenon that a name of a locality or territory survives changes in population. It is quite conceivable that remnants of the Little Yue-Che had joined the Turkish confederacy and lent their pristine name to their tribal designations Gustav Haloun has shown that a Chinese envoy to Khotan thought it possible to recognize them as late as 938 942 A D 31

The occurrence of the word *thaugara* θ_0 (sp(a) as a place name in the homelund of the Yue che lends colour to the view that their indigenous name was akin to this word Bailey has attempted to show that the Chunese word Ta Yue the is a transliteration of this word θ_{0} (sp(a)). According to hum, the signifies a clan and in the opmion of Herning it is an indigenous plural ending, whereas Ta Yue is a representation of the original word which Herning determines as t_ghur , θ_ghur , θ_ghur (θ_ghur etc. These suggestions are open to screaus objections, as shown by Pellot Similarly the conjectures that Yue die is a transcription of Aris, Suguda, Tangut or Skuya made by Muller, Laufer and Boodberg have no strong leg to stand upon ²¹ It is likely that this word, pronounced as gud-ing, according to Kard

²⁹ W B Henning, "Argi and the Tokharians", loc cit

⁵⁰ Portion of a letter cited by A Foucher, La stalls route de Plade de Badies a Taxta, Vol II, p 238, see also P Pelhot 'A propos du 'Tokharen','' Toung Pao, Vol XXXII (1936), p 258

³¹ G. Haloun, "Zur Ue tsı Frage", Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenlandischen Gesellschaft, Vol XC (1937), 285

³⁹ Berthold Laufer, *The Language of the Yue the or Indo Softmans* p 12, Peter A Boodherg, "Two notes on the history of the Chinese frontier", *Haroard Journal of Astatic Studies* (1936), p 291

gren, and meaning "the moon people"53 was not only a transcription, but also a translation of some word signifying the "moon" in the language of those people In this connection it is significant to note that in the Chinese translation of the Sutralankara Kaniska is given the title Chan-l'an (inan-dan) which was also borne by the rulers of Gandhāra and Hu mi as late as the eighth century and another title used by him was Chen-t'o (Isien da) which also denotes 'eandra' or 'the moon', 34 that the king Chou chen t'an (Candana of India) is brought into relation with the king of Fu nan, both of whom presented tame elephants to the Eastern T sin Ling Mu-ti, in their annals,35 and that, according to the Mahābhārata, Raika is mentioned with Gandra (moon) and Dits 36 The Prakrt forms ast and 1st demonstrate the equation of arsi asi which Sten Konow traces to the Saka word arzi Analogous to it are the Saka words alise meaning 'silvery' and alisata meaning 'silver' which are akin to the Avestan word erezata (silvery), the Sanskrt word rajata (silver), and the Persian word arzız (tin) ³⁷ All these words have the original sense of whiteness and brightness, the characteristics of the moon From the same word arzi has come the form erzuna meaning a 'leader' or 'chief' 34 In an inseription of kadphises this word 'erzhuna' means a prince This latter word has unmistakable resemblance with the Sanskrt word arjuna, which besides being the name of a tribe in the Arihasastra of Kautulya³⁹ and the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta,⁴⁰ significs "white," 'clear ' 'bright,' 'silvery' It is lughly significant that Arnina, the hero of the Mahabharata war.

- ²⁵ Sylvain Lévi, "Deux peuples méconnus", Memorial Sylvain Lêvi, p 239
- 36 Mahābharata (Adıparvan), I, 61, 30

27 Sten Konow, Saka Studies p 115

- 38 Sten Konow, Kharoshthe Inscriptions (introduction), p 61
- ³⁵ Arthasastra of Kuufulyi III 72 (ed R Shamshistri) p 194 प्राज्यूगव-गायारादीना च जनपदागवादा व्याल्याना
- 40 J F Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol III (Gupta Inscriptions), No I मात्रकानुनायनयोध्यमद्रदामोरप्रार्जनसनकानीवचा वग्ररपरिकादिभिदच

³⁴ Jari Charpentier, "Die ethnographische Stellung der To charer," ZDMG (1917), pp 347 388

³¹ Sylvam Levi, "Kamska et Satavahana", Journal Asialique (1936), pp 61-121

was so called because he was "white' and "pure in action "41 This Arjuna is known to have hailed from the Candra-vamsa or moonfamily This name also occurs repeatedly in the royal genealogies of the Central Asiatic oasis-states of Kuca and Qarashahr 4° All

Mahabharata, Viratparvan IV, 39, 18 41 पृथिव्या चतुरन्ताया वर्णों में दुर्लभः सम । यरोमि कमं दावल च तेन मागर्जुन विद्रु ।।

II Luders, Weitere Beitrage zur Geschichte und Geographie von 42 Ostiurk stan 1930), p 28, Sylvain Levi, "Le Tokharien," Journal Assatique (1933), reprinted in Fragments de Textes Kou tchiens, pp 22-24 The first king of Yen Ki (Agasdesa), mo dern Qarashahr, known to history was Shoen (75 AD), whose name is a Chinese transcription of Arjuna A later king of Qarashahr was called Le Po-shinen, whose name is conserved in the Tsen-sha long-kien in the form Sien na cheen, which stands for Senärguna Another king of this region was Indrārjuna Kūca had a line of kings with whose names the title po (white), that stands for arguna, was invariably associated In a narrative of the Chinese writer Yen yang tsa-tsu the hero is named A-chu-eul (Arjund) and in a manus cript of Tokharian B dialeet preserved in the Leningrad museum a king Ksemärcune (Ksemäpina) is referred to In the Uighur redaction of the Hidimbavadha the name of Artuna figures as Arcunt

The word arguna occurs in Vedic literature also (Rouds I, 112, 23, IV, 26, 1, VIH, 1, 11, , 2 agureda X, 21, Sata paihabrāhmana II, 1, 2, 11, V, 4, 3, 7) There it denotes "white' and white leprosy' and is also an epithet of Indra But it does not denote a tribe or a human hero On the other hand, we come across the name of a northern tribe Arjunaka or Arjunayana or Prarjuna in the Anhatastia of Kautilya and the Allahabad Pillar Inscription of Samudra gupta, as seen above This tribe seems to be connected with the name arjuna The use of this word in the sense of a tribe or human hero is foreign to Vedic hterature Build Central Asia among the states and principalities founded by the Sakas it invariably denotes an eponymous hero Among Central Asiatic tribes black is regarded as the colour of the common people and white the colour of the aristocracy Thus, the use of arjuna in the sense of a hum in hero or a tribal progenitor appears to have been derived from Central Asiatic Saka sources

Vedic and Saka both branched from the same parent Indo-I uropean language Hence many words were common to both But whereas arguna in Vedic lost its pristine sense and was only used as an adjective signifying "whitecontinued on page 211

248

these facts tend to show that the lunar clan of Arjuna is reminiscent of the old Yue che people of Central Asia ⁴² That the Yue-che were in occupation of the northern states of the Tarim

continued from page 240

ness, in Saka it meant a tribe and a human hero and later on this sense was imparted to this word in India as a result of the impact of the Sakas in the λ th and I λ th centures – B C [Buddha Prakash, Mahabharata, A Historical Sludy (in Hurdi) Nagar Prisonne Patric Banaras Vol 62 p 145]

43 As regards the question of Saka influence on the Mahabharata, it is pertinent to quote the intuitive suggestion of Arnold J Toynbee that "it is tempting to conjecture that the otherwise extraordinary phenomenon of a revival, in the Indie world in this age, of an interest in a 'heroic' tradition descending from the Aryan invaders of the Indus Valley in the second millennium BC, may partly be accounted for by the arrival in force, in the course of the last two centuries BC and the first two conturies of the Christian Era, of Saka swarm of barbarian invaders who had lately acquired the same literary tastes, as a result of the same social experience, as their Aryan predecessors who had trodden the road from Eurasia to India more than a thousand years earlier ' "When the Sakas felt a need for "heroic' poetry," Toynbee goes on to add, "they addressed themselves to their Indic subjects, and it is evident that, when this demand is made upon a subject population, its poets will be prone, like the householder in the purable, to bring forth out of their 'treasure things old and new'" (Arnold J Toynbee, "The Volkerwanderung of the Arnas and the Sauskrit Epic," in A Study of History, Vol V, pp 601-

basin long before the foundation of the Kusana Empire is manifest from the names of the places Kutsi, Ku-shih and Kao-chang (K. chan) which were known to the Chinese already in the early Han period.41 But in the second century B.C. the pressure of the Hiungnu resulted in the dispersal of the Yue-che from their homeland in Kan-su and Ning-sia, and while a part of them fled to the eastern Altyn Tagh and the Richthofen mountains in the vicinity of the Kiang and came to be known as Little Yue-che, their greater part migrated to the West, defeated a number of Saka tribes in the northern Tien-shan on the Upper Ili, Chu. and Naryn, and settled there for about three decades when the Wu-Sun pounced on them and drove them further west towards Sogdiana and Bactriats where Chang K'ien found them in 129-8 B.C.45 These Yue-che came to be known as Ta Yue-che. They gave their name to the territory they occupied. Hence from the 4th to the 8th centuries A.D. the region between Sogdiana at the Iron Gates and Bamiyan was called Togharistan or Tokharistan.

5. Movements of the Yue-che

The Yue-che, who invaded Sogdiana and Bactria, were a composite people consisting of many cognate tribes. We learn from the Hou Han-shu and Ts'im Han-shu that Ta-hia (Bactria), where the Yue-che settled, was divided into the realms of five hi-heu, and that the hi-heu of Kuei-shuang K'ienetsieu-kio (K'ien-tsieu-kio) or Kuudb Kadphies seized the territories of other hi-heu and became dominant over the Yue-che. There is a difference of opinion as to whether these hi-heu including the Kuei-shuang were the indigenous people of Ta-hia different from the Yue-che or the Yue-che (hemselyes.'' But

continued on page 213

⁴⁴ Otto Maenchen-Hellen, "The Yuch-chih Problem Re-examined" Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 65 (1945), p. 77.

E. Chavannes, Memories de Sse-ma-Ts'ien, I, pp. 71-72.

⁴⁴ Friedrich Hirth, "The Story of Chang K'ien, China's Pioneer in Western Asia," Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 37 (1917), p. 96.

⁴⁷ Following the Japanese scholars Kuwabara Jitzuzo and Haneda Toru, Sten Konow and Paul Pelliot hold that the five *li-ku* arcpresented the indigenous population of Ta-hila rather than the Ta Yue-che [Sten Konow, "Notes on Indo-Scythian Chronology," Journal of Jadian History (1933) p. 1; Paul Pelliot, "Tokharien et Kontehéen," Journal Audigur

it is commonly accepted that the Kušans were intimately connected with that vast complex of namidic tribes who are now called Sarmatians⁴⁵

-onlinued from page 242

(1943), p 38]. Gustav Haloun and Aurel Stern have left the question open (G Haloun, "Zur Ue-tsi Frage," ZDMG (1937), p 257, in 7, Str Aurel Stein, Serindia, p 287) W W Tarn (The Greds in Bactina and India, p 287) fully and Otto Maenchen-Hellen ("The Yueh-chih Froblem Re-examined" JAOS (1915), pp 72-73) have adhered to the old theory that the h-hell, particularly the Kue-shuang (Kulan), were the Yue-chi themselves Misenchen-Hallen goes to the extent of holding that Yuchchih is the transcription of the word kasa (Ibid, pp 77, 80)

It is noteworthy that even Pelliot, who distinguished the Kuershuang from the Yue-che, holds that the tokhar of the Uighar colophone is the Takharian which Huan T'ang found in Tokhariatan and Kusan of the same colophons is the language of Kuer and that both these languages belong to one family "Sij'ar raison," he observes, "les Tokhariens et Kusana and appartiennent a une grande unité linguistique qui aurait essenne a date fort ancienne, par vagues auccessive, peut etre, non sculement au Tokharisian, mass a Kuea, a Qurashir et meme dans hi region de Turfan" ("Tokharien et koutcheen, Journal Asiatique (1934), p. 105)

That the Kuşānas in India considered themselves related to the Sakas is manifest from the fact that in the ancestral gallery (drealula) of the Kusāna kings found at Mat near Mathurā the statutes of Winn Kadphiese and Kaniska have been found along with that of Castana, the son of Ysamouka, one of the Western Satraps of Sauräetra and Matwa [J Ph Voge], "Explorations at Mathur", drealedniad Sairey of India (1911–12), p 126] This shows that Castana, a Saka by brith, was treated as a member of their the source of the Satraps of the source of the

[] Ph Vogel, 'La Sculpture de Mathura," Ars Anatica (1930), p I, IV a-b]

⁴⁵ The costumes and armuments of the Indian Sikas and Kušānas resembled those found in the graves of the Sarmitins Thees Sarmatians used the long sword in place of the short advantes and give up the use of the goplar, among them how and arrow no longer played the important role of former times and find junce as well as the hervy scale of the short advantes and give up the use of the goplar, and the short advantes and arrow no longer played the important role of former times and find junce as well as the hervy scale of the short advantes and give up the second secon

continued on page 244

Greek sources also refer to the political changes that overtook the Yue-che in Bactria. Strabo (XI, 51, 11) states that between 140 and 130 B.C. the Asioi, Pasianoi, Tokharoi and Sakarauli seized the province of Bactria from the Creeks and Trogus Pompeius narrows the list to the Asiani and Sacaraucae only. As Haloun has proposed to correct asiant as cusant¹⁹ and according to Trogus they were the lords of the Tochari (reges Tocharorum Asiani), it is plausible to correlate this development to the predominance of the Kuci-shuang over the Yueche hordes referred to in Chinese sources noted above In course of time the Asin (arsi) and the Twghr (y) came to signify one and the same people. The cahar toghrista (n) or the four twghry' and the Toque Arsin or the 'nine' Arsi were the two names of the same country, nation, and language. About the beginning of the ninth century the Uighurs were fighting with the Tibetans and the four Twghry, and about a century before that date the Northern Tu-K'ine were waging war against the Toque Arsin (11m), the next-door neighbours of the Tibetans. Henning believes that lughr, T'ghur or lughry stands for the Yue che and arsin-arsi signifies the U Sun (Wu Suen). These two elements had been indiscriminately mixed up about the T'ang period."

continued from page 243

. armour or ring armour began to be prominently employed On the cours of Azes the king is clad in a long Central Asian coat having a curious chequered pattern and with sleeves consisting of rings or coils which signify a ring armour. On the statue of Wima Kadphises the long and strong lance is displayed and on that of Kaniska the long sword is strapped to the coat. On his coins Kaniska is often shown with a long lance. The armour which is not shown on the coins of Wima and Kaniska become prominent under Vāsudeva aud his successors. Small gold plaques characteristic of the Sarmatian dress are also sewn on the garments of the seated Kusana king whose statue has been found at Mat. The dress and deportment of the Saka Kusinas strongly point to their nomadic origin and militate against their identification with a sedentary people of a region Ta-hia [for a discussion of this problem see Ludwig Bachhofer, "On Greeks and Sakas in India", Journal of the Assessed Orientel Course, Vol 61 (1941), pp. 2472297. the Kusanas see also archeologiques el

archeologiques

٠,

Haloun, "Zur Ue-tsi Frage", ZDMC. (op. cit.), 253, note 4
 W. B. Henning, "Argi and the Tokharians", BSOAS (op. cit.), pp. 546-571.

The Arsi-tokhara tribes migrated further west and left their imprints on a large number of peoples The Siraci and the Aorsi living on the shores of the Black Sea had been in relation with the Greeks since the middle of the first century B C 51 Later, when the Alans occupied the region inhabited by the Aorsi, the latter mixed up with them and the tribal name Alanorst recalls their merger From the coasts of the Casman Sea another branch of the Aorst expanded towards the Don and in the Kurgans of the Kuban region their culture is well represented in archaeological remains ⁵⁰ With the Aorsi a tribe called *Tagorae* also went west as we learn from Pluny In fact the Aorsi-Arsi and Tagoraç were in the same wave of migration and Maenchen-Helfensa is right in identifying them with the Asu-Asiani reges Tocharorum After the conquest of the Mongols some of these tribes entered into their groupings and came back to the Far East. The Asud and the Tokhuraut, the branches of the Dzhalair, were those Tokharians who mixed up with the Mongols These people mixed up with the Turks also One of the chief Oguz tribes was the Duker which has been identified with the Tokhara by S P Tolstov The Asiani-Wu-sun have survived among the Kazaks up to the present times Lakewise the four tribes of the Ossets (1) Digor on the the Urukh and its iributaries, (2) Allagir on the Ardon, (3) Kurtat on the Sandon and Fingdon, and (4) Tagate on the Giseldon and its tributaries contain Tokharian elements. Their western-most branch, the Digor, speak a dialect of their own and call their country Digor (digar or diguron), which name occurs in the Geography of Moses of Khorene as Ashtigor or As Digor or Arm-Tagare These Digor-Digur were the descendants of the Tochar-Tagorai 51

6 The Yue-che and India

This brief survey of the expansion and migration of the Yue-che-Tokharians from Kan-su up to the Danube and from the Aral Sea, up to the plateau of the Deccan in India shows that "wherever they came to hve they left traces in the shape of names indubitably derived

⁵¹ J Junge, Saka Studien, pp 54, 75

⁵² M Rostowzew, Skythnen und der Basporus, p 604

⁵³ O Maenchen-Helfen, "The Yueh club Problem Re-examined", JAOS, Vol 65 (1945), p 79

⁵¹ W Miller, Die Sprache der Osseten (Grundriss der Iranischen Philologie), V Minorsky, Hudud al-Alam, p. 445

from a word 'toghara' "55 In India the Yue-che played a dominant part up to the fourth century A D , and their power reached its zenith under Kaniska Sylvain Levi has shown that Kaniska was in control of the port of Barygaza and Kalyana He identifies him with Sandanes mentioned in the Periplus of the Frythrean Sea on the ground that this title corresponds to the name Chan-t'an or Candana which is used for the famous Kusana monarch in the Chinese translation of the Sutralanhara After the establishment of the supremucy of the Kusanas over the port of Kalyana the Greek ships bound for that port began to be conveyed under guard to Barygaza 58 The prominence of the Kuşanas in the Deccan is suggested by the refer ences to the Raikas along with the Mahusakas in the Ramaianasi and the A asika \$1 Though the reading of the coin legends on some coins ascribed to one 'Mana, in view of which a theory of Sala domina tion in the South has been set up, 59 is doubtful, it is highly significant that even such a late author as Bilhana (1062-1064 A D) refers to the presence of Kusana cavalry in the South and calls them by their old name Tukkhara at a time when this nomenclature had practically fallen into disuse "0

- ¹⁵ Ludwig Brehhofer, "On Greeks and Sakas in India" JdOS, Vol 61 (1941), p 245
- 56 Sylvain Levi, "Kaniska et Satavalian"," Journal Asiatique (1936), pp 61-121 7 Participarti for the state of the stat
- 87 Ramayana, Liskindha Kanda, 41, 10
- د المعادمة on Panini, IV, 2, 132 نور المتجمع جارع من بالتوابع بالتوابع حمد جارع الله Nask cave inscription of Gautamiputa Suakarini, hnc 2 يامية يتوج برديميو رامزير الموجع المانية المانية (Sir R G Bhandarkar, Collected Works, Vol I, p 231)
- ⁵⁹ V Mirshi, "The Spread of Sika Era in South India," Indian Historical Quarterly, Vol (1950), pp 216 222, for the contrary view see D C Surcar, "The Spread of the Sika Era in South India," I II Q Vol 27 (1951), pp 174-176, V Mirstih hist given the regonder in "The Spread of Sika Era in South India,", Ibid (1951), pp 311-346 This discussion hist been recently revised by D C Surcar in Ins: "Presidential Address" to the Numismatic Society of India, (Calcutta essuin 1955), vide Journal of the Narinimatic Society of India, XVIII, pirt f, p 7 Mirstih has given his regonder in his "Numismitic Notes" (Ibid, pp 116-119) A S Attekar has a los come to support Mirstin ("Numismatic Studies and Reservicties in India", Ibid, p 129)
- 60 Bilhana, I ikraminka deva carita, XVIII, 93

continued on page 247

Sylvam Levi goes to the extent of holding that the Kusanas benetrated into the Far South and established their sway in the land of He adduces evidence in support of this view from the the Drāvidas Later Han Annals where it is stated that the Great Yuch-chih attacked the kingdom of Tung-li and enslaved it Lévi identifies Tung-li with Dravida 61 But this view is contested by F W. Thomas who holds that Tung-h cannot refer to the Dravidian South since the use of camels is not attested there and the residents of that country are not so tall as the Later Han Annals state According to Thomas, Tung-h is not a transcription but a translation of Sanskrit Practa (Purva) Vibhaga or Prägdesa, meaning 'Eastern Division' This term is convalent to the Prasioi which denotes the Pracya people or the Magadha empire *3 Should this view be correct, we would get evidence of the expansion of the Kusanas up to the confines of Magadha in the east, which accords well with the tradition of the conflict of Kaniska with the rulers of Soled (Saleta) and Pätaliputra,

continued from page 246

मतत कालञ्जरगिरियतेषं प्रधाणे घरित्रीम तुक्ताराणा खुरपुटरवैः श्मापद्मन्या चकार । श्री टाहालवितिपरिवृढ सोऽपि य प्राप्य वृत्त कर्णं कर्णामतरत्वभरास्वादमन्तत्ततान ।।

In medicval times the word tukhar had lost its eithnic connotation and had become a synonym of horic' It is well known that the horses of Tukharistan were very famous Hence the word tukhara came to mean a horic exclusively in course of time, just as *China* in modera times means pottery and porcelaim, or *damats* stand for a particular cloth manufactured in Damascus, or *mukrā*, iderived from the ranue of Egypt, denoted the flat scal in ancient Iran and India In the *Padmāval* of Malik Wuhammad Jayasi [1530 A D) tukhāra is used in the sense of 'horse' Vide *Padmāval* 42, 512, 5-6, ed V S Agrawal, p. 544

भर्राह तोशार गवन सो रीसा। कथ ऊच मसवार न दीसा॥ का बरनौं जम ऊच तोखारा। दुइ पैरी पहुँबई असवारा॥ ८ २१० – १८१

⁴² J W Thomas, "Sandancs, Nahapāna, Castana and Kaniska-Tung-li, Pan-chi and Chinese Turkestan", New Indian Autgury Vol. VII (1944), pp 81-101

Ibid, 26, 276, p 262.

⁶¹ Sylvain Levi, Kusana et Satavahana, op cit

recorded by Tibetun writers, as well as the finds of his inscriptions at Mathura, Sravasti and Sarnath and the discovery of his comes at Ghazipur and Gorakhpur The tradition of the rule of the Murun das at Pataliputra is avouched by the Jaina texts, which associate a teacher Padalipta suri with a Murunda ruler, and the notices in the Chinese Encyclopaedia Ku hin tu chu. From Magadha the Mur undas had established their relations with Fu nan ⁴⁹

The eastern portion of Kaniska's empire was governed by the Mahaksatrapa Kharapallana und the Kşatrapa Vanaspara ⁴¹ Thui we observe that the Kusana empire under Kaniska embraced lie vast territory from the north west including Khiwarizm Kapisi and Peshawar to Gorakhpur and Sarnath in the east, and the plateau of the Deccan. This big empire was the chrysalis of that wide and deep cultural impact which resulted and expressed itself in a word of such exalted and extended prevalence as *thabua*.

7 'Thakura and 'itaugara a linguistic study "

After a study of the historical and cultural matrix of the word thakura let us now approach its linguistic aspects. It is well known that the Kusaņas were a branch of that vast group of tribes which is called Tokharian The Asia or Asiani were one of their constituent In India the Asu tribes and later came to be identified with them were called Ruka and the Tokharians were known as Tukhara In the Chinese translations of Sanskrt works Tukhara is transcribed as Tu he le and translated as Yue che For instance, in a list of languages translated into Chinese in 431 Gunavarman substituted the name of Yue che for Tukhara and in his translation of the great commentary of the Prajnaparamila (Ta che iu luen), Kumarajiva transcribed this word as Ta kia lo and explained it as Siao Yue che to Another spelling of this word is teou k to lo (teu kia lek) which shows that the original Indian form was lukeraka that is to say, it had an unaspirated guitural surd & in place of th Another spelling of this word had a cerebral subilant s instead of the guttural surd k or kh In the Chinese translation of the Samuaklagama, made between 435 and 443 A D 66 this

⁶³ Sylvain Levi, "Deux Peuples meconnus," Memorial Sylvain Leii, pp 235 242

⁶¹ Adris Banery, Fastern Expansion of the Kusana Empire, I H Q, Vol 27 (1951), pp 294 303, H C Raychoudhur) Political History of Ancient India (5th edition), p 473

⁶⁵ Sylvam Lévi Fragments de textes Loutcheens, pp 24 25

⁶⁶ Paul Pelliot, 'Tokharien et Koucheen" J.A (1934), p 34

word was transcribed as *Test she* to which presupposes the form taspira. This pronunciation resulted from the confusion in the guittural aspirated surd and the cerebral solution 4. The semantic use of this word denoting frost is not likely to be concerned here

The word takkara or takara is analogous to the igara of the Stael Holstein Scroll, Byreps of Piolemy, and thed har, phod har of the Tibelan texts After the migration of the Tokharian Luc-che in the West this word came to be pronounced is Toxipoi (takhar i) The words too Lh vala (G Toropoi), Ixhari, and its variant thighi in Latin, tukharik or tokharastan in Armenian tukhty in Turkish, and Tulhara in Sanskrt are based on this pronunciation Henning determines its pristine form as etkhuare, tekh uar, ta kh uar, to khuar or thur But side by side with this the spelling containing the guttural spirant gh was also current The Greek Taghoroi, Latin Tagare Sogdoian 'teh w r'k. Arabie Toghanstan or Taghara Tibetan tho-gar, the-dkar for the western Jokhanna as against thed har, phod kar for those of the East are instances in point Henning fixes its form as tughr (Tibetan Druga) (*1 ghur) According to him juch is a rendering of i ghur, Oghur, i ghuer, Oghur In Uighur, which, is a rule, follows Sogdian in matters of orthography, the name of Bactria Tokharistan, thuge, would normally be written as I gh wery Hence Henning holds that to gho cannot refer to Tokharistan but / rather denotes Qarashahr, Qoco and the adjacent districts But he_ admits that lught is a variant of the tribal name Tochari In fact, as Bailey observes, the toshara language is indifferent to the quantity of vowels as is manifest from the variety of vowels in the variants of the word tolkara or tughr, noted above

The above discussion of the variants of the word talkim shows that a final liquid r is attested in all the forms and that the medial consonant was h d g h as well as k. Even in the Indrin variants oftakkara the form takara is known. This form takara presupposes taghrwith a guitaral sonant. Many languages of Central Asia havetendency to replace the son ints by surds. In both the dialects ofAris the four kinds of Indo European occlusives—suids, aspiratedsurds sonants, and apprinted sonants—ver reduced to only <math>P t, kkutchean, in particular, does not possess any sonant stops except masals and avoids the use of aspirates e_R , skt agara < K akara aforgandue < astukanta tagara < takara, bingargia < pinktare, joga

⁶⁷ J Marquart Eransahr, p 539

258

< yoka 68 Similarly, Sogdian had no sonants, though it possessed the spirants $\gamma_i \delta_i \beta$ Usually the sonants were replaced by the surds in it cg sht ghantikā < kutjkh, nirghālans < njak'in, sizaghosa < s β kus, grddhakūta < krytkuety, gandharva < knt'rB, golama < k'uut'm', gotra < hwitr, mahādeva < my'tyß, mahasamudra < my-nsm'wiry (9) This feature is noticeable in a dialect of Paisaci called Gulka Paisaci Hemacandra in his Siddha hemacandra-sabd anisāsana (IV, 325) describes this feature in the rule culika paisacike inti ya-lun ano radya dutiyau " Commenting on this rule he observes culika paisacike varganam irizyaturyayoh sthane yathasankhyamadyadutzyau bhavalah uagaram < nakaram, marganeh < makkano, gurulafam < kutulafam, me_hch < melho, vyaghrah < uakkho, gharmah < khammo, rāja < tāća, jarjaram < caccaram, jimulah < cimulo, nirjharah < niccharo, jharjharah < chaccharo, tadagam < tatākam, mandalam < mantalam, damanukah < tamaruko, gadham < katham, sandah < santho, dhakkā < thakka, madanah < matano, kandarpah < kantappo, damodarah < tāmolaro, madhuram < mathuram, bandhavah < panthavo, dhuli < thuli, balakah< pālako, rabhasah < raphaso, rambha < ramphā, bhagavatī < phakavali, niyojitam < niyocilam Kracilläksani kasyäpi "padimä, ilyasya sihäne 'patimā' 'dadha' ilyasya sihāne 'lathā' Like Hemacandra, Vararuci in his Prakriaprahasa makes a reference to his feature in his aphorism varganām trityacalurthayorayujoranādyorādyau 71 Thus, according to Vararuc, the initial and conjunct sonants are not changed into surds, -whereas in the opinion of Hemacandra the change takes place in all cases

The word $e\bar{u}lk\bar{a}$ or eultka is a variant of $s\bar{u}lka$ which represent the Chinese Su li, that is based on an original "Sulik < "simelak, an eastern and southern dialectual form of Sogdian symplatic" and eulthapaizari was a variety of north-western dialect spoken by the Sogdians It has been shown by P C Bagchi that the Sogdians penetrated deeply into Indian population and culture following their fra-flow commercial contacts The Sulix rapputs of the Shähpur district, the Solgi and Sulka juts of the Multrün region and the Sul, Sula or Sulj of Amintsari, Ludhana and Macchiwara in the Panjab at presch,

⁶⁸ Sylvain Lévi, Fragments de textes Koutchéens, p 160

E Benveniste, Textes Sogdiens, pp 255-260

⁷⁰ Hemacandra's Kumänpäla-ranta (Präkrta-dvyäśrayakävya), ed P L Vaidya, p 593

⁷¹ Vararuci's Prākriaprakāsa (X, 3), ed PL, Vaidya, p 111

¹² Robert Gauthiot, Essai de Grammaire Sogdienne, p vi

THAEURA

and the Calukhas of the Deccan and the Solanks of Guyrat in ancient times, appear to be the remnants of the Sogdians who came and settled in India ¹⁰ It may also be suggested that the name of the famous city of Mathura, whose ancient name had the aspirated dental sonant 'dh', e g, in the form Madhurana, and which has assumed its present form in accordance with the rule of $c_{ii} t k_{i-j} a_{ii} z_{ii}$, noted above, beirays a Sogdian influence that bespeaks the settlement of the Sogdians in Middle India

Thus, it is clear that the form *tukāra* having a guttural surd instead of a sonant shows a Sogdian influence. Exidently it was from Sogdiana and through her people that this form became current in India

Ordinarily in Präkri the medial surds and sonants k, g, c, j, t, d, p disappear, e g, mukula < maulo, nakula < naulam, sägaro < däaro, nagara</br/>naram ¹⁴ But since in culkā paišāci the sonant is transformed into a surar and that surd retains its sound, the said rule of ordinary Präkris, Mahārājān or Šaurasmī has no application Hence there is no grammatical or philological difficulty in the form lukāra or its variants

As regards the vowel of the first consonant in the word under consideration it is clear from the analysis of Henning that it was not universally u. The forms t-th uar and t-a-that have no such u. That these forms were actually in use is manifest from the forms laborator, takara, thagera used by Ptolemy and tagera, tahera on theTabula Paulingerana, north of Alexandria Bucefalos. Thus it iscertain that the vowel of the initial consonant was a. In thisway a variant of <math>tutkhara was takara. As for the cerebrahisation of the dental suid there are numerous instances of such change in Fräkrt. We know full well how the dental in the word change in Fräkrt. We know full well how the dental in the name dutkhalpäptana. This phenomenon has been noticed by ancience grammarians also. Variarue formulates a special rule to explain this change "8 According to him 'st' usually becomes "y, though there

⁷⁸ P.C. Bagchi, "Sulika, culika and culikă paišacl", in India and Gentral Asia, pp 146-147

⁷¹ Vararuc:, Präktiaprekasa, 111, 2, p 30 Kagacajatadah yawam praso lopah, Hemacandra VIII, 1, p 177 Ka ga ca-ja at dapa-ya-wam praso luk

¹⁵ Vararuci, Praktioprakasa, 111, 23 patlane.

are also certain exceptions to this rule.⁷⁴ Hemacandra notes the cerebralisation of the initial dental surds in some words, c.g., layara < taura, trasara < taura, tarara<tbody>

 tayara, trasara
 tarara
 tarara</td

This word lukhāra thākura is of Iranian origin, according to Balley. The significant presence of gh or kh in it is a pointer to this fact. Its wide-spread use from the Urheimat of the Yue-the in Kan-su up to the heart of Europe and the interior of India proves that it was the native name of these people.

Our study has led us to the conclusion that the word fäälurathaklura, is a word of the Yue-che Tokhari language and was brought by its speakers to India. Originally it denoted the Yue-che, but since they came to hold a dominant position in India, it was invested with a sense of prestige and respect and came to mean a chief, warrior, noble, lord in general. The long association of the Yue-che and Sakas with India and their consequent deep penetration into her population and culture made the word popular in this country. As a result, it gradually loss its tribal or territorial connotation as the word dahu-dagu and südra had done earlier. From being a synonym of 'lord' or 'chief' it was but one step to signify a man of the hight astivine power. Thus, the semantic difficulty in respect of this

Anatuccha-tayara-kappūra-dhuva-mahamahia-tasara-sui-vattho-Kumāra-vihāre patto tūvara-padihāra-dinna-karo.

⁷⁶ Ibid, 111, 22 rtasya tah, for exceptions see III, 24 na dhürlädtsu.

word melts away, as there are numerous instances of tribal names becoming common titles.

To sum up, the word that was is a link connecting Indian culture with the vast Eurasian Tokharian word. It is a symbol of the deep imprint left by the Tokharians on Indian culture and it also an indication of the marvellous assimilative character of Indian civilization,

CHAPTER XI

Samudragupta and the Saka-Kusanas

1. The reference to the Saka-Kusanas in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription of Samudragupta

In the Allahabad Pillar Inscription of Samudragupta the "davaputra-sähi-sähänusähi-saka-murunda" and the dwellers of all the islands like the Ceylonese are stated to have offered personal allegiance to Samudragupta, presented daughters in marriage and solicited royal charters bearing the garuda symbol for the governance of their own territories and, thus, acknowledged the suzerainty of Samudragupta.1 According to Allan, the titles dainpatra (devaputra), sahi and sahanusahi denoted three rulers of small states into which the Kusana empire had broken up;" Smith holds that this compound title refers to the Chionite king Grumbates who fought with the Romans in association with the Sassanid emperor Shahpulir II below the walls of Amida in 359 A.D.;3 Raychoudhury sees in this honorific a reference to the Sassanids themselves besides the Kusanas who had accepted their supremacy ; and Majumdar thinks that a Kusana ruler exercising sway over Kabul and a part of the Panjab and possibly other territories further to the west is intended here.5 In the Puranas the Micechas, Sudras and Viatyas are said to have been ruling over the "Indus Valley up to Candrabhaga (Ravi) and Kathiawar and Katimira"e at a time when the Gupta dominion was confined

दैवपुत्रसाहीगाहानुगाही शकगुरूण्डैः सहिलादिभिरच सर्वद्वीपवासिभिरात्मनिवेदन• बन्योपायनदानगुरुत्मदा डू,स्वविषयभुभितशासनयाचनाश्चनायवेवाकृतवाहवीयंप्रसरघरणि वन्धस्य

- 2 John Allan, Catalegue of the Coins of the Gupta Dynastics, pp 26-27. V. A. Smith, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (1897) p. 32.
- 4 H. C. Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India (5th ed.), p. 547.
- 5 R. C. Majumdar, The Fakalaka-Gupta Age, p. 135.
- 6 F. E. Pargiter, Dynasties of the Kali Age, p. 53. सिन्वोस्तट चन्द्रभागां कौन्तीं कश्मीरमण्डलम् । भोध्यन्ति सूदा बात्याद्या म्लेच्छाश्चावहावर्षसः ॥

¹ J. F. Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. 111, lines 23-24.

to "Prayāga, Sāketa, Magadha and the regions along the Ganges" in the beginning of the fourth century A.D. I have identified these Mleechas with the Kuşānas who were in occupation of these regions at that time.⁷

That the title "devaputra-šābi-šābānušābi" refers to the Imperial Kusānas is manifest from the fact that in a Chinese text of the third century cited in a work of the T'ang period it is expressly stated that "the king of the country of Yue-che is called Son of Heaven."⁸ Besides this, the Kusāna records indicate that the title "šābi-šābānušābi" was also employed by them. Thus it is clear that the aforesaid title in the Allababad Pillar Inscription signifies some Kuṣāna ruler of eminent position and in order to ascertain his identity and period it is necessary to cast a glance at the history of the Later Kusānas.

2. The debacle of the Kusanas

We know, for certain, that the rise of the Sassnnid power in Iran menaced and eclipsed the empire of the Kusanas in the north-west of India. The resurgence of independent tribes and peoples in the interior of the Indian plains: the Maghas at Kausambl, the Nagas or Bharasivas at Padmavati and Mathura, the Yaudheyas in the cis-Sutlei region, the Paunas on the banks of the Jumna near Jagādhari, whose epigraph has been recently un-earthed at Jagatgrām near Chuhadpur in the Debradun district, the Kunindas between the Sutlej and the Beas, the Arjunayanas in the Agra-Jaiour area, the Madras in the Ravi-Chenab doab and the Malavas in the Aimer-Mewar territory and the modern province af Malwa as well as a host of other princes and chieftains mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar inscription spelled its doom in the east. While the realms of the Sakas, Siladas and Cadaharas, the three Scotlian barres that hold sway in the Panjab, shrank to negligible proportions as a result of the pressure of these Indian peoples, the main nucleus of Kusana power in Bactria, Afghanistan and the trans-Indus region was blighted by the attacks of Ardashir I (224-241 A.D.) and his son and successor Shahpuhr I (241-272 A.D.). According to Tabari, the Kusana king sent ambassadors to Ardashir and acknowledged

⁷ Buddha Prakash, The Political Geography of India on the Eve of Gupta Ascendency, Indian Culture, Vol XIII (1946), p 85.

⁸ P. Pelliot, T[']oung Pao (1923), p. 123 cited in Sylvain Lévi, Devaputra, Journal Asiatique (1933), p. 11.

his suzerainty." But the Kusāņa kingdom continued to exist inspite of the profession of allegiance on the part of its rulers as is clear from a remark of the Wei Lio, which records the events up to the reign of emperor Ming (227-239 A.D.), to the effect that "the kingdom of Ki-pin, the kingdom of Ta-hia, the kingdom of Kao-fou (Kahul) and the kingdom of T"ien-Chou were subservient to the 'Ta Yue-che,"10 It was under Shahpuhr I that the fabric of the Kusana empire was smashed. Shahpuhr I enumerates Turan, Makuran, Paratan, Hindustan and Kushanjahr with its frontiers reaching up to Pushkabur (Peshawar) in the south and extending up to Kas, Sugd, Sasstan or Bukhara, Sogdiana and Taskand in the north as parts of his empire." The sack of the second city of llegran (Kapisi) is to be dated in this period. It appears that the northern parts of the Kusana empire were placed under the direct administration of the governor of Khurāssān who hore the title of Kushanshāh or king of the Kusāņas. Peroz, the brother of Shahpuhr I, called himself the great Kushanshah on his coins. After 252 Shahpuhr I made his son Hormizd the governor of Khurassan with the pompous title of "the great king of the Kushans,"" Ghirsl.man challenges this view on the ground that Hormizd, the crown-prince, is known as the "king of Armenia" and Peroz, the brother of the emperor, as "l'lipuhr" (royal prince) in the Kaaba Zarathustra Inscription of Shahpuhr 1.13 But still the fact remains that the Kusanas received a severe set-back under Shahpuhr and their empire was badly shattered. The Latter Kuşāna kings, Vāsudeva II, Kaniska II and Vāsudeva III exercised a nominal sovereignty over their shrunken and derelict dominion.

⁹ Ernst Herzfeld, Paikuli, I, p. 36.

¹⁰ Edouard Chavannes, Les pays d'oreident d'apres le Wei Lio, T'oung Pao (1905), pp. 519-571.

¹¹ M. Sprengling, Shahpuhr L, the Great on the Kaabah of Zoroastri, American Journal of Semetic Languages and Literature (1940), pp. 353-358.

¹² Ernst Heuzfeld, Kušāno-Sassanian Goins (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India No. 38 (1930) p. 32, Patkali, I, p. 48; Joreph Hackin, Repartitions des monates aureantes et Afghanistati, Journal Asiatique (1935), p. 287; A Christensen, Ulran voir les Sassandes, pp. 222-223.

¹³ René Ghirshman, Begram, Recherches Archeologiques et Historiques sur les Kouchans, p. 165.

3. The relations of the Kusanas with Rome and the Sassanid empire

Though the Kusānas were thus cornered and conquered, they continued to make themselves felt in Sassund politics by maintaining diplomatic contacts with other imperial powers like Rome, which was at daggers drawn with the Sassanids and by taking a significant part in the domestic rivalries and fratricidal conflicts which flared up in Iran after the death of Shalipuhr At the time of the captivity of the Roman Emperor Valerian in 260 A D the king of the Bactrians is stated to have made an offer of help to Rome Again in 274 AD the envoys of the king of Baetria offered presents to the emperor Aurelian on the occasion of a triumphal festivity organized in token of his victory over Queen Zenohia, This king of Bactria was in all probability a Lusana king

After the death of Shahpuhr I a war of succession broke out between his sons Hornizd und Bahram Bahram (272 293) tried to propulate the Roman emperor Probus by making suitable presents and concluding a treaty favourable to the laster¹¹ But the death of Probus in 282 in course of the invasion of the Sarmatians moded his plan in the hud His successor Carus, however, suppressed the Sarmatians, marched against the Sassanids defeated the Iranian armies and seized the whole of Mesopotamia Then, crossing the Tigris, he invested the Sassanid capital Citesiphon, but before he could earry his campaign to a decisive conclusion his assassing tion in July 203 made short shrift of his endeavour. In this turmoil Bahram II sued for peace and surrendered the whole of Mesopotamia to the Romans but subdued the recalcitrant Kusanas by conquering Seistan and appointing his son as its governor Again the pressure of Rome under Dioeletian prevenied Bahram II from fully arenging the hostility of the Kusanas who helped and filliped his rebellious brother Hormizd and forced lum to forge the semblance of rule over Mesopotamia and Armenia 15 After the death of Buliram II in 293 his son Bahram III occupied the throne for only a few months, for the revolt of his grand uncle Narse soon flared up resulting in the over throw of his rule and the substitution of the younger branch of the Sassands for lus line

H Mattingly, Cambridge Ancient History, Vol XII, p 306 Mattingly, Cambridge Ancient History, Vol XII, p 328 14

¹⁵

Narse was the ruler uf Seistan, Turan and India, that is to say, the dominions of the Kusānas, at the time of the death of Shahpuhr I, as is manifest from his inscription on the Kaaba of Zarathustra. Hence it is likely that he was on terms of intimacy with the Kusānas, which he used to good purpose in his revolt against the line of Bahram and acknowledged in his reference to the king of the Kusānas in a vein of honour before the Caesar of Rome among the kings, who are stated to have come to felicitate him on his coronation, in the inscription of Paikuli.16 Fortified by his alliances in the east Narse tried to wrest the lost provinces of the Sassanid empire from the Romans by marching against them at the time when Diocletian was busy in the affairs of Egypt, but fortune did not favour him and in a rout he even lost his family to the enemy. The victorious legions of Gelatius seized Ctesiphon compelling Narse to negotiate a treaty by which the protectorate of Rome over Mesopotamia and Armenia was confirmed and five small provinces beyond the Tigris were ceded to the Romans as a ransom for his imprisoned family. This disaster accentuated the necessity of a close Kushano-Sassanid alliance. On the part of the Kusāņas also the move to come close to the Sassanids was urgent as a result of the relentless pressure of the Indian tribes. Hence Hormizd II (301-309), the son and successor of Narse, married the daughter of the Kusāna king of Kabul. This marriage was celebrated as a momentous event of those times and "the out-fit of the bride was remarkable for its splendour". Hormizd kept peace with the Romans till his death.

The death of Hormizd ushered in a bout of internecine wars. In the result, Shahpuhr II was crowned in 309 even before his birth, as tradition has it. During the period of regency the Shahrdars and Vaspuhrs raised their heads and the Arabs also tried to fish in troubled waters.³⁷ However, in the second year of Shahpuhr's reign, that is in 310-311, the protectorate of the Sassanid over the realm of the Kusšnas was intact, for, in the first inscription of Persepolis we find the emperor's brother, who was also his namesake, holding charge of the kingdom of Seistan, Turan and Sind.⁴⁸ But soon afterwards the Kusänas utilized the disturbances of Iran for extending their realm and proclaiming their indepen-

¹⁶ Herzfeld, Paikuli I, p. 117.

¹⁷ A. Christensen, L'Iran sous les Sassanides, p. 229.

¹⁸ E. Herzfeld, Paikuli, p. 121; Kusano-Sassanian Coins, p. 36.

dence. Tabari informs us that in this age the kings of the Turks, Rome and India raised the banner of revol¹⁹ and Marquart interprets this remark to mean that the Kugānas also grew assertive²⁰ and assumed their full imperial tides.

4. The pressure of Shahpuhr II on the Kujanas

On attaining maturity Shahpuhr II addressed himself to the task of healing the dagger-thrust that his predecessors had sustained at the hands of the Romans. His arms first fell upon Armenia whose king Tiran was brought into captivity in Iran. The Armenians appealed to the Roman Emperor Constanting the Great for help and the Roman armies readily came forth and defeated the Sassanian army in 335 A.D. But the death of Constantine in 337 A.D. gave a respite to the Persians and Shalipuhr stirred a revolt in Armenia against the Romans and laid seige to Nisibis. The following year, 338, the emperor Constance reformed the Roman army, by the introduction of the armoured cavalry and in 339 drove the Persians from the frontlers of Mesopotamia. Thereafter for about one decade there was a full in the fight which was punctuated by minor skirmishes. The only notable encounter was the battle of Singara in 344 A.D. in which Shahpular II lost his son who fell into the hands of the Romans. Ghirshman holds that in this calm Shahpuhr II liquidated the Kusanas in the east who were a thorn in his side and that the reference to the Kuşāna king in the full panoply of imperial titles dated about 340 A.D. afterwhich their kingdom was annexed to the Sassanid empire.31 This view is incorrect for it is on record that after the battle of Singara Shahpulir had to contend with the descending avalanche of the Chionites or Hunas and had to fight with the Sakas of Sakasthana also. Marquart has corrected the reading of the word Gelanis as Segestanis in a passage of Ammianus Marcellinus (XVII, 5. 1).22 On this basis Christensen holds that Sakasthana (Sacestene) was independent up to that time." Thus, from 350 onwards Shahpuhr was at war with the Chionitae and the Euseni or Cuseni or the Kusinas and it was about 358 that he finished off with them in order to concentrate his full resources on the war against the Romans which started with the reige of the

¹⁹ Zotenberg, Annales de Taberi, II p. 91.

⁵⁰ J. Marquart, Eranshaft, p. 50.

²¹ R. Chirshman, Les Chirle-Helder, p. 71.

J. Marquart, Eraulate, pp. 36-50.

A. Christensen, L'free sens les Semanides, p. 231.

268

Roman fortress of Amida, modern Diarbekr, in 359 According to Ammianus Marcellinus, who fought in the Roman army against the Sassanids in Mesopotamia the Chionite and Kusana contingents fought on the side of Shahpuhr II in this campaign ¹⁴

Prof Herzfeld has brought to our notice an inscription dated 47 of the reign of Shahpuhr II, corresponding to 356 A D, found at Persepolis, which refers to a high Judge of Kabul, named Slok who prayed that Shahpuhr would return to Kabul in safety " If the date of this inscription is correctly determined, it shows that by 356 A D the Sassanids were in possession of the homeland of the Kusanas and that their participation in the siege of Amida on behalf of Shahpuhr was a result of their prostration. The date of the aforesaid Persepolis inscription is in accord with the remark of Ammianus Marcellinus that Shahpuhr spent the winter of 356-57 A D in the furthermost limit of his kingdom on the borders of the Chionitae and the Cuseni Thus it is clear that the wur against the Kusanas came to an end in 356 A D with their defeat and the occupation of their territories by the Sassanids The Merv coins of Shuhpuhr II discovered in the excavations at Tuxila by Sir John Marshall also show that the Sassanid forces had penetrated up to this place in India and dislocated the Kusanas 26 The discovery of the crest of the Sassanid empire depicting two winged horses facing each other in heraldic pose at Gunde Peisa near Begram u alto a pointer to this fact 27 It was in commemoration of this victory over the Kuşanas that Shahpuhr II issued a special type of coins bearing h s name in Tokharian characters

5 The alltance of the Lusanas with Samudragupta between 350 356 A.D.

Thus it is clear that the victory of Shahpuhr 11 over the Kutana resulted in their deback for the time being. Hence it is evident that the title 'deviputer ship sithantship' could be used for the Kutana king only before 356 A D. It appears that between 330 and 350, when the pressure of the Sassands on the Kutana was very great, the latter sought succease in another quarter by allying themselves with the mascent power of Samudrapapta. This alliance is referred to in the Altarhada Philtry inscription, eited above 115

¹¹ J Marquart, op cit, p 36 note 5

²⁵ F Herzfeld, Ausano Sassanian Coins, p 36

²⁶ J. Marshall, Archaeological Survey of India (Annual Reports) (1911-15) nos 18 49, (1915 16) nos 51 and 52

²⁷ R Ghurshman, Begram, p 70 plate C

significant that some coins of the Kusāņa type have been found with the names of Samudra and Candra and some Scythian rulers of the West are known to bave used some coins of the Gupta type. Hence in the words of R. G. Majumdar "the statement in the record of Samudragupta about bis relations with the Saka and Kusāņa chiefs may not be regarded as altogether without foundation."¹⁴

A. S. Altekar holds that the Kusāna king referred to in the Allahabad Pillar inscription was Kidāra who founded his empire about 358 A.D.23 The question of the Kidarites is highly controversial and Alfred von Gutschmid³⁰ Pelliot, Enoki, Robert Gobl31 and Curici and Schlumberger31 hold that the Kidarites rose to power in the first half of the fifth century A. D. Gobl has based this finding on a study of Sassanian coinage. Curiel and Schlumberger hold that Kidara was a contemporary of Yazdegird II (438-457 A D.) on the ground that it was his crown, not that of Shahpuhr II, which he imitated on his coins. These authors base their identification on the work of Kurt Erdemann on the crowns of the Sassanian monarchs. [Kurt Erdemann, Die Entwicklung der Sassanidischen Krone, Ars Islamica Vol. XV-XVI (1951) pp. 87-1231. The view of these authors about the chronological position of Kidara agrees with the opinion expressed by me on the basis of some data of Indian history and literature.33 Besides these considerations, the coins of Kidára bear the legend "Kidára Kushāna Shā""" which does not bespeak any imperial position such as the

^{a1} P. Pelliot, Tokharien et Koutcheen, Journal Asiatique (1934), pp. 43-45. K. Enoki, The Origin of the White Huns or Hephthalites, East and West (1955), pp. 231-237. R. Göbb's letter to me dated 20 June 1955.

- ³² R. Curiel and D. Schlumberger, Tresors Monetaires d^{*} Afghanistan (Parts 1953), pp. 119-124.
- 33 Chapter XV of this book.
- ³⁴ M. F. C. Martin, Coins of Kidāra and the little Kusānas, Numismatic Supplement (Silver Jubilee Numer) (1938), p. 39.

²⁸ The Classical Age, p. 11.

²⁹ The Vakataka Gupta Age, p. 20.

³⁰ Alfred von Gutschmid, Ceschichte Irans und seiner Nachbarlander pp. 168 ff; See also M. A. Stein, 'Zur Geschichte der Sähis von Kabui, Festschrift Hudolf Von Rolk, p. 196; Specht, 'Etudes um l'Asie Centrale, pp. 12 ff.

pompous title employed in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription conveys. The fact that the title of the Kusāņas, devaputra-sāhisāhānušāhi, is different from that of Kidara renders the identification proposed by Altekar doubtful.

The upshot of the above enquiry is that the reference to "devaputra-śāhi-šahānušāhi" in the Allahabad Pillar inscription of Samudragupta and his alliance and subordination to the Gopta monarch mentioned in it relates to a Later Kusāņa king who was at war with the Sassanid emperor Shahpuhr II between 350-355 A.D. and was in need of some aid to contend with this menace. This tille reflects the state of the affairs of the said decade and shows that the reference in question cannot be latter than 356-358 A.D. From this it is clear that the Allahabad Pillar inscription was composed between 350 and 356 A.D. and the conquest of Samudragupta had been accomplished before this period.

CHAPTER XII

Candragupta Vikramaditya and Ardashir II

1 The rise of the Kusanas in the later part of the reign of Shahpuhr II

About 355 356 A D the Sassanid emperor Shahpuhr II worsted the Kuşanas But the Kuşanas ngaın rassed their heads when Persia was engaged in a war with Armenia Writing about the events of \$67-368 Faustus of Byzantium observes as follows ---

Although in that age the war between Persia and Armenia was stopped, however, the king of the Kushans, who was of Arsacid origin, started a (new) war with the king Sapor, the Sasanid That prince having assembled all the armies and cavaliers, whom he had brought in capinvity from Armenia, ordered them to march and placed himself at their head. After the commencement of the war between the king of the Kushaps and that of Persia, the armies of the former crueilly harsised those of Persia, captured many of their enemies and routed wway the rest In that affair Tradamad distinguished himself by his bravery and saved the life of Sapor, the king of the Persian "

'From a little time before, the king of the Fermans, of the Sassand race, was at war with the great king of the Kushans, the Arsaed, who resided at the town of Pahl The king of the Persians sent an army, reinforced by Armenian captives, to fight with the Kushans After the commencement of the fight the Kushaps gamed advantage against the Persians who took to flight The Kushans pursued them and made such a massacre of them that nobody could escape "1

¹ Faustus of Byzantum V, VII and V, XXXVII, J Marquart, Eransahr p 50, R Ghushman, *Hex Chonutes Hightality* p 79 Quoting Marquart A Ghustemean remarks that Taustus meant the Ghuontes by the word Kusanas (L'Iran sour les Sasanudes p 234) But Ghushman takes them to refer to the Kusanas It is certain that the Chointes established their power during the life time of Shahpulir But there is nothing to show that they acquired ao much power as to challenge the Sasanid monarch. The evidence at our disposal shows that they continued to over allegiance to Shahpuhr We can, therefore, easily distinguish between the Kusanas and the Chonites

Although this author describes the king of the Kusans as an Arsacid, it appears that he had the Kusana ruler of Balkh in mind while making the aforesaid observations. In 371 A.D. also we find Shahpuhr II campaigning in the East after his defeat in the battle of Bagayan against the Armenians and the Roman armies of Valens, Towards the end of his reign Shahpuhr II was busy with the affairs of Rome. After the worsening of relations between the Romans and the Armenians Valens started the talks of peace with the Persians as a result of which Persia acquired the right to control the throne of Armenia. Inspite of this treaty, the Romans installed Varazdat on the throne of Armenia but he broke with Rome and was dethroned. Thereafter the Armenians sought the help of the Persians against the Romans. Shahpuhr profited from this occasion and sent an army to Armenia under the leadership of Suren which nominated Marzban on the throne of Armenia. Just afterwards Shahpuhhr II passed away. In these entanglements and preoccupations the Kusanas resuscitated their power and just after the death of Shihpuhr II, which almost coincided with that of Samudragupta in India, swooped down over the Pamah

2. The Kujana invasion of India after the death of Samudragupla

References to the Kusana invasion of the Paniab just after the death of Samudragupta and the accession of Rämagupta are found in the Devicandrogupta of Visäkhadatta, the Harsacarita of Banabhatta, the Kavyamimansa of Rajašekhara and the Sanjan and Cambay copper plate inscriptions of the Rästrakuta period. 'This evidence has been amply discussed and thrashed out by many eminent scholars. Sylvain Lévi, Altekar, Bhandarkar, Banerji and others have accepted the historical nucleus underlying these legends Raychoudhury, Majumdar and others rejected these evidences as pure fiction. Their main arguments are that Râmaguota did not strike any coins, his name does not occur in gupta genealogical records, the marraige of his widow Diruvadevi with his younger hother Candragupta clashes with the cherished notions about morality and social custom prevalent in those times and that the inheritor of the mighty empire of Samudragupta could not be so decisively defeated by a Sala king that he had no means of saving his kingdom save by consenting to surrender his wife. But, as Majumdar admits, "these objections are not unanswerable."? Ramagupta could not strike coins

272

² R. C. Majumdar and A. S. Altekar, The Vakātaka-Gupta 15% p. 150

due to the extreme shortness of his reign; his name could not figure in Gupta inscriptions since they are not records of successions of Lings but are rather genealogical tables, the marriage of a widow with the younger brother of her husband is not prohibited by law or custom, and the north-western march of the Gupta empire remained so unsafe throughout that invaders could swoop through it whenever they felt strong or inclined to move This happened under Kumaragupta, Skandagupta and even later At the time of invading a kingdom the sturdy races of the north-west were more impelled by their innate drive or pressure than by considerations of the strength or weakness of the opposite party. If the Kusānas could hold their own against the redoubtable and indomitable Shāhpuhr II even after sustaining a defeat at his hands they could easily think of pouncing upon the Gupta empire after the death of the heroic emperor Samudragupta Such junctures are usually the occasions of invasions or revolutions Thus, the objections to the reconstruction of the history of Saka invasion under Rämagupta on the basis of the aforesaid sources are more apparent than real

We know that Dhruvasyāmmi or Dhruvadevi was the wife of Candragupta Her former husband Ramagupta was ready to surrender her to the Saka invader But Candragupta did not like this idea and devised an ingenious strategem to vanquish the voluptuous invader. He was attended by choice waimers dressed in female garbs When their procession of palanquins reached the Sala camp and the Saka king confidently met the pretended Gupta queen, Candragupta pounced on hum and made short shrift of his life Likewise, other warriors wrought havoc in the ememy camp After this dashing victory Candragupta, who was sure to camp After this dashing victory Canoragupa, who was sure to have become immensely popular, murdered Rämigupta, married his widow and ascended the throne with pomp and glory sometime before 380 A D At that ume Ardashr II was on the throne of the Sassanids He succeeded Shahpuhr II in 379 A D and reigned up to 383 A D After the victory of Candragupta over the Saka-Kusanas and his coronation Ardashir II was naturally so much struck with his power and prestige as to realise the need of estabishing diplomatic contacts with hurn by sending an ambassador with adequate presents On this point Peblavi and Persian sources provide some interesting information which has not as yet been properly analysed and studied

3. The Pehlavi and Persian traditions of the relations of Ardashir and Kail

From the Pehlavi work Karanānāl-i-Ardashir-Pāpakān we leam that Ardashir was connected with an Indian king Kaid.³ Iridaui reproduces this account in his Shāh-namā verbatim.⁴ After the decisve battle of Hyrmwadagān Ardashir I advanced into and family. But there was no peace in the empire. Hence he stat an embassy to the was ino peace in the empire. Hence he stat an embassy to the was Indian king Kaid for soliciting his advice Kaid expressed the yeav that a uniton of the houses of Ardashir and Mihrak rould be the only guarantee of peace. Following this advice Ardashir frantried a daughter of Mihrak who had escaped the masagre.

Warner has shown that the stpty of the marriage of Ardshir whit the daughter of Mihrak or Ardwan, who represents the Parhians, is pure fiction. It was an invention of later, writes to concertue Sastanians with their predecessors, the Parthians. In fact, Ardashir killed all the members of the family of his adversaria and there was no occasion for him to contract matrimosial relation with any percent belonging to it.⁴

In fact, the contemporaneity of Ardashir I and Kaid is also the result of some misunderstanding. Ardashir I ascended the threat in 226 AD. and was succeeded by his son Shåbpuhr Iin 241 AD. Let us see if there was a famous king in India bearing the name Kaid in that period. We have seen in a preceding study, included in this collection, that Kaid stands for the name Candra or Candragupta.⁴ According to Perian and Arabie writers, Kaid was a contemporary of Sikander and formed an alliance with him is course of his Indian invasion. Evidently, this Kaid could not he upto the time of Ardashir I. It has been conjectured that Candra was a binad of Kanika, but he floarished before the time of Ardashi according to the chronology of Loulizen de Leeuw as well as that of Ghirthman. As regardi Gandragupta I of the Gupta Samard of

- Arthur George Warner and Edmond Warner, English translation in verse of the Skahnama Vol. VI, p. 256.
- · Cardragupta Maurya in the Shahnama of Firdousi, Chapter Y above,

274

^{*} T. Noldeke, Geschichte des Artachir-i-Papakan aus dem Prit überstell, p. 65.

Shih-nami of Firdausi ed. Turner Maran Vol. III, p. 1397.

20 December 318 A D or of 26 February 320 A D Some scholars doubt this fact and hold that it was Samudragupta who really founded this era In any case Candragupta I flourished in the opening decades of the fourth century A D or the closing decades of the third century A D He could not flourish in the second quarter of the third century A D so as to be a contemporary of Ardashir Thus we do not know of any famous Indian king bearing the name Candra or Candragupta who could be a contemporary or Portary of Ardashir I How then could this legend grow ?

We know that Ardashir II came to the throne in 379 A D About the same time Gandragupta II Vikramaditya was anomited as king for the earliest record of his regin is the inserp tion found at Mathura dated 61 G E corresponding to 380 A D Thus Ardashir II and Gandragupta II were contemporaries As seen above, Gandragupta made a deep impression on his contemporaries by gaining a resounding victory over the Saka Kuyana invadors. In these circumstances, it is understandable that Arda shir II thought of estallishing diplomatic contenes with him and with this end in view sent an embassy to his court. But since Ardashir II was not so illustrous or epoch making as Ardashir II and kaid arcse. Firdaust strictly adhered to his sources In the words of Warner, 'no other great poet ever imposed such strict limitations on himself'. "He puts himself at the mercy of his authorities and where they fail him, as they do sometimes in thus portion of the Shahaama he makes no attempt to invent incidents, but leaves a blank and passes on '''. Thus Firdausi repeated the mistakem potions or confused traditions of his predecessors without making any effort to correct them. This is how and why the tradition of Ardashir I and Kaid has been taken over from Pehlavi sources in the Shahama

4 The embassy of Ardashir II in the court of Candragupta II

According to the Shahnāma, Ardashir sent an envoy of high family to Kaid with "many steeds, with gold and silk of Chin "a These presents are usually mentioned in Indian works in connection with northern peoples. The northern peoples, particularly the Kambojas, are stated to have brought the presents of horses to the court of Yudhisthira in the Makābharata (II, 45, 19, 20, II, 47, 3 4) and to Raghu in the Ragkagamas (IV, 70) They are also said

CHAPTER XIII Kalidasa and the Hunas

1 The Reference to the Hunas in the Raghuvamsa

Kälidäsa has referred to the Hunas in his account of the northwestern campaign of Raghu given in the fourth canto of the "The horses, who removed the exhaustion of the Rachuvamaa journey by rolling on the banks of the Vanisu (Sindhu), shook their manes that were smeared with saffron filaments There, the exploits of Raghu, whose valour expressed itself among the husbands of the Huna women, became manifest in the gashes of their cheeks "I In this passage it is controversial whether the reading vanksu should be accepted or sindhu should be preferred Mallinätha has accented the reading sindhu and D R Bhandurkars and Hodiwala³ have acreed with him On the other hand, K B Pathak⁴ has taken the variant panksy as correct and identified it with the Oxus S K Aivingurs has followed this view but equated ranksu with a tributary of the Oxus mined Wakshah rather than the Oxus itself B C Law has also endorsed this view and identified Vanksu with the Oxus and its irrbutaries 4 Among ancient commentators Dinakara, Dharmameru and Vijayaganin have accepted the reading 'ranksu' and Cantravardhann and Vallabhadeva have used the reading tanking according to some manuscripts of their commentaries Sumationaya has expressly employed the reading

- ¹ Raghuzamsa, IV, 67 68 विगोसाद्यप्रमास्तस्य स्थान्तीर्यावेवरेव्दर्भ । दुध्युष्टवीविन स्कन्यादनमन्द्रभूगयेकेनरान् ॥ तन हृष्यात्यायाना यत् पुढाचनिकलम् । वगवत्यात्नादेति बभूब रभुवेटितसम् ।
- ² Journal of the Royal Asianic Society of Bengal (Letters) Vol XII, No 1, (1947), pp 36 37
- ³ Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Astatic Society 1930), pp 282 83
- ⁴ Indian Antiquary (1912), p 266
- 5 Indian Antiquary (1919), pp 65-74
- ⁶ B.C. Law, Geograf head Aspects of Kalidasa's Works, (Calcutta, 1954), p. 2

sanksu. In another manuscript of this commentary the reading is manksu. All these readings and variants are based upon teglika. For the determination of the correct reading of this word the Nägpur stone inscription of Naravarnadeva dated 1161 Vikrama era or 1104-1105 A.D. is very important. Verses 35-54 of this inscription allude to the victorous campaign of his brother Laksmadeva who is represented like Raghu as going from east to west and north to south conquering all the countries. Verse 54 refers to the encampment of Laksmadeva on the banks of the Vankau in connection with his rencounter with the king of the Kiras. Kielborn has translated this verse as follows :

"Being encamped on the banks of the Vanksu, which were even softer than nature made them because the saffron filaments on them were withering under the rolling of the teams of fristy horses, presented by the Turuska, whom he had eradicated with ease, he taught the KIra chief to utter most flattering speeches, who on account of the proximity of the Saravati was eloquent beyond measure and who was like a parrot shut up in a big cage."

The first line of this verse paraphrases verse 67 of the IV canto of the Raghuvamsa, cited above. In it the reference to the withering of the saffron filaments as a result of the rolling of horses recalls the description of the smearing of the manes of the horses of Raghu with saffron by reason of their rolling down. A glance at the imagery and phraseology of these verses leaves no room for doubt that the author of the Nagour prasasti, who was probably Naravarmadeva himself, had in mind the conception of Kälidasa and recapitulated it in almost the same style. This identity of idea and diction is a guarantee of the reading papkin in the verse of the Raghavamsa. Had the reading in this verse been sundha, it is hard to comprehend how the author of the Nagpur prasasti contemplated the campaign of Laksmadeva on the banks of the Vanksu where horses rolled freely in saffron fields. The use of the words and thought of Kälidasa in a context foreign to his composition is quite unthinkable. The obvious inference it suggests is that

³ Epigraphia Indica, Vol. II, p. 198. मेलोत्यतत्तृत्त्वन्द्रत्तावित्रवद्वाक्षेत्रस्वीवेल्डन-यत्ताय्स्ट्रे**ड्रन्येतदाधिकत्रद्वा** वंसूरवक्ण्टस्यते । येत्रायाच्य नरच्वरिदि**यसत्तार्थावयल्ड्**र्याट्यन्--वादूयून्स्ट्रप्रपर्वयप्रवा. कोराधिपोऽध्याच्यत ।। Kalidāsa referred to the rolling of horses in the saffron fields of the Vanksu regions in connection with the conquest of the northern quarter by Raghu and that Naravirmadeva attributed this exploit to his brother Laksmadeva following the model set by the great poet

The problem of the reading of the word in dispute has to be tackled from another point of view also Kähdasa associates the saffron-producing regions with the river he has in mind. It is a wellknown fact that saffron was cultivated in Bactria and the adjoining territories in ancient times Berthold Laufer8 has shown that saffron (crocus saturus of the family of Indeae) has been cultivated in western Asia from remote ages so much so that it is unknown in a wild stage In China Chang K'ien is stated to have obtained the seeds of the hun lan (carthamus) in the western countries (St Yu) But the knowledge of saffron of the Chinese people up to the T'ang period was extremely confused and they did not easily distinguish between saffron (crocus sativus) and safflower (carthamus tinctorius) It was during the period of the Mongol dimination under the Yuan dynasty that saffron began to be regularly imported into China through Arab merchants" as is manifest from its names ki-fu lan (tsa fu-lan) and sa fa tsi (sa fa lan) which are akin to Japanese safuran and Siamese foran and are the transcriptions of Arabic za'firen or zā faren that, on its part, has reculted in Spanish azafran, Portuguese acafrao or azafrao, Indo-Portuguese safrão, Italian zafferano, French safran, English saffron, Rumanian sofrãn, Russian safran, old Armenian zavhran, New Armenian zafran and Uighur sakparan This wide prevalence of the Arabic word za'firan or za'faran from the Pacific to the Atlantic proves that the trade of this commodity was mostly in the hands of the Arabs in medieval times and that it was an important product of western Asia where they had come to predominate Generally the product of memecylon which was a cheap colouring substance was substituted for saffron in trade. The Chinese term Tu kin denotes this product as well as saffron La Chi-chen states that Yu kin was

⁸ Berthold Laufer, Sino-Iranica (Chicago, 1919) p 309

⁹ W Watters holds that saffron was first imported into China from Persia direct or at least obtained immediately from Persian traders (Fissofs on the Ghinese Language, p. 348). But the word za faran is an Arabic loun-word in Persian and may have been brought to China by Arab traders, as held by Berthold Laufer (Sino-Iranea, p. 311 fn. 3).

produced in Hellenistic Orient (Ta Tsin). Hiuen-Tsung observes that to the north-west of the wall of the Bodhi tree at Buddhagay marchant-chief and Tu-kin-kinerg (Kunkuma) that was built by a merchant-chief of the country of Tsino-kinecMu (Arachosia or Zabuletan) who had been rescated from a shipwreek by Kum-kie' tau (AvalokiteSvara Bodhisattva).³⁰ The name of this stipa, Kumkuma stipa, suggests that the merchant who built it dealt mainly in saffron which he used to bring from Arachosia to eastern India for purposes of trade. The Tang Annals confirm this fact by mentooning saffron as a product of Uddyijina, Jáguda and Baltistan besides India. It is on record that in 719 A.D. the king of Naeg (Bukhira) presented thirty pounds of saffron to the Chinese empetor-1¹⁰

Saffron was also known in Ancient Persia. The Chinese texts Chou-shu and Sin Shu counts Yu-kin among the products of Post (Persua). Aeschyles refers to the saffron yellow footgear of king Darius.12 In the works of Istakhri and Idrisi saffron is mentioned among the products of Derbend, Ispahan and Transoxiana.13 Yaqui mentions saffron as the principal product of Rud-Derawer in the province of Jebal in ancient Media.14 The Armenian customers esteemed most highly the saffion of Khurassan, which, however, was marketed in such small quantities that the Persians had to meet the demand with exportations from caucasus. The share of the Persians in the distribution of saffron is vividly demonstrated by the Tibetan word for it, gur-kum, gur-gum, which is directly traceable to Persian kurkum or karkam. According to Friedrich Hirth, the Chinese word Yu-kin is also derived from this source." It is also noteworthy that the Sogdian word for this product Lurhumba and the Tokharian word kurkama belong to the same family. Besides kurkum, there are Persian Lakhan and Lafila which denote saffron in the flower. It is likely that the latter word kafisa denotes some special variety of it which was grown

- 13 A. Jaubert, Geographie de al-Idrisi, pp. 168-192.
- 14 B. de Meynard, Dictionnaire Geographique de la Perte, p. 267.
- ¹² Journal of the China Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XNI p. 221.

¹⁰ Samuel Beal, Buddkist Records of the Western World, Vol. 11, pp. 126-127, 293-284, Vol. 1, p. 62.

Hedouard Chavannes, Documents sur les 7'ou-Kine Occidentaut, p. 203.

¹² Hehn, Kulturpflanzen, p. 264.

in kāpisi (Kašmira or Gandhīra) Thus, it is clear that the Oxus regions, Persia Arachosia, Uddiyana, Baltistan and Kašmira were the main saffron producing lands in ancient times

Indian sources are also conversant with the saffron of Bactria In the Amarakosa¹⁰ one of the synonyms of AumAuma is sallika which suggests its Bactrian origin ¹⁰. The Amaralosa has been assign-

¹⁶ Amarakosa, Nriverses 123 24 (ed. Slarmi and Sardesas) p. 159

> तमालपथनित्त्ववित्रवाणि विगेपगम् । द्विताय च तुरीय च न स्त्रियामय कुदुूमम् ।। वादमीरज माग्तिनिपल वर बाल्होवधीतने । रक्तराकोचपियन घीर चाहितवादनम् ।।

¹³ According to Berthold Lunfer it was from Persia that the saffron plant was propagated to Kasmira. He states that "remnsence of thus event is preserved in the Sanket term talkide, a spronym of saffron, which means 'origination from Pahlwa' (Sino Jennen, p. 320) Laufer errs in treating talkide a signifying pable a The two terms are in fact distinct Vaihu unmustably represents Balkh or Bactria Hence talkida uganfies the saffron of Bactria, which was fur formed in ancient unce, as seen above Thist saffron was an introduction in Kasmiri is manifest from the Buddhisst legend that Madhyanika the first apostle of Buddhism in Kasmiri, planted the saffron plant (Schiefler, Tgranghas Geschicht des Buddhismus in Inden p 13, Jean Przyluski, Journal Analogue (1914), p. 357]

The saffton of Kasumra also became famous in ancient time Reference has been made to the Persian word for saffton l_{d} fiss, which is derived from l_{d} fiss word is a synonym of $2u\,lm$. This term is also given with the irrushition $1u\,lm$ in the Chanese-Sanskit dictionary Fan ji mang ji the transformed by Laufer with Sanskit Jaguida through the medium of a vernicular form jaguing (Sono hancias, p 318). In the Amals of the Leang dynasty it is stried that $2u\,lm$ is produced is Kasimira. In 647 AD the country λ_{lag} in India is stifton was exported to Tu nan (Gymbodia) whose lang Jayavarmin offered it with storax and other aromatics to the Chanese Court in 519 (Puul Pellot, Bulletin de Leane I father to firm or further dimension of Asimirris is bonord in the Ami this of Masimum, Nor Lasther the Amals of Jahangir (H M Elhot, Hitter) of India as to lab it is one hustnesse, (VI p 575) ed to the fourth century A.D. by K.G. Oak.³⁹ Hence it is clear that in the early Gupta period, which is generally taken to be the ago of Källidäs, the saffron of Bactria was fairly well-known and popular in India. In his gloss on the Amarakaśa, known as Amarakołodzhataa, Ksirasvämin¹⁰ (later half of the eleventh century) explains zdhika as a product of Valk-dea or Bactria and adds that in the northern campaign of Raghu It is referred to as besmearing the manes of his horses. Here Ksirasvämin quotes the actual verso of the Raghuanifa (W 67)³⁰ which proves that he had the reading Varlus in this verse before him. Otherwise it passes comprehension as to how he could have cited this verse while commenting on the word sqlikka as a synonym of saffron.

It is thus settled that Kälidäsa located the Hünas conquered by Raghu on the bank of the Vanksu nr the Oxus³¹ rather than the Sindhu or the Indus. We have now to ascertain as to when the Hüŋas lived in the Oxus regions and played a part in their history.

¹⁸ K. G. Oak, Amarakośa with the commentary of Kifranašań, introduction p. B.; Fandiu Rămăvatăra Sarmä holis that its author Amarasingha must have flourished before the sixth century A.D., when it was translated into Chinese by Gunaräta, (Kathaduchis of Kesava, introduction, p. II)-Kilrasvămin implies that Amara was prior to the Buddhat grammarian Chandragomin. Hence he should be taken to have lived before 450 A.D. (H.D. Sarmā and R.N. Sardeai, Amarakofa, unitaduction, p. 1).

¹⁹ Rāmāvatāra Sarmā, Kalpadrukoša of Kešava, introduction p. 13.

²⁰ Amarakosa (cd. Sarmä & Sardesai), p. 159, वाङ्गीमदेगर्ज बाङ्गीकं। यद्रवोध्धत्तरदिधिजवे दुषुवुर्वाजिन: स्तन्पॉरसगन-मु:द्रूमवेग्ररात् ।

¹¹ The river Oxus or Amu-daryā is called Vanku, Vaku Cakju in Indian literature, Po-tsu (formerly written as Peerka) in Chinese (S. Beal, Buddhin Records of the U'eten World, Vol. Ip. 12) and Wakko-ab by al-Idrisi (Bretschneider Medicael Geography, p. 166). It issues from the vestern end of the Sarih-Kuil lake and after a course of upwalds of a thousand miles, in a direction generally north-west, falls into the southern end of the Aral Sea (Wood, Own, p. 232-233 Journal of the Royal Geographical Society, Vol. XI, pp. 122-123 Vol. XII, p. 507 ; Vol. Xiviii, p. 221.

2 A flying survey of the history of the Huing nu

In the second half of the third century B C we find a confederation of tribes organising uself in the whole of Mongola which the Chunes have called Hung nu The Chinese changed the spelling of this word to suit their different attitudes towards these people. In 10 A D emperor Wang-Mang changed Hung mi, to Hung mi, implying the idea of submission S xx years later when he was on good terms with these people, he ordered them to be called hung-mi, denoting respect and esteem Thus, the Chinese were concerned with the semantic value of this name and were oblivious of its phonetic signification implying an ethnic connotation It is now almost established that the Hung nu were the Turks," although the latter name, which was originally an epithet, was then unknown ³ But

- ²³ The word turk is a Mongol word meaning 'strong' brave' Its plural turkut underlies the Chinese word t'u kinz, which was used to designate the people of Aleu, who revolted against the Juan juan in 551 AD and set up an empire of their own with its capital near the Orkhom in Outer Mongolia It is for the first time in thit year that we come across the word tork (Paul Pellot, La Haude dite, p. 12)
 - actors the word unit (rath remot La hum and) particle Kuralichi Shiratori has on the other hand, held that the Hung nu were Mongols He bases his view on linguistic grounds [K Shiratori, 'Sur Longine des Hiong nou', Journal Analigut (1923) p 71] The Russion Sinologut N J Bichurio was of the same opinion, But corher Shiratori humself has emphasized the Turkish origin of these people on other linguistic grounds | Uber die Sprachen der Hiung nu und der Tung hu Stomme, Bulletin de 1 Academie Imperiale des Sciences (1902), p 2] Chinese sources are consistent in main-taining that the Turks were the descendants of the Hiungnu According to the Pei Shih the affinity of the Turks and the Hung nu is stressed In it it is stated that "the Turks, who lived to the right of the Western Lake, are a separate branch of the Hung nu" In it we also read that "the Tolas are descendants' of the Hung nu" Further we learn that the speech of the Ka ch'e (Red Ts) was like that of the Hung nu In the T'ang Stu the presumed Hungnu origin of the Turks is restated The Uighurs are like-wise said to be of Huung nu origin Thus all tribes listed with little or no reservation as former Hung nu turn out to be Turkic (William Samolin 'Hsung nu Hun Turk,' Gentral Asiatic Jou nal Vol III no 2 pp 149 150) Altheim lists four Hunnic tribes Amilzur, Itimar, Tunsur and Boisk for which he presents Turkish etymologies [F Altheim, Attiia and die Hunnen (1951) p 100] But his method is not always acceptable

284

though the leading clan of this group was of Turkish stock, it does not follow that the confederation did not include lranian elements. In fact, the costume and armaments—pantaloon, coat, belt, boots, long swords etc.—which the Chinese copied from the Hung-au in the beginning of the third century B.C. were Iranan par excilence, as shown by Berthold Laufer,⁴⁴ M. I. Rottort zeff²⁵ and Otto Maenchen-Helfen,³⁶ and the Himg-nu owed them to the Iranian who were their close neighbours in ancient times.³⁷

Recent researches in linguistics and archaeology have demonstrated that the Hung-on had a strong Indo-European element also. The descriptions and representations of the Hiung-nu by the Chinese bear out their Indo-European affinities in unmistakable terms. For example, the barbarian whom Ho-Ch'u-ping's horse is shown to be trampling under its hoofs has a mutache and a full bard uncommon among the Mongoloids, as pointed out by C.W. Bishop.²⁸ We learn from the Chinese Shih Min, who became the ruler of Chino in northern Honan in 349, were distinguished by high nesses and full heards.

Following the recognition of the Indo-European element among the Hiung-nu the etymology of their name has also been subjected to aftesh analysis. Tomaschek had derived the name Hung-um from Turkish on, ona. meaning 'to grow'. Alfoldi connected it with qua, meaning 'glutton, gulo'. Nemeth suggested the equation of han with kan (gun), meaning a 'people', some analogous yords being Mongol Lumun 'man', Samoyed Kum and Latin homo. Bazin thought that han Came from qon signifying 'force' and Bussagil conjectured that it is related to hum meaning 'fercoicus' in an Anatolian

²⁴ Berthold Laufer, Chinese Clay Figures, Prolegomena on the History of Defensive Armour (Chicago, 1914), pp. 218 ff.

²³ M. I. Rostovtzeff, Iranians and Greeks in South Russia (Oxford, 1922) p. 204; T. Talhot Rice, The Scythians pp. 193-196; S. Umehra, Etude des barzes des royaumes combattants, (Kyoto, 1936) plates 87-85.

²⁴ Otto Maenchen-Hellen, Crenelated mane and scabbard slide Central Linuin Journal Vol III. no. 2 pp. 95 et sel-'Are Chinese hu-p't and Kuelo Indo-European loan words ' Language Vol. 21 (1945) pp. 256-260

²⁷ Paul Pelliot, La Haute Asie, p. 7.

²⁸ C. W. Bichop, The Tomb of Ho Ch'd-ping', Artibus Asiat (1928-29) Vol I p. 37

dialect²⁰ Otto Maenchen-Helfen has come forth with the suggestion that the word hun is related to the Iraman-Avestan word hunara (skill) and hunaratant (skilful)²⁰

In the second half of the third century B C the confederacy of the Humg nu was headed by a shan-yu whose title is transcribed in Chinese as Ch'eng-1 kowt'en shan-yu, in which the echo of the Turco-Mongol word tangen (sky) is discernible ²¹ Below the shan-yu, whose capital was on the Orkhon, two great dignitaries called T'u-k't (Turk, degin meaning fauthful 'right') resided on the Kerulen and Mount Khang'u respectively. Below these personages ranged the official herarchy of the kn-h of right and left wings and corresponding to them in descending order were the generals, governors, great tang-ha, great ku tu and chiefs of thousands, hundreds and tens^{3*}

After an initial rebuff under Ts'in She Huang-ti (221-210 B C.) whose general Mung Then completed the Great Wall and expelled the Hung-nu from the the Ordos in 214 B C , the Hung-nu commonced their expansion in the west by attacking the Yue-che under their shan-yu Teu-man (210-209 BC) Mao-tuen (209 174 BC), the son and successor of I 'en-man, inflicted a crushing defeat on the Yue che in western Kan-su and his son Leo-shang (174-161 BC) killed their chief and made a drinking bowl of his skull and compelled them to migrate towards the west across the northern Gobi Leaving a fraction of their kith and kin to settle to the south of Nan-shan among the K'rang, where they came to he known as Siao Yue-che, their main body reached the valley of the Ili and the Issik-kul, but were pushed out from there by the Wu-suen with the assistance of the Hung-nu This movement of the Yue-che was fraught with great consequences for the history of southern and western Asia, to which we shall revert later. Here it is sufficient to note that the expulsion of the Yue-che enhanced the

²⁹ Sitzungsbrichte der Berlmer Akademie der H'issenschaften (1886) Vol III p 763, Archaologische Anzeiger (1931) p 393, Nemeth Attila ös Hunjai (Budapest 1940) p 225

³⁰ Otta Maenchen Helfen, "The Ethnic Name Hun' Studia Seria Bernhard Karlgren dedicata (1959) pp 223-238

³⁰ Kurakichi Shiratori, 'A study of the titles of Khagan and Khatum', Memors of the Toyo Bunto, i, p. 11, 'On the Territory of the Hsung-nu pruce Heu 'tu' Wang and his metal statues for Heaven Worshup,' toid, V, p. 71

³² Edouard Chavannes, Les Memours de Sse ma Ts'ien, I, p 65

power and prestige of the Hiung-nu. In 167 B.C. they penetrated into Shen-si up to Huci-chong and burnt the royal palace and in 158 appeared near Ch'ang-ngan and again in 142 attacked the Great Wall in the Yen-men area. Thus, the meance of the Hiung-nu hovered over the whole of the Chinese frontier and was forestalled only by the advent of emperor Wu-ti (140-87 B.C.), whose ambassador Chang-K'ien tried to instigate the Yue-che against them (129 B.C.) and whose general Wes T'sing routed them from the march of Shao-fang m 124 B.C. Wei T'sing's nephew Ho K'iuping chased them away from Kan-su in 121 B.C. and with his uncle pierced into the heart of the Hiung-nu empire in Outer Mongoha up to the lower course of the Ongkin and surprised the shan-yu Yi-che-sie killing or capturing 19,000 soldiers. Ho K'iu-ping penetrated deeper up to the Upper Tula and the Orkhon and celebrated a solernn sacrifice of 80 Hinng-nu chiefs on the mountain tops of their country.33 But towards the end of his reign Wu-ti saw his disaster of Varus, when the young Chinese captain Li Ling rushed into Mongolia with 5000 soldiers and was overwhelmed and annihilated by the Hiung-nu hordes. But the Hiung-nu could not make any impression on the Han empire and diverted their attention to the oases of the Tarim basin where at last the Chinese succeeded in establishing their hegemony by 60 B.C. After 60 B.C schism, secession and conflict tore the organisation of the Hiung nu-Two rival claimants Hu-han-ye and Che-che disputed the title of shan yu. In 51 B.C. the former submitted himself in the court of Siuan-ti at Ch'ang-ngan and solicited his assistance against his rival. With the aid of Chinese forces he defeated his antagonist and installed himself on the Orkhon. In 33 he returned to the imperial court to offer his allegiance and receive as a recompense the hand of a Chinese princess. The vanquished horde quitted Mongolia and sought fortune in the territory of Russian Turkistan-forcing their way through the Wu-suen of the Ili, K'icn-k'u confederating with the Ku-kie of the Imil and the of the Aral Steppes, encroaching on the land of the K'ang-kin and laying their camp in the Steppes of Chu and Talas. But the Chinese hardly gave them the time to consolidate and their general Ch'eng T'ang pounced on Che-che in the Steppes of Chu and decapitated him in 36-35 B.C. Thereafter the Hiung-nu disappear from the western scene till in the fourth century A D, we find

⁴³ Edouard Chavannes, ibid., 1, pp. 67, 68.

another horde swarming in that direction that has been wrongly identified with these people and with which we shall deal later on.

While the western Hiung-nu were thus worsted, the eastern horde, cowed down for the time being, raised its head in the time of troubles and confluct that marked the end of the Han dynasty (8-25 A.D.). In 10 A.D. their shan-yu sizeed the kingdom of Turfan and plundered up to the frontier of the Chinese cupire. But in 25 A.D. the establishment of the Later Han dynasty synchronized with the seison of the Hung-nu hordes. The eight hordes of the south led by Pi rose against the shan-yu, P'u-nu and offered allegiance to the Chinese court. The emperor Kuang Wu-ti rehabilitated them along the times of Kan-su and Shan-si as clients of the empire. As for the northen Hiung-nu, they were beaten by the Sien-pei and the Wu-huan who were set against them by Tsi Yong, the Chinese governor of Leao-tong.

In the first century A.D. dawned the golden age of Cluinese expansion in the West. The reign of Mingeti (38-75 A.D.) Changti (76-88 A.D.) and Ho-ti (89-105 A.D.) saw the subjugation of the states of the Tarim basin by the armies of Pan Clu'ao. In the course of these operations Chinese generals often repelled the Hungnu, In 91, for instance, Keng K'uei marched up to the Orkhon and inflicted a bloody defeat on the Hung-nu, capturing the household of the shan-yu and nominating in his place his brother Yuch'u-kien. The new ruler raised his head again in 93 but was crushed and killed by the Sien-pei. These northern Hiung-nu were finally engulfed in the empire set up by this Mongol horde of Sien-pi (Sarti, Sirbi or Sirvi)³⁴ in 155 A.D.

As for the southern Hiung-nu, they moved to the south under the pressure of the Sien-pei and settled inside the great bend of the Yellow River in the Steppe of Ordes and the regions adjoining A-la-shan. Their shan-yu, Hu-ch'u-ts'iuan (195-216 A.D.) began to live at P'ing-yang in the heart of Shan-si.⁴⁵ In the fourth century the fall of the Hans unleashed a turmoil of civil wars in which

⁴⁴ Paul Pelliot, Tokharien et Koutchéen', Journal Asiatique, (1934), P. 33h. Tori, " des populations primiti of the College of Science, XXXVI, pp. 9-19.

³⁵ Peter A. Boodberg, 'Two Notes on the History of the Chinese Frontier', Harvard Journal of Asiatie Studies (1936), pp. 292-298.

the Hiung-nu of P'ing-yang put forth their claim to the Imperial throne under the cloak of legitimacy and in 304 AD, their chief Leeu Yuan obtained from the court of the T'sing emperor the title of shan-yu of the five hordes. In 308 he was proclaimed emperor at T'ai-yuan as the legitimate heir of the Hans and founded a dynasty known as Per-han or T'sten Chao. In 329 She Lei supplanted the line of Per-han and mangurated the dynasty called Hen Chao, which remained in power up to 350 A.D., when the Mu-jung of the Sien-pel race seized the whole of Ho-pei, Shan-si and Shan-ting and their chief Mu-jung Tsiun set up his capital at Yen or Ki (Peking). This empire known as Hen Yen lasted up to 407 and that of Si Yen founded by another member of the Mu-jung family maintained itself up to 417. Ultimately the Mu-ning empire passed into the hands of the T'o-pa or Tabgach Turks whose energetic leader Topa Kuei (386-409 A.D.) established his régime under the dynastic name of Wei.36

This brief *teamé* of the history of the Hiung-nu up to the fourth century of the Christian era conclusively proves that they had nothing to do with the regions of the Oxus valley³⁷ and could not be referred to as occupying them by any author prior to that century. Let us now outline the events of the Oxus Valley from the first century B.C. to the 4th century A.D. in order to find out whether they fit in with the reference to the Hūnas on the Oxus in the Reglacenda of Kähldäsa.

37 Recently K. Enoki has expressed his agreement with the view that the Hiung-nu never entered Bactriana. In an article entitled Sogdiana and the Hsiung-un published in the Central Asiatic Journal, (1955) Vol. I, Part I, pp. 43-62 Enoki has discussed the remark of the Wei-shu (book 102) that the Hiung-nu killed the king of Su-t'e, which was called Yen-ts'ai in ancient times and was also known as Wen-na sha, and conquered it and that Hu-i was the flurd ruler of this line of Hinng-nu. Enoki has shown that the identification of Su-t'e and Yen-t'sai is baseless and that the aforesaid remark does not prove the identity of the Huns and the Hiung-nu. In fact, the theory of the oneness of the Huns and the Hiung-nu is quite unfounded. Here a historical tradition of the Han period has been 'wrongly engrafied on the events of Sogdiana. See also Otto Maenchen-Helfen, Byzantion Vol. 17 (1944-45) pp. 225-231

⁵⁶ René Grousset, L'Empire des Steppes (Paris, 1948), pp. 96.

3 A thumb-nail sketch of the history of the Oxus Valley

It hus been observed above that the Ta Yue-che mugrated towards the west as a result of the pressure of the Humg-nu and the Wu suen in 1298 B C Chang K³ren found them in Sogdiana to the north of the Oxus ³³ At that time they were also occupying the country to the south of this river, called *Ta hua*³⁹ in Chinese works They put an end to the Greek langdom of Bactra and possibly Sogdiana⁴⁰ and davided the country into five principalities ruled by the five Hi heu (Yaghbu) of Hieu mi, Shuang mi, Kuca shuang, Hi tuen and Kao Iu or Tu mi⁴¹ The advent of these

is evidently a mistake for Tu mi, which remains obscure

These five have usere the Tahra The Heu Han shu states that the Yue che divided the country among five haheu From this remark it follows that the five hheu were the Yue che themselves **But the Ts** in Han shu gives a different version from which at bas been inferred that these

continued on page 290

³³ Haneda Toru, 'A propos des Ta Yue-tche et des Houen chouang,' Bulletin de la Mauson Iranico Japonaus (1933), p 13-14 Friedrich Hurth The Story of Chang K'ien, Chimas Pioneer in Western Asia', Journal of the American Oriental Society (1917), p 96

²⁹ Ta Ha significs Tukharstan, the eastern part of Baetra [Sylvan Levy, 'Le Tokharen', *Journal Natalque* (1933), p 5] Baetra was, in fact, divided into two parts, Balkh and Tukharstan This division was known up to the time of the Arab geographer Ibn Khurdadheh · [J Marquart Eransahr, nach der Geographie des Ps Moser Xorenae't p 70] That ihte Ta Yue che occupied this eastern part of Baetra rather than Balkh at that time is clear from the fact that their capital is located at Lan she Ch'eng which has been identified by Marquart with Ishkamish in Badakshan [J Marquart, Webre tund Arang p, 86]

⁴⁹ The Chnesse name of Sogetrum or Ferghana To your has been equated with the Indo Iranian name of the Greeks Tawana (Rene Grousset, L'Empire des Stepper, p. 75 fn.) Should this view be correct, we would be entitled to hold that the Graceo Bactrana empire extended beyond the Oxus

¹¹ J J De Groot (Die Westlander Chinai in der Vorchlisilichen Zeit, p 97), has proposed the following identifications of these names Hieu mi-Wakhon, Shuang nu = Chitral,

prople is referred to in the remark of Strabo (XI, 511), based on the information of Apollodoros, who wrote between the fall of Bactria and the death of Mithradates II in 87 B.C., that between 140 and 130 B.C. the Asioi, Pasianoi, Tokharoı and Sakarauli seized the province of Bactria from the Greeks S. P. Tolstov has placed the Asiani to the east and north-cast of the Syr-darya and in the III and Chu river basins, the Apasiakae (Pasianoi) in the basin of the Jana-darya, the Tochari in the basın of the Kuvan-darya, and the Syr-darya doah, and the Sacaraucae (Sakarauli) in the basin of the Inkar-darya, [S P. Tolstov, Central Asian Sythans in the light of the latti archaelogical discourse, contributed to the International Conference on Asian Archaeology, New Delhi, on 20-10-61] In place of these four tribes Tregus, whose source is dated shortly after 87 B.C.

continued from page 289

hi-heu were different from the Yue-che and represented the indigenous population of Ta-hia over which the latter had come to rule. The Japanese scholars Kuwahara Jitzuzo and Haneda Toru have advanced this view and Sten Konow and Plancin Four nave advanced this view and Stein Kollow (Notes on Indo-Scythia Chronology, Journal of Indian Hildory, (1933), p. 38] and Paul Pelliot ['Tokharien et Koutchéen', Journal Ariatique, (1934) p. 38] have stood by i. Gustav Haloun has discussed this point in detail but reserved his judgment. [G. Haloun, 'Zur Üests Frage', Zsitschrift der Deutschen Morgentandschen Gesellschaft (1937), p. 257, f.n. 1]. Likewise Sir Aurel Stein leaves the question open (Serindua, p. 287). W. W. Tarn, however, subscribed to the old theory that the Hi-heu including the Kuci-shuang (Kusāna) were the Yuc-che themselves. (The Greeks in Bactria and Iadia, p. 287. f. n. 4). Re-cently, Otto Maenchen-Helfen has endorsed the older the Yue-che chih Proble Society, 194 of Kushanas were settled in the northern Tarim valley long before the Kushana empire was founded, as is clear from various place names, e.g. Kutsi, Ku-shih, Kao-ch'ang, etc. It is noteworthy that Kuca was known as Küsä or Küsän in Uighur texts and Kosan in the history of Rashiduddin. [Paul Pelliot, 'Tokharien et Kontchéen', Journal Asiatique (1934) p. 59 f.n.]. O. Maenchen-Helfen thinks that Yuch-chih is a transcription of the word kusha (thid., pp. 77-80.)

290

notes only two, Asiani and Sacaraucac 42 Haloun has proposed to correct asiani as cusani 43 This shows that the Kusanas (Kucishuang) joined the Sakas in the invasion of the Greek kingdom Ludwig Bachhofer has held that the Greek realm was invaded by two waves of peoples about 141-39 B C the Sacaraucae⁴⁴ and Asiani attacked Bactria more at the instigation of the Parthian monarch Mithradates than as a result of the pressure from the north and a decade later the Tokharians came and drove out the Sacaraucae but let stay the Asiani who acknowledged their suzerainty 45 Otto Macrehen Helfen rejects this view and states that Bactria was invaded only once by the Yue che He relies on the T sten Han shu 96 B where it is expressly stated that when the Sakas in the northern Tien shan were driven out by the Yue che they went not to Bactria but to Ki pin 48 The Sacaraucae and Yue-che invaded the valley of the Oxus at one and the same time, the former occupying Bukhara to the north of the Oxus and western Bactriana and Margiana to its south and the latter settling in the eastern parts of the valley in a north to south direction 47 It appears that Greek rule and authority continued to exist in the Kunduz area after the debacle of the Graeco Bactrian kingdom The discovery of a hoard of Graeco-Bactrian tetradrachms at a spot half way between the towns of Kunduz and Khanabad in the Afghan province of Kataghan in 1948, in which the Graeco Bactrian coins of Lysias Theophilus, Antialcidas, Amyntas, Archebius, Philozenus and

^{4°} For an up to date and complete compilation of the prisages in the Classical sources referring to the conquest of Bactria see J Junge Sala Studien (Leipzig, 1939) pp 96 97

⁴³ Gustav Haloun, 'Zur Ue tsi Frage,' of cit, p 253 fn 4

⁴⁶ Guida Fialoui, Jun Vein Frage, oper, p. 253, 11, 4 9 R. Ghushman identifies the Sacaraucae with the subarao or sakarau of com legends. [Begram, recherches archeolograpus et historiques sur let Kouchans (Carro 1946) p. 114] O G Von Wesendonk sees in them the Sakaranaka [Kusan, Chioniten und Hephthaliten, Alto (1933) p. 337] while, according to J Marquart they represent the Saka haumaneta [Das erste Kapitel des Gatha Ustarati (Rome, 1930) p. 43]

⁴³ Ludwig Bachhofer, 'On the Greeks and Salas in India, Journal of the American Oriental Society (1941 pp 216 247)

⁴⁸ Otto Maenchen Helfen 'The Yuch Chih Problem' Re examined', Journal of the American Oriental Society (1945) pp 71-72 fn 7]

⁴⁷ R. Ghirshman Begram, Recherches archeologiques et historiques sur les Kouchans (Gauco, 1946) p 114

Hermare have also been found, has thrown new light on this point, Hermars have also been name, these Gracco-Bactrian coins shows The fact that these rulers as wabority in the Yound The fact that there runter authority in the Kunduz region, that they exercised some authority while the that they exercised some while the normads were advancing in epinlen of A. D. H. Biver, while the normals were advancing in epinion of A. II. II. Internants of Greek rule concentrated in the the south-west, me rulers continued to issue the traditional Kunduz area and the rulers continued to issue the traditional Kunduz area and the coinage. It was about 100 B.C. that the unilingual Gracco-Bactrian coinage. unitingual Grate of official-in-charge of the said hoard buried it Greek merchant of an annulie invasion of the Kunduz enclave. on me even was not the last Graeco-Baetrian ruler, that his reign That marine by nomadic invasions and that his coins continued to be minted and imitated long after his death have been shown by G. K. Jenkins. He holds that Kāpiši continued to be under Greek rule even after the advent of the Sakas in India. According to bim, Azes and Arilises had nothing to do with the Upper Kabul Valley.19 The Sacaraucae began to play an important part in the politics of Persia. Their part in the death of Phraates II and Artaban was significant. With their aid Sinatroces became the king of Persia in 77 B.C. and they were a decisive factor in the struggle of Phraates IV with his adversary Tiridates in 27-26 B.C.60 From coins we know the names of their kings Artadr and his son Hyrcodes.⁵¹ The legends on these coins are sometimes in Greek, sometimes in Sogdian or in both and their reverse is copied from the coins of Azilises, the successor of Azes I, whose reign falls in the second half of the

- ⁴⁶ A. D. H. Bivar, 'The Bactrian Treasure of Kunduz', Journal of the Numismatic Society of Indua (1955) Vol. XVII, Part 1, pp. 45-46.
- 49 G. K. Jenkins, 'Indo-Scythic Mints', Journal of the Numismatie Society of India (1955), Vol. XVII part II pp. 20-22.
- Albert Hermann, Sacaraucae in Pauly, Wissowa, Kroll, Real-50 Encyclopadie der Classischen Altertumswissenschaft Vol. I, pp. 1161-1620.
- A Gardiner, The Coins of the Greek and Scythian Kings of Bactria 51 and India in the British Museum, pl. XXXIV, 8. On these coins F.W. Thomas [Parthian and Indo-Sassanian Coins' Journal of the Royal Astatic Society: (1883) p. 74-76] and A. Cunningham [Numismatic Chronicle (1883) pp. 48-5, ibid., (1890) p. 114] read the title isanyu or chan-ju which may correspond to shan-yu, the title of the Hiung-nu. Should this reading be correct, it would show that these Sakas had g.nu. But (W. W.

first century B C This shows that these kings ruled over the parts nf Sogdiana and Bactriana, mentioned above Contemporancous with them was the Kusāna King Heraus whose coin design also resembles that of 'Azilises ⁵' Ghushman interprets the remark of Pompeius Trogus-addiae bis res Sejthuae Reges Tocarorum Asiani interstusque Saraucum'-to mean that Heraus overthrew Hyrcodes about 20 B C 53 and conquered the realm of Sacaraucae and staged a coup d'etat in his own country by supplanting the ruling families These changes had their repercussions in the lands to the north of the Owns occupied by the K'ang kiu (Lankas of Indian works) The fall of the old ruling house of the Yue che and the overthrow of the Sacaraucae gave an occasion to the K'ang kiu to swoop upon Bukhara It was at that time that the independent Sogdian kingdom was formed to which the coins, hearing on the observe the bust of the king with face turned to the left and a legend in Sogdian and on the reverse an archer facing the right, that have been found at Talı Barzu near Samarqand, can be ascribed 54 It was also then that the people of Choresmia (Khwarazm) felt the impact of the rising power of the Kusanas The Russian archaeologist S P. Tolstov, to whom the credit of excavating the ancient sites of Toprak Kala and Janbas Kala in Choresmia goes, has shown that the most ancient coins found there are exact copies of the tetradrachms of Heraus Thereafter the currency of the Choresmian kings ceases and in its place we find a large number of Kusana coins These coins suggest that they were made in this region rather than in India This is a very important point for the problem of Indian chronology ⁵⁰ Side by side an Indo Buddhist strain becomes manifest in Choresman art which suggests the domination of the Kusanas In the western part of Koikrylgan-Kala's central building a statuette of a sitting woman was found whose clothes are not typical of Khnrezm In one of the outbuildings of the same monument a muniature statuette of a mon-

⁵² E J Rapson, Indian Cours, p 9, R B Whitehead, 'Notes on the Indo Greeks', Numerantic Chronicle (1940), p 120 Whitehead has referred to the researches of the Russian numerantist A N Zograf

⁵³ R Ghirshman, Begram, p 116

⁵¹ J de Morgan, Manuel de Numusmatique Orientale figs 536, 37, 40, 41, 42, 43

⁵⁵ R N Frye, Notes on the Early Counage of Transoriana (Nurmismultic Notes and Monographs, No 113, New York, American Nurmismatic Society 1949) p 9

key with a baby has been uncarthed. In their stylistic peculiarities, key with a baby has been uncarried and a structure peculiarities, both these statuetter, especially the monkey, are close to the works of both these statuetter, especiarly the money, are close to the works of art, produced in India. Of special significance is the "hall of the dark skinned guardanea" in the roins of the royal palace at Toprak dark skinned guardanden in the total of the toyal palace at Toprak Kala. The physical features of these dark-skinned warriors are very Kala. Ine physical children of the shuffed warriors are very near to the ancient Indian population of South India. It is also near to the different several skulls of the same type were discoverinteresting to not all the sepulchres of the fortress. In the opinion of Tolstov ed in one of the separation of these dark-skinned warriors as the practised in those days, on the

empire's opposite border. The indian warriors that remained in Khorezm after the collapse of the empire formed the core of the guard contingents kept by the rulers of independent Khorezm,"55 Tolstov is inclined to see in certain fortresses to the east of the Oxus like Avaz Kala the advance posts of the Kusana empire. The tide of Kusana expansion reached further west and engulied the town of Urartu in Transcaucasia whose ruins at the site of Arin-Berd (Gauli-Tapa) in Erivan have been excavated by the Russian archaeologist B. B. Piptrovsky. Advancing further west the Kusanas occupied Crimea and fortified the Greek town of Neapolis, which has been unearthed and explored by the Russian archaeologists P. N. Schulz and V. A Golovkina. The similarity of the frescoes at Toprak Knalaad in Crimea suggest that they were made by the same population group which invaded both territories at about the same time.57 Thus, we observe that the Scythian movement which spread along the Oxus Valley reached up to Crimea on one hand and penetrated into India on the other. In the third century A.D. the far-flung Kusāna empire having its bases in Central Asia in the Oxus Valley and the north-western regions of India suffered a set-back. It is only in the third century A.D., the period of the decline of the Kusāna empire, that the local coins of Choresmia, based on their own models, begin to reappear.58

⁵⁶ S. P. Tolstov, Ancient Khorezm and its Tics with India, The Hindustan Times Weekly, March 3, 1957, p. 2.

⁵⁷ B. B. Piotrovsky and others, Ourartou, Neapolis des Sother, Khorezm [L'Orient Ancien Illustré 8, Paris, 1954] pp. 56, 96, 132.

⁵⁸ S. P. Tolstov, Drevnji Khorezm (Djanbaskala); Po sledam drevnelkhorezmyskoj civilizacii (Toprak Kala).

About the beginning of the Christian era the north to south division of the Oxus Valley was replaced by a new west to east demarcation with the Oxus as the natural frontier To the south of this river lay the Kusana empire and to its north the Sogdian kingdom While the Kusana empire expanded towards the south, Ngan si (Parthia) Kao fi (Kabul) P u ta and Ki pin under K'ientsien k io (Kuzula Kadphises), 59 the Sogdian kingdom took part in the politics of the Tarim Valley and aided the states of the Kashghar regions in their struggle with China When the Chinese general Pan Ch'ao invaded the king of Kashghar, namely Chong, the king of Sogdiana sent armies for his help. At that time the king of Sogdiana was matrimonially related to the Yue che Hence Pan Chao sent an embassy to the Yue che asking their king to remonstrate with the Sogdian king and prevent him from aiding the king of Kashghar At the instance of the Yuc-che that king suspended hostilities and returned to his country with Chong But soon afterwards Chong reappeared at the head of the Sogdian army and pretended to surrender Pan Ch ao discovered the intrigue and got him beheaded " This shows that inspite of the mediation of the Yue Che, the Sogdians continued to follow their own independent policy

The political geography of the Oxus Valley remained the same under Wima Kadphises The Heu Hau shu indicates that the ingdom of Sogdana sprend its tentacles to the north of the Aral Sea where the northern most route connected eastern and central Aua with the Greek settlements on the shore of the Black Sea and the centres of the Sarmatans The kingdom of Yen situated in the neighbourhood of the Yen tsai or Alains was dependent on Sogdiana This control of the commercial route raised the position of Sogdian traders in the ancient world

The said balance of power on the banks of the Oxus came to an end with the conquest of Sogdiana by Kaniska on which recent archaeological exeavations have thrown a flood of hight. At Airam near Termez on the northern bank of the Oxus some sculptures have come to hight which bear a deep imprint of the Mathura school ⁶³

⁵⁵ Edouard Chavannes 'Les Pays d'Occident d'après le Heu Han shou', T'eung pao (1907), p 190

⁶⁰ Edouard Chavannes Toung Pao (1906), p 230

⁶¹ M E. Masson Brief Communications, Academy of the Sciences of the USSR (1940), pp 113 114 (in Russian)

The coins found there range up to the time of Väsudeva and a fragment of stone vase bears an inscription in Kharothi. These facts together with the notice of Transoxiana as an integral part of the Kuşāņa empire and the mention of Bukhara, Samarqand and Tashqand as its northern limits in the Kaaba Zarathustra inscription of Shähpuhr 1¹⁹ prove that Sogdiana was annexed by Kaniska to lus kingdom.

The advent of the Sassanid empire meant the doom of the Kusana empire of Kaniska. We learn from Tabariss and the Re-Gestae dim Saporisei that Ardashir, the founder of the Sassanid dynasty, invaded the Kusāna empire. But the Kaaba Zarathustra inscription of Shähpuhr I shows that the empire of Ardashir did not cross the line going from Merv via Herat to Seistan. His work was taken up by his son Shähpuhr I who carried his arms upto the confines of the Kusāna empire and conquered Puśkabur (Peshawar) Kas (the south-western part of Transoxiana with Bukhara as the centre) Sughd (the north-western part of Transoxiana Including Samarqand or, according to Arab geographers, the lower valley of the Zarafshands) and Sasitan (the region of Tashkand)." The burnt and deserted remains of the second city at Begram and the temples at Surkh Kotaler and the abandoned sites of Termez and the fourth city at Tali-Barzuss are evidences of these vicissitudes. Similarly the coins, bearing royal effigies with crowns resembling those of the Sassanid kings from Ardashir I and Shahpuhr I to Hormizd IV (574-590), found at the Choresmian sites, and the new ceramic, decorated in Sassanian style and having forms

- ⁶⁴ E. Honigmann and A. Maricq, Recherches sur les Re: Gestat (Bruxelles, 1953), pp. 106-107.
- ⁶⁵ R. Frye, 'Sughd and the Sogdians,' Journal of the American Oriental Society (1943), pp. 14-16.
- 16 M. Sprengling, op. cit., pp. 354-356.
- ⁴⁷ Daniel Schlumberger, 'Le Temple de Surkh Kotal en Bactriane', Journal Asialique (1954), p. 178.
- ⁶⁵ G. Grigorieff, *Tali-Barzu*, Gossaudarstveniy Ermitage troudi otdela istorii koultouri i iskoustva II (1940) p. 95-97 (in Russian). A. L. Mongait, *Archaeology in the U.S.S.R.*, p. 248.

⁴² M. Sprengling, 'Shähpuhr I the Great, on the Kaabah of Zoroaster,' American Journal of Semetic Languages and Literatures (1940), pp. 354-357.

es T. Nölicke, Geschichte der Perser und Araber zur zeit der Sassaniden, Aus der Arabischer Chronik des Tabari, p. 17.

approaching Persian silver-ware discovered in stratum V at Tah Barzu in Sogdiana as well as in stratum III at Begram, prove that these regions came within the sphere of influence of the Sassanids in III IV centuries A D The later Kusanas became, in fact, subservient to the Sassanids⁴²

The chronology of this period mostly depends on the date of 69 Kanışka which is a puzzling problem of ancient history Flect held that Kanışka started the era of 58 BC, known as Vikrama era Fergusson, Oldenberg, Thomas, Banerji, Rapson etc., think that he founded the era of 78 A D Sten konow fixed 128 29 AD, as the starting point of his reign while R Ghirshman favours the date 144 AD R C Majumdar believes that the era in question was the Trankutaka Kalachuri-chedi era of 248 A D (for references see H C Raychoudhury, Political History of Ancient India 5th edition, pp 465 472) Recently Mrs J E Van Lohuizen de Leeuw in her book The Southian Period and Dr N P Chakravarti in his Presidential address to the Ahmedabad session of the Indian History Congress (27 Dec 1954) have pleaded for the date 78 AD A L Basham has also endorsed this view though he held that "from the point of view of India the evidence still favours 78 as the date of Kaniska's accession but from that of Central Asia it appears to support 144 " [A L Basham, 'The succession of the line of Kaniska', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (1957) Vol XX p 88] Farlier, Basham held that Kaniska and Rudradaman could not be contemporaties. Hence the date 144 for Kan ska which makes him a contemporary of Rudradaman is not probable ['A new study of the Saka-Kusan period', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (1953) Vol XV pp 91-96] But now he has began to accept the possibility of the date 144 on archaecological considerations Recently A K Narain in a paper Dale of Kaniska, submitted for discussion in a seminar held in London, suggested that the accession of Kaniska took place in 103 AD He cites the Hou Han shu to show that An kuo, king of Su le, excled his uncle Ch'en P'an to I-Fu, a son of a uterme younger brother of Ch'en-P'an, as long of Su-le Ch'en P an solected the assistance of the Yue-che king to get the throne who readily agreed to help him. With the arrival of Ch'en P'an with Yue-che armies the peoples divested I-Fu of the royal seal and monted Ch'en P'an Narain identifies Ch'en P'an with the hostages that a tributary state of China to the west of the Yellow River sent to the Yue-che king Kaniska through fear and whom he treated with courtesy and continued on page 298

This flying survey of the history of the Oxus Valley from the second century B.C. to the third century A.D. clearly shows that the Hüŋas never lived on the Oxus during this period and the

continued from page 297

kindness, according to Hiuen T'sang. But there are so many differences and discrepancies in these two accounts that it is difficult to identify the events mentioned in them. Whereas Ch'en P'an was an exile and fugitive, who left Su-le on account of resentment and with a view to get the throne with the assistance of the powerful Yue-che, the hostage in the account of Hiven T'sang was purposely sent by the king and he willingly came to the court of Kaniska under the king's order. Ch'en P'an's visit to the Yue-che did not imply their control over Su-le, much less the idea of the submission of that state, since the Yue-che king did not intervene in the affairs of of An-Kuo till his death, inspite of his sympathy for Ch'en P'an. But the king, who sent the hostage to Kaniska, according to Hiuen T'sang, did so for fear of the Yue-che monarch to evade his wrath and to express his readiness to make submission to him. The account of Hou Han-shu shows a state of discontent and strife in Su-le, but the narrative of Hiuen-T'sang does not indicate any such state of affairs. According to the former, the visit of Ch'en P'an to the Yue-che court resulted in the intervention of the Yue-che armies in Su-le after the death of An-Kuo, but, according to the latter, the arrival of the hostage was the result of the campaigns and conquests of Kaniska to the cast of the T'sung-ling. For these reasons it is not possible to subscribe to the theory of Narain. It is not necessary to express any final opinion on this point here. Yet some facts may be referred to which tend to throw light on this point. The Chinese text San-Kuo Che (III, 3a) has a notice of a king Potiao, which has been rendered by Pelliot as follows: "la troisième anneé l'ai-houo la 12e lune (le jour) Kouei-wei (5 Janvier 230) le roi des Grands Yue-tche, Potiao (*Pua-d'ieu, lirc P'o-tiao *Bua-d'ieu, =Vasudeva ?) cuvoya an ambassadeur offrir des présents ; on donna à (P'o)-tiao le titre de roi des Grands Yue-tche apparanté aux Wait (1934) Asiatique (1934) p. 40). with Vasudeva of the he same as the Kusān: Armenian Ling Khusro . (vehsa=vāsu) [M. K. une mistoire de la dynastie des Sassanides', Journal Asiatique (1866) p. 133-134]. This Vasudeva is the last Kusana king whose coins are found in the second

continued on page 299

298

reference to them in the Raghutamás can by no stretch of imagination be dated before the 3rd-4th century AD Diven Indium works, which advert to the geographical couditions of carlier periods, mention the \$ikas, Tuşāras (Tukhāras) (the stock of Yueche) Lumpakas (a people akun to the \$akas according to the Abidhāraacutamani of Hernacandra tampakastu muminda synh

continued from page 298

city at Begram and at Termez His coins are conspicuous by absence at Surkh Kotal though coms of Huviska are abundant there (Daniel Schlumberger, 'Le Temple de Surkh Kotal en Bactriane', Journal Anangue (1954) pp 177-179) These cours of Väsudeva are dufferent in form, execution, design and legends from the cours of other kings bearing this name On the cours of another Väsudeva the form of the altar is shorter and less large and has no trace of fire A striped trident is placed behind the altar and the legend commences on the top to the right of the head of the king [Ludwig Bachhofer, 'Herrscher und Munzen der spiten Kusa-nas', Journal of the American Oriental Society (1936), pp 429 439, Nos 11, 13] From this pronounced difference it is mini-The state of the latter belonged to a different Vasudeva who copied the coms of Wima Kadphises There is also a third group of coms which purport to be issued by another Vasudeva. From the artistic point of view they represent a marked decline The disproportion between the head and the body of the king is striking. The cutting and arrange-ment of the characters and symbols are conflised and uneven The letters a, o and A take the form of o The pieces are larger and less thick These peculiarities mark out the king of these coins as a third Vasudeva

In the second stratum at Begram it is the coins of the first V53udeva rather than the second or thurd that are available. This Vasudeva belonged to the line of Kanuka I it is thus clear that the dynasty of Kanuka came to an end in the regn of Shahpuhr I between 241-250 A D. Since the latest known date on the cours of the Kusanas belonging to the line of Kanuka 850, it is plausible that the initial year of this reckoning was about 144 A D (R Glurshman, Le probleme de la clunologie des Koochans). Cahera d' hattair mondiale Vol III (1957) pp. 669 722) In this paper Ghrishman argues the case for 144 with full reference to archaeological data. But there is nothing conclusive about this date of Kanuka and it is an open question whether he came to the throne in 144 A D or 78 A D. For the purpose of the present enguny it is sufficient to note that in the first century B G the Yue che were predominant in Transosiana and the Hügas did not appear on the scene. Kankas (K'ang-Kiu) etc., as the inhabitants of the Oxus regions.70 In the Sabhaparoan of the Mahabharata, where Arjuna is stated to have led an expedition in the north-west just as Raghu is said to have done in the Raghuvamsa, the Balhikas (Bactrains) Daradas, Kämbhojas (Lampakas) Parama-Kämbhojas and Rsikas and Paramarsikas rather than the Hunas are mentioned as his main antagonists." Again in the same paroan the Sakas, Tusāras and Kankas are said to have presented horses to Yudhisthira on the occasion of his sacrifice.72 The juxtaposition of these peoples agrees with the settlement of the Sacaraucae. Yue-Che and K'ang-Kiu in the Oxus Valley in the first century B.C. Thus, it is crystal clear that the Hunas are not associated with the Oxus regions in early Indian literature. Hence the theory that Kälidäsa lived in the first century B.C. is quite baseless. We had better desist from reiterating it in view of his reference to the Hönas on the Oxus and the absence of the conditions prevailing in the north-west in the first century B C. from his account of the campaign of Raghu.

The above enquiry led us to conclude that Kälidäsa could not fave flourished before the fourth century A.D. and certainly did not live in the first century B.O. In order to specify the year, in which the mention of the Hūnas on the Oxus by him can be dated,

Matsya Purana, 121, 45.

For references from other Puranas see D. C. Sirear, 'Text of the Puranic List of Rivers, Indian Historical Quarterly (1951), Vol. XXII p. 233.

71 Mahabharata II, 27 22-26.

सतः परागिकात्वो वाह्नीभत् पारुसाननिः । महता परिगर्दन वर्शे वक्ते दुरासदान् ॥ पृदीगा तु तन मारं फल्तु जोल्तुग्र पाण्डवः । दरसान् सह वात्योवर्रस्यकास्वातिः ॥ प्राप्तुत्तां दिगं सैच वसल्यायित्य दर्ग्यवः । नियसन्ति वने से च तान् प्रयोतग्रतः मुगः ॥ तीहापरतमन्त्री झान् पिकानुत्तरात्रियि । बहित्यात्वन् मह्यायव व्यवयत्यावद्यामनिः ॥

¹ Variant Campakān. ² Variant isi or isl. ¹² Mahābhārala II, 47, 26.

शकास्तुखाराः (तुकाराः) कञ्चास्य रोमशः श्रृंगिणो तराः । महागमान्दूरगमान्गणितानयुदं हयान् ।।

300

⁷⁰ Vaya Purana, 47, 44.

it is necessary to study the history of these people in the fourth century A D. But before undertaking this enquiry it would be better to tackle the thorny question of the race and culture of the Hūŋas.

4 A brief outline of the history of the Hephthalite-Hunas

The Hūnas are known as Hyaōna in Iranian works Vistūšpa demands divine favour to repel the Hyaōn bandit Arejatāspa and prays to be able to hberate the people from the thraldom of Hyaona and kali them in multitudes ⁷⁴ The Bahman Tasht speaks of the *Xym ut Turk ut Xazar ut Tupit* [the Khyōn (Hyaōna), the Turks, the Khazars and the Tibetam] as non-Iranian peoples ⁷¹ The Buddhishi contains a passage of great historical interest which reads as follows anöšakrawan Xusraw e kavadhan oyšān huyōnān kētān aspriāk ô Erānšahr hame kirt spökht vitarg hast Grānšahr apēblim kirt⁷⁸ (Anosharwan Khusrau; son of Kawadha, drove the Hiyon who made repeated attacks on Erānsahr, closed the passes and made Erānsahr free from fear). In this passage the Hiyon or Hyaōna are identical with the same people who invaded the Sassand canpire under Kawadh in the fifth century A.D.

The King who invaded Iran under Peroz (459-484 A D.) and killed him is called Akhshunvar by Tabari, Khushnuwaz by Eridauu, Akhshuyān by Dinawari and Khshunvaz in the *Bundahshin.*¹⁰ F. W. K. Müller holds that all these variant readings are based on the

- ⁷³ Tashi IX, 30, IX, 31; XVII, 50-51 in J Darmesteter, Le Zend-Avesta II, p 439, 607-60
- ⁴⁴ H. W. Bailey, 'Iranica', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, London (1943, pp. 1-2). Bahman Zusiti ed Andlesanta VI, 6 öton bö öcanöbud än l avösän ösmitörunakän satakänak ut 1000 akänak ut bövartakänak, apäe vartönd drafs nisän (1) a. mar späh i avösän deväm i vicärt-vars, bö masönd ö ön örän dehän i man i ohrmaxd dät hön i fräxvöntiär fräxvanik dusman türak ut karmir hat val. drafs hand

"Thus, those men, offspring of fury, will slav a hundredfold, a thousandfold and ten thousandfold The banners and tandards of the numberless army of those demons with diskevelled hair will return. There will come into these Iran lands of mine Ohrmazd-created, the troops with broad front, the hostile Turak (Turk) and the Ked Hyon whose banners are upfilded"

- 15 Bundahishn ed. Anklesaria, p 215
- ¹⁶ J. Marquart, Eranishr, p. 60, Anklesaria, Bundahishn, p. 215.

Sogdian word "*ikithewan*" meaning 'a king'.²⁷ On the other hand, R. Ghirshman thinks that these words represent *lawan*, the name of the Khionites.⁷⁸ It is not unlikely that the very name Khevan or Khion or Hyaön or Hüpa is akin to the Sogdian word *lkithewan*, noted above, which means a king.

Western chroniclers know these people as kijonāje er Khionie. Josluu counts them armong the enemies of Percz and Ammianus Marcellinus refers to them and their Ling Grunbates as fighting on the side of Shähpuhr II under the walls of Amida.¹⁰ Chinese annals call these poeple Hoa or Hua which was written as Hos-im under the later Wei. The Leang-Shu refers to the Ling Yeta-i-li-to of the people Hoa. According to Albert Hermann, it is clear from this passage that the name of the people was Hoa and that of the dynasty of kings which ruled over them was Yeta-i-li-to or Hephthal.⁴⁰ In the Suie Sha also mention is made of a people Un which is based on Hoa-tun.

Curiously enough two coins found in the stopa of Hadda have a legend reading as KTV_AAO_BHONO (Katalph Hion).¹¹ Like wiss a large number of coins which are attributed to the adversares of the Sassanids, numely the Khionites, bear the name of Hion. This word hion is the same as Avestan hysona, Pehlvi Ahjon, Syriae Åjorajö, Chinese hoa, hoa-tur and un and Sanktt hina. Thus, it is clear that the Hūnas of Sanskıt works are the Khionites who appeared on the horizon of the Sassanid empire in the fourth century A.D. and played a leading part in its history so as to pass into the realm of mythology in Iranian scriptures. There is no evidence to connect the Hūnas known in Indian works with the Hūng-nu.

There is also a people called Hephthalites. They are called *l-la*, *l-eta* by Sung Yun, *Te-ta-i-li-to* in the *L-ang Shu*, *Tob-lai* in Korean, *Ep-dat* in Annamite, *Ten tatz* in Japanese. *Eftal* in Pehivi-*Hep*^{tal} in Armenian, *Abdt* in Swian, *Hebat* in the Bundhilfan

r Indo-Scythians,' Numismalit /II; R. Ghirshman, Les Chim-10.

⁷⁷ Sogdische Texte I, p. 108.

⁷⁸ R. Ghirshman, Les Chionites-Hephtalites (Cairo 1948) p. 19

⁷⁹ A. Christensen, L'Iran sous les Sassanides, pp. 235-236.

Albert Hermann, 'Die Hephtaliten und ihre. Beziehungen zu China,' Asia Major (1924), pp. 571-572.
 Albert der Geschlager (Munismalife)

Hayathel in the history of Mirkhund, Helal in Persian, Haital in Arabic, Hephthalites by the Byzantine historians and Abdali in modern Pushto From coins we know of several kings bearing the name Hephthal HITTA The legend on one com is HTTA SAHO HIO[NO] "of Hephthal King Khionite" This king is shown as wearing a crown having three crenellations and surmounted by a This crown design is found on the coins of Shahpuhr II globe Hence this king Hephthal or Hephtal appears to be a contemporary of Shahpuhr II ** There are come of another king named Hephthal, who is shown as wearing a crown of a different design. At the front of it is a crescent, from a calotte rises a shaft having a small crescent at the root and a bigger one above it, which encloses a pear-shaped globe Behind the bigger crescent float the pearl-strung ribbons Paruck attributes this design to Yazdegird I and Ghirshman to Yazdegird II ⁴³ Cours reveal the existence of a third king Hephthal whose crown differs from those of the two kings of this name mentioned above The calotte encases a globe the front of which has a crescent Behind it hang two long and large ribbons This head dress is in the likeness of a fez cap with a dangling tassel which some Mohammedans wear now a days This sumpler design shows some independence in the choice of conflure. The legend is $H_{\Omega}T_{\Lambda}A$ HIONO (Hephthal Hiono). There are also some bilingual cons bearing the name Hephthal On the obverse of one picce studied by Paruck⁴⁴ the bust of the Sassanid king Kawadh with the crown of his current issues is accompanied by a legend in Pehlvi kawadh afzuni while on the margin is superimposed in two lines a legend in Tukharian characters reading HAM/ATAA (A) "Hephthal" Similarly there are come of Khusrau I restruck with the name of Hephthal These cours were pard in tribute by the Sussand emperors to the Khuonite kings

These coins reveal that Hephthal was the name of at least three kings and Hion was their sumame Thus, it is clear that Hephthal was a dynastic name and Hion was an ethnic designation and Ghurshman is perfectly right in holding that the Hephthalites and the Khomtes were one and the same people 8-

^{82 71} F R J Paruck, Sassanian Coins, p 350, Plate XI

S F D J Paruck, op at, p 361, R Ghurshman, op at, p 11

⁴¹ F D J Piruck, op cit, p 376 Plate XVII. ⁵³ R Ghirshmun, Les Chomies-Hephtalites (introduction), p XII continued on page 304

The remarks of ancient writers leave no room for doubt that the Khionite-Hephthalites were distinct from the Hiung-nu. In fact, in a letter of the Sogdian merchant Nanai-vandak to his colleague Nanai-dvar in Samarkand, the Hiung-nu, who in 313 conquered Lo-yang are called Xwn, a name which, according to Henning, is indistinguishable from Huna.56 But as shown by Otto Maenchen-Helfen, it is not the decisive proof of the identity of the Huns and the Hiung nu. He draws attention to many "Pseudo-Huns", for instance, the Phrum, Chonai, Uenni, Hugni etc. of Classical writers. In his view the word thun' in East Germanic names Hunirix, Hunda, Hunwulf etc. has nothing to do with the Huns of Attila and rather stands for the Old Nordic word hung meaning "a cub of bear" or "a young man" or the Proto-Germanic adjective hun signifying 'high'.87 In another paper Maenchen-Helfen has held that the Hunas of Sanskrit works were the Hephthalites.88 K. Enoki has also shown that the Hephthalites were wholly different from the Hiung-nu." Quoting the view of Karlgren Robert Shafer has tried to prove that the ancient pronunciation of Hiung-nu was Khiong-nu or Xu-nu which resembles the word Huna. Shafer holds that huna has affinity with the Tibetan word Her. To the cast of lake Manasarovara in Nari Khorsum (Mha-ris bskar gsum) is the district of Hundes which means 'the country of

continued from page 303

- ⁶⁶ W. B. Henning, 'The Date of the Sogdian Ancient Letters', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (1948) pp. 601-615.
- Otto Maenchen-Helfen, Pseudo-Huns,' Central Asialie Journal (1955), Vol. I, Part II, pp. 101-106,
- ⁸⁵ Otto Maenchen-Helfen, 'Huns and the Hsiung-nu,' Byzanlion (1941-45), Vol. XVII, pp. 230-231.
- ** K. Enoki, 'The Origin of the Hephilalites,' East and Red (Rome, 1955). Vol. VI, Part III, pp. 231-232.

According to Tabari (tr. Zotenberg II, p. 128) the word hephihad means brave, 'strong', in the language of Bukhra-I. Marquart (*BGralakr.*, 57) distinguishes these peopler See also T. Nöldeke, *Fluides historiques sur la Perse antimar*, p. 161-163; Arthur Christensen, *L'hana sus le Satendid*, p. 234. But this view is not sound, as seen above. Following a suggestion of Peter A. Boodberg, Otto Maenchem-Helfen thinks that the word hephthal is derived from the Iranian word haffa meaning Savern' and hints at the seven mythical rulers of Samarkand. [Journal of the American Oriental Society (1959) Vol. 79 p. 297]

wool' according to Tucci. In Tibet the word har is used for the Turks. Shafer is included to equate this word with the word huna." But in this case also we can ill afford to ignore the warning of Maenchen-Helfen that the mere similarity of names is not a guarantee of the identify of the Hunas, Hsiung-nu or the peoples bearing analogous names. The former are called White Huns, Spet Khyan or Stetahuna evidently in contradistinction to the generality of the Huns. Procopius states about them as follows ; "the Hephthalites are not nomads but are settled since long on agricultural land. They have never attacked the Romans but with the armies of the Medes. Among the Huns only they have white skins and do not have short eyes. They do not lead a kind of life resembling that of the Huns. They do not live like animals like them, but are governed by a sole king. They have a government with laws and live with each other and with their neighbours in a right and just manner lettle inferiur to that of the Romans."91 According to Chinese sources, these people belonged to the family of the Yue-che. The author of the gloss Thung-kiang-nu writes under the heading of the year 555 that the Aptal were of the race of Ta Yue-che, Ma-tuan-lin gives two notes on the Hephthalites in his Encylopaedia; in one he says that Ye-ta are of the race of Ta Yue-che and according to others n branch of the Kao-che and in the other he observes that the I-tan belonged to the same race as the Ta Yue-clie.92

It has been observed above that the Hephthalites have been called Sveta hūna or the White Higgs in Indian works. In this connection it is also noteworthy that in the *Mañabharata* (IJ, 2003) -III, 11, 65) there are references to the Hārahūŋas besides the Hūnas. To this word *hārahūŋa* H. W. Bailey has recendly devoted a detailed study. His view is that the word *hār* is a variant of the ancient Iranian word *kārnir* or *khārnir* (Narmir) meaning

Robert Shafer, Ethnography of Ancient India, pp. 160-163.

¹¹ De bello Persico I, 3.

⁴⁴ Edouard Specht, 'Etudes sur I' Arie Centrale', Journal Asatique (1982), pp. 339-340. The notes of Martuan-lin are also translated by Stanialas Julien in Les Haus Blaues by Vivien de Saint Martin (Paris, 1849). Recently these passages have been discusted by W. M. McGovern, *The Early Empires* of Central Ania: A Shady of the Softman and Haus and the part they played in World History (1939), pp. 405-406.

'red' or 'dark colour'. Thas 'hārahūņa' means 'red Hūņa'." In this connection it may also be noted that in the Mahabhârata (1, 147; II, 120) there is a mention of a tribe Tanta-liptaka which may have association with red or tawny colour We learn from the New T'ang History that the Tibetans used to paint their faces red.", The question of the relationship of race and colour in Asia has been recently discussed by O. Pritsak.ºs But the Hunas do not seem to have had anything to do with red colour. As a matter of fact, the name of the Hunas having a guttural aspirated stop in the beginning which resembled the Iranian consonant X or Kh seemed to recall the words karmir-xarmir to the ears of the Iranians so closely that they established a connection between them. Through the Iranians, the word harahana came into India. But no racial distinction was ever drawn between the Hunns and the Harahunas. As suggested elsewhere, Svetabunas signified the aristocracy among the Hunas and Harahuna, the common people among them.

Besides the aforesaid data, the language and script of the Hephthalites are also important evidence of their ethnic oligins. Chinese annalists confirm that their language was different from dat of the Juan-juan, the Gao-gu and other barbarians. The Juanjuan were a people of Mongol origin and the Gao-gu were the ancestors of the Uighter or Turks. Thus, the lauguage of the Hephthalites was neither Mongol nor Turk.⁴⁰ On the other hard, there are Indications to suggest that their language was of Iranian family. The legends on their coins and their titles 3ki, Shindih, Akautai, bago, staurg, etc. prove that. Likewise, the name. Toraminaand Mihirkula are Iranian names as shown by Wesendonk.⁴⁰

¹³ H. W. Bailey, 'Harahūna' Festschrift Friedrich Weller, (Weisbaden) 1954. pp. 12-22.

²⁴ Robert Shafer, Ethnography of Ancient India, p. 134.

⁹⁵ O. Pritsak, 'Orientierung und Farbsymbolik', Sesculum, Vol. V. 1954.

⁶⁶ W.M. McGovern, The Early Empires of Central Asia, op. di., p. 405. Alumad Ali Kohzad is of the view that the language of the Hephthalites was akin to Funho. [Mounnet of popies and Idras from pre-kitanic Times to the Scienti Century is and from Afghanistan, contributed to the Asian History Congress, New Delhi on 11-12-61]

O.G. von Wesendonk, 'Küšan, Chioniten und Hephthaliten,' Klie (1933), p. 345. B. J. Stavisky, 'Notes on Gem Seals continued on page 307

Likewise, the names Grumbates, Bizano, Hozino, Akhshunwar Hozoro and Aspurabax are also Iranian.

That the Hunas had a script is manifest from the reference to hunalipi, in the Lalitavistara.98 But Sung Yun states that the Hephthalites had no written characters.99 However, on the coins of the Khionite-Hephthalites the legends are in a script, the characters of which are partly looped and elongated.100 In this script the fragment of a manuscript was discovered by Sir Aurel Stein at Lu-lan in 1916, which has been studied by F.W. Thomas,101 and two inscriptions have been found in Afghanistan.102 There are also some fragmentary manuscripts in this script in Berlin, 103 This

continued from page 306

with Kusāna cursive inscriptions in the collection of the State Hermitage', Journal of the Numismatic Society Vol. 22 (1960) p. 102.

- . 98 Indian Antiquary (1913), p. 226, Sylvain Lévi, 'Notes Chinoises " sur l'Inde', Bulletin de l'ecole Francaise d'extreme Orient, Vol IV (1904), pp. 575-579. In the Lalitavistara there is a list of 45 scripts in which Huna-lipi figures at No. 23. The corresponding entries in the Fo pen hang isi king of Jhunagupia, (587 A.D.) the Fou yao king of Tchou Fa hun (308 A.D.) and Fang Kouang ta tehouang yen king of Divakara (683 A.D.) are Mo-na, Hiung-nu and Hou-na respectively. A comparison of these entries shows that the author of the Lalilavislara understood the Hiung-nu by the word huna. This author imagined a script for each country he knew. Hence this long list need not be literally interpreted and the reference to the Huna script in it does not necessarily show that this author was familiar with some writing peculiar to the Hunas.
 - S. Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World I, xci; Edouard 99 Chavannes 'Voyage de Song Yun dans l' Uddiyana et le Gandhara'. Bulletin de l' ecole Francaise d'Extreme Orient (1930), p. 404.
 - 100 Heinrich Junger, Die Hephthalitische Munzinschriften (Berlin) 1930.
 - F.W. Thomas, 'A Tokhari (?) MS', Journal of the American 101 Oriental Society (1944), pp. 1-3.
 - A.D.H. Ewar, 'The Heplithalite Inscriptions of Uruzgan', Afghanistan (1953), Vol. VIII, pp. 1-4; Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (1954), pp. 112ff. The inscriptions are : 102
 - - Boo Ssaho Zooloo mihroziki
 Bo Ossaho Zoolomihrozo.
 - O. Hansen, 'Die Berliner Hephthaliten-Fragmente', K'lio, 103 (new series) (1951), pp. 41-69.

303

is the Greek script of Bretrianal of 21 letters with a special letter for 5 which firm the script of 25 letters prevalent in $T^{tr} holo or$ <math>T shiring and the time of Hugen T same t^{tot} . This script was in use from Bretrian and the western Primers up to the frontiers of Seistan. In the north it met the S dram beyond the Oxus and in the south the Khritespin script was its neighbour. This script was known is Tekhri or Tekharian and was known as such in the colophone of nighter works ¹⁰⁵

The religion of the Hephthalites consisted of the worship of sky and fire as noted by Chinese writers Thuen I sang describes the temples of their god Sun or Kshun in Zabulistan which was highly cenerated in neichbo iring areas. The details show that it was a shrine of Surva 104 Foramina and Mihirkula are known to have worshunned Brahmanical dieties while Tarkhan Nizak was a zealous follower of Buddhism and reprinanded and beheaded the Buddhist chief priest of the Navashira (Nowhahar) monastery of Balkli, named Barmak when he embraced Islam In fact, the religion of Buddha mixed with the cult of Mithra in Afghanistan In the art of Banuyan Buddha has been dressed in the garb of Mithra and the representation of eight Buddhas recalls the eight Migrs or Bhojakas, Millers, Niksubhi, Rufin, Daudanayaka Pingala, Raina Strausa and Isa Garumtat, who are the eight divine forces emanating from the body of the Sun god 107 This rapprochement is symbolic of the cultural synthesis that marked the domination of foreign tribes including the Hephthalites in the North-West

Kalidasa refus to a peculiar funerary custom of the Hunas when he states that the valour of Raghu expressed itself in the

¹⁶⁴ H W Ba'ley, 'Taugara', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (1935), p 192, Paul Pellov, 'A propos du 'Tokharten', Tou goa (1936), p 260 changing his former opinion expressed in Tokharten et Koutchéen', Journal Aisatique (1931), p 34 ff

¹⁰⁵ Paul Pelhoi, Tokharien et Koutichéen', Journal Asiatique (1934), p 53, Sylvam Lévi Fragments de Textes Koutcheau, pp 5 7

¹⁰⁶ S Beal Buldhist Records of the Western World, Vol 11, pp 284 285

¹⁰⁷ A and Y Godard and J Hackin, Les Antiquités Bouddhiques de Bamijan, Memoires de le delegation archeologique Francaise en Afghanistan (1928), Plate XXII ff

gored checks of their ladies ¹⁶⁸ We learn from Chinese sources that the Tu-kine of Mongolia used to slash their faces with knives so that blood could be seen. Blowing with tears, whenever a man died ¹⁶⁹ This functary custom is also noted among the Scythians by Herodotus (IV, 71). He observes that the people wounded their arms, faces and noses in honour of the dead. In fact, the normads of Central Asia including the Scythians and Hünas had this custom of mourning the dead by wounding the faces with Laruse and letting blood flow with tears. The Hünas or Hephthalites having passed through the normadic stage and living in close proximity with the made a pointed remark on the wounded cliceks of the Hüna women on the occasion of the death of their husbands. This point is elaborately dealt with in the next study.

Archaeological and cultural data youch for the differentiation of the Hung-nu and the Hun Hephthalites In the Hunnie burals at Borovoe, Shipovo, Seelmann, Pokrovsk, Novogrigorevka, Shcherbataya, Kotlovina and Keszthely-Gath the tanged arrow-heads are of iron, cast in moulds and sharpened by rubbing on a stone Nearly all the points are either triangular-bladed or triangulat solid The arrow-heads from the Kunala and Mohra-Moradu monasteries in Taxila are of still another type, they are four-bladed and barbed, with short shank and long tang According to Marshall, they were used by the Hunas The Hung-nu, on the other hand, used hone points inspite of their knowledge of iron and bronze. In the fortified settlements at Ivolginsk near Ulan Ude on the lower Scienga only rhombic bone points are found in two types, one with a socket hole for the shaft tenon, the other with a leaf-shaped blade or the tang split lengthwise Hephthalite art was deeply influenced by Sassanian Persia. The Hung nu bronze plaques found in western Inner Mongolia and in Leang shu have nothing in common with the metal work of the Huns

The cultural data pertaining to the Hiung-nu and the Hüna-Heplithalites also bring out their differences The Hung-nu wore their hair in queues, the Attilanic Huns had it neatly chipped all round the head, the Huns of the systh century cut it off in

¹⁰⁸ Raghuvam51 IV, 68 वधीलपाटनादेशि वभूव रघ्चेष्टितम।

¹⁰⁸ Stanislas Julien, Documents sur les Tou Kuci, Journal Analique (1861), p 332 "Ils se tailadent le visage avec un coutern, de sorte qu'on vont le sang couler avec les larmes"

front back to the temples, lerving the part behind to hang down to a very great length and the Hephthiltes shived their heads As regards marriage customs, the Chinese accounts lead us to believe that the sons of the first wife had precedence over those born of the wives whom their father married later. But the Hephthiltes are known to have practised polyandry. Among them both the elder and the younger brother could marry one wife. In Tokharistan, Kapita, Burniyan Zabulistan, ten, five, three or two brothers could marry one woman together. [For referencessee Otto Maenchen-Helfen, "The Ethner name Hun", op. ett., pp. 232-234]

The aforesaid study of the physical features, language, script, religion and customs of the Hephthalites clearly substantiates the view of R Ghurshman, K Enoki and Otto Maenchen-Helfen that the Hephthalites or Khionites were Indo-Europeans¹¹⁰ rather than

¹¹⁰ R. Ghirshman, Les Chonites-Hephtolites, pp. 113 ff K. Enoki, 'The Origin of the White Huns or Hephthalites,' East and West, Vol. VI, Part III, (Oct. 1955, pp. 231-237)

Enols has shown that the Hephthalites were the indigenous people of Tokharsian Their porthern centre lay in that region to the west of Badakshan which Huien Tang has called II-ma to lo or Himutala. This Hima to lo it held to have been a couroupt form of the word Hephthal There Sung Yun pad a visit to the Hephthalite king in SJ AD Their southern capital was to the south of the present-day Kunduz at Ghur, which Procopius has called Gorgo and the Chinese writers have termed Huia (ancest pronunciation Zua, Khua). Enols has refluted the vew that the Hephthalites came into Tokharistan from the Alai regions Had this been the case, the Hephthalites his the western Tou K ince would have established their capital near the Alai regions

Each has observed that from the fourth to the captum centures of the Christian era many tribes of Central Asia began to assume the names of Hungs-ru., Hun, Khuos, etc The reason of this nonenclature was a desire of the people of Central Asia to connect themselves with the Hung-ru since their name and fame had spread throughout the wool of Central Asia in ancient times. As a mutter of fact, the Hephthalites had no connection with the Hung-ru subject the views of Maenchen-Hellen have been quoted above. Enols, however, distinguishes the Hephthalites from the Khiomits. But the reasoning of Ghirshimin on this point is more conviening Turks or Mongols, as held by other scholars ¹¹¹ In the first hulf of the fourth century A D they spread westwards along the Oxus and in the Steppes separating the Aral Sea from the Caspian Sea

continued from page 310

Recently the Russian archaeologist S P Toistov held that the barbarrans consisting of the Kluonites-Hephthalites, who destroyed the Choresman sites in the 1th 5th centuries A D were of Turkic origin He bases his conclusion on the fact that the hones of the people found in the top layers of these sites have Mongoloid traits But in the absence of any other cogent or convincing evidence this mere fact does not suffice to establish the Turkie origin of the Hephthalites What certainty is there that, the bones in question are definitely of the Hephthalites? How can it be ruled out as impossible that the Indo-European Hephthalites were not accompanied or followed by some Mongoloid peoples? In the history of Central Asia the phenomenon of the displacement of one tribe resulting in the movement of others is frequently met with Thus, the view of Tolstov is not supported hy any strong evidence [S P Tolstov, Ancient Khoresm and its Ties with India, The Hindustan Times Weekly, March 3, 1957 p 2]

¹¹¹ H Deguignes first identified the Hung 'nu of the Chneter records with the Huns of Europe [History general det Huns, det Tuter, det Mongeleti dis autres Tentere, 5 Vols (1750 59) II pp 1-124]. Educated Gubbon adopted and popularised lins identification (The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire Vol III p 113) The Russian scholars N A Aristov and K Inostranesev drew on Clunces sources while identifying the Huns and the Hung nu (Otto Maenethen Helleri, Huns and Hung nu' Byzantien Vol XVIII p 245) Subsequently Irredrich Huth arrived at the same conclusions on the basis of the same texts without referring to the articles of the said Russian scholars [F Hirth, 'Hunnenforschungen' Aridea Scente (1900) pp 81-91]

Paul Pelhot wrote "Les norms de Hong nou, de Hun et de Huna strauent-is trois appellations absolument independantes l'une de l'autre ? Ce n'est nas à piror très vraiernblable "L'à propos des Comain", Journal Anatague (1920) p 141] At another place le writer "Mongols également out ets selon moi, leur cousais les Huns blanes ou Hephihahter qui, vers l'an 500 wirent s'abatres sur L'Alghanistan et evencerent de terribles ravages dans le Nord Queit de l'Inde" (Les Haute Aire, p 12) According to the l'atter position, the Hephithahtes were different from the Hining nu, who, according to Pelhot, were Turks J Marquart labo

continued on page 312

One of their tribes, the Chol settled to the east of the Caspian sea, another called Kasidi reached the region of Herat and a third known as Zabul occupied the area of Gazni. They pushed

continued from page 311

holds the same view. ('ber Udas Volkstum der Komanen' Ostturkische Dialektstudien, Gottingen 1920). René Grousset calls the Hephthalites "horde mongole descendue de l'Asie Centrale" [Histoire de l'Asie (Paris 1950), p. 55]. On the basis of these views P. C. Bagchi has tried to prove that the Hephthalites were Turks. (P. C. Bagchi, India and Central Asia p. 9). On the Huns two important papers have recent Mongolis . . .

' inlic Journal

Vol. I, Part IV (1955 pp. 287-291). These studies relate to the Hiung-nu but some interesting observations have also been made in them about the Hephthalites. The most significant fact to which Poucha has drawn our attention is that the name of the famous conqueror of Europe Attila bears affinity to the Tokharian word after and the old German word adal meaning 'hero' or a 'man'. This shows that Altheim's attempt to etymologize Attila as Ala-la, Ala in Turkish meaning father' and la being a substitute of cim meaning 'mine', is incorrect and speculative (Attila und du Hunnen p. 207 note 34). K.H. Menges has studied some 44 terms from the Slove o Polku Igorere, the old Slav epic. Among these words I is a Slavic translation of the ethnikon Quman, 2 are Slavic, 21 are either Turkish of introduced via a Turkish filter, 2 are Mongol, 10 are general Altaic, 3 are Chavas. One 'general Altaic' form is (Khun) designating Hungarian. On the basis of these linguistic data fragments of es in Siberia ropean Hurs. bulary of the ement to Word oto-Bulgarian

Maenchen-Helfen does not subscribe to the linguistic arguments advanced about the racial affinities of the Huns. He observes:

"The only Hunnish word, the meaning of which is known, namely strare (funeral) has been explained as Slavic, Gothic and Turkish. Those proper names which are not simply Gothic resist all attempts to etymologize them. It has been suggested that the Huns spoke an early form of

continued on page 313

further west From the time of the emperor Arcadus they were the neighbours of the Ibers and aided a certain Faraman to get their throne Between 350 and 358 they forced the emperor Constance to make friends with the Sasands In 363 emperor Jovian entered into a treaty with Shahpuhr II an important clause of which bound the Romans and Persuans to defend togetiler the passes of the Caucaus against the barbarians. From that year up to the reign of Leon I (468) Rome paid the contribution for the defence of these passes and the maintenance of garrisons and fortilizations.¹¹⁷

The beginning of the reign of Sliāhpuhr II in 309 AD was marked by the revolt of the Turks, of Rome and of India, according

continued from page 312

Churash It may be so In view of our complete ignorance of the language of the Huns no data to prove that theory could possibly be adduced ' ['Huns and Hung-nu' *By cantion* Vol XVIII (1944-45) p 225] As shown above, Maenchen-Heifen holds that the Huns were different from the Hungnu and belonged to the Indo European rather than a Turkish race Altheum has recently resterated his views in the first volumes of his magnum opus entitled Geschichte der Hunnen (Beilin, Walter de Gruyter and Co 1959) He now identifies the To-pa trike qu, which was in Shan si in about 300, the Aun of the ancient Sogdian letters, the conquerors of Loyang in 311, the quin, hun, kidara, who made themselves masters of Sogdiana after 356 and the Hunni who crossed the Don in 374-375 This view has been ably controverted by O Maenchen Helfen again in Journal of the American Oriental Society Vol 79 (1959) pp 295-298 Summing up his view he has observed "Like most of the Eurasian nomads the Hephthalites absorbed broken men from other tribes, splinters of other groups, conquered enemies and deserters form verywhere They were anything but a culturally well-integrated people" (*Mud p* 297) This explains why we have different, filen contradictory, data about them For nutance, Tahur stutes that the Hephthalites have no bows and fight only with swords, whereas Zacharaso of Mitylene observes that they live by their bows and swords and Joshua the Stylite remarks that they fight with maces The Chuncse writer La Yen-shou wrote about the capital of the Ye-tha trihes but a few hores later noted that "they have no villages and live in tents ?"

O Maenehen-Helfen is definite, however, that the substratum of the Hephthahtes was Indo European or Iranian

Otto Seeck, Geschichte des Untergangs der Antiken Welt, band IV, p 275 et seq

11*

to the Persian version of the annals of Tabari.¹¹³ Marquart is right in suggesting that there is a reference to the rising of the Kuşānas in this remark.¹¹⁴ After putting his house in order Shāhpuhr turned his attention towards Armenia and in 336 sent an ambassador to the court of emperor Constantine to demand the provinces ceded by his grand-father Narse in 297. On the latter's reluctance war flared up which lasted with varying results up to the sixties of that century. In the fifties there was a lull in it and Shahpuhr found time to liquidate the Kusānas. It was perhaps in token of his triumph over the Kusānas that he struck coins bearing his name in Tokharian characters The weakening of the Kuşānas resulted in the irruption of other nomadic tribes in the Oxus Valley. Among these tribes the Khionites or Hunas figure prominently, Hence in 356 Shahpuhr had to contend with them and two years later made an alliance with them and the Gelanes. The campaign of Shahpuhr cowed down the Khionites into joining his forces in the battle of Amida against the Romans in 359. Ammianus Marcellinus states that the Khionites who fought on the side of Shāhpuhr were led by their king Grumbates. The status of the Khionites was that of nomadic mercenaries who were impressed by severe campaign and allured by handsome emoluments to enter the lists against the Romans. The successor of Grumbates was most probably Kutulphe whose bust is dressed in a crown resembling that of Shähpuhr 11 on his coins. Kutulphe was followed by Heplital or Heplithal whose headdress is also similar to that of Shahpuhr II and who, as such, flourished under him. These kings acknowledged the suzerainty of Shahpuhr and settled along the limes of his empire as his vassals like the Germanic tribes on the frontiers of the Roman empire. We learn from the T'ung-l'ien of the Chines writer Tu-Yu that the empire of the Hephthalites was established eighty or ninety years prior to the reign of emperor Wen-Ch'eng (457-465 A.D.) of the Toba Wei dynasty. 'This shows that the foundation of the Hephthalite kingdom was laid in a 366-376 A D. under Kutulphe or Hephthal during the lifetime of Shāhpuhr I.

Shāhpuhr II was succeeded by Ardashir II (379-383) who was perhaps his brother. He was followed by the son of Shāhpuhr II, Shahpuhr III, under whom the Khionite-Hephthaltes cominued to

¹¹³ Zotenberg, Annales de Tabari Vol. II, p. 91.

¹¹⁴ J. Marquart, Eransahr, p. 50.

send reinforcements to the Imperial armies in times of war Just before 384 AD they invaded Mesopotamia under Shahpuhr III and pushed up to Edesa's following which the emperor sent an embassy led by Stihcon to the Persian court for discussing the question of the partition of Armenin. The Acts of the Mariyra of Edesia descenthe these invaders as Homm quidam Ephilalita, Pariaram finitime equal as alime habitabent Orientem. It is for the first time that the Hephithalities are mentioned in western sources. The name of the reigning king Hephithal gave them this name, as seen above

In the eightes of the fourth century a great commotion was caused by the irruption of nomadic tribes on the Caucasan frontiers. In the south east also the Kusanas raised their heads and tried to expand their realm. Their invasion of India under Ramagupti shows that they had developed their power in this period. In these disturbances the Hephthalites also got an opportunity to strengthen their position and extend their domination over the neighbouring regions. While the effort and attention of Bahram IV who ascended the Sassand throne in 380 were invetted on the vestern provinces the Hephthalites entrenched their hold in Bactranar and the South at the cost of the Kusanas. Towards the end of the reign of Bahram IV the Hephthalites had grown in power and prestige in Bactrina and the Kusanas were eclipsed but they did not break avevy from the Sassand empter and kept a semblance of visalage to it while epjoying indisputed supremacy in the Osus Valley. This rise of the Hephthalites was due to the energetic levidership of Hephthal I.

With the accession of Yazdegird in 399 the situation seems to have undergone a change In the first two decades of the fifth century we do not hear anything blout the Hephthalites Thirre is a break in their connage from the accession of Yazdegird I (cur 400) to the end of the reign of Yazdegird II (cur 450) The rise of the Mongol horde of the Ju yuan, disparagingly called by the Clunces, Juan juan, in the Steppes of Central Asia gave a rude shock to the nascent power of the Hephthalites In 402 A D the Juan juan chief Shö luen subjugated the rival horde of Kaokuu who were the uncestors of the Tolash and Unghur Turks and inhabited the region of Kobdo and Urungu In 1 very short time the came to dominate they whole of northern Golo from Leao ho on the Korean frontier in the evist to Irtyali and the approaches pressure of the Juan-juan. It is also likely that Yazdegird I tried to stem their advance in the south-west. His assassination at Gurgan, where the Sassanids had established their military base for fighting with the Hephthalites, shows that he was campaigning against them. It is also not unlikely that his assassination was encompassed by the Hephthalites who tried to throw the thraldom of the Sassanids soon afterwards. But the next Sassanid monarch Bahram V, known as Bahram Gor (421-438), was equal to the occasion and nipped the insurrection in the bud by inflicting a crushing defeat on the Hephthalites at Kusmehan near Merv. 115 After sweeping off the Hephthalites from Baetriana Bahram Gor appointed his brother Narse as the governor of that country with the title of headquarters at Balkh. Marzban-1-Kusan and established his Not content with this victory, the Sassanid monarch crossed the Oxus and conquered the country beyond the river and forced its people to pay tribute.

In 438 Yazdegird II came to the throne of Iran. He kept the Khionite tribes in check duriog the earlier part of his reign and led a sweeping expedition against the Chöls to the north of Gurgan in the Steppes of Dahistan.116 From the fourth year of his reign to the eleventh he fought against those people and was obliged to found a city named Sahristān-i-Yazdegird to serve as the base of operations. After the victory over the Chöls he turned against the Hephthalites in the tweifth year of his reign in 449-450 and their king gave way enabling the Sassanid forces to penetrate into their country, storm the towns, pillage the land and return with a rich booty. But just on the morrow of his triumph the raids and razzias of the Hephthalites began to occur in the eastern provinces of the empire. Hence Yazdegird II was compelled to renew the compaign in 453-454. Simultaneously trouble broke out in the western provinces of the empire adjoining Caucasia and a rebellion flared up in a large number of the imperial troops that were composed of the Armenians and the Alains due to the Sassanid policy of persecuting the Christians. Just after quelling this revolt Yazdegird Il marched against the Hephthalites, but due to the

¹¹⁵ T. Nöldeke (*Tabari*, p. 99), held that the adversaries of Balaram Gor were the Hephthalites while J. Marquirt (*Eränädir*, p. 52) thought that they were the Khionites. But as the Hephthalites and Khionites were one and the same people this controversy bears no fuit.

¹¹⁶ J. Marquart, Eraniahr, p. 56.

treachery of a counsellor, who hatled from the family of Hailandurk, he suffered a heavy defeat, the first that the Hephthalites influcted on the Sassands Soon after this trumph the Hephthalites moved southwards and crosung the Indus swooped upon the Gupta empire in 455 AD But Skandagopta beat them back, as we gather from the Bhitari inserption¹¹⁷ The chief under whom the Hephthalites scored these successes was most probably. Hephthal II whose comes show him wearing a headdress resembling that of Yazdegird II His headquarters was at Balkh as the reverse of his comes bear the legend BANAO (Balkh) in Tokharaan at the right and the letter ph in Pehtvi on the left, which stands for the name of that city ¹¹⁸ In 456 we find Yazdegird continuing the struggle against the Hephthalites In that year the first embasy of the Hephthalites reached the court of the Wei in China. This was the first sign of the independence of the Hephthalites. The result of these movements of the Hephthalites was that one of their branch, called Tsavla or Jaula or Zabal occupied the regions of Gazm beyond the Indus

The death of Yazdegard 11 in 457 gave a signal to the civil war between Peroz and Hormizd The former took shelter among the Hephthalites and with their ass stance dethroned his bro ther and became emperor The contemporary Hephthalite king of Peroz was Akun Some time later hostilities broke out between these two kings The Sassanid monarch sustained a defeat undertook to pay tribute to bis rival and sent his son Lawadh as a hostage to hum The cours paid in tribute by Peroz to Akun have the name of the latter restruck on them Henceforth the kingdom of the Heplithalites became independent of the Sassanids as the novelties on his coins indicate This period was marked by an unprecedented rise of the power and prestige of the Hephthalites In 477 AD they conquered Gandbara in 479 AD occupied Sogdiana and in the closing years of that century took possession of Turfan and Qarashahr In 484 again Peroz attacked the Hephthalites but was defeated and killed The conqueror of Peroz was Hephthal III (the Ye ta 1 h to of Leang shu) who succeeded Akun and whose name figures on the restruck coins of Balash hawadh and K hueron I

¹¹⁷ J F Fleet Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum Vol II No 13, verse 8 Hunauyasya samagatasya samare dorbhyam dhara kampita

¹¹⁸ R Ghirshman, Les Chionites Hephtalites, pp 11 12

Menaced by an anti-Heplthalite group of nobles, led by Nakhar Gushnaspdädh, Kawadh took refuge among the Heplthalite, maried the daughter of their king and dethroned his borther Zämisp with their assistance and recovered the throne. During the ret of his reign he was on friendly terms with the Hephthalites who constantly helped him against the Romans in the west and the nomads of the north.

After Kawadh Khusrau I Anusharvan (531-578) kept god relations with the Heplithahtes in the beginning of his reign. In 551 he is said to have employed the White Hums, the Chols, according to Christenten,¹¹⁹ as mercenaries against the Romons. But in 549 he refused to pay tribute to the Heplithalites and between 553 and 567 their empite erunbled under his attacks in the west and those of the Western T⁹u-khue in the east. Their territories on the western bank of the Oxus were seized by the Sassanids and these along its eastern bank were occupied by the Turks so that the Outs formed' the boundary between the Sassanid and the T'u-Khu empires. According to Tabari, the empire of Khusrau extended over the provinces of Sind, Bost, ar-Rukhaj (Araeliosia), Zabulatan, Tukharistan, Dardistan and Kabulistan, which were ceded by the Heplithalite king, who is called 'Ghatfar by Firdausi and Katulphe by Theophanus of Myzantium.¹⁰⁰

After the conquest of the Hephthalite empire some of their principalities. Sometimes these princes asserted themselves but were soon crushed. In 588-589, for instance, they occupied Badghis and Herat at the instigation of the Turks but were repelled by the Spathöd of Khurasan, Bahram Göhla who wrested Balkh and cressed the Oxus and got a victory over the king Mackirk, who is identified by Marquart with the qugban Sho-lo-lu.¹⁰¹ Among these later Hephthalite princes the name of Tarkhan Nizak deserves special attention. He played an important part in the events of the age of the Arab conquest of Bactriana. But after him the rule of the Hephthalites came to an end and they merged in the population of Buklara and Samarquard according to al-MaS'tdl.¹²²

¹¹⁹ A. Christensen, L'Iren sous les Sassanides, p. 364.

¹²⁰ E. Drouin, Memoire sur les Huns Hephthalites, p. 285.

¹⁴¹ J. Marqurt, Erānšahr, p. 65.

¹¹² Abu'l Hasan 'Ali bin Al Husain al Mas'udi, Kitāb Merilj adh-Dhahāb toa M'ādin di-Fauhār, Vol. II, p. 195.

We have seen that in 455-456 the Heplithalites appeared on the Indus and crossed into India. Though driven away by Skandagupta and defeated probably by the Jartas, the Jartikas or Jats of the Sialkot region, as we learn from a remark of Chandragomin sjavsi-jarto Hunan, they settled in Zabulistan. Their kingdom lay along the river of Gazni and the lake Ab-i-Istada. To the north this kingdom extended up to the valley of the Kabui, in the cast it reached the mountain range of Sulaiman, in the west it touched the basin of the Helmund and in the south it met the mountainous regions which have been regarded as the cradle of the Afghans. Hiven T'sang states that the king of Hi-ma-to-lo in the country of To-ho-lo (Tokharistan) marched against the Kritiyas of Kusmira, who had banished Buddhism, and occupied their country. 323 Marquart is right in identifying this king with Hephthal and holding that he conquered Kasmira at the same time as Gandhära. Sung-Yun, who visited Gandhära in 520 A.D. writes as follows: "It was formerly called the country of Yepo-lo. This is the country which the Ye-thas destroyed, and afterwards set up Lae-lih to be king over the country; since which event two generations have passed."121 Chavannes renders this passage as follows: "Le Gandhaie était appelé primitivement Ghe-po-lo. quand'il eut été vaincu per les ye-ta, on y placa comme roi un Tch'e le; depuis que (cette dynastie) gouverne le royaume deux générations se sont déjá ceoulcé,"125 The account of Sung Yun is reproduced in the Pei-she: "(Le Gandhare) était appelé primitivement Che-no-lo, il fut détruit par les Hephthalites, et c'est alors qu'il changea de nom. Le roi était à l'origine un Tch'e-le; il gouverne ce pays depuis dein deux générations,""se Thus, we observe that the reading trh'e-le rather than lac-lih is correct. It signifies Tsavla or Jauvla, a name by which Toramana designated himself in the Kura inscription. (rajadhirajamaharaja-toramana-sahijau(bla).127 and Miliirkula is known in the Unizagan inscriptions (Boo state zooloo mihroziki)128 From the remark of Sung Yun it is clear that the Jauvia kingdom

¹²³ S. Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World, Vol. I, p. 156-157.

¹²¹ Ibid., Vol. I. p. c.

¹²³ F. Chavannes, Voyage de Song-Yun, op. cil. p. 416.

¹²⁸ E. Chavannes, Documents sur les T'ou- Kiue Occidentaux, op. cit., p. 225.

¹²⁷ Ed. by George Bühler, Epigraphia Indica Vol. I, p. 239.

¹²⁸ Ed. A. D. H. Bivar, see f. n. No. 102 above.

had been founded on the other side of the Indus in Gandhara and Gaznā two generations ago. We know that the father of Mihirkula was Toramana. Coins, however, reveal the existence of another king Rāmānilā who called himself Ramanila, king of Zabul, and whose bust faces the left instead of right on his coins in token of his independent status. Ghirshman129 identifies this king with Toramana but gives no reason in support of his view. It is likely that Rāmānilā was a predecessor of Toramāna and founded the Jauvla empire while the Hephthalites scored victories over the Sassanids and swept into India under Hepthal II. It is also not unlikely that Rāmānila belonged to a family that was different from that of Toramàna.

Thus, we observe that after their defeat in India the Jauvias settled in Kabul and Gazni and founded an empire120 on 'the other side of the Indus which reached its zenith under Toramana (cir, 51)-515 A.D.) and Mihirkula (cir. 515-544). The activities of these kings are fairy well-known to the students of Indian history. The Kuvalayamālā (eir. 778 A.D.) refers to the eamp of Torarāya (Toramana) on the bank of the Candrabhaga and the Jaina writer Somadeva (10th cent. A.D.) mentions a tradition that a Huna king conquered Citrakuța. In an inscription found at Eran in Malwa a chief named Dhanyavisnu is stated to be owing allegiance to Toramāna and in some seals discovered at Kaušāmbi near Allahabad there is a reference to the coming of the Hunas to the middle country. The Rajatarangini gives details of the campaign of Mihitkula in the south upto Ceylon and Hiuen T'sang and the Buddhist text Arva-man justi-mula-kalpa describe his invasion in eastern India. We learn from the Caturbhani, a work of the later Gupta period, that the Hūnas had become very prominent at places like Ujjayini. In the Pādatāditakam of Şyāmilaka, included in this collection, the Vita finding Bhatti Maghavarman, the son of the

¹²⁹ R. Ghirshman, Les Chionites-Hephlalites p. 35.

R. Ghirshman, (*ibid*, p. 32), holds that the Hephthalites conquered the state of Zabul in the fourth century A.D. during the reign of State in the fact that a control of State in the fact that a c bearing Zab" and showing ' (338-399) has been the Ling found at Setq-abad. But this coin does not bear the name of the king which shows that he was not a ruler of eminence but was some ordinary satrap or officer. The remark of Sung Yun is too explicit to admit of any other view.

-

commander Senaka, opening the door and entering the house of somebody, addresses him as follows

aye kasya khalvayam-ahüno huna-mandana-mandatah aryaghotakah Pataliputrakayāh Puspadasyā bhavanadvaram-āviskaroti

(Nirvarnya) Å jnätain obhirihäbadha-svetakasta-karinkä-prahasita - kapola - dešair - baddha - karair - asatjamapyasikri- sajjamitisänjah prativadibhir-lähe-dipidibhih süösthä senapateh senakasyaapatyaratna-bhattimaghavarmä bhavisyati Tanua sakyamenamanabhibhäsyätikramitum (Cohirbhant, ed Rämäkräna Kavi, p 15 ed V S Agravala and Moticandra pp 181-102)

It is clear from this quotation that at Ujjayini the Hunas had become so powerful and predominant that they could break open the house of anybody and enter it. Not only this, but the local people could also take the law into their hands in the garb of the Hunas In this connection it is interesting that white wooden earings were hanging on the checks of the followers and retainers of Bhattimaghavarman. The far-reaching conquests of the flunas were short-lived and Baläditya in the east and Yatodharman Vunuvardhana in the west inflicted erush ng defeats on Mihurakula and expelled luin from the interior of India

The defeat and death of Mihirakula marked the end of the palmy days of the Jauvia kingdom The Turk pressed into Gandhāra and drove the Hephthaltes from there. One of the vasals of the Turks was a king named Napki whose coins have been found by J Hackin in the sanctuary of Khair Khārīc near Kabul ¹²¹. At the time of Huen T'sang a Turkish prince was riling at Kabul 18 tht e time of Huen T'sang a Turkish prince was riling at Kabul 18 ut it appears that in Zabulistan the Jauvia dynasty continued to reign. The Chinese pilgrum noted that the king of that country had succeeded to a long the of kings and was a fullower of the cult of Sun or Ksun ³³. To that dynasty can be assigned the cours of king Vakha, the legends of which reveal a comprehensive Indianastion¹²⁴ and side by side prove that the

¹³¹ J. Hackan, "Rep-rition des monnaues unciennes en Afghanistun," *Journal Anaigue* (1935), p 269, see also M T C Martun, "Some Couns of the Nupka Malka class restruck by Sahu Tigin, "*Journal of the Royal Asiaric Society of Bengal*, *Numismatic Supplement*, Vol 46, p 6

¹³² S Beal, Buddhist Records, Vol 11, pp 285-286

¹³³ R. Ghurshman, Les Chronites-Hephtalites, p 45

5 Considerations about the date of Kalidusa

This brief outline of the history t of the Khiomite-Hephthalites provides the background of the reference to the Hūnas om the Ovus in the Raghuvams of Kähldasa. In order to determine the date, to which this reference can be precisely assigned, it is necessary to bear in mind the standpoint of Kähldasa. The poet begans his account of the north-western campaign of Raghu by stating that he set out to conquer the Persians (Pärasikas) ¹⁰⁷ This shows that the purmary object of Raghu was to crush the Pärasikas. But after defeating them somewhere near Begram and conquering the adjoining regions it] became imperative for the enquering the adjoining regions it] became upon the Hūnas on the Oxus ¹³⁸ This proves that the association of the Hūnas with the Pärasikas was so close that without conquering them the was due meaning[ess]¹³⁰ But though the

 ¹³⁷ Raghtranifa, VI, 61.
 पारसीकोरिसता जेतु प्रतस्ये स्थलवारमंगा । इतियाखानिव रिषुर्देतस्वज्ञानेन सबमी ।।
 ¹³⁸ Ibid, IV, 66.
 तत प्रतस्ये कौदेरी भास्वानिव रघुर्दिशम् । चर्दर्त्सरियोदीश्वागुढरियन् रसाणिव ।।
 ¹³⁷ For a detailed discussion of the geography of the North-West as described by Kälidäsa, see the next study
 ¹³⁹ An indication of the site of the battle of Raghtu with the Pärasikas is given in the following verse of the Ragnamisa विनयमरे स्य स्वापेश ग्युभिषिवयर्थमम् । यासरीगर्णाविनरत्याय इसामवयर्थम् ।

(Raghu IV, 65)

The warrors are stated to have shaken off the exhaution of the vectorous war with the Párasjkas by drahang wine in vineyards covered with choice shins The best grapes were produced in Käpis in ancient times and the wine distilled from them was far-famed The grapes of Käpis were known as farbingann dräka and their vone käpisis were known as farbingann dräka and their vone käpisis were known as grapes and kardiarda, nanufactured in the valley of Harahvast or Arghandah from black grapes which are alled kardiarda and that Taya syadeso and the state of the view of the state of Kanity all 20 ed. R Shamshastri, p 120 medvikaraso madhu Taya syadeso

page JA

Hünas were allied to the Pärasikas they were a people of some political standing and strategic importance which merited the pointed mention of them by a poot like Kälidäsa in the account of the campaign of a conqueror like Raghu. An insignificant tike could not have been mentoned by Kälidäsa, as the trend of bis description shows. Thus, we have to specify the period in which the

continued from page 323

yyäkhyänam käpisäyanam härahurakamiti, Pänini also refers to the wine of Kāpiši in his Sūtra IV, 2, 29 Kāpišyāh šphak. Currously enough, the ceramic found in the third stratum at Begram reveals a motif relating to the manufacture of wine. This motif shows a jar encased in two branches of vine from the ends of which bunches of grapes hang and on which two birds are perched. From the mouth of the jar emerges a stalk surmounted by a triangular object. This motif represents the equipment of manufacturing wine, jar, presser and filter. The triangular object placed on the presser is a conical filtering basket which the Romans called colum. The scene depicted here recalls the paintings found at Pompe 1. R. Ghirshman, (Bégram, Recherches archéologiques el historiqu es sur les Kouchans, pp. 69-70, Plate XIX, Hackin, Carl, M cunié, Diverses recherches archéologiques en Afghanistan (Paris 1959) p. 89). This motif proves that Begram was the home of grapes and the centre of the manufacture of its wine in ancient times. Besides this, a unique plaster medallion depicts the leaves and bunches of grapes. (Nouvelles recherches archéologique en Bégram, études comparatives (Paris, 1954), Vol I, p. 143; Vol II, figures 201). It is likely that the wine of Kāpisi-Begram was exported and stored in large quantities in the ancient period. Recently a Russian archaeological expedition led by M. E. Masson has discovered a large wine cellar in the remains of the Parthian capital at Nisa eleven miles north-west of Ashkhabad, the modern capital of Turkmenia. In that wine cellar nearly 2,00,000 litres of wine were once kept in clay pitchers. The writings in ink on pieces of broken pitchers have revealed that they were mainly connected with the delivery of wine to the big slave-owing palace and temple economy of Nisa. [M. E. Masson, 'New Light on Ancient Civilization', Soviet Union (December, 1954), pp. 28-29]; A. L. Mongait, Archaeology in the U. S. S. R. pp. 255-259.

The reference to the wine of grapes in the Raghuradia proves that the poet had the regions of Begram-Kapiti in mind while describing the war of Raghur with the Parislas. For a detailed discussion of this and allied problems see the next chapter. Hunas grew in political and military importance on the Oxus and side by side maintained their association and subservience to the Sassanids

It is manifest that the reference to the Hunas on the Oxus could not have been made in or after 455 456 A D , since in that year the Hunas appeared on the Indus and established their kingdom in Zabiilistan A writer of that period should have men tioned the Hunas on the Indus rather than the Oxus Similarly, it is unlikely that this reference could have been made in the first half of the fifth century for in that period the Hunas were worsted and vanquished by the Juan puan and the Sassainds and their relation with the Persian empire was that of yar and hostility We have seen that in the first two decades of the fifth century the Hephthalites suffered a serious setback as the absence of their coins in this period shows In about 420 A D they were invaded by Yazdegird and soon afterwards liquidated by Bahram Gor Baliram s successor Yazdegird II defeated them in 442 450 and renewed the war against them in 453-454 Thus we observe that in the first half of the fifth century the Hunas were not an im portant power in the Oxus Valley and could not deserve the notice of Kalidasa Besides this their relations with the Sassanids were not good and their association with them had broken down Hence Kalidasa's account, which implies that association cannot belong to this period

We are, thus, driven to place Kalidasa's reference to the Hunas between 356, when they first appeared on the threshold of the Sassand empire, and 399 the date of the accession of Yazdegrid I During the regin of Shithpuhr II the Knionite Hephiliahites were a floating mass of mercenaries reinforcing the ranks of the Sassanide rather than a strongly settled gaves on the Orax Though under Kutuhphe and Hephiliahite Hunas had begun to rise, they were no better than mercenary nomads in this period. The reference to the founding of the Hephiliahite kuigdoin about 366 376 A D means no more than the growth of their importance in insociation with the Sassands.

326

in Bactriana and their power considerably increased. It has been noted above that the Acts of the Martyrs of Eddia notes them under the name Hephtahlet in 384 for the first time. The opportunity of the Hephthalites arose from the preoccupation of Bahram IV with the disturbances of the Caucasian fronties. But they could not float the Sassanids and continued to ove allegiance to them. Thus, the political and military importance of the Hephthalites and their close association with the Sasanids were the dominant features of the history of Buctriana in the last decade of the fourth century A. D. It is, therefore, precisely in this decade that the reference to the Hunas on the Oxus un the Raghuvanjas of Kälidäps can be dated.

- I have proposed elsewhere¹¹⁰ to equate the account of the north-western conquest of Raghu given by Kalidasa with the reference to the conquest of the Bactrians (Välhlkas) after crossing the seven tributaries of the Indus-Kabul, Swat, Sindh, Jhelum, Chenab, Ravi and Beastin-by a king named Candra in the Mehrauli Iron Pillar inscription.142 The consensus of scholarly opinion is now in favour of identifying this Candra with Candragupta II Vikramāditya, Hence it follows that Caudragupta II led an expedition in Bactriana in order to remove the menace of the Sakas, Eusānas and Pārasikas 100t and branch. Recent archaeological researches have established that the third city at Begram was deserted by its inhabitants in the closing decades of the fourth century A. D. The people fied from the city under the pressure of invaders leaving their hearth and home intact. Thereafter life never returned to the city and time covered its empty remains with the sheet of sand and dust.133 About the same time
 - ¹⁴⁰ Buddha Piakash, "The Cental Asiatic Expedition of Candragupta Vikramåditya", Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal (Letters, 1947, pp. 31-39.
 - 141 J. W. McCrindle, Ancient India as described by Ptolemy, p. 81.
 - ¹⁴² J. F. Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. III, No. 32, lines1-2.

यस्योदसंयतः प्रतीपमुरमा दायून् समेरयामतान् । बङ्गेप्याहवर्यातनोऽभितिषिता खड्गेन कोतिमुंचे ।। तीर्त्या मध्यपुरानि पेन गमरे सिन्सोजिता नाह्यित्वाः । सस्याद्याध्यपिवाध्यते जन्तनिधिवीवानिलंदेशिणः ।।

143 Diverses recherches archeologiques en Afghanistan, p. 12

were abandoned the cities of Hopian near Charikar, Eskandria near Sarai-i-Khwaja and Tir-Andaz on the Kabul-Qandhar route It is certain that the desertion of these cities was the result of some great invasion The silver come of the Sassanid kings at Tepe Maranjan near Begram end with Shahpuhr III (383-338 A D) There we have 338 coins of Shahpuhr II, 24 of Ardashir II and II of Shahpuhr III 141 This shows that after 388 A D this region was lost to the Sassanids Most probably this invision was that of Candragupta Vikramaditya mentioned in the Mehrauli Iron Pillar inscription We do not know of any campaign of any other king in these regions in this period Ardashir II, Shahpuhr III and Bahram IV were weak monarchs and the latter two were too busy in the West to divert their attention to these regions. Moreover, there wis no necess-sity for them to campaign in these countries for they were already subordinate to them There is also no evidence of any invasion of nomadic peoples from Cntral Asia in this period Likewise, there them at that time On the contrary, we have the definite remark of Sung Yun that they occupied Gandhäri two generations before his time i.e., about 455 AD. In that period these people were entrenching their hold over the Bactrian regions, as seen above Hence the conclusion becomes irresistible that the ruin and deserdhai on the lower part of the body are of Gupta style. Of special interest are the medium-ized supple male figures clad in tunica itid with belts, trouvers tucked in full-boots reaching up to the knees and crowns with triple crescents having medial orbs. One figure weares bracelets and large earings of granulated style. In one upraised hand it holds a shield and in the other, that is slightly bent, weldt a long sword, the hilt of which is stringed to the belt. Behind it is another figure wearing armour with breast-plate, holding a weapon (mace) in right hand, which rests on the shoulder, and placing the other on the hilt of the sword dangling from the belt or sash. The face of this figure is missing but that of the other is clean shaven with soft features just as we come across on the coins of early Cupta kings. Hackin describes these figures as of solar and lunar deuties. But they seem to be based on exact representations of warriors bearing affinites with Gupta figures. (*Diversus reherchet Arketologiquie en Afghanistan* (Paris 1959) (pp. 49-50). This appearance and blossoming of Gupta art in Afghanistan in the vicinity of Käpiši is clearly the result of an intimate contact with India of the Gupta period.

It appears that the details of the north-western expedition of Candragupta Vikramāditya are given by Kālidāsa in the account of the campaign of Raghu in the north-west,

In the beginning of his reign Candragupta was busy restoring peace in his empire. Under Rämagupta, when the invasion of the Skas took phace, there was trouble in all parts of the empire. Hence Candragupta had to work hard to put his house in order. An echo of his campaign in the south-western parts of his empire is preserved in the Udayagiri cave inscription near Bhilsa, which states that he passed through these regions, while out on his expedition to conquer the carth.¹²² It is also likely that Candragupta annexed the castern provinces of Samatata and Daväka after duelling the rebellion that appears to have flared up there.¹⁴⁶ His diplomatic activities in the south are also notewority. About 380 A.D. he conquered the kingdom of the Western Kastrapas as ther long series of coint testifying to their almost unbroken rule for

¹⁴⁵ J. F. Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Inducarum, No. 6, line 5. हरस्तपृष्यीजयायन राज्येह महायात:

¹⁴⁶ Buddha Prakash, The Central Assatic Expedition of Candragupla-Vikramādilya, op. cit., p. 32.

more than 300 years comes to an end between 308 and 397 Thus, it is clear that in the first ten years of his reign Candragupta was busy in the wirs in his empire It was after 388, the date of the accession of Bahram IV, that he was in a position to undertake the expedition in the north-west

The aforesaid considerations lead us to hold that the invasion of the north west which served as the basis of the account of the conquests of Raghu up to the Oxus took place between 390 and 399 A D and that Kalidasa's reference to the Hunas on the Oxus belongs to that period

The above enquiry has led us to determine the exact period in which the great poet Kahdasa lived and wrote. It was held by a large number of scholars that Kahdasa belonged to the Gupta period ¹¹⁷ But now we are on *thras furma* and have got a definite date for the poet and can confidently assert that he flourished in or 390-390 A D

Addendum

Recently the question of the language of the Hung-nu has heen discussed by Louis Liget in his paper 'Mott de authzation de Hault Alse en transcerption choneur' Published in Acta Orientalie (Academaie Scientiarum Hungaricae Budapest) Vol I, Part I, pp 141 188 He has shown that the Hung nu language is not Altaic but lenis scan For instance, the Hung nu word for boots sightage (chinese, so to) is not attested in Turkish or Mongol of the Altaic group but in Ostak of the leniserian group He chink that the Hung-nu language belonged to what be calls 'Paleo join pleau asiatic' group, which borrowed some of their vocabulary from the Iraman dualacts of swithers. Substana

329

¹¹⁰ A B. Keth, 'Vikramaditya and Laldasa,' journal of the Royal Autor Scarety, (1990), p 433, B C. Majumdar, 'The date of Kälidasa, thit, 'p 731, 'J Bloch Dr. Zeit Kalidasa, Z D. M. C. (LALI), 'p Grif G. Recently VS Agruwala has adduced hierary and art evidence of scale that Kälidasa was a goet of the Gupta environment of the UP Humoral Scarety (1990), 'pp 189 195, 'Art Evidence in Kalinasa,' third, (1949) pp 61 53 Jyotranta' (1940), 'Yol Sarata' and 'a the addata' fandeala fande

CHAPTER XIV

The Geographical and Cultural aspects of the Northern Itinerary of Raghu as described by Kalidasa

1 The north u estern route across the Indus

The key to the understanding of the northern conquests of Raghu described by Kalidasa in the fourth cruit of this Raghuantis lies in the determination of the reading of its surty-sevently verse's In this verse it is controversal whether the reading ranku should be accepted or undua should be preferred. But, as shown in the prettiing study, the reading ranks is correct and indus is the result of a tratis implicate supplanting a traits difficultor in later times. Kalidua or Osus rather than the Sindhu or Indus. This shows that the northern campaign of Raghu reached up to the Yadiu which here is a indicate in the study of its grographical and cultural aspects.

According to Kälidösn, Raghu led his northern expedition aganut the Persons (paraika) along the land-route (*thalacation*) ¹ Eefore considering other aspects of this camprign it is destrible to specify this land-route. The researches of French archaeologust³ five thrown a flood of hight on this route and it is advisible to cest a flying glance at it

The ancient routes connecting India with the north western world presed along the tributances of the India that water southern Afghanistrin Trom the way the rivers Suvatu (Swat), Kubb (Kabul), Krumu (Kuram), Gonrul (Gonrul), Yavy'itat (Jhoh)

- ¹ Raghuramsa, IV, 67
- * Raghuramia, IV, 61 · पारगीवाश्लतो अंतु प्रतस्ये स्पलयतर्मना । इन्द्रियाल्नानिक स्पिंश्लरवज्ञानेन समसी ।।
- ³ A Foncher, Ia sufficiente de l'Inde de Institus a Tanti-(Mémoures de la Déleguison Archéologique Francaise en Afghanstan), 2 Vois (Paris, 1922-1917) J Hrchin, Carl J Meunic, Dierses recherches archeologiques en Afghanster (Paris 1939)

GEOGRAPHICAL AND CULTURAL ASPECTS OF RAGHU'S ITINERARY 331

and Sarasyati (Arghandab)4 are mentioned in the Reredait appears that their importance lay in the routes that passed along their banks. In the Reveda mention is also made of Hariyapiya (Hariyob) at the sources of the Kuram.5 The most important among these routes was that passing along the Kabul. Starting from Taksasila it crossed the Indus at the ford of Und. This crossing had become the site of a flourishing city which is called Udhhanda in the Rojatarangini, Udakabhanda by Hiuen T'sang, Wehand by Al-Biruni, Ohind by the people of Peshawar and Und by the common folk of the neighbouring areas. Its Persian name dar-i-hind shows that it was the veritable gate of India. Somewhere in the vicinity of this place the armies of Alexander crossed the Indus on a bridge of boats. There, Hiuen T'sang crossed this river by hoat at the time of entering into India and on the back of an elephant while going home. It was also there that the horses and camels of Babur waded through the Indus. But at the time of Akbar a permanent bridge was thrown across the Indus at Attock, Vrndätaka, and the traffic shifted to that route. Before that the Attock route was not prominent, though it is mentioned in the Mahābhārala.

From Und the old route reached Syahi in the north and from there bending in a westerly direction arrived at Shahbargarhi, where the Fourteen Rock Edicts of Atoka attest its importance as a traffic-centre. From that place a sub-route branched off in the north and passing through the Shahkot Pass realied Chakdari on the Swat and therefrom going along this river led to the north via Manglawar. The main route moved from Shahbazgarhi via Hotimardan and reached the confluence of the Swat (Landai) and the Kabul. The township of Prang marking this confluence is reminiscent of Prayaga which denotes the famous confluence of the Ganga and the Yamuna at Allahabad. There the great metropolis of western Gandhära, Puskalävati, was situated. Its site is marked by the present town of Charsadda and the echo of its name persists in the neighbouring village of Pakholi.⁶ After

continued on page 332

⁴ Hillebrandt, Vedische Mythologie, I, 99; III, 268.

⁵ Aurel Stein, 'An Archaeological Tour in Waziristan' (Mem-

Aurel Stein, 'An Archaeological 16th in tradinistic (no. cits of the Archaeological Surry of India, No. 37, p. 2.) Alexander Cunningham, Ascient Generaphy of India, pp. 49-51; J. W. McCrindle, The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p. 59. Kalidāsa has referred to Puskalāvati in Raghuvanās 8

crossing the Swat the main route passed through the Michni Pass and touching Shah Mansurkhel and Hudar Khan crossed the Kabul and then winding through a stony plateau and traversing the villages of Isagai and Warsak reached Dakka Since the foundation of Purusapura to the south of Puskalayati by Kaniska the route had deviated towards that city and from there passing by Jamrud and Ali Masjid and going through the Khaibar Pass opened out at Lands Kotal whence a turn to the north joined it to the old track at Dakka On this new route the remains of the stupa of Shpol and a monastery in the vicinity of Ali Massid still refresh the memory of ancient times From Dakka both these routes merged into one track which tackled the difficult sandy and stony terrain up to Jalalabad (Nagarahara) There the Kunar or Chitral meets the Kabul The Chinese travellers Fa-hien, Hiuen T'sang and Sung Yun have given glowing descriptions of the topes and monasteries of this place The great stupa, where, according to Hiuen T'sang, Dipankara had foretold the greatness of Buddha, is still called 'Ahanposh' (covered with iron) in that locality The cut in the hills to the south of the village Chahar Bagh, which is known as Siyali-sang (black mountain), represents the cave of Naga Gopila which Buddha is stated to have hallowed with his shadow? To the south of this place is Hidda (Hi lo) where the vast ruins of Tippeh Kalan bespeak its magnificence in the Buddhist period

From Jalalabad the main route traversed the barren and sandy land and passing by Chahar Bagh and crossing the Surkhurd nver took a turn to the north and going across the Kabul river reached Mandrawar on the Legiman river in the vest whose dans enshine the remains of Alexander's town Nikaia Perhaps the cells of this town rings in the name of the village called Nichars grain in Kafinstan The green and flowery valley of Laghman (ancrent Lampäka) sprawling at the feet of huge mountains constituted the worthern frontuer of India, according to Hugen Tsang. It was there that Babur felt the air of a new world that

continued from page 331

⁽XV, 89) This shows that this place retained its importince up to the time of Kähdissa (B C Law, Geographical Aspects of Kalidasa's Works (1954) p 5

¹ E. Caspani, 'The cave of the shudow of the Buddha at Nagrahara', Journal of the Royal Annite Society of Ilengel (1954) Vol XI (Letters) p 50

contrasted with the climate he had till then experienced. There, the remains of two big stupas are ensconced on the left bank of the Alingar at the slope of the Shanti mountain. If Higen T sang is to be believed, this country was dotted with dozens of stupis Leaving this valley the main route lunded into arid and dry plateau called Dasht i Slinitan (the devil s jungle) The runs of shrines and stunas are scattered in that land also Thereafter the route passed through the Budpash Pas, and came out at Na, hulu which dominates the confluence of the Panjshir and the Kubul From there the route moved in the north along the Mahipar river and entered into the valley of I gao where a polygonal luga attests the existence, of a Saw i temple Near this luga is a Buddhist stang After this valley comes the basin of Nyrno which abounds in old mounds From there the route advinced towards the could uence of the Panishir and the Gherband rivers and neared the neighbourhood of ancient Kapisi where a big stups stands as a sign post. There the comb and stream of the Panjshir and the Shutul embraces the common flow of the Ghorbrund and the Salang This was the famous crossroads of ancient routes. It is significant that the couns of the Greek governors Pantaleon and Agathoeles, found there, denict the Greek goddess of crossroads Heentes

2 The valley of Lapisi

Half of the valley of Kapisi is known as Kohd man and half is called Kohistan. In its north is Jabal Sarie the site of a m dern electrical project, in the middle is Begram and in the west, Charikar The southern flank of the valley is inarked by holid iman. The site of Buri i Abdullah enshrines the remains of the an jent city Around this place the runs of a large number of stupas and monasteries litter the land To its cast is the Koh i top or Koh i Pahalvan which stands for that famous hill where Hitten I sing noted a natural representation of Avalokitesiara The important archaeological sites here are Qol i Nader and Tepe Kalan 1 Meunie has identified the runs of the monastery discovered at the former site as those of the convent of Ghinese hostages built by Kuniska at hapis Here the runs of a stupa having a reliquary and a sangharama have been found. It was a square structure with a gate, galler, hall and side rooms In the methes the figures of Buddha have been found A bronze com of Kamska was also found at that site (Diverses richrches archéologiques et Afghanista i p. 123) In ancient times there was a prosperous settlement of the Greeks in this city

Alexander had founded a military cantonment after his name near Jabal-Saray But in the age of the Indo-Greek kings the centre of civil administration had shifted to Kapisi I E J Rajson⁸ has read the legend on a coin of Apollodotus restruck by Eukratules as *kawis*. The elephant shaped crowns of some of these rules symblise the god of the locality, whose representation was noted by Huien T'song in the Plu sar, a hullock shaped to the likeness of the head of an elephant Alexander Cunninghan⁹ has identified Kalau, the birtheplace of Meaninder, according to the *Milindophila*, with Kapisi In his view this variant Kalasi is due to an ortho graphical solecistin of the Ceylonces scribe Foucher has shown that the Alaxanda-dupa of the *Milindophile* is identical with the valley of Kapisi ⁷⁰

Archaeological researches have shown that Kāpisi was a feu rishing sity. It was entered into through a southern gate that was walled for defence purposes. In course of tune a stupa was raised

विजिग्में जावल द्वीप प्रतिविच्च्य च पायिवम् ।

दाकनद्वीपवासादव सप्तद्वीपेषु ये सृषा ।

ग्रजुनस्य च मन्येस्तीविगहस्त्रमलाऽभवत् ।

In Arabic the 'doub' of Tigris and Euphrates is called 'd journ'. The situation of Käpis was not unlike that of Salari (Stilkol). Since it is likely that Kälasi of Alasind'dipa may be an error of writing on the part of the Ceylonete scribe, it would be safer to locate Alasindadipa in the valley of Kapis'.

⁸ Cambridge History of India, Vol I, pp 29, 555

A Cunningham, Ancient Geography of India, p 28

¹⁰ A Foucher, La weille route de l'Inde de Bastres a Tavila, Vel II p 218 in the Chanese translation of the Mindbapatho Alsanda (Al isan) is stated to have been sumated on the sea-shore For this reason Pelliot, Demierille, Finet and Sylvan Levi have deutified Alsanda with Alexandra an Egypt (P. Pelliot, Journal Anatague (1919), pp 413 417, P Demierille, Bullista de Pecele Francity d'Etterne Orient (1924), p 169, L. Finot, Mindbalath (French translation), p 157, Sylvan Levi, Miesandrez (alexandre dans les documents Indiers', Memorial Syloam Lea (edited by Jacques Bacol), p 417). It appears that hu urew is mainly based on the association of the word dys (island) with Alasanda in the Mindbalaho Bit it in not worthy that the word dips means an 'island' as well at the 'doab' of two rivers. In the Makabharala (II, 26, 56) hit deals of Gandhara and the Pangba are cilled 'dia par.

near that entrance There, the coms of Indo-Greek and Kusāna kugs up to Vāsudeva have been found, those of Vāsudeva, showing two types, numbering 65 There are two pieces of the Sassand prince Hormizd II also who is said to have married a Kusāna princes From this gate started a long and wide road flanked by a bazaar This road was joined by side-lanes dividing the city into sectors. Among the objects found at the site of the bazaar are jars, vases and bowls, earthen lamps of many designs, toy-horses and lephants, jems, ornaments, rings and carings, bases of stone columns, objects of hone, ivory and gold, iron lances, arrow-heads, chains etc., proving the evistence of an armament workshop, and Buddha heads with traces of painting indicating the studies of arists hear Kapisi. (Begram) are the famous sites of Marenjan, Fandukastan and Guldara represented by Buddhst monasteries having beauful paintings of Bodhastivas etc. (Diversi trakeloopaine en Alfahanita pp 83 91)

3 The Yavana Cantonment

Hiven T'sang states that at a distance of 40 h from Kåpisi was a place named 5:-p¹-to-fa-ha-seu which has been equated with speta-vara (white boar) by Pellot ¹¹ But as Foucher has suggested the suffix 'fa-la-seu' may be transcribed as tarz meaning 'place, residence' instead of *varaz*.¹⁰ On this showing, the name of this place would mean a 'white residence' This was also the name of the encampment of the Persians at Memphis in Eg.pt, according to Herodotus (111, 91). This meaning of the word in question provis that this place was a military cantonneat of the Persians in ancient times. It was perhaps in view of the strategic importance of this locality that the aforesiad settlement was laid there. Thus, we find that there was a Greek colony in Kapisi and in its vicinity there was a Persian cantonment also

Advancing along this land-route the armies of Raghu had their first encounter with the Yavanas or Greeks¹³ On this route the

13 Raghuvamsa, IV, 61

यवनीमुखपद्माना सेहे मधुमद न स ।

बालातपगिवाञ्जानामनालजलदादय ।।

Kalıdāsa shows his knowledge of Takşasılā and Puskalāvati (Raghuvanisa, XV, 89). From this it is clear that he had the route of Puskalavati in view

¹¹ Journal Asiatique (1923), p 162

¹² Foucher, La vieille route de l'Inde de Bastres a Taxila, Vol 11, p. 371

Greek settlement at Kapisi continued to exist for a fairly long time In its rums several heads of Dionysus have been found and a headless statue of a Roman soldier has been discovered 14. This image is dressed in a short tunic and is shown holding a big shield in the left hand This shield has an oval and elongated form and its middle part consists of a protuberant shaft (omthalos) It represents the sculum that was in vogue in the Roman army in the period dating from the end of the Republic to the advent of the Empire Another important find of this type from Begram is a pair of glass vases on which two warriors are represented as driving to battle in cars followed by infantrymen. On the basis of the inscriptions found on these vases O Kurz has identified these heroes with Hector and Achilles whose fight is described by Homer in the 22nd canto of the Ihad In this painting these two warriors are shown going to battle in their chariots A significant peculiarity of this painting is the representation of the fighting of these warriors in chariots, whereas ordinarily they are shown going to the battle in their chariots but alighting from them on the battlefield and fighting on foot there Beades this, the charioteers are not shown in this painting The warriors hold the reins of the horses themselves This type of fight in chariots is found on the coins of Ilion in the Roman Imperial Age for the first time This datum sheds some light on the date of this painting In this painting a host of Greek infantrymen and cavaliers are also represented in their peculiar costumes This battle scene seems to have been a favourite theme of Gracco-Roman armies 16 These finds attest the military importance of this settle ment It appears that these Greeks were subservient first to the Kusanas and then to the Sassanids

In fact, there was another Greek settlement at Nysa also. It was in existence even before the invarion of Alexander. It has been identified with Koh-i-mor in the Swat valley by Holdich, with Nysatta, a village near the northern bank of the Kabul river about

¹⁴ R. Ghurshman, régran, Recherches archeologiques et historiques sur les Kouchans (Claire, 1946), p. 53

¹⁸ O Kurz m J Hackm and others, Nouvelles recharches arches logques en Begram, Vol I, p 103, Vol II, Pattes 201263 Statuettes of bronze sepecially those of Hercules crowned with an Egyptian calvinus, a ruder un classical gards and a philosophier of low-comedy type are indications of the Yavina cantonment (Mortuner Wheeler, Rome based the Imprint Prointers, p 194).

six miles below Hashinagar, by Vivien de Saint Martin and Bellow, with the neighbourhood of Mount Elum called Ram Takht at the foot of which is a cluster of old towns bearing Greek names derivable from Bacchos Lusa (Nysa), Lyocah (Lyaeus), Elye, Awan, Bimeeter (Bimeter), Bokra (Bou-Kera), and Kerauna (Keraunos), by Abbott, with Nagarahara (Jalalabad) by McCrindle and with a place in Bajaur, that is, the hilly country of Yaghistan between the Kunar and the Swat, by Foucher 16 In this connection it is sufficient to note here that Nysa lay in that campaign of Alexander, which he undertook in the northern lands of the Aspasioi and the Assakenoi (Asvakas) from Nikaia The main part of his army marched under Henhaistion and Perdikkas along the route that traversed the bank of the Kabul and crossing the Michni Pass reached Puskalavati and thence appeared on the Indus. Nisa is not mentioned on this main route Hence it is clear that the Greek settlement of Nysa was somewhat removed from the main route But the description of Kähdäsa does not show that Raghu deviated from the main route in order to vanquish the Yavanas By referring straightway to the main landroute (sthalavarima) he has rather suggested that the conqueror continued to advance along it Besides this fact, we do not get any trace of the existence of Nysa or Nikaia in later times Its unportance dwindled soon after the retreat of Alexander from India Hence it is fairly certain that the encounter of Raghu with the Yavanas took place at Kapışı rather than the sites mentioned obove

4 The battle of Begram

Just after measuring swords with the Yavanas, Raghu had in face the vast cavalry of the Persians II has been noted above that very near Kapisi with the military base of the Persians at Spetvercz Somewhere in the neighbourhood of that place the battle of Raghu with the Persians took place. The narrative of Kähidasa makes it crystal clear that Raghu fought will the Persians of Raghu shook off the exhaustion of the war with the Persians by drinking wine in

¹⁶ J W McGrundle, The Invarian of India by Alexander the Great, pp 338-40 B M Barua, Asoka and his Inseriptions, p 96 A Foucher, La weille route de l'Inde de Bactres a Taxila, Vol 11, pp 208, 260

338

the vineyards covered with choice skins.17 In ancient times the grapes of Käpisi were considered the best and the wine distilled from them was far-famed. The grape of Kāpiši was called kāpišāyani dralsa and its wine lapisayanam madhu, Kauthya refers to two varieties of wine : kapisayang, produced in the region around Kapisi from green grapes and harahuraka manufactured in the valley of Harahvaiti or Arghandab from black grapes called harahürā.18 Pāņini also refers to the wine of Kapisi in his sutra (IV,2,29) hapisyah sphak. Even such a late author as Dhanapäla has referred to käpisäyana, the wine of Käpisi, in his prose-romance Tilakamatiari. According to this author, it was a favourite drink in royal circles and was reddish is colour like the eyes of a woman having hatred for her cowife or the petals of a red lotus.19 Curiously enough, the ceramic found in the third startum at Begram reveals a motif relating to the manufacture of wine. This motif consists of a jar encased in two branches of vine from the ends of which bunches of grapes hang and on which two birds are perched. From the mouth of the jar emerges a shaft surmounted by a triangular object. This motif represents the equipment of manufacturing wine jar, presser and filter. The triangular object placed on the presser is a conical filtering basket which the Romans called colum. The scene depicted here recalls the paintings, found at Pompeii. Besides this, a unique plaster medallion depicts the leaves and bunches of grapes forming a design

17 Raghuvamsa, IV, 65 :

विनयन्ते स्म राखोपा मयुमिविजयथमम् । आस्तीर्थाजिनरत्नाम् द्राक्षायलयभूमिषु ।।

¹⁸ Arthasāstra of Kauțilya, II, 26, edited by R. Shamshastri, p. 120 :

मद्दीकारसो मधु । तस्य

रवदेशो व्याख्यानम् नापिधायनं हारहूरकमिति ।

¹⁹ Tilakamañjari, with the commentary of Santyacärya, Vol. J, p. 74 : कवाविवीध्यारणप्रतिप्रमदाकटाक्षकर्युरमुपरिशिध्तरक्तोरवलयामिव वारि तायनं स्वयमुलियस्यमाचित्रयभयकव्वज्विक्रकाप्रतिमियु प्रामायतले प्रेमपरवर्ग प्रणयकुपिताः प्रेयसी: सानुवयमपाययत् ।

¹⁹ R. Ghirshman, Bégram, recherches archéologiques et historiqu's sur les Kouchans, pp. 69-70, Pl. XIX. of symmetrical arches ³⁰ These maty: prove that Berrun was the, home of grapes and the centre of the manufacture of its wine in menet times. It is likel, that the wine of Berrun (k, ipski) was exported and stored in large quantities in that period. Recently a Russian irrelicological mission led by MF Mission has discovered a large wine cellur in the remains of the Parthum capital it Nisa, elesen miles north west of Ashabaid the modern capital of Tinkmenia. In that wine cellar nearly 200,000 litres of wine were once kept in clay pitchers. The writings in ink on pices of broken pitchers have revealed that they were mainly connected with the delin erg of wine to the B glace continue, piller and temple $O_1 Na^{-1}$

The reference to the wine of grapes in the Raghutania proves that the poet had the regions of K spisi on the land route in mind while describing the war of Raghu with the Persons

5 The Parasikas al Benram

In this context Kulidari has referred to some other matters of considerable historical and cultural interest. The most important fact is implicit in the remark about the Persians (Parasikas). The very object of the expedition of Raylin was the conquest of the Persians. It is well known that the rise of the Sustand empire eclipsed the power of the kuranas. Up to the time of Varahran II (A D 276-293) the governor of Khurans in used to be a prince of the royal blood bering the tutle of Kuranjah." In the time of Shahpuhr II (A D 309-379) the Kurans rused their heads as is manifest from the pompoint title of decapiterstalityli mutshift, emgupta but they were soon mown down and Simbpuhr signalized his ascendancy over them by the issue of a special type of coms decange line name in Tokharan charveters." At a plece nimed

Afghanistan , Journal Asiatique (1935), p 287

⁷⁰ J Hackin and others, Voutelles recherches archiologiques en Beoram Vol I, p 143, Vol II, Iig 281 Duerses recherches arci-lologiques en Afoha usiha in p 89

²¹ M E Masson New Light on an Ancient Guilization Soviet Union (December 1954) pp 28 29

² Ernst Herzfeld Lusha Sassa ian Cours (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India) No 38, Paikuli, Vol I, p 42 Joseph Huckin Repartitions des monnaies anciennes en

[&]quot; H H Wilson, Ariana Antiqua, Pls XII, XIII, XIV

Gunde-Peisa about three miles to the south-east of Begram a piece of ceramic depicting two winged horses facing each other in heraldic pose has been unearthed.21 This motif represents the emblem of the Sassanid empire. Its discovery in the vicinity of Kapisi conclusively shows that this region was within the sphere of influence of the Sassanids. We have referred to the discovery of the coins of Hormizd II at Begram. At Tepe Maranjan the coins of Peroz, Hormizd, Varahran and Shahpuhr are abundantly found. In an inscription of Persepolis a high Judge of Kabul named Slok is said to have praved that Shahouhr II would return to Kabul in salety. The date of this inscription has been deciphered by Herzfeld as A, D, 356.25 This clearly proves that the main nucleus of Kusana empire had passed under the hegemony of the Persians by that time as seen in a preceding study. The discovery of the Merv coins of Shahpuhr II in the excavations at Taxila also proves that the Persian forces had penetrated up to the farthest limits of the Kusāna empire.21 The impact of the Persians was also felt in the realm of art and religion as the progressive engrafting of the elements of the cult of Mithra on Buddhism in Afghanistan demonstrates. In the art of Bamiyan Buddha has been dressed in the garb of Mithra and the representation of the eight Buddhas recalls the eight Magas or Shojakas, Milira, Niksubhā, Rājāl, Daņdanāyaka, Pingala, Rājña, Strausa, and Iša Garumtat, who are the eight divine forces emanating from the body of the sun-god.27 Thus, we observe that the realm of the Kusinas had virtually passed under the domination of the Persians. The reference to the Parasikat in these regions in the Raghuvania illuminates the entire political situation of the carly Sassanid period.

The attention of Kälidäsa is particularly fixed on the beards of the Persians.²⁴ In this connection a legend mentioned in the

⁸ Raghuvanisa, IV, 63: भल्लापवर्जित्सरोपां सिरोभिः काम्स्रुसौमंहीम् । तस्तार सरयाव्याप्तीः स क्षोद्रपटलेरिय ।।

²⁴ R. Ghirshman, Bégram op. cit., p. 70, Pl. C. 25 Ernst Hosefeld, K. J. S. Start, P. 70, Pl. C.

²⁵ Ernst Herzfeld, Kushano-Sassanian Coins, p. 36.

²⁶ John Marshall, Archaeological Survey of India, Annual Report (1914-15), Nos. 43-49; (1915-16), Nos. 51-52.

A. and Y. Godard and J. Hackin, Les antiquites bouldhiguts de Baniyan (1928), Pl. XXII.

Harivanisa Purana is very interesting King Sugara who wis bent upon destroying the Sakas, Yavinas Kambojas, Paradas and Pahlavas relented and released the first after having half of their head shaved, the second and third after having the whole of their head shaved, the fourth after compelling them to keep their hair dishevelled and the fifth after forcing them to keep their beards 29 A pointed mention of the beards of the Pahlavas or Persians is also found in the Vayu Purana and the Visna Purana (IV, 3) In the Mahabharata (XII 65) the Pahlayas are described as "hairy The art of that period attests the prominence of beard in the representation of the facts of the Persians In a picture of a four armed Bodhisattya dressed in the guise of a Persian knight found at Dandan Oilig the black beard and whiskers are prominently dis played 30 Kalidasa refers to the beards of the Persians as honey combs The Sassanian monarchs are shown as having curly beards passed through rings The coins of Pero and Varahran reveal such beards Such beards were the exclusive privilege of Sassanian (Paruck Sassanian Coms p 350) monarchs

The army of these bearded Persian soldiers consisted mostly of eavalry⁴¹ Generally speaking nll Aryan tribes knew horse rading but the nomadic people of Central Asia made special proficiency in horsemanship and their life was intimately connected with the horse At Begrum the figurines of cavaliers have been found in the niches of rooms. The bonnets of these horsemen are conical in form and trident shaped clips are inserted in their fronts. Long hair flowing from either side cover the ears. The chins are a bit raised and long straight monstaches join the tressed. The bodies are covered with long tunies and the legs are dressed in trouvers³².

सम्रामस्तुमु नस्तस्य पाश्चारयै रश्वसाधने ।

गाङ्गवू जितवनीयप्रतियोध रजस्यभूत ॥

Samaga means a trumpet rather than a bow The Persian cavalry used to give the signal for battle by trumpets Bana has also referred to Samagas which the adherance riding on elephants were holding in these hands (V S Agrawala Haracente A Cultured Study (in Hindi) p. 147 quoting Clement Huart Arcent Persan and Imana Guidi, ading p. 151)

33 R Ghirshman Begram, Pls XX, XLVI

²⁹ Harwanisa Purana XIII, 763 64, 775 83

³⁰ V A Smith History of Fine Arts in India and Ceylon p 310

³¹ Ragluvamsa IV 68

Similar figurines have also been found at Airasiabas near Samarkand. Tali Barzu in Sogdiana, Dura-Europs** in Syria and Memphis in Egypt 35 Ghirshman holds that these figurines represent some Saka deity and were kept for worship in the cornices of rooms.3 They prove the dependence of the life of the people of Central Asia, especially the Sakas, on the horse. The Sakas were so proficient in horse-lore that they were invariably employed as horse-grooms in the North-West In the representation of a Jataka scene on an ivory plaque found at Begram the groom attending on the royal horse (mangalasia) is dressed in a long Scythian tunic and a conical bonnet. In some other drawings also the horsetraders are Scythians ** In fact, the horse is ubiquitous at Begram A large number of seulptures and engravings relate to the horse." From this point of view the mention of the cavalry of the Pärasikas, in which the Sakas must have prominently figured, assumes a singular significance.

After the conquest of the Parasikas the object of Raghu was well-nigh achieved. But the trend of the narrative of Kalidas shows that he did not consider lik position secure and felt it necessary to advance in the north. His position secure and felt it necessary to advance in the north. His position secures to be analogous to that of Alexander at Käpisi. In the north trothle flared up in Bactria and it became imperative for the conqueror to quell it. Hence he penetrated into the north and pounced on the H0nas on the Oxus. This shows that the connection of the H0nar with like Persians was close that without conquering them the victory of Raghu over the Persians was of no consequence. But though they dimas were inimately associated with the Persians they were not wholly subservient to them and had some political importance and strategic significance of their own in virtue of which a poet like Kalidaia deemed it desirable to mentoon them in connection with the conquests of a conqueror like Raghu. A minor and junimp⁶

.

³³ G. Trever, Les Monuments de l'art Grèco-Bacinen, Pl. XL.

³⁴ M. I. Rostovtzeff, Dura and the Problem of Parthian Art, pp. 188-89.

³⁵ Flinders Fetrie, Memphis. Vol I, p. 15, Pl. XL.

⁸⁶ R. Ghirshman, Begram, p. 75.

³⁷ A. Foucher, Deux Jataka sur ivoure, Nouvelles rechtrehes archeologiques en Begran, Vol. I, pp. 84-85; Vol. II, Pl. 96.

²⁹ J. Hickin and others, Nouvelles reclusches archeologiques on Begram, Vol. II, Pls. 153, 154, 156.

tunt people could not have been alluded to by lum as the trend of his narrative shows

6 Routes from Lapist to the Orus

For the northern expedition three routes branched off from Kamis These routes passed through the maze of mountain valleys Near Kapisi and Parian the ranges of Kohi safed and Kohi baba come to an end and the peaks of the Hindukush begin to greet the eves The Hindukush is pitchforked between the ranges of Kafiristan in the cast and that of Hazariat in the west just as Java is sandwhiched between Sumatra and Borneo The rivers separating the Hindu kush from these mountains surround it like a girdle. In its north the Andarab flows towards the east and the Such ab flows in the west These rivers respectively meet the Panjshir and the Ghorband which flow in the south of Hindukush in the eastern and western directions. In the cast near the confluence of the Andarab and the Panishir is the Khawak Pass and in the west near the confluence of the surkh ab and the Ghorband is the Ak Robat Pass Along these mers and through these passes routes lead from Kapisi and Kabul to the north In the north of the Hindu kush there is a third range of mountains stretching from Badakshan to Band 1 Turkestan Several rivers cut it at many places and merge in the Oxus In the north of Hindukush the routes passed along the valleys of these ravers

From Kapisi one route moved in the west along the Ghorband, passed by Juy e dukhtarin and Chahar dih and recossed the Shibar Pass then going neur the ancient walls of Shahr 1 Zohak reached the caravanseral of Topchi Leaving this place and moving ahead one comes across two colossu of Buddha on one side and the runed ramparts of a Mohammedan citadel nn the other Nearby is the famous rest of Bamiyan The remains of its convents and monasteries are still proclaiming its ancient glory Between the two big standing Buddha images was the Buddhist establishment founded by 'an old king if the country according to Huen T'sang Another monastery was centred round the one thousand feet long lying statue of Buddha in Parmurgan pose which is now a-days called the Aidaha Besides these convents dozens of other sanctuaries once marked this site Archaeologi is have discovered numerous grottos in Bamiyan containing traces of paintings and holes in walls for fixing statics with gudgeons Two figures of the Parinir v ma of Buddha and of Bodhusattia Vagrapani are really remarkable

The Bodhisattvas are shown to be wearing diadems having three crescents and three or bs respectively with two ribbons floating right and left, a typically Sassanid design. They also wear bracelets, collars, ear tops and searts hanging from both the shoulders." From the floors of these grottos numerous old Sanskrit manuscripts have been found.40 After refreshing and replenishing themselves at Bamiyan the caravans moved towards the north. Just after leaving Bamiyan they had to tackle the difficult pass of Ak-Robat. After crossing it the route passed through frightening mountain peaks. After scaling them and traversing the valley of the Saighan the route entered the terrible pass of Dandan-Shikan. Then came the valley of Madar and the pass of Kara Kotal. From there the route bent in the north-west and along the bank of the Darra Yusuf went up to its confinence with the Band-i-Pamir and then along the joint stream of these rivers known as Balkh-ab approached the northern spurs of the Elburz mountains and entered the famous city of Balkh by the southern gate. Balkh proper has been so repeatedly ravaged by invaders that we hardly hope to find anything tangible there except for pieces of ceramic which resemble those of Bégram, Surkh Kotal and other places.41 But near Tash-Kurgan archaeologists have succeeded in uncarthing the ruins of Shahr-l-Banu and Zaker-Tepe. At the former site the coins of Euthydemus, Heliocles, Kadpises II and Huvishka und fragments of bowls of baked clay have been found. Among other finds are a small head of horse in baked clay, a statue of an infant and a plaster plaque showing a human figure. At the latter site the coins of Väsudera and many Kushano-Sassanid pieces have come to light, besides remnants of swords, daggers, arrow-heads etc.42 This was the most frequented route of ancient times.

The second route moved from Kāpisi along the hank of the Panjshie in the east and crossing the Khawak Pass near its confluence with the Andarab turned to the west. The high peaks of this pass are called Poll-si-na by Hinen T'sang, Parsiana by Piolemy, Apärsön in Pelivi and Uparissena (uparis) enas in the Jerna. This is perhaps identical with the Kukkupagiri menitomed by Panial.

⁴⁹ Diverses richerthes archeologiques en Afghanistan pp. 1-6.

⁴⁹ Sylvain Lévi, 'Notes sur les manuscrits Sanskrit provenant de Bamiyan', Journal Aiatique (1932).

[&]quot; J. C. Gardin, Geraniques de Bactres (Paris 1957) pp. 1-13.

¹² Diverses retherches archéologique en Afghanistan pp. 78-80.

From there the route moved in the west along the Andarab and passing by Samandan penetiated into the Murgh Pass in the porth After leaving this pass the route passed Narin via Yarm and then marching along the Kunduz river arrived in the Kataghan province of modern Afghanistan From the city of Kunduz the rippling fields of the Oxus regions greet the eves From Kunduz a straight route joined Tashkurghan on the Khulm river and then moving in the west approached Mazar Sharif and Balkh But this route was very repelling and difficult It passed through sandy expanses where water is extremely difficult to get. Hence the caravans often moved southwards from Tashkurghan and reached Haibak and thence taking a circuitous turn in the cast moved along the Kunduz in the north The road junction of Haibak was a flourishing centre of trade and traffic in ancient times. The remains of an old stupa and four grottoes attest the existence of a big Buddhist establishment at that place. This sangharama consisted of an assembly hall, a dormitory and a residence of the viharasvamin Huen T sang observed that in the vicinity of Kunduz, which can prohably be identified with Kundamana of the Mahabharata. there were ten monasteries⁴³ The peasants while digging a canal three kilometers to the porth east if Kingluz have found the base of a wall of clay bricks which represents the north-western wall of a square Buddhist establishment (50 metres) adjoining a smaller monastery in the south-west Some fragments of statuettes have also been found there. The finds from there include six heads of roundish features, two circular medallions, a small statue representing a garuda and a fragment of a foot wearing a sandal. Hackm has shown that the plan of construction resembles the Iraman pattern which converts the square into an octagon by gwing inward turns to the angles. The walls of the office bear traces of nolychrome paintings as well as holes in which i e great statues of the Buddhas and Bodhisativas were fixed by cadron-plus One of the heads found there can be compared to a markle head from Counth 41

reached Tashkurghan via Haibak. But this route remained covered with snow for eight months in a year and used to become impassable.

There are some facts to show that Raghu did not follow the Bamiyan route. (1) Just after defeating the Hünas on the Oxus Raghu conquered the Kāmbojas. As will be shown later, the Kāmbojas occupied the Budakhshan regions Had Raghu reached the Oxus at Balkh by the Bamiyan route, he would have traversed either the terrible route between Tashkarghan and Kunduz or taken a turn in the south via Haibak. This route was very eumbrous, circuitous and long and there is nothing to show that he took so many turns (2) According to Kälidäsa, Raghu moved right in the north 51 If he would have advanced on the Bamiyan route he ought to have first turned west Thus, it is clear that Raghu took either the route of the Khawak and Murgh passes or that of the Salarg Pass and reaching the Oxus fought with the HÖnzs.

7. The conquest of the Hunas on the Oxus

It appears that Raghu's encounter with the Hunas took place in that region of the Oxus which lies between the Walshab and the Aksab. This region is called Khuttal by Arab geographers and Haittal in Persian. It is also known as Khuttlan or Khutlan following the nomenclature Kutl used by Al-Idrisi and Kho-to-lo by Hiuen T'sang. In the works of the T'ang period it is named Ku-tu or K'o-tu-lo and its king as sekin and his son as she. Curiously enough the imprint of a seal bearing the legend 'dag setak Hutlan' AT.4 SITAK HT.AN has been found on a piece of white skin that has been discovered at Mong on the Zarafshan.45 The letter on which this seal is impressed is addressed to 'Divastich, king of Sogdiana, lord of Samarkand'. This king Divastich is identical with the dihqun of Samarkand mentioned by Tabari. A letter written by him to Emir Jarrah bin 'Abdullah, the governor of Khurāssān (A.D. 717-719), in Arabic, shows that he was a vassal of the Arabs His overlord in Sogdiana was one Gürek who followed a policy of appearement towards the Arabs.

तत्तः प्रवस्ये कौवेरी भास्तानिव रधुविंगम् । गरे इनेरियोधीच्यानुद्धरिष्यन् रसानिव ।।

⁴⁵ Raghuvathsa, IV, 66;

⁴⁶ A. A. Freimann, Soudian Collection (1934), pp. 7-8 (in Russian).

Divastich broke away from this policy and tried to assert the independence of his state in Sogdiana by force of arms Ultimately he was defeated and decapitated by the Arabs in AD 722 The king of Khuttal whose seal is under consideration is identical with she of the Chinese records, she and set being the transcriptions of the same word He sent many embassies to the court of the T ang offering presents of horses In 720 he solicited the assistance of the Chinese against the Arabs 4" His name was Iwdag as is manifest from the seal. It seems that he and his ally Divastich were working together against the Arabs We know that Khuttal is a form of the word Hattal or Hephthal or Hutlan,48 the surname of Iwdag Hence it is clear that the Hephthalites continued to rule over this region right from the time of their settlement there during the reign of Shahpuhr II up to the period of Arab conquest and gave it their name also ""

8 The funetary custom of the Hunas

Kalidası referred to a peculiar funerary custom of the Hūnas, when he stried that the valour of Raghu expressed itself in the wounded checks of their ladies to The correct import of (this remark is mused by ancient commentators Mallinåthri, for instance, observes that the checks of the Höna women were made red by being on account of sorrow caused by the death of their hubands a

तत्रहूणावराधाना भतुं पुब्धक्तवित्रभम् । वपोतपाटनादनि वभूव रघुवेप्टतम् ॥

51 Mallinatha's commentary on Raghuanira IV, 68

¹⁷ Ldouard Chavannes, Documents sue les Tou-Kute Occidentaux, Notes additionnelles p 43

⁴⁸ G Le Strange, The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p 438, n 1

⁴⁹ The word Yuons scems to be the same as Khon or Hom that figures as a surname on the couns of the Heplithalte kings This word Khona appears to have been based on the Sogdian word "kahëvan' meruang a "king", as shown by F W K. Muller (Sogdiark Tete, 1, Volo) That the Khon or the Kluontes were identical with the Heplithaltes and that they were a people of Indo European rather than Turl ish stock has been shown by R Ghirshman (*Ize Chanter Heplithaltes* were an Indo European people helds that the Hepbilialtes were an Indo European people He goes to the extent of bolding that their homeland lay in Tokkaristan (The Origin of the Hephihalites or White Hums', Last and Wiel (1955), pp 231 237

⁵⁰ Raghuramsa, IV, 68

But this is little better than a guess. The reading avjergreating is textually incorrect as well as grammatically defective. The Taking this correct reading is क्योलपारनादेशि तभव रघचेष्टितम् reading as correct the oldest commentator of the Raghuvamsa Ballabhadeva has explained this line as follows : कपोलपाटनमादिवतीति पतिवधाद भार्या घदत्व कुचकपोल नखैंबिदारधन्ति. On the basis of this reading the commentators Caritryavardhana and Sumativijaya have observed : हणयोणित: कुचकपोनविदारणपूर्वकं इदन्तीति तहेगाचार:. Similarly Dinakara Misra has remarked : प्रवरोध डाव्देन सक्षणया स्टिय उच्यन्ते । तवदेगे हणजनभेदास्तुदञ्जनाना कपोलयो पाटनं नर्खविदारणमादिगतीति तादृगं रधोक्चेर्टितं वभूव । कीद्यं तद् भत्ं गुहणेषु प्रकटो वित्रमो यस्य तत्तादृगम् । हणस्त्रिय : क्यो तपाटनपूर्व रोइदग्तीति तद्वेगाचार: Likewise the commentator Udayakara wrote in his Rochuramsa Prakasika : कृपालयी: पाटन नखींवचारणमादिशति कथयति यत्तत्वपोलपाटनादेशि, Gunavijayaganin in his l'isriartha-bodhika commentary noted : बयोलयो: पाठनं नर्खविदारण-मादिशतीति ताद्व कपोलपाटनोपदेशकं वभूव, Haridasa Misra in hi Raghuranisa Pralatika glosses : कर्पालयोः पाटमं नखीविदारणमादिशतीति कयमतीति यन् तत् In the commentary Rachuvamifavaturi कपोलयो। पाइन नखोरकपंणमादिशनीति is found.

In 12 manuscripts out of the 16 preserved in the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Poona the reading पाटनारेहा occurs in place of पाटनारेहा. In 11 manuscripts out of the 12 available in the Rajasthäna-Furstattva-mandir Jayapur the reading is vाटनारोहा. In the 2 manuscripts of the Raghuvania in the postession of Prof. Räma Suresh TripAthi of Kanpur also the reading vाटनारोहा is found. Thus, it is clear that the correct reading is vाटनारोहा rather than vाटनारेहा2, 52

The commentators who adopted the reading syltesteritering no doubt arrived at the correct text but could not find the true import of the passage. They were aware of the cutom of pricking the breast and checks with nails which is common in Indian erotics. They did not know of the custom of gashing the checks with knives which lay behind the description of Käldåsa.

⁴² Väsudera Šarana Agrawala, 'Kälidäsa's reference to ³ custom of the Hūnas in the Raghuvanba, Indian Hiltorial Quaritely Vol. XXXIII (1957) No. 2, pp. 139-145; Nägari Pracārini Patrikā, Vol. LX (Samwat 2012) pp. 319-226.

Thus, their interpretation of the verse was only partially sound. We learn from Chinese sources that the Tu-Kiue of Mongolia used to slash their faces with knives so that blood could be seen flowing with tears, whenever a man dired.⁵⁵ This functary custom was also observed by the Seythians, as Herodotus remarked. They used to wound their arms, noses and faces to mourn the death of their kings. Herodotus writes :

"When the king dies they dig a grave which is square in shape and of great size. When it is ready they take the king's corpse and, having opened the belly and cleaned out the inside, fill the cavity with a preparation of chopped cyperus, frankincense, parselyseed and anise seed, after which they sew up the opening, enclose the body in wax and, placing it on a wagon, carry it about through all the different tribes. On this procession each tribe, when it receives the corpse, imitates the example which is first set by the Royal Scythlans : every man chops off a piece of his car. crons his hair close makes a cut all round his arm, lacerates his foreligad and his nose and thrusts an arrow through his left hand. Then they who have the care of the corpse carry it with them to another of the tribes which are under the Seythian rule, followed by those whom they first visited. On completing the circuit of all the tribes under their sway, they find themselves in the country of the Gerrhi, who are the most remote of all, and they come to the tombs of the kings. There the body of the dead king is laid in a grave prepared for it."51

Recent archaeological discoveries in Central Asia have shed new light on this custom of mourning the dead. In the ruins of Panzikand on the left bank of the Zarafshan river, 42 miles from Samarkand, Russian archaeologists have discovered some unique wall-paintings the plates of which are available in a collection

⁴³ Stanislas Julien, 'Documents historiques sur les T'out-Kioue,' Journal Airaique (1864) p. 352 'Ils se tailladent le visage avec un couteau de sorte qu'on voit couler à la fois le sang et les larmes's René Grousset, L'Empire des Steppes, p. 37.

³¹ Herodotus IV, 71 George Rawlinson, History of Herodotus, Vol. III, p. 58. The account of Herodotus has been confirmed by recent archaeological researches at Pazirik and other places. T. Talbor Rice, The Schläns pp. 92-122.

the horses of these territories are very farnous Most probably this region was included in the *Bhadraswarisa* or *Haritarisa* of Indian geographical accounts The reference to the big heaps of wealth (*twga dravina rāsajah*) of these regions is in accord with the noticet of silver mines in Andarab, Badakhshan and Wakhan⁴¹ Leutenant Wood found one such mine twenty miles from Ishkashim in the Ghagan region on the southern bank of the Oxus Marco Folo has praised the rubies and sapplures of Badakhshan According to Ghirshman the Yue-che were the first people to use the rubies of Badakhshan⁴⁴ It is likely that this region represented the Mahabharata as a a part of Sakadvja

10 The crossing of the Pamirs and the campaign in L holan

After conquering the Kambojas Raghu scaled the high mountains with the help of the horses obtained in the Kamboja country and reached a region where the bambos (Airaka) were growing ⁴⁴ This mountain has been called 'gauriguru-saila. In order to identify this mountain correctly it is necessary to bear in mind that Raghu was going in the east from Badakhshan and the Pamirs From Badakhshan he had the same route of Wakhan and the Pamir before ium along which Huien T sang went home to China and by which Marco Polo moved into Climes Turkestan Trom Badakhshan (Po to-chang na) Huien T sang pasted through the old territory of the Tu ho lo country or the land of the Tukhara' He visited Yamgun (In po kin), Kuran (Kiu lang na) Tamasifu U (Ta mo shi tch tu). Termistat of the Arab geographers, Shikhan

conti iu	ed from page 351
	Ibid , 11, 47, 3 4
`	प्रावाराजिनमुख्याँदेच काम्बोज प्रदर्दी वसु । धदवास्तितिरकल्मापास्त्रियानभूवन्तासिकान ।
	उप्ट्रवामास्त्रिभत च पुप्टा पीत्रुदामी गुदै ॥
51	V V Burthold, Turkestan down to the Mongol Invasion, II, 65
63	R. Ghurshman Bégram p. 60
65	Raghuvanisa, IV, 71 73
	तना गोरीगुरु दानमाधरोहारवसाधन ।
•	वषयस्त्रिय तत्कूटानुदूतैयालुरण्भि ।
	भूजेपु ममरीभूता वाचवच्चनिहतव ।
*	गङ्गाधीवरिणा मागँ मध्तस्त सिर्वविर ॥

....

GEOGRAPHICAL AND CULTURAL ASPECTS OF RACHU'S ITINERARY 353

(Shi-k'i-ni) and Sambhi (Shang-mi) till he reached the valley of Pamir (Po-mi-lo) and the Sarik-kul lake known as the Kul-i-Pamir-kalān, which was regarded as the central point of Jambudvipa, Then the Chinese pilgrim reached the country of Bolor (Po-lo-lo) and Sarikol and Task Kurghan (K'ie-p'an-to). Going north-east from this country and descending the dangerous defiles of the T'sung-ling mountains he emerged into the kingdom of Och(U-sha) bordering on the river Sita. Then came the casis of Kashgar (Kie-sha). Leaving it the pilgrim stepped into the kingdom of Chakuka (Cho-kiu-kia) bordering on the Yarkand and Khotan rivers. To the east of this kingdom, the route led along high mountain passes and valleys to the country of Khotan (K'iu-sata-na) (S. Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World, II, p. 290-309). It was probably along some such route that the grand army of the Kusāpas marched against the Chinese' general Pa'n-chao through the Pamirs and Kashgharie under the command of Sei. Marching along this route Raghu reached the region of the 'kjcakas'. According to Sylvain Lévi, kjcaka' is the transcription of a Chinese word." It grew on the hanks of the river Sailoda. The country of the Kichakas is repeatedly mentioned in the Mahabharata. In I, 144, 2, the Päŋdavas are stated to have visited the countries of the Matsyas, Trigartas, Päñcälas and Kicakas in course of their wandering from forest to forest. Again in I1, 48, 2, there is a reference to the people who dwell by the river Sailoda, formy between the mountains of Meru and Mandara, and enjoy the shade of the tops of kicaka bamboos. The inhabitants of the Salocia retion were called Kicakas. It was known about this river that prthing could swim over its surface. Whatever fell on it bezzet stone. In order to cross it the Siddhas used to clotch the "Hakis' growing on its bank, which the high winds bent over to the other bank, where they got hold of the 'kicakas' of that side. Besides the Rāmājaņa and the Mahābhārata, the Greek writers Curtius and Megasthenes have also referred to it. Site Lenit has identified this river with the Khotan river and Pargiter" has located it in western Tibet.

'sarala' (säl) and 'devadäru' (a kind of pine) trees⁷⁰ in these regions and referred to the misk found there.⁷¹ Haider Mirza Dughlat has also mentioned the musk of Tibet in his *Tarilhi-i-Rashidi.*⁷³ Kālīdāsa has shown interest in the herbs of these regions that shine like līghts at night.⁷⁹ In the *Mahābhārala* also the Parvatiyas are shown to have brought the presents of these herbs on the occasion of the *rājastīja* sacrifice of Yudhisțhira.⁸⁰

In the Raghuvanisia the Kirātas are mentioned after the Kāmbojas. Similarly in the conquests of Muklāpıda Lahtādıtya (A. D. 695-732) described in the Rājataranginī⁴⁴ the Bhauţtas and Daradas are mentioned after the Kāmbojas and Tuklāras. The Kirātas of the Raghuvanisia correspond to the Bhauţtas of the Rājatarangiņī.

12. The Parvaliyas, Uisavasanketas and Kunnaras

After defeating the Kirātas Raghu conquered the Parvatiyas,⁸² Utsavasanketas and Kinnaras,⁸³ In the Mahābhāriad the Parvatiyas are placed before the Kirātas among the people who brought presents on the occasion of the rajatiya sacrifice.⁸¹ This juxtapo-

76	Raghuranisa, IV, 75 :
	सरलासक्तमातज्ज् ग्रैवेयस्फ्रितविषः ।
	जासन्नोपथयो नेतूर्नक्तमस्रोहदीविकाः ॥
77	Raghutainiša, IV 74:
	विशव्यमूर्तं मेरुणा छायास्वय्यास्य संनिकाः ।
	द्वदो धासितोत्सङ्गा निवण्णमृगनाभिभि. ॥
78	Major Raverli, 'Tibbet Three Hundred years Ago,' Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal (1885) p. 9.
79	Raghuvam'sa, IV, 75, op. cit.
80	Mahābhārata, 11, 48, 6:
	 उत्तरादपि कैलासादोपधी सुमहावलः ।
81	Rajatarangini. IV 1630.
82	Raghuramia, IV. 77 :
	तत्र जन्यं रघोवोरं पर्वतीयैगैणैरभूत् ।
	नाराचक्षेपणीयादमनिष्पेपोत्पतितागलम् ।।
83	Raghuramia, IV, 78 :
	गर्यसम्बद्धकेलान् स कृत्वा विरतोरसवान् ।
	जयोदाहरणं बाह्योगोंपयामास किसरान् ॥
81	Mahābhārala, 11, 40, 7
	पार्वतीया वर्षि चान्यमाहत्य प्रण्ताः स्थिताः ।
	ग्रजातराभ्येन पतेडॉरि तिष्ठन्ति मारिताः ॥

In order to reach Khotan from Badakhshan it was necessary to cross the Pamirs Hence the 'gauriguru-saila' mentioned by Kalidasa must refer to these mountains. In this connection it is noteworthy that Ptolemy has refered to a region named Goruaia and a town called Gorya. Strabo (XV, 697) has also mentioned it. In the second century B.C Goruaia was a part of Menander's empire.79 A tributary of the Swat named Panjkora is also called Gauri. Thus, it is clear that several place-names in the northwest bore the name of Gauri. It may well be that Kälidäsa called these mountains 'gauiguru saila' pointedly because of their proximity to some such region bearing this name. Besides this, it is also important to note that these mountains were connected with the Himalayas according to the belief of ancient geographers. Greek writers have used the word Hemodos to designate the Himālayas as well as the Hindukush and later on the Bolor range which was for a long time considered the dividing line between China and Turkestan." Hence the treatment of these mountains in the Humālayan family becomes understandable.

Kälidäsa has mentioned the Kiråtas¹² in the region of the ¹⁵Aiakas'. The Kiråtas were the Mongoloids, as shown by Sylvain Lévi.¹³ The very word Kiråta is the Sanskrit from of some Sino-Thetan word. The name of the Tibeto-Durman tribe of 'Kiranti' living in eastern Nepal throws some light on the ethnic basis of the word 'kiräta'.¹⁴ Kiräta element predominates in the population of Ladakh and Baltistan and is also sprinkled in the people of Afghanistan. According to the Mahabharata, the Kirätas occupied the northern slopes of the Himälayns.¹⁵ Kähdäta has paritoularly mentioned the

11 Raghuramisa, IV 76:

तम्पोत्मृध्टनिवासेषु कष्ठरञ्जुः।तत्वचः । गजवष्मं किरातेम्य. शर्मसुर्देवदारवः ॥

- ?? Sylvain Levi, Le Nepal. Vol. II, p. 75.
- ¹⁴ Suniti Kumar Chatterji, 'Kirāta-jana Krti,' Journal of the Foyal Asiatic Society of Bengal (1950), p. 163.
- 15 Mahabh irala, II, 58, 8-10 :
 - ये परार्थे च हिमवतः सूर्योध्यधिरो नृषाः ।

354

^{.70} W. W. Tarn, The Greeks in Bactria and India, p. 226.

¹¹ J. W. McCrindle, Ancient India as described by Megasihator and Arrian, pp. 131-32. B. C. Law, Indological Studies, 111, p. 175 :

फलमूनाभना ये च किरातारचमेवासुसः ॥

'sarala' (säl) and 'devadaru' (a kind of pine') treex¹⁰ in these regions and referred to the musk found there ¹⁷ Haider Mirza Dughlat has also mentioned the musk of Tihet in his *Tarilhi-i-Rainhit* ¹⁸ Kālidāsa has shown interest in the herbs of these regions that shine like lights at might ¹⁹ In the *Mahābhārata* also the Parvatiyas are shown to have brought the presents of these herbs on the occasion of the *rajavia* sacrifice of Yudhigthira ⁸⁰

In the Righuramia the Kiratas are mentioned after the Kämbojas Similarly in the conquests of Muktapida Lalidatiya (A. D. 695-732) described in the Räjatarangan^{ist} the Bhauttas and Daradas are mentioned after the Kämbojas and Tukhäras The Kiratas of the Raghuramia correspond to the Bhauttas of the Rajatarangini

12 The Parvaliyas, Uisaiasanketas and Kumaras

After defeating the Kiratas Raghu conquered the Parvatiyas,⁸² Utsavasanketas and Kinnaras ⁴³ In the Mahābharata the Parvatiyas are placed before the Kirātas among the people who brught presents on the occasion of the *rāyasuya* sacrifice ⁵⁴ This juxtapo-

76	Raghuvamsa, IV, 75
	सरलासत्तमातज्ज्यवेयस्कृरित्तत्विप ।
	बासजोपथयो नेतुनक्तमसेंहदीविका ॥
77	Raghuvamsa, IV 74
	विशयमूनमरुणा छायास्वम्यास्य संगिता ।
	द्वदो बासितोत्सङ्गा निषण्णमुगनाभिभि ॥
*\$	Major Raverti, Tibbet Three Hundred years Ago,' Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal (1885) p 9
79	Raghuvam'sa, IV, 75, op cu
80	Mahabharala, II, 48, 6
	उत्तराद्यपि कैलासादोपधी सुमहापल ।
81	Rajatarangini IV 163ff
84	Raghuvamša, IV. 77
	तत्र जन्य रघोर्थोर पर्वतीयैर्गणैरभूत् ।
	नाराचक्षेपणीयाश्मनिष्पपोत्पतिहाचितम् ।।
83	Raghu amia, IV, 78
	शर्रस्यअसकेतान् स ष्टरवा विरशोत्सवान् ।
	जयोदाहरण बाह्योगपियामास गितरान् ॥
81	Mahabharata, 11, 48, 7
	पार्वताया वर्लि चान्यमाहृत्य प्रणता स्थिता ।
	मजातसन्द्रोन् पनेहोरि विष्ठन्ति आरिता ।।
	••

sition of the Parvatiyas and Kirātas completely agrees with their location in the Raghupamia. These Parvatiyas brought the presents of honey, the garlands of Ambu flowers and powerful herbs to the court of Yudhisthira. These presents show that these people came from the Tibetan regions. After vanquishing them Raghu fought with the Utsavasanketas, who were the ancestors of the presentday speakers of the Manchati, Lahuli, Bunan, Rangloi and Kana- . shi tongues inhabiting the regions between Ladakh and Tibet. They did not have a hide-bound system of marital relations. Among them sexual intercourse was mostly promiscuous. Pargitersi quotes a commentary on the Raghusamia in which the name Uisavasanketa is explained as designating a people among whom women make pleasure (utsava) at the hint (sanketa) of men. This shows the social and sexual laxity of these people which persists even up to this day, Adjacent to the land of the Utsavasanketas was the region of the Kinnaras. The southern part of Kasmira known as Kanaur is reminiscent of the ancient Kinnaradeta. This region lies along the upper valiey of the Jhelum between the Dhauladhar and the Zanskar mountains. The valley of Spiti starts from there.15

13. The homeward journey

The route of Raghu from the land of the Kirātas to the region of the Kinnaras is the same along which Fashlen travelled from Khotan Into India. After walking for twenty-five days from Khotan, Fashlen reached Tasc-boh (Yarkand or Tashkungan in Sirikul). He stayed there for fifteen days and then went south for four days and reached the country of Yuhway (Aktasch) in the Ts'ang-ling mountains. After that he went on among the hills for twenty-five days and reached K'ech-ch'a (Iskardu).⁴¹ In order to visit the holy places Fashlen went from there to Uddjušna and Parupapur (Penhawar).

About a century later, the Chinese pilgrim Sung Yun went from Tsiu-mo (Tashkurghae) to Fa-ho (Wakkan) and passed by Poche (the mountainous region to the north of She-mi (Chitral). He did not, however, eater the Gligit valley and Kashita and

356

⁴⁵ P. E. Pargiter, Markonfeya Purana, p. 319, ⁵⁶ Jayacandra Vidyälank of India, Calural Heri. B, O. Law, India as Jolaton, B. 82.

¹¹ James Legge, Travels of Fashien, pp. 16-25.

moved into the valley of the Swat \$3 Then in A D 751 Wii-K ong came to the kingdom of Kapisa as the head of an official mission to bring back a Chinese ambassador He passed by Kucha, Su lei (Kashghar), Ch'e ni (Shighnan), Po-ni (Pamir), Hu mi (Wakhan) and travelling through Po ht-lo (Bolor) or the valley of Yasın and Gilgit reached the Indus region so In fact, the Gilgit route was important from fairly early times In a Sanskrit inscription of the seventh century A D of Poraladeva Sahi alias Nava Surendraditya Nandin there is a reference to the construction of a city by his minister Makarasimha, who besides bearing Indian titles, is called Giligitta Saramsha This title shows that the name Gilgit is an ancient one and its importance lay in the route that passed through this valley 90 Raghu turned south on this route and came back to India

⁸⁸ S Beal, Ruddhist Records of the Western World, Vol I, p xc

⁶³ Edouard Chavannes et Sylvain Levi 'L itinétaire de Wou

K'ong', Journal Asatique, 1895 p 356 et seq N P Chakaravarti, Presidential Address to the Indian History Congress, Seventeenth Session Ahmedabad, p 18 90

CHAPTER XV

The Kidarites in Indian History

1. The Kusanas and the Guptas

The Kusānas¹ occupying the north-western marches of India during the ascendency of the early Guptas were a constant menace to the security of the fertile regions of rivers and plains stretching

The fact that the Kusānas had lost the empire of India and were confined to their kingdom in the north-west is manifest from a Buddhust text which was translated into Chinese in 392 A.D. by a monk named Kälodaka. This text enumerates the four sons of heaven as the son of heaven of T'sin (China) in the east, the son of heaven of T'ien-chu (India) in the south, the son of heaven of Ta-Tsin (Hither Asia under the Roman Empire) in the west and the son of heaven of the Yuc-che (Kusāna) in the north-west. This shows that by the time the said text was composed the king of India was treated among the four great kings of the world and ranked on a footing of equality with the king of the Kusānas. In other words, the Kusānas had no connection with India and were concerned only with their north-western dominion. Paul Pelliot has shown that before Kalodaka a text of the same title and on the same subject had been rendered into Chiness by a monk named Kiang-leang-lenche in 266 A D. or probably in 281 A.D. [Paul Pelhot, 'La Théorie des Quatie Fils du Ciel', T'oung Pao (1923): 1P. 97.99]. The theory of the 'Four Kingdoms' is also found in a text ascribed to Mani. According to it, the first kingdom is that of Babylonia and Persia, the second is the Roman empire, the third is the realm of the Axumites in Arabia and the fourth is that of China. In the estimation of Man Persia was evidently more important than the Yue-che. Thus, it is clear that after the middle of the third century A D. the Kusānas ceased to have anything to do with During the period 245-250 A.D. a report from India. Indo-China to the Chinese court mentions a saying which names China, the Gracco-Roman World and the Yue-che as a triad of great powers. In this enumeration India is not mentioned Therefore, the independence of India must have been achieved about or just after 250 A.D. As for the view of M. Luders that the 'four sons of heaven,' mentioned above, signify the four-fold sovereignty claimed by Kanika, is been heaven the four-fold sovereignty claimed by Kanika, it has been satisfactorily refuted by Sylvain Lévi. (Sylvain Levi, 'Devaputra', Journal Asiatique (1934) pp 1-21.)

to the south-east. Whenever the pressure of nomadic migrations in the Steppes caused dislocation among the settled communities of the north-west or when the empire of the Indian plains showed signs of weakness, these people swooped down and spread havoc in the country. We have some evidence to show that Samudragupta campaigned in the west and north up to Kasmira2 and it was probably in consequence of these campaigns as well as the pressure of the Sassanids that the Kusānas offered their allegiance to the Gupta monarch.3 But after the death of Samudragupta (cir. 377-378 A.D.) the Kusānas (called Sakas in Indian works) again invaded India and coveted the wife of the reigning Gupta king Rāmagupta, whereupon Candragupta II had to beguile and kill their king by disguising himself as the queen, as we infer from the Devicandragupta of Višäkhadatta,4 the Harsacaruta of Banabhatta and the Majmul-ul-Tawarikh." Candragupta inflicted a crushing defeat on the Kusanas somewhere in the Panjab, and, as has been shown elsewhere, soon

² Arya-mailjuíri-múla-kalpa ed K. P. Jayaswal, An Imperial History of India, p. 52.

सोऽनुपूर्वेण गत्वासौ पश्चिम। दिशि भूपतिः ।

कश्मीरदारपर्यनां उत्तरा दिशिमाश्रत. ॥

³ J.F. Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Inducatum, vol. III, no. I, lines 23-24.

देषपुत्र साहीमाहानुसाहीसकमुरुण्डैः सैहंलादिभिष्यसर्वद्वीपवासिभिरास्य-निवेदनकत्योपायनदानगुरुरमदाङ्कुम्बविगयभुक्तिञासन्याचनाद्युपायमेवाकृतवाहूवीर्यप्रसर-वरणिवन्धस्य

- 4 Sylvain Lévi, 'Deux nouvenux traités de la diamaturgie Indienne', Journal Asiatique (1923), pp. 193-218.
- ⁵ Elliot and Dawson, History of India, vol. I, pp. 110-112 discussed by A. S Altekar, Journal of the Bihar and Ortssa Retearch Society, vol. XIV, pp. 223-253.
- ⁶ According to the Haracanta (Nirnayasagara Press edition p. 198, Cowell and Thomas, Eng. translation p. 194) the Saka king was killed by Candragupta at Aripura, which Rangaswami Saraswati corrects as Alipura and identifies with the hill-fortness of Alpur in the Kangra district. K.P. Jayaswal, on the other hand, identifies this Alipura with the village named Alixal in the Juliandhar district. But Rajafeklar an his Kapamimanag states that the Saka ling was worsted at Kärikegamagar which has been located in the val-

continued on page 360

and the Paniab and whose kingdom included Turan and suran (Makran) sent ambassadors to Ardashir whose sovereignty acknowledged. Herzfeld believes in the correctness of this tradition.8 Ardashir's successor Shahpuhr I (241-272 A.D.) grew so strong as to imprison the Roman emperor Valerian (260 A.D.). He extended his influence towards the east over the Kusānas. After him Varahran II (276-293 A.D.) exerted great pressure on the Kusānas. Unable to resist the might of the Sassanids the Kusānas sued for peace and their king married his daughter to Hormizd II between 301 and 309 AD. The friendship and alliance of the Kusānas and the Sassanids were frequently renewed and strengthened hy matrimonial connections. After the déhacle of the Kusānas under Candragupta II Vikramäditya they joined the Sassanids even more closely. Tabari states that the Sassanid emperor Bahram Cor (420-438 A.D.) received Debal, Makran and the neighbouring tracts of Sind as dowry of the daughter of an Indian king whom he married.⁹ Considering the political conditions of north-western India

⁸ Ernst Herzfeld, Paikuli, I, p. 36 et seq.

⁹ T.Nöldeke, Geschichte der Perser und Araber zur Zeit der Sassaniden, Aus der Arabischen Chronik des Tabari, p. 108.

The influence of the Sassanids on the Kusanas is manifest from their coins also, [Ernst Herzfeld, Kushano-Sassanian Coins [Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India no. 38(1930); 1. Hakin, "Répartitions des monnaies anciennes en Afgha-nistar," *fournal Asiatique* (1935), p. 207]. We learn from these Kusñno-Sassmian come that up to the time of Varahran II the estern part of the Sassanian empire i.e., Khuråssän, was allways governed by a prince of the royal blood who bore the title Kushānshāh. Thus Peroz, the brother of Shahpubr I, Shahpuhr I with the po Kushāns." Varahran I and Varahran II also used that high-sounding title before coming to the throne. Under Varahran II his brother Hormizd was the governor of Khurässän. During the war with Rome, he rose in revolt and carved out an independent state in the east with the help of the Sakas and Kusanas. This insurrection forced Varahran II to stop his war with Rome and throw all his troops in the fight with his rebellious brother. The revolt was quelled and the prince Varahran was made the governor of the eastern province with the title of Saghānshāh "king of the Sakas". Herzfeld believes that the crown-prince of Iran was always continued on bare 362

of that time we cannot but conclude that the Indian king mentioned of that time we cannot be used of the Kuşānas who renovated his by Tahati was some small ruler of the Kuşānas who renovated his by Landri was solutioned by means of a marital relationship.

3. The Guplas and China

The developments in the north-west seem to have made the Gupta emperor Kumāragupta alert and led him to establish relationships in another quarter. The opening of land and sca routes between India and China resulted in brisk exchange of traders and pilgrims between them When Fa-hien was still in India, Che-mong started with sixteen pilgrims from Ch'angngan in 404 A D. and traversing the land route, that passed through Kucha, visited Khotan, Iran and Gandhara and following the track of Fa-hem and passing through Pataliputa returned to China via, Sseu-chian in 424 A.D. In 420 A.D. another monk named Fa-yong, resident of Huang-long (Che-li), took the mute of the north with twenty-five persons, toured through Kabul, the Panjab and the valley of the Ganges and returned by sea to Canton. Among the other Chinese visitors to India in this period the names of Tao-pu, Fa-sheng, Fa-wei, Tao-yo and Tao-t'ai have come to us. Tao-yo had come as far as Sankāsya, modem Sankisa in the Farrukhabad district to The itineraries of these these travellers are unfortunately lost but they give us an indication of the intensity of interest of the Ohinese people in India and her culture. In this atmosphere of growing cultural contacts Kumäragupta thought it prudent to enter in'o an alliance with the Song emperor of China probably as a counterstroke to the treaty of the Kusānas with the Sassanids. It seems that with this end in view he sent an embassy to the court of the Song emperor at Nanking by the route of the sea. Chinese sources reveal that in 428 A.D. an eavoy of a king of Kia-pi-li in T'ien-chu (India) named Yué-ai(beloved of the moon) reached

continued from page 361

.

made the governor of the province of the east. Thus, Kushinshah or Saghanshah was the title analogous to that of "the Prince of Wales" in Great Britain. [E. Herzfeld, Paikalt, 1, pp 42 el seq.; A Christensen, L'Iran souts les Sassanides, PP 222-223]. Thus, we observe that the Kushins (Kushin) had virtually passed under the domination of the Sasanids and their kingdom had, to all intents and purposes, become a part of the Iranian empire.

¹p P. C. Bagehi, India and China, pp. 72-73.

the court of the Song emperor at Nanking bringing, besides other presents, jewels and white parrots.¹¹ The name of the Indian king which is translated as "belowed of the moon" (aimé de la lune) appears to have been based on a word derived from "Candra". Curiously enough, we learn from the Kayafankärasitraqiti of Värmana that the son of Candragupta was known as Candraprakäia. Hara Präsad a Sästrin and A. F. Rudolf Hoernle regard it as a proper name and the latter suggests that it was the pre-accession name of Kumäragupta.¹² Should this view be correct, we would easily grasp the significance of the Chinese translation of the name of the Indian king as "beloved of the moon.".¹³

Besides this embasy of 423 A.D. another was sent in 466 A.D. when the Sorg emperor gave the Indian king the file which is translated as "the general who olidly established his authority." This title was perhaps a befitting tribute to the gallant exploits of Skandagupta. In 502 A.D., again, a third embassy brought a royal message with suitable presents from the Indian king Kiu-to (Gupta) to the court of the Leang who succeeded the Song at Nanking. About the same time between 500 and 502 A.D. a fourth embassy visited the court of the Wei or To-pa at Lo-yang and presented a hosts.⁴¹ These repeated wisits of Indian embassies to the Imperial

11 Sylvain Lévi, L'Inde Civilisaine, p 195.

¹² The verse in question is:

मोध्यं सम्प्रति चन्द्रगुप्ततनयरचन्द्रप्रकाशी ।

जातो भूवतिराधयः इत्तथियां दिप्टयाङ्तार्थअम् ।।

For a discussion of the problem of the identification of Candraprakäsa, vide, John Alan, Calalogue of the Indum Coins in the British Museum-Capita Dynasties (London 1914), chapter on history and chronology.

¹⁵ Sylvain Lévi (L'Inde Göllustrice, p. 196) think⁹ that the Chinese translation recalls the name of Candragupta and holds that it is by mistake that it was used for the king of India reigning at that time, who was, as a matter of fact, his son Kumäragupta. But in the light of Vämana's information that the name of the son of Candragupta was Candragrakäsa, the association of the name translated in Chinese as "belowed of the moon" with Kumäragupta presents no difficulty. As for the difference of meaning in prakåsa (light) and 'belowed', it may be due to an accidental inadvertance of the Chinese translator.

¹⁴ Sylvain Levi, L'Inde Civilisatrice pp. 196-197.

Court in China were probably pregnant with the motive of forming an alliance with China against the peoples of central Avia, specially the Yue-the and others, who were menacing the safety of the Gupta empire in the north-west. We do not know if these embassies achieved any tangible purpose but we are well aware of the movements of nomadic peoples in central Asia after 428 A.D., the date of the vasit of the first Indian embassy at Nanking, which resulted in great turmoil on the north-western frontiers of India and unleasthed a new round of invasions in the plains of the Fanjab and the Cangetic valley.

4. Movements and migrations in central Asia

At the beginning of the fifth century A D, the empire of the Steppes passed into the hands of the Mongol clan Ju-juan, disparagingly called by the Chinese Juan-juan "the disagreebly moving insects."15 About 402 A.D. one of their chiefs named Sho-luen subjugated the rival horde of Kao-kiu, who were the ancestors of the Tolash and Uighur Turks and inhabited the regions of Kobdo and Urungu. In a very short time they came to dominate the whole of northern Gobi from Leao-ho on the Korean frontier in the east to Irtysh and the approaches of Qarashahr in the west. Among the tribes pressed by the Juan-juan was a Yue-che tribe called Kidarite and a tribe of the Hunas called Ye-ta by Chinese historians, Hayadielites by the Persian historian Mitkhund and Hephthalites by the Byzantine historians.16 In fact, the clan of Ye-ta was ruling over the tribe named Hua and gave its name to these people. In the second quarter of the fifth century these Hephthalites were in agitation as a result of the pressure exercised on their rear by other tribes following the commotion among the Juan-juan caused by the defeats inflicted on them by the Wei monarch of Northern China T'o-pa Tao in 424" A.D. and 429 A.D. This drive of the nomads brought them into conflict with the Sassanids. Their movement in Khurassan was checked by Bahram Gor (420-438 A.D.) who inflicted a crushing defeat on the Hephthalites in the battle of Kusmehan near Mery 17

, 364

¹⁵ René Grousset, L'Empire des Stepper p. 104.

¹⁸ For references see Chapter XIII.

¹⁷ J. Marquart Erönschahr, nach der Geographie des Ps. Mosti Xorrnac⁴, p. 57

5. The Kidarites

In the fifth century A.D. in Bactria the Tukhāra clan of the Kidarites was established between Balkh and Merv. This clan derived its name from the eponymous hero Kidāra transcrihed in Chinese as Ki-to-lo.¹⁴ The son of Kidāra was Kungkas. Historical sources refer to the wars of the Kidarites with the Sassanid sovereign of Iran. According to these sources, the Sassanid king Yazdergird II took up arms against the Kidarites and Peroz fought first with Kidāra and then with his son Kungkas. But Peroz tried to make peace with Kungkas and offered to him the hand of his sister. Peroz was at war with the Byzantine emperor and had also to repel the invavions of the Saragures and other barbaric peoples who had penetrated into Armenia through the pastes of the Caucasus. Hence Peroz wanted to stop the war against the Kidarites. But the hostilitis continued and beaten by Peroz the Kidarites, quitted Bactria and migrated to the south occupying Gandhāra.¹⁹

Chinese sources give an carlier date for these events. In the chapter of the *Pri-she* on "the countres of the west", which has replaced the original chapter on this subject in the W_{r-she} and which gues back to the epoch of the Wei, there is a remark on Ta Yue-che and their king Ki-to-lo (Kidära) who, pressed by the Juan-juan, emigrated to the city of Po-lo (Balkh) and thence invaded northern India, reducing to vasalage Gandhära and the four kingdoms situated to its north. Marquart identifies Po-lo with

That Ki-to-lo (Kidára) is a dynastie name appears from the fact that in the Chinese annals $Pi \cdot h k_a$ a king of the Ta Yuoche, Ki to-lo, is said to have been invaded and pushed back by the Juanjuan and in the same work on the very next page, a Ki-to-lo is said to have been pressed westward by the Hung-nu. This Hung-nu scens to be a mistake for the Hephtitality-Hügas. Again Kiu-to-lo is the name of a country whose ambassador visited China in 477 together with the ambassadors of western India (Si-tien-chu) and Srāvasti according to the We-ka. This shows that in course of time the name of the dynasty came to deignate the country over which it ruled. It is also noteworthy that the kings Kratvirg, Silading, Sarvayašas, Bhāvan, Kudala and Prakāša use the word Kidara on the obverse of their coins

¹⁹ J. Marquart, Eranschahr nach der Geographie des Ps. Moses Normae'i pp. 55-57-58; A. Christensen, L'Iran sous les Sassanides, pp. 287-288.

³⁴ Paul Pelliot, "Tokharien et Koutchéen" Journal Asiatique (1934), p. 42.

Balxan and the Russian scholars seek it in the ancient Nakhsheb on the lower Kaska darya, the oans around the city of Karshi about 80 miles south-west of Samarkand The terra cotta figures found there show markedly Europeid features On the next page of the Pa she, there is another notice of Ki-to-lo, the king of Ta Yue che, who having angrated westwards under the pressure of the Hung-nu, ordered his son to occupy the city called Tu-Ien-sha (Purusapura) that was the seat of the Siao Yue che As a result of occupying the country of the Silo Yuc che, the kingdom of the son of Ki-to-lo was a so called by the name of Sido Yue che This information reached China through the merchanis of the country of Ta Yue-che who went to the court of T'ai wu (424-151 A D) with some embassy of the western countries between 436 and 451 A D and popularized the munufacture of polychrome glass in China to This shows that the invasion and settlement of the Kidanites on the north-western frontiers of India took place before the middle of the fifth century

The information given by the Chinese sources appears more correct in this respect since the trend of the history of central Asia in the fifth century AD suggests that it was under the pressure of the Juan ju in that the Kidarites imprated towards the south and entered into India

On the basis of numanatic evidence A S Altickar holds that the Kidvrites toge to power about 340 A D At first Kidvra acted as feudatory of the Sassnald empered Shahpuhi Hi but about 355,356 A D he asterited his independence by striking the consi with his bust facing in the front which was the privilege of the Sastanian comperor only. Shahpuhir II invaded Gandhara m 35 357 A D and compelled Kidara to strengthen his alliance with Samudragupta With his help he took the officiarie egaums Shahpuhir in 367 3 A D and influeted a defeat on the Sastand armites He appointed Varo Shahi, Piroch and Buddhabala an his feudatories and instrap. About 375 A D Kidara way succeeded

²⁰ Paul Feltot, ef ett, pp 42-13 Martun has stated that iht merchiants of Ta Yue-che, who popularised classware un chuar acached there during 398-509 AD (M FC Martun 'Cours of kidara and the hitle Kusanar', *Journal of the Yopi' Istatic Scetty of Barged, Neumenket Supplement on XLVII* (Silver Jubice Number) p 26 Bat on this point Peliol is more authoritative

by his son Piro who extended his power further eastwards in the Panjab and pounced on the Gupta king Rāmagupta but was defeated and killed by Candragupta II. Shahpuhr III (383-88 A.D.) also broke his power and compelled him to acknowledge Sassanian suzerainty.²¹

Martin held that Kidåra threw off the Sassanian Yoke in 368 A.D. and established a large empire. Between 375-380 A.D. he abdicated and set up his son Piro on the throne. But Ardashir II reconquered at least one of his districts over which he set a satrap and Shahpuhr III annexed several other districts and forced Piro to acknowledge his suzerainty in Gandhāra. Varahrān, who succeeded Piro, was also a vassal of the Sassanians. Ghirshman agrees with this reconstruction of Kidarite history proposed by Martin.²²

Let us examine the evidence on which this reconstruction of history is based. It is held by Martin and following him by Ghishman that coin-type I of Kidåta is directly copied from the coins of the middle period of Shahpuhr II. It is also admitted that this coin type of Kidåta is slightly exceptional in the great breadth of the crenellations on the crown.³³ But this view has been recently ehallenged by Curiel and Schlumberger who hold that the crown of Kidåta resembles that on the head of Yazdegird II (430-457, A D) rather than that worn by Shahpuhr II as manifest from their kolns.³⁴ These authors base their identification on the work of Erdmanon on the crown of the Sassand monarch.⁴⁵ Robert Gobl also agrees with this view and holds that Kidårn was not a contemporary of Shahpuhr II.⁴⁴ Thus view accords well with Chinese and even Irania traditions.

²² M. F. C. Martin, 'Coins of Kidirn and the Little Kushāns', Journal of the Royal Ariatic Society, Numismatic Supplement (1937) no XLVII (Silver Jubilee Number) pp. 37-30; René Ghirshman, Les Chionites Hephtalites pp. 74-81.

continued on page 368

³¹ A. S. Altekar, The Vakalaka-Gupta Age pp. 20-21.

²³ M.F.C. Martin, op. cit., p. 30.

³¹ R. Curiel and D. Schlumberger, Tresors Monetaires d'Afghanistan (Paris 1953) pp 119-124.

⁴³ K. Erdmann, 'Die Entwicklung der Sasanidischen Krone', Ars Islamua XV-XVI (1951) pp. 87-123.

³⁴ R. Gobl, Die Munzpragung der Kusan in F. Altheim and R. Stiehl, Finangeschichte der Spatantike (1957) ch. 8 pp, 226-227 pp. 226-227 A. Cunningham, Later Indo-Scythians p. 185.

It is held by Martin, Ghirshman and Altekar that Piro was the son and successor of Kidara But a comparison of the coin-types of Lidara and Piro shows that they have no interconnection whatsoever The obverse of the coms of Kidara shows the "bust of the king to right, diademed, ends of diadem floating upwards behind head , wearing mural crown with three crenellated turrets, crown adorned with floating fillets and central crenellation sur mounted by crescent and fluted globe "27 But the obverse of the cours of Piro shows ' the bust of the king, facing, diademed, ends of diadem floating upwards from shoulders, wearing crown with two rum's horns curving outwards and central foliate ornament of five plumes 148 Obviously, there is a world of difference between the crown designs of these two kings. The difference in their features and appearances is even greater Kidara has bushy hair but no beard and moustache, but Piro has bushy hair on either side of neck, small moustaclie with ends twirled and pointed and full curly beard with end passed through ring This style of beard is called the honeycomb type which is probably referred to by Kalidasa in the Raghuransa while mentioning the bearded heads of the Persians 29 According to Paruck, the beard passed through a ring was the exclusive privilege of Sassanid monarclis 30 The legend on the coins of Kidira is Aidara Kushan Sha which is mended as Aidara Kuianasa,21 whereas the legends on the coins of Piro are Sha and Pirota only These legends do not show any relationship of Piro with the Kusanas as that of Kid un clearly does As for the name

continued from page 367

Shahpuhr II struck some cons will legend in Tukhanan chracters This shows lis hold over the kingdom of the kingdom of these couns the king is shown is wearing a crown having three crenallated turrets. The cressent and globe so prominent on the crown of kild ras are musury there. For these couns of Shahpuhr II see R. Ghushman Ler Chronites Hofhaldits p 71

- " Martin, op cit, p 39
- 24 Marin, op cit, p 40
- " Kuludasa, *Roghuranna* IV, 66 सन्तापवज्तिरुपा निरोति दमधुलैमरीम् । तरनार सरपाय्याफी म सोदयप्तेरिव ।।
- ²⁰ I D J Paruck Sassarian Corns p 350
- 32 J Allan, Journal of the Royal Assatic Society (1914) p 410

Piro, it appears to be a variant of Peroz, a purely Iranian-Sassanian name. Thus, to sum up, the differences between the coins of Kidāra and Piro are so marked and numerous that there is no warrant for treating them as beloaging to the same dynasty. Piro wearing a leaddress of ram's horns and a curly beard passed through a ring is a Sassanid prince rather than a Kusāņa chief who must be clean-shaven like Kidāra. He does not seem to be connected with any Kuṣāṇa dynasty. As for the theory of Altekar that Piro invaded India under Rāmagupta, it is refuted by the tradition that the Saka monarch was Killed by Candragupta, whereas Piro is stated to have been alive even after the accession of Candragupta and suffered from the blows of the Sassanid monarch Shahvahr III.

Consider the second se

Martin and Altekar hold that Varo Shahi, Piroch and Buddhahala were the provincial governors of the Kidatites. These scholars hold that when the bust of a king faces to the front he should be taken to be independent whilst the bust facing right is an indication of subordinate status. It is on this ground that they hold that Kidåra in the latter stage and Piro in the carlier stage were independent of the Sassanid emperor Shahpuhr. But curiosly enough the busts of Varo Shahi Piroch and Euddhabala are shown to be facing to the front which is a sign of independence How can therefore, they be termed as provincial governois of hiddra and Piro? These nilers as well as one Bhāsa have clear shaven faces which denote their Kusana affinities but there is nothing to prove that they were subordinate to the Kidarites. There are also come of petty rulers like Kriavirya, Sidaditya, Sarvayasas, Bhasvan, Kušala and Prakaša on the observe of which the word Kidara occurs showing their affinity to the Kidarites. These rulers must have been ruling over some principalities in the Panjab and undergone the precess of Indian sation which was in full swing in the Gupta period and whole symbol is the replacement of Kikarostin by Brahim, but there is nothing to indicate that they flourished in the fourth century A D

Thus, the numismatic evidence at our disposal does not con clusively prove that the Kidarites flourished in the 4th century B C during the reign of Shahpuhr II Nor there is anything to show that Piro and Varahran were Lusanas and had any relationship with Kidara They were rather Sassanid princes or governors As for the finds of their coins together with those of Shahpuhr II, Shahpuhr III and Varahran IV this is an argument of a weak type for the burying of treasures depend on the availability of coins according to circumstances Chinese and Persian authorities concur in showing, as seen above, that Kidara rose to power in the middle of the fifth century AD We learn from Chinese sources that in 477 the ambasiador of the country of Kiu-to lo (Kidara) visited China This shows that the Ludarites were flourishing in the fifth century AD The Kidarites migrated towards Bactria and Gandhara and the Panjab as a result of the pressure of the Juan Juan and such other nomadic tribes #2

The Kidarite problem has been discussed anew by some scholars Among them the famous German scholar Franz Altheim deserves to be specially mentioned. In the first volume of his magnon oput entitled Geschichle dn Human (Berlin, Walter de Gruyter and Co 1959) he has identified the Kidarites with the Qun or Hun who, according to him, made themselves lords of Sogdiana shortly after 386, and the Hunni who crossed the Don an 374-375 He identified

^{3*} Rene Grousset, L'Empire des Steppes, p, 105, Rahula San kritaayana, History of Central Ana (in Hindi) Vol 1, pp 104-105

 $[\]sim$

the Hslung-nu king Hu-yi, who held Sogdiana, with Kongkhas, the Kidarite king, mentioned by Priscus. But he accepts the view of Shiratori, Enoki and Maenchen-Helfen that the conquerors of Sogdiana were the Hephthalites. In his view, these people or rather groups of the same people bore the same name and spoke a Turkish language.

Otto Maenchen-Helfen disputes the views of Altheim. He says that the equation Hu-yi=Kongkhas is untenable since the first syllable ended in 't' and was pronounced as '*khutl'* and the second syllable had an initial 'n' and was spoken as '*ngiel'*.³³ Muquart also in his *Webrot und Arag* **p**. 39 took Koungkhas to be the transeription of 'qun-qan'. But Barthold doubted this equation.

Rohert Gohl suggested to the present author that the Kidarites were the same as the Hephthaltes. But in the Peishe they appear as Ta Yue-the or Kusānas. The Chinese knew full well the Hephthaltes as Hoa or Hua or Teta-id-id of the people Hoa. So there is nothing to show that the Chinese made a confusion of Ta Yue-the, Kite-lo and Teta-id-id-to. The reference in the Peistih clearly shows that the Kidarites are to be distinguished from the Hephthaltes, as they are identified with the Ta Yue-the.

Of course, the Hephthalites are also stated to belong to the race of Te Yue-che in the Thurg-Khang-nu and the Englopaedia of Ma-tuan-lin, juta as Ki-to-lo (kidära) is called the king of Ta-Yueche in the Pel-she. But it is significant that whereas the Hepthalites never called themselves Kuşāna on their coins, kidāra expressly called himself a Kusāna king as the legend on his coin Kidāra Kushān Shā clearly shows. A study of thecoin-types of the Hephthalites, and Kidāra clearly proves that they belonged to two distinct dynastics. Thus, though it is undoubtedly true that both the Hephthalites and the kidarites belonged to the same Iranian nomadic complex called Yue-Che, yet it is apparent that they constituted two distinct dynastics.

It appears that in the first balf of the fifth century the Kidarites, who were a horde of the Yue-che roaming somewhere in central Asia, were pushed westwards by the Juan-juan. Hence they came into Bactriana, clashed with the Hephthalites and came into contact with the Saxsanids. As the Hephthalites were hard-pressed at

³³ Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. LXXIX (1959), p. 296.

that time, they traversed through their territory and occupied Gandhara But the Heplithalites soon rallied, inflicted a defeat on the Sassanid armies and pounced on Gandhära

On entering into India through the passes of the Hindukush, the Kidarites supplanted their cousins who were settled in Gandhära and had allied themselves with the Sassanide But the Hephihalites pushed downwards and came on the heels of the Kidarites to India The Kidarites were thus pressed forward from the regions of Gandhara and the result was their invasion of the plans of the Panjab and the Gangetic valley in association with other tribes

The pressure of nomadic movements in central Asia seems to have given a new orientation to Sassanian politics. The Sassanids strengthened their alliance with the Kidarite-Kusanas after their settlement in Gandhära, as we infer from the presence of the Pehlavs, the official mame of the Sassanids, in the Kuşāna hordes that invaded India The alliance of the Sassanids and the Kidarite is also hinted at by the traditions of the proposal of the Sassanid king Peroz of marrying his sister to the Kidarite king Kungas that are recorded by Perisan writers

6. The Kudarute Hephthalute unvasion of northern India

The details of this invasion of northern India are given in the *Candragarbia partichia suita* which has been exted by the Tibetan historian Bu ston in his *History of the Buddhut Decline* The relevant passage reads as follows

"King Mahendrasena was born in the country of Kausambl, had a son with arms of irresistible might After he had passed the age of twelve, Mahendra's kingdom was invaded upon by three foreign powers in concert-Yavanas, Palhikas (Pahlikas) and Sakunas-who first fought among themselves They took possession of Gandhara and the countries to the north of the Ganges The young son of Mahendrasena, of weighty hands and other congenital military marks distinguishing his person, asked for permission to lead his father's army The enemy army numbered three hundred thousand men under the commands of the foreign kings, the chief of whom was the Yavana The son of Mahendra put his army of two hundred thousand men divided under five hundred commanders, sons of ministers and other orthodox Hindus With extraordinary quickness and a terrible drive he charged the enemy In fury his veins on the forehead appeared like a visible mark

and his body became steeled. The prince broke the enemy army and won the battle. On his return his father erowned him king saying : "henceforth rule the kingdom" and himself retired to religious life. For twelve years after this the new king fought these foreign enemies and ultimately eaptured and executed the three kings. After that he ruled peacefully as the emperor of Jambu-dvipa "31

In this passage the association of the Yavanas, Palhikas and Sakunas is significant from the historical point of view. The Palhikas and and Sakunas are evidently the Sassanids and Kusāņas (Kidarites) who had come close to each other. The word Yavana (Yauna) scems to be a mistake for the Häŋa as suggested by K, P, Jayaswal. These people had quarrelled among themselves before their descent on the Induan plains. We have seen that Kungkas led the Kusāŋas (Ta Yue-che) into Gaudhāra. There he fought with the Kusāŋas (Sia Yue-che, according to Chinese works), who were already settled in those regions. Obviously, therefore, there was a clash between the new-comers and the Kusāŋas of the Gaudhāra region.³³

^{\$1} Cited by K. P. Jayaswal, An Imperial History of India, p. 36.

It has been noted above that the Chinese text Pei-she 55 calls Ki-to-lo the king of Ta Yue-che and describes his son settled in Fu-leu-sha as the king of Siao Yue-che. After the cessation of relations with the West in the latter half of the third century the Chinese forgot everything about the Ta Yue-che. Hence Kumārajiva in his Chinese translation of the great commentary of the Prajña-Paramita (Ta-che-tu-luen) mentioned Ta-k'ia-lo, which is a transcription of Tukhāra, and explained this term as signifying the little Yue-che. [Sylvalo Levi, Fragments de Textes Koucheens (introduction) pp. 24-25.] Again in his translation of the Life of Asraghona, completed in 412 A.D., he rendered Tukhāra by the term Siao Yue-che, since it was the only expression that was understood in China in his time. Stael-Holstein believes that Kaniska did, in fact, belong, to the Siao Yue-che, who had come from Chinese Turkestan, and Kumārajiva gave the aforesaid rendering with full awareness of the true state of affairs. According to Konow also, the dynasty of Kaniska was of Siao Yue-che origin (Sten known, Suggestions concerning Kaniska', Acta Orientalia VI, part I pp. 93-96.) From this point of view the reference to the son of Ki-to-lo as the king of Siao Yue-

Hepathalites The result was that a vast avalanche of the north western peoples consisting of the Kidarite-Kusanas, Hephthalite-Hunas and Pahlava-Persians descended into northern India

7 Lunghas and Lanka

The king who played a notable part in the descent of these invaders on northern India was the son of Ki to lo, who is called Lungkas, as seen above This name Kungkas seems to have been adapted to suit a pun in a verse by Subandhu in his Vaiandadita³³ In this verse the author refers to the rule of Konka after that of Vikramäditya Here the word Kanka signifies both a heron and the king who came after Vikramäditya To have this two fold meaning the author has slightly changed Kungkas to Kanka

Ghirshman has identified Kungkas with the Hephthalite king Akun According to him, Peroz demanded tribute from the Hephthalites which provoked a war between them Hemade peace with Kungkas and promised to marry his daughter to him But he broke the treaty Hence the hostilities started again. The Pernans were defeated and Peroz was released on a promise to pay contribution and his son Kawadh was detained as hostage. Akun or Kungkas restruck the coms tendered by Peroz and issued his

continued from page 373

³⁴ Subandhu, Vasavadatta (ed. Hall) p 7 सा रसवक्ता विहता नवका विजयति चरति नो गङ्का सरयीय वीर्तिसप गतवति भूषि विजमादित्ये। ।

che signifies that he conquered the successors of Kaniska cettled in Peshawar in virtue of which he bore their desig nation But Pelliot rejects the view of Stael-Holstein and holds that the description of Ki-to lo as Ta Yue-che and of his son as Siao Yue che is simply intended to accommodate the information about these two claus, which the merchants of the Yue-che country suddenly released between 436 and 451 (Pelliot, op ctt, p 45) William Samolin holds that the epithet Siao futile is descriptive, not genealogical, and refers to the rump successor state of the fourth Lusana dynasty (W Samolin, 'A Note on Lidara and the Kidarites, Central Asiatic Journal Vol II p 297) Whatever may be the true unport of these designations, the fact remains that according to the Chinese annalist, there was war and conflict among the different clans of the Yue che people as a result of the rise of the Kidarites Thus, the conclusion cannot be escaped that the son of hi toln conquered another branch of his tribe settled in the north west of India

own coins having their own independent style.³⁷ Ghirshman is emplatic on the fact that Kidära was Kujäga rather than a Hüŋa. He elently and rightly distinguishes between the Kidarites and the Hephthalities. Kungkas is known as the son and successor of Kidara, asseen above. Priscus clearly states that the Kidarites were the adversaries of Peroz. In 468 Peroz sent an ambassador to the Byzantine court for announcing his viteory over the Kidarites in 465 an official ambassador of the kingdom of Tou-hou-lo (Tukhāra) visited the court of China. Mirquart, Christensen and Pigoulevskaya place the Kidarites in this period.³⁶ Kungkas, the Kidarite, seems to be distinct from Akun, the Hepithalite.

8. Si and agupta's wars with the Kidarites and Hunas

The wars of Skandagupta are laconically referred to in his Bhitari and Junägath inscriptions. The fourth verse of the Bhitari inscription³⁹ refers to the coronation of Skandagupta after his victory over the Pusyamitras, a variant reading being Yuthyamitras,⁶⁰ who are probably identical with the tribe of the Pusyamitras associated in the *Vipudpurāna* with the region of Mekala near the source of the Narbudda.⁴¹ The seventh verset⁴⁵ of this inscription refers to the

विचलितकुललटमीस्तम्भनायांखतेन क्षितितलदायनीये येन नीता त्रियामा । समुदितवतकोपान् पुष्यमित्रौरव जित्वा धिसिपचरणपीठे स्यापितो वामपाद: ।।

³⁷ René Ghirshman, Les Chionites-Hephtalites p. 88.

¹⁵ Ibid pp. 74 fi; W. H. Haursig, "Theophylakts Exkurs ther die Skythischen Volker", Brzanion vol. XXIII p. 328 Marquart, op. cit., p. 60; Christensen, op. cit., p. 60; N. Pigoulevskaya, Sources Syriaques concernant l'histoire der puples de U R.S.S. p. 54. A.D.H. Biven bolds that the first KidÄra was succeeded by at least one other ruler using the same name. ['The Kushano-Sawanlan Coin Series' Journal of the Numismatic Society of India Vol. XVIII (1956) p. 27] The second raler may be a son of the former. This position accords with the tradition of Kungkas succeeding Kidära.

⁸⁹ J. F. Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. III, no. 13, verse 4.

¹⁰ H. K. Divekar, 'Pusyamitras in the Gupta Period, Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute (1920), pp. 99 ff. A. L. Basham has also endorsed this view of Divekar.

⁴¹ Visuphurāna, IV, 24, 17. The commentator of the Visuphurāna distinguishes the Pusyamitras from the seven Mickalas. Hence they may have occupied the region between the Mähişyas and Mckala in the Narbudda valley, if not Mckala continued on bage 376

"conquest of the earth" made by Skandagupta and the eighth verse43 relates to his victory over the Hunas It appears that the Hunas, Kidarites and Pahlavas jointly invaded northern India and were repelled by Skandagupta In the Junagarh Rock inscription dated 136, 137, 138 G E they are referred to as Mlecchas In the Bhitari epigraph, however, we have the mention of the Hunas, which constituted a prominent element of the invaders The result of the victory of Skandagupta was that his fame spread and his influence was felt in foreign countries including the Iranian settlements in the north west. It may be noted that Somadeva in his Kathasanisāgara includes Nirmuka, the king of the Persians, among the vassals of Vikramaditya, son of Mahendra ditya,44 who is undoubtedly identical with Skandagupta Vikramāditya The vassalage of the Persian king is a reminiscence of the victory of Skandagupta over the invaders mentioned above 45

The Kidarites (Kusanat) driven away from India by the young prince Skandagupta in the closing years of the reign of Kumara gupta took refuge in the mountainous retreats of the north west Some petty rulers of the Kidarite dynasty, who were completely Hinduised, ruled over the north west including some parts of

continued from page 375
itself (H C Rayehoudhury, Political History of Ancient India, 5th cd, p 569)
4° J. F Fleet, op cit, verse 7
स्वैदेण्डै ँर (१) त्युत् प्रचलित वजा प्रतिष्ठाष्य यो
बाहुम्यामयनि विजित्य हि जितेष्वात्तेष कृत्वा दया-
तोत्सित्तो न च विस्मित प्रतिदिन सवर्धमानद्युति
गीतरेष स्तुतिमिश्च बन्दकजनो य प्राययत्यार्यताम ॥
43 Ibid, verse 8
हूर्णयस्य समागतस्य समरेदोम्यां घरा कम्पिता । भीमावर्त्तकरस्य धत्रुषु धरा
विरचित्तप्रस्यापितो ।
44 Katharas ta TT Tan m
-
45 London) (1909), pp 88 ff تو تو ت
गजयज्जसी दणान In this
example the reading 'jarta' is not settled S K Belvelkar has
amended it as 'Gupta (Section of Section 5 K Belverkar 58)
amended it as 'Gupta (Systems of Sanskrit Grammar, p 58) In a commentary on the State of a state of the state
Hem:
ग्रजपाः ।

western Pinjab Coms have revealed the existence of the kings Krtavlirya, Sitaditya, Bhäsvan, Kuisla, Prakša and Survayašas who used the title kilds They adopted Hindiu names and culture Finally they merged in Hindiu society. Some sections of the Kuisinas repaired to the valleys of Churri and Gigit about 475 A D and descended from there after the defeat of the Hunas in the swith century and occupied some parts of Gandhäri on parts of which they hept their possession up to the ninth century "About 555 we hear of two powerful Kuisina chiefs Shog and Pariok Pariok assistanted Wistam the uncle of Khusrau II who was appointed the governor of Khuržan.

The Kidanies seem to have left an imprint in Sanskrit grammatical literature. The Katla commentary on the grammar of Pinni refers to the gold cours called *Kediya* which may signify the currency of the Kidanies ⁴⁷

¹⁶ Louis de la Vallée-Poussion, L'Inde aux temps des Mauryas et des Barbares, Grees, Sejthes, Parthes et Yue Tehe, p 318

⁴⁷ V. S Agrawala, India as known to Panim, p 261

device Smith takes this coin as the proof of the prevalence of Sassanid rule in north western India 7

Shahpuhr I (241-212 A D), the successor of Ardashir, took the offensive against the Kusānas again, sacked Kāpiši (Begram) and conquered Turan, Makuran, Paratan, Hindustan and Kushansnahr from Puskabur (Peshawar) to Kaš (Bukhara), Sughd (Sogdiana) and Sasstan (Taskand), as we gather from the Kaabah Zarathustra inscription 8 The Kusana kingdom was placed under the adminis tration of the governor of Khurasan who consequently hore the title of Kushanshah or king of the Kusanas In fact, the term Khurasan was used in a wider sense and signified all the eastern provinces and protectorates of the Sassanid empire. It appears that the crown-prince of Iran was always made the governor of the eastern provinces Thus, Kushanshah or Saghanshah was the title analogous to that of 'Prince of Wales' in Great Britain We find that Peroz, the brother of Shahpuhr I, called himself "the great Kushanshah" on his coins After 252 Hormuzd, the son of Shah puhr, was the governor of Khurasan with the pompous title of "the great king of the kings of the Kushans' Varahran I and Varahran II also used that high sounding title before coming to the throne ?

After Shahpuhr I there was a civil war between his sona Hormizd und Bahram (Varahran). The latter eame out successful and ruled from 273 to 298 Since the Kushans flirted with Rome and sided with Hormizd, Bahram (Varahiraa) quelled and quashed them in 263 204 A D. After this victory, to quote Herzfeld, "the Sassanian empire actually compensed the following possessions in the casif, Gurgan and the whole of Khurasan, perhaps, including Khvärazm and Sughd, Sakasthan in its widest limits, including Makuran and Turan the land of the ruiddle course of the Iadus and its mouths, Kaccha, Kathunavar, Malwa and the adjoining hinterland

⁷ V A Smith, 'Invasion of the Punjab by Ardashle Papakan the First Sasanan Kang of Perssa, Journal of the Royal Anate Society April, 1920 pp 221-226, Early Hutery of India (1924) p 269 F N 3, F D J Partick, Sassanian Com pp 79 80

⁵ M Sprenging, 'Shahpuhr the Great on the Kaabah of Zoroaster, 'American Journal of Semetic Langues and Literature' (1940) pp 353-253

⁹ Ernst Herzfeld, Paskult Vol 1 p 42, Aushano-Sassaman Caut (Micmons of the Archaeologueal Survey of Indua no (1930), J Hacken, "Repartition des monnaise angeennes en Afghansistan," Journal Anatyue (1935) p 287, A Christensen, Lion uosi les Sassamdes pp 222 223

of these countries The only exception was the Kabul valley and the Punjab which continued to remain in the hands of the Later Kushans¹¹⁰ But these Later Kushans also acknowledged the overlordship of the Sassands

After the death of Bahram (Varahran) II in 293 his son Bahram (Varahran) III occupied the throne for only a few months Among his retainers were the Saka satraps of Avanti (Azaadk (an) xati (a)) mentioned in line 22 of the Pehlen version of the Paikuli epigraph, according to Herzfeld But soon his granduncle Narse revolted and overthrew hum A large number of princes came to congratulate the new king on his coronation. Their list is given at the end of the aforesaid inscription at Paikuli. Herzfeld has translated this passage as follows

"The Gaesar of Rome, the king of Khwarazm, and Zāmasu of Kusdan, and Dignamhak and Savvidi, the Saikh of the Arabs, and Pak, the eunuch, and Beruvan, son of Spandorat, and the king of the Paradan, and Varäsgurt, the king, and the king of Zand-Afrik, and the king of Makuran, and X, the king of and Tirdat, the king, and Amru, the descendant of the Abgars, and the king of Abhira and Síka Vryn yrpt that their Bytak Satraps of all kinds, Varäzgirde, the lord of the Sakhurisan and Khvarasman, the lord of the Mokan, and Bagdat, the lord of the Zuradian, and Mitra Alasen, the Lord of the Boraspisin, and Bati, the lord of the Zürädatcin, and X, the lord of the Apresmisan, and Marwal, the lord of the Ishtakvin, and the lord of the Terakhsin and other princes, our instruction they became, and the whole empire anew (?) I wish (?) or , they congratulated me and some came personally to our poste others envoys and by (?) him the empire, and the place and to our service they came"1

Herzfeld holds that among the princes, enumerated in the Paikuli inscription, some can be considered Indian Sakas "In the group of princes of royal rank we have Bernwän-ispandar (a)-tan, the

¹⁰ E. Herzfeld, Parkuli II p 42

¹¹ E Herzfeld, Patkuli Vol I, p 119

The relevant text is 'keşare u hrome u zāmasp 1—kusdan a xv (a) razm (ā)—n šah u, zandafrık sah u makūran šah sah ut amu apgar (ı) nan ut aburān šāh u šika šatrap gönak gonak padēsē 1 amma ēstēnd a hamāke satre pa nöke p (a) des šeamax vvahem u ke vvat o dar 1 am amat enya frēstakāns '

country to supply silk to Rome & Byzantum from India But as Procopus writes," it was not possible for the Abysinians to buy silk from the Indians, as Persian merchants were always hanging about the ports, where the Indian vessels first put in, living as they do in the next neighbourhood, and these Persians were accustomed to buy the wares wholesale²²⁰

We learn from Indian sources that the Guptas devoted their attention to the north-western and western region The Aria manusri mula kalba states that Samudragupta led military expeditions in the west as well as the north up to the frontiers of Kasmira 21 It was probably in consequence of these campaigns that the western and northern kings and peoples, including the Abhiras of the west22 and the Kusanas of the north, together with other republican tribes of the Paniab, recognised his authority. This tradition is corroborated by the discovery of some coins of Kusana type with the names of Samudra and Candra Samudragupta's son and successor Candragupta Vikramaditya exterminated the Sakas of western India and annexed their kingdom to his empire 23 He also led a military expedition across she Panjab and Afghanistan upto the land of the Valhikas (Bactriana), as his identification with Candra of the Mahrauli inscription accepted by most of the scholars now, demonstrates 24 Thus western and north western India formed part of the Gupta empire and the Sakas and Persians settled there became subservient to the Guptas In particular, the colony of the Pahlavas in Guirat, which produced Tusaspa at the time of Asoka, and Svišakha, son of Kulaipa, at the time of Mahaksatrapa Rudradaman (cir 150 A D), who were associated with the maintenance of the

²⁰ Procopus, De Bello Persco, ed T E Page and W H Rouse with an English translation by H B, Dewing (Loebs Classical Library) Vol I, XX, 912

[&]quot;1 K P Jayaswal, An Imperial History of India (text) p 52.

²⁹ The Abhiras are mentioned in the Paikuli inscription noted above as well as the Kamasāstra of Vātsyayana in the western region of India

²³ A S Altekar & R C Majurndar, The Gupla Vökölaha Age p 153, R C Majurndar, The Classical Age p 19

^{*4} Hoernie Jayaswal, Bhandarkar, Barnett etc. identify Candra of Mehrauli epigraph with Candragupta II For references see Buddha Prabash, 'Thie Central Assauc Expedition of Candracupta Vikramāditya,' Journal of the Royal Analic Society of Bengal Vol 13 (1947) p 31

famous Sudarsana lake near Girnar, professed loyalty to Kumaragupta and Skundugupta, and produced governors to administer that region for them, is the reference to Pirnadatta and his son Cakrapalita, whose names are Sanskritised forms of the Iranian names Furnadāta and Ghakarapāta, as shown by Charpenner, and who, thus, appear to have been of Pahilwa extraction, as the goptris of Gujrat, in the Junagarh Rock inscription of Skandagupta, demonstrate ** Towards the end of the reign of Kumaragupta the Kidarites and the Hunas exercised considerable pressure on the north western frontiers of the Gupta empire and seem to have pushed through them into the interior of the country*s to be repelled by the valuant Skandagupta During this period the Hunas settled along the river of Gazni and the lake Ab i Istuda which came to be known as Zabulistan after their tribal name Inubla or Javla (Chol) It seems that a chief Ramanila whose coins have his bust facing the left instead of right in token of his independent status founded the kingdom of Zabult? which extended upto the valley of the Kabul in the north, reached the mountain range of Sulaiman in the east, touched the basis of the Helmand in the west and met the mountainous regions of the south which are regarded as the cradle of the Afghans Recently A D H Biyar has published two inscriptions from Uruzgan situated midway between the upper waters of the rivers Helmand and Arghandah reading as follows -

Boo Ssaho Zooloo mihroziki

Bo Ossolio Zoolomilirooo

(The divine and glorious king of Zabul, Mihira)²⁸ These records prove the domination of the Hūnas of the family of Mihirakula in

²⁵ Journal af the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Dec 1930 pp 282 83

²⁶ Jagannath Agrawal The Route of the First Huna Invasion' Proceedings of the Indian History Congress (21st Session 1958) Trivandrum pp 160 161 Prof Agrawal holds that the first Huna invasion occurred through the Bolan pass near Que ta He bases his theory on the discovery of the inscriptions of Jaula Mihira at Uruzgan and the existence of their kingdom there which gave the name Zabulistan to this region 1 it may well be that the Hunas pourced into India together with the Kidarites who had occup ed Peshawar They could have set up a kingdom in the Gazan region

²⁷ R Ghurshman Les Chuomtes Hepthalites p 35

¹⁸ Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (1954) pp 112 Afghaustan (1953) Vol VIII pp 1 4

the region called Zabulistan About the year 455, when a tribe of the Hunas invaded India, one of their chiefs inflicted a crushing defeat on the Sassanid monarch Yazdegurd II After the death of that morarch in 457, a civil war broke out in Iran between Peroz and Hormizd The former took shelter among the Hephthalites and with their help dethroned his brother and himself became king But soon hostilities broke out among the Sassanids and the Hep hthalites, the former sustained a defeat and Peroz undertook to pay tribute and sent his son Kavadh as a hostage to his rival, probably, Akun In 484 Peroz made another effort to defeat them and was killed. Thus, it is clear that the rise of the Hephthalites in Balkh resulted in the weakening of the Sassanid empire They assumed supremacy in the eastern territories which acknowledged the sway of the Sassanids and then came under the authority of the Guptas It is not at all possible that the Sassanids continued to maintain any effective control over the erstwhile Saka and Kusana realms after the campaigns of Samudragupta and Candragupta and, then, the invasions of the Hunas or Jaulas The reference to the western mouth of the ndus being Persian in the work of Cosmas only shows that the people of that region had a predominant Persian strain, and the remark of Procopius that Persian merchants used to monopolise the silk trade with the West by purchasing all the stocks from Indian traders does not at all indicate Persian domination over Indian territories, but rather hints at the brisk commercial activity of Persian merchants in the ports of Persia or the neighbouring regions "where the Indian vessels first put in " To infer the existence of Sassanian supremacy in India from this evidence is quite unwarranted Thus, we observe that the view of Charpentier is only partially correct

4 The Sassanids and the Sayhanavamsa of the Titthogali painnaya

The above discussion shows that the Sassanids overpowered and celipsed the Sakas and Kuşapas in the third century A D. They became the overlords of the Scythian rulers of western and north ern India and continued to be so till the Guptas conquered the regions held by the Sakas and Kuṣāpas. The period of Sassand overlordshup over the realms of the Sakas and Kuṣāpas lasted from the second quarter of the third century A D up to the last quarter of the fourth century A. D with varying vicessitudes. During this period the Sassandis had virtually stepped into the shoes of the Sala-Kuṣīpas. This state of affairs is reflected in a remarkable verse of the Jaina text *Titlogālipannaga* which seems to have been

composed in the fourth century A.D 29 and is the earliest work of Jaina chronology This verse refers to some Indian dynastics like the Nandas, Mauryas and Sakas and then mentions the Sanhanavamsa or Sanhāna dynasty 30 The Sanhāna dynasty rose to power after the end of Saka rule This Sajjbana dynasty evidently stands for the Sassanid dynasty, the word sayhana being a Prakritised Indian variant of Sasan Initially the word 'sasan' scems to have possessed palatal spirants, as we can gather from the word zazana occurring in the Bisutun inscription of Darius (Sen. Old Persian Inscriptions p 29) One of these spirants appears to live in the Prakrit form sayhara As we have seen in this study, the Sassanids eclipsed and replaced the Scythians in western and northwestern India Hence the author of the said text rightly referred to the rule of the Sauhāna (Sassanid) dynasty after the Scythian period This is probably the only unique reference to the Sassanids in Indian literature and it answers to the real political situation in western and north-western India after the debacle of the Seythians in the fourth century A D The history of this period, studied here, corroborates the aforesaid reference to the Sassanids in the Jaina text Hence we can assume that the author of this text was quite well acquainted with the changes in the political situation of western and north-western India following the establishment of Sassanid rule in Iran It is significant that Kälidasa, in the latter half of the fourth century, mentioned the Parasikas in place of the Salas as the next-door neighbours and adversaries of Raghu \$1

5 The cultural consequences of Sassanid influence in north western India

The specification of the aforesaid reference to the Sassanids (Sajhānavamša) in the *Titihogāli paunaga* provides a literary confirmation of the data pertaining to the hegemony of this Iranian

³⁹ Muni Kalyāna Vijaya 'Virā Nirvāna Samvat our fauna Kāla gananā (In Hindi) (The date of the Decease of Mahayra and Jana Chronology) Mögan Perserun Patrick Vol X part 4 p 614, Shantulal Shah, Traditonal Chronology of the Jamas pp 21-22

³⁰ Titthogali pannaya p 23 Verse 705

ता एव सगवसो य नदवसी य मच्यवसो य । समराहेण पणट्ठा, समय सज्झाणवसेण ॥

³¹ Raghusanisa IV, 61 पारसी शास्ततो जेतु प्रतस्ये स्यलवत्मेना । इन्द्रियाख्यानिव रिप स्तत्वज्ञानेन स्यमी ॥

dynasty over western and north-western India in some parts of the third and fourth centuries A D The evidence from the Iranian side is strikingly corroborated from the Indian side also. This cogent testimony of Sassanid overlordship over the domains of the Scythians in some parts of India throws a flood of light on the background of cultural contacts and exchange of ideas between India and Iran during this period. We know that under the Sassanids Zoroastrianism received a renewed impetus and Ahurmazda, under the Pehlvi name Harmuz, became the greatest god of Iran Curiously enough, V S Agrawala has traced a reference to Harmuz and his maiden daughter Hyerenah in the description of Harimedhas and his maiden daughter Dhyajavati in the Udjogaparvan (108, 13) of the Mahabharata 32 Likewise, it is possible to find some Sassanid religious motifs in the account of Maga Bhojaka-Brāhmanas in the Bhaviryapurāna In this text Maga is described as the son of the sun (āditya) and Nikşubhā ³³ Jt is stated that Niksubhā was born as the daughter of the sage Sulhva (Rigihva or Rinhva) of the Mihira family as a result of the curse of the sun god Later on, the sun god became enamoured of her and from their union was born a son Jarasabda31 who became the progenitor of the Magas This Jarasabda reminds one of the name of Zarathušira himself His followers, the Magas and Bhojakas, were intensely devoted to the cult of fire and the sun They are said to be nearing the sacred girdle (avyanga) known as auwyaonpha in Iran To consecrate the temple of the sun, Samba is said to have invited them from Sakadvipa In fact, according to the Brhatsamhita, ch I x, only the Magas could officiate as priests in a sun-temple Varahamihira, the author of this work, was probably himself a Maga Brahmana 35

³² V S Agrawala, 'Mahabhārata—A Cultural Commentary', Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Vol 37 (1957) p 5

³³ Niksubha is in the list of the eight Magas or Bhojakas that are divine forces emanating from the body of the sun-god They are Vihira, Niksubha, Rajfi, Dandanayaka, Pingan Rajfia, Strausa, and Isa Garumtat In the art of Bamyan the representation of the eight Buddhas recalls lite aforesaid eight Magas or Bhojakas, (A Godard and J Hackin, Lo antiquite? buddhaytee de Bamjan (1923) Pt XXII.

³¹ Bhavisjapurana I, 139 pp 113-33

²⁵ D K Biswas 'The Maga Ancestry of Varāhamihira' ladion Hutoncal Quarterly Vol XXV (1949) . 175-183

D R Bhandarkar has referred to some coms of Sassanian type and fabric bearing inscriptions in Nagarl, Sassanian Pehlvi and an alphabet which is probably a development of the modified form of the Greek alphabet used by the Scytho-Sassands, found in western India These coms have the legend §rl Vasudeva in Nagari on the reverse and §rl Vahmana in Pehlvi on the obverse ³⁶ These coms indicate Persian influence which lingered on in these regions

³⁶ D R Bhandarkar, 'Foreign Elements in Hindu Population' Indian Antiquary Vol XL pp 1 37 E J Rapson, Indian Coms p 30, F D J Paruck, Sassanan coms p 96, 270 71

At a place called Bambhore in Sind situated on a creek of the Arabian set some pottery of Sassanian design has been recently discovered which points to Sassanid influence

CHAPTER XVII

On Vikramaditya Traditions

 Traditions about Bikarmäyit a contemporary of Ardashir or Shahpuhr mentioned by Farishia

The Vikramaditya tradition has been a fertile field for the luxuriant growth of legends. Some of these legends are pure fiction and formance but others rest on histotrical basis. Hence it is always desirable to analyse and examine these legends critically before passing any judgment on them. Here it is proposed to study some such legends mentioned in the history of Farishta

Furshia states that 'Bikarmajit (Vikramāditya) was the long of Ujian (Ujiayim) and was a contemporary of King Ardashir of Iran According to some, he hved in the period of Shahpuhr People associate an era with the date of his death 1025 A II corresponds to 1663 A ∇ "

2 The problem of the era of 57-58 B G

Evidently the era referred to by Farishta is the famous Vikrama era of 57-58 B C We know that for several centuries after its commencement the era of 57 B C was known as Krin era. In the Naudsa inscription of 225 A D, the Barnala inscription of 278 A D, the Vijayagarh inscription of 371 A D, the Mandasor 1 inscription of 404 A D, the Ganadhar inscription of 423 A D, and the Nagari inscription of 424 A D, it is called Kirta era In the Mandusor and Nagari inscriptions, cited above, it is associated with the Malavas In the fifth century A D, this era came to be known as the Malava era, e g in the Mandasor inscription of 436 A D and in another inscription from the same locality dated 532 A D It is for the first time" in the last decade of the minth century in 898 A D that this era is called Vikrama Smyat in the Dholpur inscription of Canda Mahisena But, in the Cyaspur inscription written 38 years later it is again called Malaya era Of the thirty four inscriptions of the teath century A D mentioning this era, only two call it Vikrama era and the rest, simply, Maliva era But, in

¹ Tarikh i Farishta ir Mohammad Fida Ali Vol I p 38

^t A Copper plate from Dlanking and Athawar of the eighth century refers to the Vikram era. But this is a spurious plate as shown by A S Altekar in *Epigrophia India* Vol XXVI

the eleventh and twelfth centuries this era became usually known as Vikrama era, for instance, in the inscriptions dated 1042, 1104 and 1119 A D 3

It is clear from the aforesaid enumeration of the inscriptions dated in the era of 57 B C 58 B C that originally it was called Kria, then was known as Malaza and, later on, in the tenth century was designated as Vilrame This shows that the name of Vilramäditya was not associated with it from the very beginning. It had its origin in the republican state of the Malavas and signified a decisive victory achieved by them over the Scythians which enabled them to restore their integrity after a scrious rebuff. As this victory ushered in a period of glory, stability and prosperity for these people, it was remembered as the communement of the age of truth and virtue and the era founded to commenorate it was apt'y called Kria era'. In the fourth and fifth centuries A D, when the Gupta era was popular in North India, the Malavas clung to their own indigenous era and, in order to emplasize there vita era

When in the tenth and eleventh centuries the Malava era began to be called Vikrama era its foundation was ascribed to a king Vikramädityia Jauna authors played a leading part in the develop ment of this tradition Concerned as they were with the history of the patriarch Kalaka, the abduction of whose sister Sarasvati by King Girdabhilla (Dapana) touched off that is u ence of exents, which cubminated in the victory of the Malavas over the Sakas and led to the foundation of the era of 57 B C -58 B C, they wove the episode of Vikramäditya into it in a simple credibious way. The Prabhaukaentia³ (13th cent A B), the Satashyge Makalamya (12th eent A D) and such other texts have inserted the episode of Vikramadityi in the story of Kalaka. But in earlier Jaina texts of the Systambara sect, for instance, the Nisithaeurni and Veacahara Gural, there is no reference to Vikraman connection with the outhing of the Sakas from Ujjain. His role is ruther assigned to Balamura-Bhaumutra who took possession of Ujjain after the extermination of the Systambara sect.

^{*} Ep graphia Indica Vols XIX, XXIII

V S Agrinuala 'Vikrama Eta and Vikramaditya' Nagari Pracarini Patrika (Vikramalka) 1944 pp 125 136

Prabhavakacanta of Prabhacandra ed Muni Jina Vijaya (Singhi Jauni Granthamala) pp 22 27

3 Al-Birum s reference to two Vikremadilyas

When Al Birum came to India, he also heard the legends about the association of the era of 57-58 B C with Vikramaditya which he reported as follows —

'A Saka king tyrannized over the country between the river Sindh and the ocean-some maintained that he was a Sudra or low caste Hindu from the city of Almansura, while others munitained that he was not a Hindu at all, but had come to India from the West The Hindus had much to suffer from him, till at last they received help from the East, when Vikramaditya murched against him, put him to flight and killed him in the region of Karur between Multan and of Long Now this date became famous as the castle of the tyrant people rejoiced in the news of the death and was used as the epoch of an era, especially by the Since there is a long interval between the era astronomers which is called the era of Vikramaditya and the killing of the Saka, we think that Vikramaditya, from whom the era has got its name, is not identical with that one, who killed Saka, but only a namesake of his 7

The above quotation shows that, according to Al Birtini, there were two Vikramadityas, the latter being the victor and killer of the Saka king and the founder of an era known after his name, and the former being the king who flourished long before him and from whose time the said era was dated. In other words, the Vikramäditya over the Sakas and tacked on to another which was founded long before that event. Who this second Vikramaditya of Al Birum 'era be's Considering the data furnished by Indian history, there remains no reason to doubt that this second Vikramäditya, the conqueror of the Sakas, was no other than Candragupta II Vikramäditya

4 Chandragupta II Vikramāditya and the Šakas

Candragupta II conquered the Sakas of Malwa and Kathuawar, and put an end to their rule The long series of coins testfying lo the rule of the Western Kşatrapas for well over three hundred years comes to an end between 388 A D, and 397 A D, the period of

392

⁶ Muni Kalyāni Vijaya⁶ The Lira of Mahāvira Nirvana and Jama Chronology (In Hindi) Nagari Pracarıni Patrikā Vol X Part 4 pp 639 642

⁷ Sachau, Alberum s India Vol II p 6

Rudrasimha III In place of these coins, Candragupta II issued his own coins which are almost exact comes of the former and on which the dates are given in the Gupta era On these coms the first symbol denoting 90 is clearly legible * Thus, it is clear that Candragupta conquered the realm of the Ksatrapas after 388 A D towards the end of the fourth century and issued his currency there in the beginning of the fifth The Udayagin Cave inscription, engraved at the instance of Virasena, refers to the march of Candragupta in that region in course of the conquest of the whole earth Candragupta also worsted the Saka ruler when he invaded the Gupta empire under Ramagupta and sought the hand of his queen Dhruvadevi Later, he crossed the seven tributaries of the Indus and conquered the country of the Valhikas, as we gather from the Mchrauh Iron Pillar inscription of Candra, who is very plausibly identified with Candragupta II These victories over the Sakas won him the surname of Sakarı or Sakantaka

5 Candragupta II Vikramaditya's association with Ujjayini in Malwa

After exterminating the Sakas and annexing their kingdom Candragupta made Ujjaying the second and more important capital of his empire Farishta has noted that there was a very fine image of Vikramāditya in the temple of Mahākala at Ujjayini which litut mish brought to Delhi after the conquest of Malwa " This shows the close association of Vikramaditva with Malwa and Unain It appears that after the conquest of the Sakas and the declaration of Ujjain as the second capital of the empire Candragupta Vikramaditya's association with Malwa hecame so close that he began to dominate the legends and traditions current there. It was as a result of these associations that Candragupta Vikramaditya's great exploit of exterminating the Sakas was identified with the caller feat of defeating the Sakas performed by the Malavas, and the name of Vikramaditva was tacked on to the era started to commemorate that event The tradition reported by Al Birthni makes this point crystalclear

6 The identification of Candragupta II Vikramaditya with the traditional Vikramaditya credited with the foundation of the era of 57 58 B C

⁸ Altekar & Mujumdar, The Valafala Cupia Age pp 153 154, The Classical Age ed. R. C. Majumdar p 19, V. S. Agruwala 'Jyotiratha' Nagar? Pracarni: Parita (Candra Buli Pandeya Commencrition Volume) Vol 63 pp 412 418

^{*} Tatikh-i- Farishta (op cit) Vol I p 250

z

394

Farishta's tradition gives a decisive turn to this question by showing that Vikramāditya, with whom the era known after his name is associated, was a contemporary of Ardashir or Shahpuhr, the Sassanid monarchs Farishta, as if doubting the contemporaneity of Vik ramaditya and Ardashir, states that, according to some, he lived in the period of Shahpuhr We know that Ardashir founded the Sassanid empire in 226 A D In his dynasty there were many Shahpuhrs Shahpuhr I (231-972 A D) was the son of Ardashur I Shahpuhr II (309 379 A D), was the son of Hormizd (309-379) and a contemporary of Samudragupta in India, Shahpuhr III was the son of Shahpuhr II who came to the throne in 383 A D after the brief rule of his uncle Ardashir II lasting from 379 to that year He ruled up to 388 A D and was thus a contemporary of Gandragupta II Vikramaditya We have said something about the relations of Gandragupta II and Ardashir II in another study Besides Ardashir II, Shahpuhr III was also his contemporary This contemporaneity of Candragupta II Vikramaditya and Ardashir II and Shahpuhr III hes at the basis of the tradition that Bikarmajit lived in the period of Ardashir or Shahpuhr, reported by Faristha This tradition leaves no room for doubt that the Vikramäditya with whose name the era of 57 is associated was no other than Gandragupta II Vikramāditya, for no other king of this surname was a contemporary of any Sassanid king named Ardashir or Shahpulir Later on, when the name of Vikramaditya was permanently attached to the era of 57-58 B C, it began to be believed that there was a Vikramäditya in 57-58 B C also However, the confusion about his identity continued to exist, as is munifest from the tradition of two Vikramadityas reported by Al-Biruni But after that time people forgot everything about Gandragupta II Vikramaditya and completely identified him with that Vikramäditya whom they placed in 57-58 B C This accounts for the late appearance of the tradition of Vikramāditya of 57-58 B C and its complete absence from ently Indian records

7 Candrogupta II Vikramaditya and the South

The alorestaid conclusion is remforced by another piece of evidence. While reporting the traditions of Vikramäditya, Farshta states that the Deccan formed part of his kingdom ¹⁰ Whitreas there is no specific reference to the influence of the Vikramäditya placed by later writers in 57-56 BC over the states of the south, we have

¹⁰ Tarikh-1-Farishta (op eit) Vol I p. 197

ample cyldence to demonstrate the dominant influence of Cundra gupta II Vikramaditya over the southern states and regions We hnow that Candragupt'a II marred his daughter Prabhavatigupta to the Vakataka king Rudrasena II Rudrasena passed away leaving two minor sons Divakarasena and Damodarasena Hence Prabh avatigupta acted as regent for her minor son But Divakarasena also died in the thirteenth year of her regency After some time, when Damodarasen's came of age he ascended the throne and assumed the name Prayarisena II During the regency of Prabhavati-gupta the Gupta monarch dominated the administration of the Vakataka kingdom as is manifest from the fact that the Poona and Riddhapur copper plate grants of Prabhavatigupta unlike other Vakataka records begin with the genealogy of the Guptas rather then the Vakajakas 11 After the coronation of Pravarasena II also Candragupta continued to exercise influence in the Valataka court and deputed his statesmen administrators and men of letters to work there The poet Kalidasa also seems to have resided at the Vakataka capital on some deputation from his patron Candragupta At a distance of three miles from the Vakataka capital Nandivard hana (modern Nandardhan) was the famous hill Ramagiri (modern Raintek 28 miles north of Nagpur) from where the Riddhapur grant of Prabhayatigupta was issued and the itinerary of the cloud in the Meghadula commenced During the visits of Kalidasa to this sacred place in course of his residence at Nandivardhana the theme of the Meghaduta must have suggested itself to the poet as shown by V V Mirashi 12 It was also during this stay at the Vakataka court that Kalidash composed or revised the Prakrit poem Setubandha for the sake of Pravarasena by the order of Vikramaditya as we learn from the commentary of Ramadasa on this work 13 Thus it is clear that Candragupta II had a predominant position in the Vakataka state

Pravarasena II also composed some Prakrit gathas which are incorporated in the *Cathasaptasati* Bhuvanapala mentions him as the author of 11 verses of this collection The Nirnayasagara Press

इह ताव प्रहारागप्रवर्षननिमित्तं महाराजाधिराजविकमादित्येनापत्तो निसि त्वविचकजुद्दामणि कालिन्समहाज्ञेय सेतुन घ विकोयु मगलमा चरसाह ।

¹¹ Epigraphia Induca Vol XV p 41 Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal Vol XX p 53

¹² VV Mirashi Stud es m Indology Vol I p 20

¹⁵ Setubandha (Nirnaya Sagara Press edition)

edition attributes 5 gäthäs of this anthology to him and Pitämbara adds two more to this number. It is likely that about his time the following verse no 463 referring to the liberality of Vikramäditya was composed

सवाहणसुहरसतोसिएण देन्तेण सुह करे लक्ख । चलणेण विवकमाइच्चचरित्र ग्रनुसिक्खिय तिस्सा ।।

As Mirashi has shown, the idea of this verse occurs in the Sanjan Copper plate inscription of the Rastraküta king Amogavarsa I, where the reference is clearly to the king of the Gupta dynasity. In his words, 'it is not surprising that such a gäthä should have been 'composed in Vidarbha about Candragupta II and should have found a place in the anthology of Maharästra, for the influence of that illustricus and mighty Gupta emperor was very great at the courts of both the Väkatakas and the early Rasfrakutas, who ruled to the north and the south of the Godavari, where the gäthäs of the Sapträsti were mainly composed" (Sludies in Indology Vol I p 88)

To the south of the Vakåtaka realm was the kingdom of Kuntala, which included southern Mahārāştra and the northern Kanarese dustricts of the Mysore State We learn from the fragment of a work called *Kuntéxenadaulys* that Kāhdāsa was sent on an embassy to the court of the king of Kuntala by Vikramāditya At first he was not received with appropriate courtesy and ecercmony and had to sit on the ground But later he strengthened the position and influnce of his master in the court of Kuntala and when, he returned home he could report that "(asyng the responsibility (of governing his kingdom) to you (Vikramaditya), the lord of Kuntala is engaged in kissing the faces of his beloveds'' ¹¹ On this report Vikram'ditya was also gratified and confirming it observed, "let the lord of Kuntala continue to kiss the faces of his beloveds, leaving the responsibility (of governing his kingdom) to zone "¹¹⁵ The king do

14	Sarasu Dalal)	atikanthabharana p 168, Kānjamimānsā (ed () p 61	D
15	ıbıd	पिवति मधुगुगम्धीन्याननानि प्रियाणाम्, स्वयि विनिहित्वभार कुन्ततानामधीका ।	
		पिवतु सखुसुगन्धीन्याननानि त्रियाणाम् । सथि वि ^{त्ति} मार कर्ण्यामर्घः ।	

396

Kadamba king Bhagiratha by H Heras¹⁸ and R M Moreas,¹⁷ with Pravarasena II Väkätaka by S Krishnaswamy Ayangar¹⁸ and A S Attekar¹⁹ and with the Rästrakätia Ling of Manpur named Devaräja, the son of Mananka by V V Mirashi ²⁰ Mirashi holds that under the influence of Candragupta II the Vakätakas of Vidarbha and the Rastrakätas of Kuntala forgot their emmity and became friendly to each other This eventually led to the mairinage of the Vakäţaka prince Narendrasena, the great grandson of Candragupta II, with the Kuntala process Ajhitabattarika recorded in the Balaghat plates ²¹ Whoever this king of Kuutala^{2*} may have been, it goes without saying that he was under the influence of Candragupt II Vikramaditya

Thus, it is clear that Candragupta II had a great influence in the south and was virtually responsible for the administration of the states of Vidarbha and Kuntala II is for this reason that in the Mehrauli Iron Pillar Inscription of Candra it is stated that "the southern seas are perfumed by the breezess of his valour"³³. The tradition of Bikarmajit's rule over the south recorded by Faristha accords well with the aforeraid facts about Candragupia II Vikarmäditya

- ¹⁶ Annals of the Bhandarkar Orsental Research Institute Vol XII p 458
- 17 Kadambakula pp 19 22
- ¹⁸ Ancient India Vol 1 pp 271-79, Journal of the Mythie Society Vol XV p 160-162
- 19 The Vakataka Gupta Age p 102
- 20 Studies 14 Indology p 10

- ²³ Mirsuhi treats the Kunitevardaulya as a drama of Kalidasa (g), et p 1-11) But V Raghavan doubts the existence of any work of this name "it is not improbable", he observes "that Kunitavardaulya does not mean exactly a poetic composition but refers to the medent in Kähdäsa's hie and carcer, pit the embassy he went on from Vikramaditya to Kuntalesi, and there imght have been handed down in tradition a few stray verses which Kähdäsa's Kuntsvara dautya', B C Law Yolams (H 1966) This matter can be finally decided only after the discovery of fresh material pertaining to this work.
- ²³ J F Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum No 32 line 2 तीर्खा सप्तमुखानि येन समरे सिन्योजिता बाह्यिका यस्पायाप्यायिवास्थते जलनिषिवीयांनित्तैवेक्षिण ।

²¹ ibid p 11

8 Concluding remarks

The aforesaid discussion shows that the traditions about Vikramäditya, recorded by Farishtä, confirm the view that Vikramäditya, with whose name the famous era 657-58 B C is associated, was no other than Candragupta II Vikramäditya, the contemporary of the Sassand monarchs Ardashir II and Shähpuhr III

CHAPTER XVIII

The Age of the Mrcchakatika

1 Reference to Subandhu

In the eighth act of the Mrcchakatika, ascribed to Südraka, there is a scene of the strangulation of Vasantasenā by Sakara, the villain of the play While preparing to throttle her, he says,

"Is he (Carudatta, with whom Vasantasena was in love, and whom she was calling at that time) Indra or Bah s son Mahendra or Rambhä's son Kalanemi or Subaudhu or the king Rudra or Drona's son Jatāyu or Canakya or Dhundumāra or Trīsańku ? Or, even they would not be able to save you,"¹

Here the villain enumerates all the powerful personalities who, in his opinion, could come to the rescue of Vasantasena In this list we come across the name of Subandhu Evidently he must have been a famous and powerful man We have two inscriptions of a king named Subandhu. One of them is engraved on a copper plate discovered in the debris of cave No 2 at Bagli and records the grant of the village Dasithakapalli by the king Subandhu of Mähismati to the Buddhist monks for their maintenance, the upkeep of their monastery and the worship of Lord Buddha 2 The second inscription is inscribed on a copper plate found in the state of Barwani in central India and records the wift of a piece of land in the village (padraka) named Sohajana in the Udumbaragarta district (pathaka) to a Brahmana named Sasthisvamin by the great king (mahāraja) Subandhu stationed at Mahismati for the spiritual welfare of himself and his parents 3 The date of the first inscription is missing though the month

¹ Muchakatuka, VIII, 34, (Chaukhamba Sanskut Series p 427 किंदी दायके वालिपुत्ती महिन्दे लम्मापुत्ते कात्रणेमी सुबन्धू ।

लुद्देलाग्रा दोणपुत्ते जडाऊ वागकने वां घृत्घुमाले तिराड्कूं।। ग्रंधया एदेवि देण सक्यति ।

- ² Annual Report of the Archaeological Department of the Guadior State for 1928-29, p 28
- ³ R R Huldar, 'The Barwani Copper-plate inscription of Maharaja Subandhu, the year 167, Epigraphia Indica Vol XIX (1927-28) pp 261-263

sravana can be read, but the date of the second is 167 VVMirishi holds that this date is in the Cedi-Kalacuri era of 249-50 A D Accordingly, the date of Subandhu is $416-417 \text{ A D}^4$ He agrues that the date of Subandhu cannot be referred to the Gupta era, for about the year 406487 A D the Väkätakas were ruling in castern and western Malwa and the Anüpa country and the Gupta sway nad disappeared from there

Mirashi's argument rests on the supposition that Malwa 'had shoped out of the hands of the Guptas in the period of disorder which followed the Huna invasions" He disregards the fact that the Huna invasion of 455 A D was so thoroughly repelled by Skandagupta as to leave no effect on the destiny of the Gupta empire The claim of Skandagupta that he preserved the unity and integrity of the empire by warding off the menace of disruption, caused by the upsurge of the enemies, particularly the Hunas, after the denth of his fathers, and established a sound administration by appointing able governors (gopir) in all provinces (deta)", shows that there was no diminution of territory in his time It is expressly stated in the Junagarh Rock inscription that Shandagupta ruled over the country (arani) consisting of big provinces (sphilabarraniadesam) washed by the waters of the four oceans (raiunidadhyalantam) * That the Gupta empire remained intact and central India up to the Narmada formed part of it up to the time of Budhagupta is demonstrated by his Eran epigraph of 165=384 85 A D In line 3 of this record it is stated that under Budhagupta Maharaja Surasmicandra administered the vast territory between the Yamuna and the Narmada " Thus, Mähismati, modern Mahesvara on the

पितरि दिवमूपेते विष्नुता वनलयमी मुजवसविजितारिये प्रसिष्ठाच मूप ।

Joid No 14, Junagarh Rock Inscription, verse 7 सर्वेषु वेशेष विधाय गोध्त्रीज

1 Ibid, verse 3

नृपतिगुणनिकेत स्रन्दगुप्त पृृषुश्री चतुरुद्धविजनान्ता स्फीसपर्यन्तदेशाम्) गर्वनिमवनतारियंश्वकारारमसस्या पितरियुरसरित्व प्राप्तवत्यात्मधण्या ।)

⁸ Ibid No 19, Eran stone pillar Inscription of Budhagupta line 3

कानिन्दीनमंदयीमंघ्य पालयति सोकपालगुणै । जगति महाराजश्चियमनमवति सुरश्मिषन्द्रे च ।।

⁴ V V Mirashi, The Age of the Bagh Caves' Indian Historical Quarterly Vol XXI (1945) pp 79 85

⁵ J F Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Induarum Vol III No 13, Bhitair stone inscription, verse 6

Narmada⁴, must have been meluded in the domain of this Gupta administrator Lateron, in 510 511 Å D Malwa was a part of the Gupta empire under the valuate King Bhānugupta and his gallant general Goperapa⁵⁰. Thus, it is clear that the theory of the breakdown of Gupta rule in Malwa in the later half of the fifth century A D nas no leg to struct upon

Mirushi urgues that the use of Malaya era in the Mandasor inscription of Kumaragupta and Bandhuvarman (434 37 A D and 473 A D), as well as other inscriptions found in that region, is a pointer to the decline of Gupta authority This view is negatived by the aforesaid Mundusor inscription itself. Though it refers to the year 493 of the Malava era, it clearly states that in it Kumara gupta was ruling over the carth "which was girt by the tinkling gurdle of the four oceans, which had the Sumeru and Kailara mountains as her heavy breasts and which smiled in the efflorescence of the flowers of the forest glens " Thus, it is clear that the use of the Malava era was not necessarily inconsistent with the existence of Gupta sovereignty The rulers of Mandusor or Dasapura namely Naravarman, Visvavarman and Bandhuvarman were admittedly the feudatories of the Guptas and to say that the Mandasor interption12 of the year 461, the Bihar Kotra inscriptionts of the year 474, the Gangdhar inscription14 of the year 480 and the Mandasor inscription of the years 493 and 229 bespeak the scession of Dasarna from the Gupta empire does not stand to reason

If we accept Mirsshi's view that Subandhu ruled in 416-17 A D as an independent ruler in Anupa country on the Narmada it would mean that the Guptas had lost their hold over that region by that time But the evidence at our disposal completely disproves thus

- F E Parguer identified Mahamati with Mandhata on the Narmada, Journal of the Royal Anatic Society (1910) pp 445-446
- 10 Fleet, op at No 20, Eran Posthumous stone-pillar inscription of Goparaja and Bhanugupta, hac 5 श्री भानगुप्ती जगति प्रयोरो राजा महान पार्यसमोऽतियार ।
- ¹¹ Ibid, No IB, ine I3 चतु समुद्रा तविलोलमेखला सुमेरुकैशास बृहत्ययोघराम् । बनाग्तवान्तरकुटपुष्पद्दासिनी क्रमारपन्ते पविषी प्रतासति ।।
- 12 Epigraphia Indica Vol XIII, p 320
- 13 Epigraphia Indica Vol XXVI, p 130
- 24 Fleet, Op cit, No 17

view We know that ever since the marriage of Candragupta Vikramaditya's daughter Prabhāvatiguptā with the Vakataka king Rud rasena II in cir 395 A D the Guptas acquired considerable influence in Vidarbha Rudrasena II died soon afterwards leaving two minor sons, Divakarasena and Damodarasena His elder son Dia karasena also passed away at a very young age Hence Prabhavati gunta began to reign as regent on behalf of her younger son Damodarasena, the future Pravarasena II Her Poona copper plate grant15 and Riddhapur copper-plate grant16 open with a genealogy of the Guptas rather than the Vākatakas As tradition has it, the poet Kalidasa was sent to the court of the Vakataka king Pravarasena II for whom he composed or revised the Präkrit poem Setubandha During his stay at the Vakataka court at Nandivardhana, modern Nagardhan or Nandardhan in the vienity of Ramtek, which lies 28 miles north of Nagpur, the poet wrote his famous lyric Meghaduta, in which the cloud-messenger starts the itinerary from Ramagiri, which has been identified with Ramtek 17 On coming of age Damodarasena ascended the throne under the name Pravarasena II and ruled from cir 420 to 450 A D 18 He held northern Vidarbha, while his collateral Sarvasena (cir. 330-355 A D) ruled over southern Vidarbha from Vatsagulma, modern Basim, in the Akola district in the Hyderabad state The kingdom of Anupa, with Mahismati as its capital, was incorporated in that of Vidarbha According to the Dasakumaracarita of Dandin, Vidarbha had a number of feudatory kingdoms, viz, Kuntala (comprising the upper valley of the Krishna and including the southern Maratha country and northern districts of the Mysore state), Asmaka (the country along the bank of the Godavari), Risika (modern district of Khandesh), Murala (the region washed by the Murala, a tributary of the Godavan), Nasikya (the country round Nasik in the Bombay state) and Konkana (the strip of land between the western sea and the Sahvadri mountain)18 Mirashi has also shown that Candragupta took over the administration of the state of Manpur and Kuntala from Devarata, the case-loving son of Mananka, in consequence of the diplomatic mission of Kälidasa reported in the Kuntesiaradautia 20 Thus, the Vakataka king Pravarasena II

- 18 Ilid, p 82
- 19 Ilid, pp 167-168
- 20 Ind pp 9-11

¹⁵ Epigraphia Indica Vol XV, p 41.

¹⁶ Journal of the Assaine Society of Bengal, Vol XXII, p 58

¹⁷ V V Mirashi, Studies in Indology Vol I, pp 16-20

and the Kuntala king Devaraja being friendly to the Guptas, there is no possibility of the decline of Gupta influence in the Deccan in the first half of the fifth century

Like Candragupta II, Kumāragupta I also vielded considerable influence in the south A hoard of 1395 coms of his time has been found in the Satara district 21 In the west, his coins figure in the hoard discovered at Kumarkhan in Viramgaon Taluka of Ahmedabad district as well as in that discovered at Sanund in the same district 27 Recently 46 gold coins of Mahendräditya (Kumaragupta I) and 3 of Kramaditya (Skandagupta) have been found in village Pitaibund in the Raipur district of Madhya Pradesa showing their influence in the Chattisgarh region in South Kosala "3 Further south, the Kadambas of Vanayanti, modern Banvasi in the North Kanara district, seem to have contracted matrimonial alliances with the Guptas by giving the hands of their daughters to them We learn from the Talagunda inscription of the Kadamba King Kakusthavarman, who flourished about 450 A D ,22 that he married his daughter to the Gupta king, who could only be Kumāragupta I 14 These facts show that like Candragupta, Kumāragupta preserved his influence in the south

Mirashi holds that since the Väkätaka king Prihvijena II counts the king of Malwa, Mckala and Kosala among his vastals in his Balaghat Plates, si there can be no scope for an independent ruler like Suhandhu in the eightes of the fifth century But the same argument can be advanced even with greater force against the placing of Subandhu in the second decade of that century which marked the moon tide splendour of the Gupta empire under Candragupta and Kumäragupta Under these kings there could be no possibility of the existence of the independent king Subandhu

- ²¹ J Allan, Catalogue of Gapta cours in the British Mus-um X p. CXXX
- ^{2°} P L Gupta, 'Kumarkhan hoard of Gupta gold coins', Journal of the Numismatic So rety Vol XXII (1960) A S Allekar Commersation Volume p 265
- 23 Balcandra Jain, 'Hitheito unknown Repousse coins of Kramaditya thid, pp 184 187

²⁴ Epigraphia Indica Vol VIII, p 33 गुप्तादिपायिवकुलास्बुधहृस्यलानि स्नेहादरप्रणयसम्भ्रमकेसराणि । श्रीमरयनेकन्वपटपदसेवितानि योसवोषयददहितुदीधितिभिन पार्क. ।।

²³ The Classical Age, p 272

²⁰ Epigraphia Indica Vol IX, p 271

It is clear from the aforesaid discussion that the era used by Subandhu can not be the Cedi-Kalacuri era. It is the Gupta era as held by Haldar and Majumdar.28 It is likely that about 486-87 when there was some disturbance in the central and southern parts of the Gupta empire following the raids of the Vākātaka king Prthyisena II, Subandhu carved out a principality for himself at Mähismati by dint of his proviess. The fact that he used the Gupta era in his records is not surprising since the Ucchakalpa kings Jayanatha and Sarvanatha are also known to have dated their records in the Gupta eia without making any reference to Gupta sovereignty, as rightly pointed out by Mirashi humself.27 The Ucchakalna kings are believed to be owing allegiance to the Guptas as their next neighbours the Parivrajakas are known to have acknowledged their suzerainty, Mirashi holds that the Ucchalalpa king Vyaghra transferred his allegiance from the Guptas to the Vākātakas. It is not necessary to go into this controversy here. Suffice it to say that the use of the Gupta era by the Uechalalpa kings lends colour to its use by Subandhu also.

Subandhu appears to have been a dashing and energetic childain who made his mark on the minds of the people of central India in the fast quarter of the fifth century A.D. and probably even the first quarter of the sixth. There is no king named Subandhu besides him, who may be identified with his namesake, mentioned in the Mrcchakatka.

2. Mention of King Rudra

In the aforesaid passage of the play the viliain names the king Rudra just after Subandhu Obviously this Rudra must have been a well-known figure in Ujuayinl at the time the play was written. As we have seen above, Subandhu, mentioned in this play, flourished in the last quarter of the fifth century and probably the first quarter of the suith. Hence King Rudra should also be placed in that perod.

The Pådatädstaham of Šyāmilaka refers to one Rudravarman of Dašapura (Dāseraka Rudravarman). He figures in a list of coqueis²⁵ and ranks as a poet.²⁹ At another place in this monologue play there

²⁴ R. R. Haldar, Epigraphia Indica Vol. XIX, p. 261; R. C. Majumdar, The classical Age.

¹⁷ V.V. Murashn, Studies in Indology, pp. 199-205.

¹⁶ Caturbhani ed. V.S. Agrawal and Moticandra, p. 159.

¹bid, p. 257.

is a reference to datatakādhpati or the king of Dasapur (Dašera) The vita calls hun his brother or firend VS Agrawala and Moticandra plausibly identlified hum with Dašeraka Rudra arman mentioned elsewhere in the play. His son was named Guptakuka, which is a significant name, showing his contemporancity with the Guptas Recently HV Trivedi, Deputy Director of Archaeology, Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal, has found some unque copper coms of a king Rudra at Mandasor In his letter received on 27 11 1961 he has kindly informed me that 'the com in question is small, like those of Jimu and on one side it has the inscription Rudria in Gupta Biahmi script and on the other side either conch or whicel'' Thus king Rudra of the Mircehakatika

We learn from several inscriptions found at Mandasor or in the neighbouring area that a line of kings ruled at that place. Their genealogy is as follows \rightarrow

Jayavarman I Simhavarman Naravarman I Visvavarman Bandhuvarman

In the Mandasor inscription of Kumaragupta and Bundhuvarman Vikvavarman is called a golia, a term, which, according to the Junagah Rock Inscription of Skandagupta, means a military gover " nor Under his son Bandhuvarman in the year 493 V or 436 A D the silk weavers' guild constructed the sun temple at Mandasor It appears that Rudravarman was a successor of Bandhuvarman at Mandasor The fact that he issued his own coins shows that he assumed a greater degree of independence than his predecessors We can place him in the later half of the fifth century. He seems to have acquired considerable name and fame in the fashionable coquettish circles of Ujipyini, as the Padatafatakam suggests Hence, it is no wonder that the villain of the Mitchalatika refers to him as an influential personality of his times

3 Allusion to 'Khera Lhana'

In the sixth act of the play there is an interesting scene of the escapade of Āryaka, who had broken out of the prison of king Palaka, in a covered cart, intended to carry Vasantasenã to her lover, Carudatta Two royal guards Candanaka and Viraka appre hended the cart and the former peeped into it. But Äryaka entrea ted him to spare his life and he promised him safety. So he reported to the other guard that he had seen into the cart and found Vasantasenä ni it. But, while saying so, he first uitered the mascul me term of address aya, and then conected himself by speaking the feminine term ayaa. This created a doubt in the mind of the other guard. Therefore, the former stated that such mistakes of gender could easily creep in the speech of the southerners. In that continuation he remarked that one, used to the languages of foreign ners (micecha), was apt to commit such errors of gender. He gave a long list of foreign tribes which contains some very strange and obscure names not known from other sources 3^{30} . One such name is *Kharakhana*

The name Khirakhana occurs after Gina and Babbara standing for the Chunese and their Asiatic neighbours. In the word Khirakhana the part Khara has ummistakable resemblance with the word zon which occurs in a letter of the Sogdian merchant Nanai vandak to his colleague Nanai-dvar of Samarkand as the name of a tribe which conquered Lo-Yang in 313 A D ³¹ The Sogdian word zon indenucal with the Pehlvi khiyon, Syriac kyim and kyioniye, Mongol kuyn and the Classical Chunai or Chiomize. The initial guituril of these words is replaced by the aspirate in such forms as the Avestan Ayaona, Chunese hua or hoa or hoa two run ya Sanskiri huna, and home of the coms These words is lefer to the tribe of the Khionites which has been identified with the Hepithalites by R Ghushman with perfect plausibility³. This you is questioned by Enoki and Gobl

30 Mrcchakațika Act VI, p. 348

चन्द्रनक-धरे I को ग्रम्पच्चयो कुह ? वय दक्षिणता प्रव्यतमा-सिनो। सय-व्यति-स्वोत्तिय-कण्णाड-कणप्पायप्य-ददिउ-चीप-चोल बब्बर-खेराजा-मूखमपुगा>-यहराण मिलिच्छजारीण ग्रणेष-देस-मार्ग मिण्णण लेहेट मन्द्रप्राम-घटे। दिटटा या, प्रच्जी प्रप्रज्या या।

- ³¹ W. B Henning, 'The Date of the Ancient Sogdian Letters', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies Vol XII (1948) pp 601-615
- ²¹ R Ghrshman Le Ghomites Hephalites (introduction) p XII J Marquart Eransahr p 57; T Noldeke Etudes historques ur la Perse ancienne pp 161-163, A Christensen, L'Iran sous les Sausand s pp 28-40, K Enoki, "The Origin of the Hephtilalites' Lasi and West (Rome 1955) Vol VI, Part III pp 231-232

Even earlier, Marquart, Noldeke and Christensen had distinguished the Kluonites from the Hephthalites But, on the cons, the words hephthal (hutla) and huono occur together showing that they were the issues of the kings of one and the same tribe According to Ghirshman, heblihal is a dynastic name and hion (khion) is a tribal designation As has been shown in another study contained in this volume, these Khionite Hephthalites were different from the Hiungnu who are known to have played a significant past in Chinese history in the later part of the first millenium B C and the earlier part of the first millenium A D They represented a section of the Iranian nomadic people who spread along the Oxus and in the Steppes between the Aral Sea and the Caspian Sea in the first half of the fourth century A D In the last quarter of that century they had developed considerable power along the Oxus and maintained friendly relations with the Sassanids of Persia In the last decade of that century the poet Kalidasa mentioned them on the bank of the Oxus in connection with the northern campaign of Raghu Ĭn the first half of the fifth century A D they were reb fied, repulsed and subdued by the Sassanid monarchs Yazdegird I, Bahram V and Yazdegird II But about 454 A D they inflicted a crushing defeat on the Sassanids and, flushed with victory, swooped down southwards and invided India The gallant prince Skandagupta repelled and routed them from the country But towards the end of the fifth century they hovered and settled along the north wes-tern frontiers of India on the banks of the Indus and in the first quarter of the sixth century advanced from there towards the heart of India, occupied Malwa and the middle country and raided up to Bihar and Bengal

As we have observed, the initial aspirate of the word huna was the remnant of an aspirated guttural sound preserved in Sogdian and Persin. It is noteworthy that the writes Taban, Firdaus and the author of the Bundshishs have called the antagonist of the Sastund king Peroz (459.481 A D) Alshbunwar, Khushnawaz and Akshiuvan respectively. In these forms there is a guttural in the beginning Γ W K Muller traced these forms to the Sogdian $k_{shc}an^2$ meaning a King and R Ghirshman treated them as variants of k_{hcrai} , it is that have of the Khonites Thus, it is clear that in Pehlvi and Persian the guttural sound in the beginning of this word was clearly pronounced. In some manuscripts of the Maba-bharat the Sabhawith the Hunas on account of the red colour of their lin's, armour and hanners36 But this word also means "durk. We know that according to the Chinese tradition, accepted by most of the steppepeoples, the colour of the north was black³⁷ Hence all northern peoples were regarded as black and 'black' became a synonym for 'northern' For instance, 'black' Bulgars meant the northern Bulgars and 'black' Ugrians were the northern Ugrians Similarly the Savarti or Sevordik were called 'Black Sons' in Armenian sources It is possible that the word harahung signified the Hunas from the north. It is even more likely that the dichotomy of White Huna and Dark Huna was the result of the Central Assults conception of the aristocracy being regarded us "white' and the commonalty being treated as "black' at The White Hunas may represent the nobles and the Harabunas, the common people among In fact, Pelliot equated harahung with the Mongol Qara them Qua 39 The word hara or hara had also a guttural sound in the beginning which was sometimes aspirated The vowel following it was a, a or c, as the numerous forms, studied by Bailey show Hence it could be read as ther Thus, therakhana of the Muchal alika is a near apprinch to the Iranian spelling and pronunciation of the Sanskrit harahuna The period to which this reference can be assurned is the later half of the fifth century or the first half of the sixth century A D, when the Hunns became prominent in India

4 The identification of 'Madhughata'

In the enumeration of foreign tribes, whose huguages the guird Candanaka claims to have known, figures madhighata (madhufada) In this connection it is significant to note that in the Tang period the Chinese called the Mongols meng u which was pronounced as murg-sgut '0 The last syllable ngut can be easily equated with ghata m madhughata. As for the first, it might have been garbled as madhu. So madhighata seems to represent the Mongols

³⁶ Bahman Tast, ed Anklesaria VI, 3 quoted by II W Bailey op cit p 13

²¹ J. J. M. de Groot, Chinesischen Urkunden zur Geschichte Asiens (Hunnen) Vol I, p 20

³⁵ Otto J Maenchen-Helfen, 'The Yuch chih Problem Re examined,' Journal of the American Oriental Society Vol 65 (1945) p 76 et seq

²⁹ Pelliot cited in Renou and Filliozat, L' Inde Classique p 254

P Pelliot, Journal Astatique (1920) I, 146, D Sinor, Asta Major N S II, 215

param of this epic we have a reference to $h\bar{u}na$ and $h\bar{v}rah\bar{u}na^{24}$ The first line of this verse is given in the critical edition as follows: $c\bar{c}\bar{n}an-h\bar{u}na^{2-4}shaw-od^{2-n}aparatantamasinhah.$ But in the manuscript of the Sarasvati Mahal library Tanjore, called G6, the reading of this line is $C\bar{n}an-h\bar{u}na-h\bar{u}na^{2-1}ha\bar{u}ah$. Jarak-matintrawäinnah. Here the word khaväh occurring after häpän obviously stands for the Khonites. In this form the initial guitural consonant is very clear. The form $h\bar{h}apa$ of the Mirchäkafta is also based on this guituralised pronunciation of this word prevalent in Iran, Sughd and the inight to know and use this form even when its variant without the guitural had become current in India shows his capacity for minute observation and realistic presentation of things.

To the word hypen is often added an adjective karmir in the Bahman Yait. As Balley has shown, the forms of karmir are krmyr, klmyl, karmer etc. To this word the has traced the Krorayina kermary, Pehlvi karkehanen, Armenian karkehan, Arabie karkuhan, Khotanese kirkipan. The Tibetan ke-ke-ru, Mongol k^pk'rw, Kafiri kemeru, kermar, kemur also appear to him to belong to this family³⁴. As the Iranian spet hypen corresponds to the Indian *Svetahūna*³⁵ in Mahāshārda II, 47, 19, cited above, the Hūnas and Hārahūngas are mentioned in the same verse which shows that a distinction was drawn between them. If one stands for the spet hypen, the other signifies the karmir hypen. Biley has aboven that karmir meant 'red' and was associated

³³ Mahābhārata II, 47, 19, cd. F. Edgerton (Poona 1944) Vol. 2 pp. 233-34

चौनान्हूणाञ्चकानोड्राग्पर्वतान्तरवासिनः

वार्ज्यान्हारहणाँश्व कृष्णान्हेमवताँस्तया ॥

The variants of हुँचान are कल्यांन, हुरान, कुपान, भूषा:, पूर्णा:; those of बारुगतोड्रान् are सकालीवान, सकाष्योजान, सवाग्लेड्रान, दाकान्दीण्ड्रान्, सकानोड्रान्, खपा:कावा:, सवात्कापा:; those of पर्वताग्दरवासिन: are पोडात्ववरवासिन:, सवराज्वनवासिन:, those of बाण्येंपान, are वर्ल्सोकान् याह,सोकान, निपादान, those of हारहूणान, are हारहूरीरच, हारहूर्ताच, पारसीकारच, वारियोजा पारसीर्गत, याह्ने य कापारसीकान् वालाने प्रान्तपात्रान्ता, निपादान्यासिकार्रिव ।

³⁴ H W. Bailey, Härahūna, Asiatica (Festschrift Friedrich Weller) (1954) pp. 13-18

³⁵ Bihatsamhita ed. Kern XVI, 38-9

with the Hunas on account of the red colour of their hats, armour and hanners³⁶ But this word also means 'dark. We know that according to the Chinese tradition, accepted by most of the steppe peoples, the colour of the north was black³⁷ Hence all northern peoples, the colour of the line in the black became a synonym for 'northern' For instance, 'black' Bulgars meant the northern Bul gars and 'black' Ugrians were the northern Ugrians Similarly the Savarti or Severdik were called 'Black Sons in Armenian sources It is possible that the word harahana signified the Hunas from the north It is even more likely that the dichotomy of White Huna and Dark Huna was the result of the Central Asintic conception of the aristocracy being regarded as white and the commonalty being treated as 'black ³⁸ The White Hunas may represent the nobles and the Harahunas, the common people among them In fact, Pelliot equated harahuna with the Mongol Qa^{ra} Qun³⁹ The word hara or hara had also a guttural sound in the beginning which was so netimes aspirated The vowel following it was a, a or e, as the numerous forms, studied by Bailey show Hence it could be read as kher Thus, kherakhana of the Micchakatika is a near approach to the Iranian spelling and pronunciation of the Sanskrit harahung The period to which this reference can he assigned is the later half of the fifth century or the first half of the sixth century A D, when the Hunas became prominent in India 4 The identification of 'Madhuehata'

In the enumeration of foreign tribes, whose languages the guard Candanaka claims to have known, figures madhighada (madhighada) In this connection it is significant to note that in the T ang period the Chinese called the Mongols meng u which was pronoun ced as mung ngut ⁴⁰ The last syllable nguet can be easily equated with ghata in madhinghata. As for the first, it might have been gathed as madhu. So madhighada seems to represent the Mongols

³⁶ Bahman Yasi, ed Anklesaria VI 3 quoted by H W Bailey op est p 13

³⁷ J J M de Groot, Chinesischen Urkunden zur Geschichte Asiens (Hunnen) Vol I, p 20

³⁸ Otto J Maenchen-Helfen 'The Yuch chih Problem Re examined,' Journal of the American Oriental Society Vol 65 (1945) p 76 et seq

³⁹ Pelliot cited in Renou and Filliozat, L Inde Classique p 254

⁴⁰ P Pelliot, Journal Asiatique (1920) I, 146, D Sinor, Asia Major N S II, 215

7

by him at day break as the larg of the Magadhans ⁴⁴ K P Jayaswal has identified ³Bh initialled with Bhanggupts, 'Gopa', with Goparaya, and 'P initialled with Prekataditya According to him, Bhai ugupta had imprisoned his son who was subsequently known as Frakataditya, probably on account of his rebellions nature, and consigned him to the custody of his feudatory Goparaja ⁴⁵ In 510 II A D this feudatory Goparaja was killed in a battle and his wide became a *salt*, as we learn from the Eran stone inscription of G E 191⁴⁴ This battle is believed to have been fought with the Huna invader Toramana. It appears that in the hurlyburly of the Huna invasion this relied ⁴⁹ Initialled got released and was installed on the throne after the death of Goparaja The story of the release of Aryaka from the prison of Palaka and his coronation as king after his death assumes a singular topical interest in the context of this political coup in later Gupta hustory

There is a verse in act VIII of the drama where a garden the trees of which perform the meritorious function of affording protection to the unsheltered, is compared to a new kingdom and the heart of the wicked, which know no restraints and regulations and are capable of being utilised according to one's own sweet will's This reference to the new kingdom may refer to the new rule of Aryaka. Here the

41	K P Jayaswal An Imperial History of India (Text) pp 56 57
	तस्याप्यनुजो भक्ताराख्य प्राची दिशि समाश्वत ।
	तस्थापि सूत पकाराख्य प्राग्देशेष्वेव जायत ॥
	क्षतिय ग्रग्रणी प्रोपन वालवन्धानुचारिण ।
	दशवर्षाणि सप्त च बाधनस्यमधिष्ठित ।।
	गोपाल्येन नपतिना बढी मन्त्री अगवालुये ।
	पदचाद्देशसमायात अकाराख्यो महानप ॥
	प्राचि दिशिषर्यन्त गगानीरमतिष्ठत ।।
	तत्रौ च क्षत्रियो याल वणिजा च तथागत ।
	रात्री प्रथिष्टवास्तत्र राज्यते च प्रपूजित ॥
	मागधाना तदा राज्ये स्थापपामास त दिशम् ।
45	Ibid introduction pp 63 64
46	Fleet, op cit Vol III No 20
47	
	ध्रशरण्शरणप्रमादभूतैवनतरुभि क्षियमाणचारुकर्म।
	हृदयमिव दुरात्मनामगुप्त नवमिव राज्यमनिर्जितोपभोग्य ।।
	+

411

word agupta seems to have a double entender and is likely to refer to the new kingdom, mentioned in the play. Should this view be correct, we would notice here a reference to the non-Gupta new régime. After the death of Goparija the region of Malwa passed under the domination of the Hūna king Toramäŋa who set up his own nomineces there. One Dhanyavisng is definitely known to have transferred his allegiance to him⁴⁹. For the time being, Gupta régime was overthrown. The Myechalqila seems to hint at this state of affants

It appears from the first net of the Myrckelefile that there was no arrangement of street-lighting at Ujjayni. The villain and his attendant gave a hot chase to Vasantasenä in the darkness of night. Gärudatta also asked his servant to escort the courtezan to her residence with n lamp and referred to the numerous dangers on the way at night (bahadea historraf I, 58). In the third act again the clown refers to the darkness in the streets which was somewhat relieved by the emergence of the moon. He also mentions the menace of the hieves⁴⁸. The burglary of Sarvilaka which follows this remark confirms it. It appears that in the political upbeaval and turnoil of the first quarter of the sixth century the administrative system was upset and life in cities became somewhat unsale. The Mitcha-AejiA describes this atmosphere of instability at Ujjayni.

6. The court scene.

In the ninth act of the drama we get a court scene. The presiding officer of the court (adhikaranika) is assisted by a merchani (dresphin) and an official (käyastha). All these are called adhikaranahbejakar. They have an attendant or peon named Sodhanaka who cleans the courtroom, arranges the furniture, receives the officers and calls the complainants. Sakāra presents himself and lodges the complaint about the alleged murder of Vasantasenä. The käyatia takes it down. Then the court summons the motiter of Vasant tasenā and records her statement. Thereafter Gärudatta is sent for and questioned. The police authorities are examined. The mate-

एसो वि अन्य प्रारस्स विम्न अवम्राइं देरतो अन्तरिषड-गाताददी घोटरदि भगवं बग्दो ibid. p. 154 कि एत्व उडवड्गीए चोरो वि परिव, जो एदं दासीए पुत्ते णिहाचोरं ण सबहरदि ibid. p. 157 सन-तिमिर-निवड-सर्वभावा रजनिरियं जनतीन संवृणीति ।

⁴⁹ J. F. Fleet, op. cit. No. 36.

⁴⁰ Mycchakatika Act III p. 115,

rial exhibits are considered and finally the verdict is returned and the sentence pronounced

According to the drama, the court formed part of a big establishment where other administrative business was also transacted Thus is clear from the remarks of Carudatt that the munisters wrapt in thought, envoys moving to and fro, spies present on the spot, hugants preferring their cases, clerks behaving like scrpents, and losses and elephants crowding the campus gave an appearance of a sea to the establishment (rajakarana)³⁶ Carudatta calls it devoid of justice and equity (*inti kisuna-lafalca*) and full of extortion and violence (*kisuna*)

This estimate of the court agrees with that given in the Pädatäditakan of Syämilaka In it the judge (pradiy at) is stated to be either drowsing or shouting in the court whereas his brother coared nioney from the hitgants ³¹ The officials, clerks and copyists demanded tips and the amins with big sucks (kathamahattara)³² dogged the cherits for bribes Hence the people groaned and felt that apart from success or sence the court wis a source of botheration ⁵³.

As regards the constitution of the court we note that it was a combination of official and public elements. In the Gupta period there was a tendency to associate non official elements with the administration. We learn from the inscriptions on the copper plates found at Damodarpur and Pabarpur that trade, industry and finance were adequately represented in the administrative system. According to the Damodarpur plates the district

50	Mrechakațika IX, 14
	चि तासनत-निमग्न भनि-सलिख दूतोमिशलाकुल,
	पयन्तस्थितचारनक्रमकर नागाःवहिसालयम् ।
	नानावादाककक्रपक्षिर्धाचर कायस्यसर्पांस्पद
	नीतिक्षण्णतटज्ज्चराजकरण हिस्रे समुद्रायत् ।।
51	Caturbhans ed V S Agrawala and Moticandra p 214
	प्रध्याति विष्णुदासो आत्रा किल तजितोऽस्मि कान्द्वेन ।
	द्रावतेनाभिहतोऽह काशति विष्णु स्वपिति चात्र ।।
52	Ibid
	मृगयन्ते तद्यधिङ्खा मृगयन्ते पुस्तपालकायस्या ।
	गण्टमहत्तरैरपि विभूतोऽस्मि चिर मृगयमाणै ।।
\$3	<i>Ibid</i> p 213

कुतो जयदण्डाभ्या शहु सयोग केवल पलेशाञ्चभुयते ।

magistrate (visayapati) was assisted by a board consisting of the nagarasiresthin, representing the financial corporations, the sarthavaha, or the leader of the caravan-merchants, the prathamakulika or the chief of the artisans and the prathamakarastha or the first secretary.54 The Visayapati was obliged to consult this board in matters pertaining to his administrative duties particularly the transfers of land for charitable purposes. The seals discovered at Basarh (Vaisali) reveal the offices of sresthin, särthaväha and kulika, of sresthin and kulika, of sresthin and of kulika or prathamakulika respectively,55 They show that in some spheres the sreathin, sarthavaha and kulika jointly acted; in others, the Sresthin and kulika collaborated and in the rest they worked individually. It appears that a part of this big establishment was reserved for the court where the sresthin and the kavastha sat with the adhikaranika to try cases and dispense justice. The collaboration of the sreathin with the adhikaranika, mentioned in the Micchalatika, points to the administrative system of the -Gupta period when the sreathin and others were intimately associated with the district authorities.

In the sixth century the trading and merchant communities obtained some charters of rights (acara-stithi-patra) from the kings in which their privileges and immunities were 'specified and the procedures of law applied to them were codified. One such is the charter of Visnusena dated 592 A. D.⁵¹ document Some of its clauses agree with the proceedings described in the Micchalafika. In line 5 of this charter we read that a man could not be arrested on mere suspicion.57 In the drama we observe that when Sakara made the accusation against Carudatta and Vasantasena's mother lent some support to it by stating that her daughter had gone out to meet him, the magistrate did not issue the process against him, but asked the peon to request him to visit the court as "the presiding officer wanted to see him in connection with some special work.59" In line 8 of the charter it

भद्र सोधनक ! परय, आर्थवारुदत्तं स्वैरमसम्आन्तमनुद्रिमं सादरमाह्यम 'प्रस्तावेणाधिकरणिकस्त्यां द्रष्टुमिच्छतीति'।

⁵⁴ D. C. Sırcar, Select Inscriptions bearing on Indian History and Civilization pp. 283, 285, 324, 328, 337.

⁵⁵ Archaeological Survey of India, (Annual Reports) 1903-4, p. 104

⁵⁶ D. C. Sircar, 'Charter of Vinusena, Samvat 649, Epigraphia Indica Vol XXX part V (Jan. 1954) pp 168-181.

⁵⁷ Ibid. p. 179, first plate line 5 शंकया गृहणं नास्ति ।

Mitchakatika Act IN p. 472.

is stated that an oral allegation (uikisti) could not be entertained without a written complaint (*āiedanāka*)³⁰ In the drama the magis-trate causes the complaint of Sakāra to be taken down by the clerk before proceeding to enquire mito a the files 5 and 6 of the record there is a provision that in some cases, notably the outbreak of fire, "chala is not to be entertained" D C Sircar interprets this term chala as a "half hazard allegation", "a careless accusation" or a "pre-text⁸¹" Curiously enough, this expression chalo na grālijah occurs in the Michakatika as chalamatra na grahvate The magistrate exhorts Cârudatta to speak the truth, give up silence (dhairja) since chala was not permissible there ⁶³. Here chala is used in relation to dhairja (silence) It signifies the evasion of examination in the court Ťts. sense is that a witness can be compelled to give evidence in the court in respect of a vital matter. So the magistrate informs Carudatta the he will not be permitted to suppress the facts by keeping silent On this showing, the expression in the charter ksemagni-samuthane chalo na grahiah means that in the case of an outbreak of fire the persons concerned will be brought to the court by the process of the law and be subjected to examination and cross-examination with a view to bringing out the correct facts The phrase stayam hrasile karne thale no grahyah means that if a man is in the know of actual facts by reason of his hearing them himself, he shall be forced to give evidence in respect of them in the court The clause abare asanasthasya chalo na grähjah means that a person will not be permitted to evade his statement in the court on the ground that he has to occupy the seat of his shop implying thereby that he is the only person to conduct the business at his shop. The section amadhyānhād urdhvam uttarakulikavarikanām chals na grāhyah means that the officers called uttarakulikavarika will not be allowed to be absent from the court after midday Thus, we observe that the technical term chala has the sense of' 'evasion of evidence' This principle chalam na grahyate is intended to prevent a suppressio veri Its occurrence in the Micchikatika and the charter of Visnusena shows that it was a common term of legal use in that age Thus, both these works breathe the air of the same enoch

59	First Plate line 8 आवेदनकेन बिना उत्कृष्टि न प्राह्या ।
60	Act IX p 465, व्यवहारपद प्रथमगभिलिव्यताम् ।
61	Epigraphia Indica, op eit pp 170-171
62	
	 ण्यवहार सविष्नोऽय त्यज सज्जा हृदि स्थिताम् ।
	बूहिं सत्यमल धैर्य छलमत्र न गृह्यत ।।

7 Concluding observations

It is clear from the aforesaid data of the Mitcheketika that it is a work of the early sixth century $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}$ The person who wrote it fathered it on one Sudraka That it could not be the work of that Sudraka, to whom it is ascribed, is manifest from the fact that the death and last rites of Sudraka are mentioned in n^{13} No person can be aware of his death in this lifetime

The date of the *Mrichkapha* has been discussed by several scholars Candra Bah Pandeya in his book entitled 'Sudraka identified Sudraka of the *Mrichkapha* with Vasishtlputta Sri pulumävi and assigned the play to the Satavahana period⁴⁴ But his arguments are based on so many presumptions and speculations that it is difficult to accept them. The data studied here militate against thus view Similary, the view of Sten Konow that the play was composed by the Abhra prince Sivadata, who or whose son insufficient data. Sylvain Lévis suggestion that the author of the play hved after Vikramaditya, the prince of 248-49 A D, rests on insufficient data. Sylvain Lévis suggestion that the author of the play hved after Vikramaditya, the prince of Kahdidas, has much to commend itself but his view that he wished to give it an appearance \sim of antiquity by associating it with a prince, who preceded Vikramaditya, see play here after to suggestion the suggestion data field in the sub-

a

416

⁶³ Act I, 4 राजान वीक्ष्य पुत परमसमुदयेनास्वत्रेधेन चटटवा । स॰ब्वा चाम् रातान्य दरादितसहित बुद्रकोऽगिन प्रविष्ट ।।

⁶⁴ Sudraka (Samvat 2010) pp 1-38

⁴⁵ A B Keith, The Sanskrit Drama pp 128-181

CHAPTER XIX

٩

A Historical Approach to the Karpuramanjari of Rajasekhara

1 Rajasekhara and his 'Karpuramailjari'

The Karburamatian of Raiasekhara is a unique Prakrit play. It is the only Prakrit play of the sattaka class that has come down to us 1 Its author Räustekhara was a Yayayara Brahmana from Maharastra * His great grandfather Akalajalada is called a crest-lewel of Maharastra 3 His grandfather Surmanda distinguished himself as a man of letters and his father Durduka or Duhika took to government service and rose to be the chief minister (Mahāmantrin) Raiasekhara came to the court of the Guriara-Pratiharas at Kanaur and was appointed the teacher of Mahendrapala or Nirbhavaraja". a fact of which he was always proud During the reign of Mahendrapāla (Cir 885 or 890 910 A D) he enjoyed great esteen and prestige at Kanauy After the death of this king he remained at the court of his successor Mahipila (Cir 912-944) and at his instance wrote his work Balabharata For sometime he lived in the court of the Kalacuri King Yuvarajadeva I at Tupuri and there wrote the drama Viddhasalabhannka

- According to the Sahipadapana a saltaka is written entirely in Prakrit, its rets are called Jacomka, it is characterised by the marvellous flavour (addinitaris) and is devoid of pratedaka and uskamblaka op Blasvaprikasa संव মইঘটনাই বিদেহখন বিদ্যা হুৱা মহন্দানীমৰিন্দলেখন হুৱা বিদ্যালয় সহন্দ্রসমূহবাদী বৃত্ত পাদ্যা মৰ্বা !
 Balarama, Jan J. G. J. Viddhaulabhaīydz I, 5
 - 3 Balarāmāyana I, 13
 - Karpūramañjari ed by Sten Konow (Harvard Oriental Series Vol IV) I, 9 p 6

बालन इ कइराग्री णि॰मरराप्रस्त तह उवन्झाग्री। that Nibbhararaa was but another name of Mahendrapäla is manifest from the *Kardywanajapar*, itself (J, 5), where Rajašekhara is called the teacher of Mahnadavala *Ioid* p 6 माय कहिव्जव एय को मबद रव्यणिवरलह[सहण्ड]। रहतवलवरामणिणी महिव्यतावस्स को प्र गर।। of Manyakhela were a great power in the Decean and sometimes her were on the verge of conquering practically the whole of ordhern India by reducing the Prathatharas and the Palas but, as we shall presently see, the herome of the play, Karpuramanjari, stated to be the daughter of Vallabharaja, who is unmittakhly schincal with the Rajtrakhata lung of the Decean A sthis princess was mained to Gandapala, he must necessarily be different from the Rastrakhat king, for it is meanceavable that this king should have married his own daughter So Gandapala was certainly active Rastrakhata king, and, since no other dynasty could claim paramountry in the Decean in the tenth century, this king can for be detend to have Mouraled the South

3 Candapala and Mahapala

V V Mirashi bolds that Candapala is identical with the Prahtihara king Mahlpala ? We learn from the Candakausika of Kamijyara that Mahlpala was also known as Kartikeya As, according to the Mahabharata Canda is one of the names of Kartikeya," Mirashi supposes that Candapala was a sobriquet of Mahipala Mirashs argues that after the sack of Kanauj by the Rastrakuta Indra III in 916 A D Mahipala had to flee from his capital After the retreat of the Rastrakutas from northern India he recovered his kingdom with the assistance of the Candela king who must have been Harsa or his son Yasovarman But "the feeling of tevenge might have been rankling in his mind ' This is reflected in the reference to Mahipala as the incarnation of Candragupta Maurya and the Karpatas, meaning the Rastrakutas, as the embodiments of the vicious Naudas in the Gandakausika of Kremisvara Rajašekhara himself in his Pracandapandava described Mahipala as an axe to the Kuntalas So after the death of Indra 111, some years after his northern expedition, and the accession of his weak and laservious son Govind IV, Mahipala must have found an opportunity to avenge himself on his adversaries It was evidently in the rign of Goyinda IV that the marriage alliance commemorated in the Karpuramaliari took place

4 Mahipala and the East

The arguments of Mirashi, though ingenious, carry little convaction in view of some other weighty considerations based upon the data given in the play In Act I the bard hails Candapala as a

⁷ V V Murashn, Studies in Indology Vol I p 57

Mahabharata III, 232, 4

conqueror of eastern regions in these words, "Victory, Victory to thee, O, King ! Thou gallant of the women of the East, thou campak-bloom ear-ornament of the town of Campā, thou, whose lustre (radha) transcends the loveliness of Rådhā, who has conquered Assam by thy provets, who providest merry-making (kili) for Harikeli, who mayst well make light of the beauty of genuine gold, who delightest us by the comeliness of all thy person! May the beginning of the fragrant season (spring) be a joy to thee l'⁴⁴ In this remark Campā stands for Bhagalpur,¹⁰ Rådhā is the famous district of Western Bengal,¹¹ Kāmarūpa obuously signifies Assam, and Harikeli is a naree of Bengal, as noted by Hemacandra.

All these places and regions are included in the East ($\beta \bar{u} r \sigma_{\bar{d}} di$) which is stated to be under the sway of Capdapäla. Now, we have no evidence to indicate that Mahipāla had any hold or exercised any sway over the eastern regions of Bihar and Bengal. On the other hand, we have epigraphic evidence to show that the Pälas, taking advantage of the internal strife and Raştrākūţa invasion of Kanauj, recovered some of their ancestral possessions up to the eastern banks of the river, Son. The Uddandapapura image inscription recover bis of Thäruka, son of Raŋakauca, resident of Uddandapura (modern Bihar town in Patna districi) in the fifty-Fourth year of the illustrious Nārāyapapāladeva. Similarly the Baragaon (modern Nälandā-Bihar) inscription¹³ of the twentyfourth year of Rājyapāladeva proves Päla occupation of that

जय जम पुरेवदिसङ्ग्रवाभुम्रङ्गे चम्पाचम्पककण्णऊर राढाणिज्विदराढा-चङ्गतेत्रा विवकमक्कन्तकामरूव हरिकेलिकेलिम्रार प्रवमणिदअञ्चसुवण्णवण्ण सय्बङ्ग-सुन्दरत्तणरमणिज्ज सुहात्र दे होटु मुरहितमारम्भो ।

- ³⁰ Campå, the capital of Ånga, stood at the confluence of the river of that name, modern Ghändan, and the Ganges. According to Cunningham, the villages unned Campänagara and Campäpura near Bhagalpura represent the site of the ancient city. Anga was to the cast of Magadha and to the west of Rajmahal Hills. At one time it included Magadha and even extended to the shores of the sea. H. C. Ray Choudhury, Political History of Ancient India 5th ed. p. 107.
- ¹¹ Rädhäpuri, the city of western Bengal, is mentioned in the second act of the Prabodhacandrodaya.
- 13 Indian Anliquary Vol. 46 (1918) p. 110.
- 18 ibid. p. 111.

^{*} Karpūramanjari, tr. p. 226, text, P. 9.

portion of Bihar. Two inscriptions of the time of Gopāla II also lead to the same conclusion. One of them, found at Nalanda,14 records the covering of the image of the Goddess Vagisvari by a pious individual in the first year of the reign of Gopāla 11, and the other, discovered in the Mahābodhi temple at Bodhagayā,15 commemorates the installation of an image of Buddha by one Dharmabhima. Thus, it is clear that at the time of Mahlpala the Palas were on the offensive, had wrested many parts of their dominion in Bihar from the Pratiharas and exercised a firm control over them. Therefore, the conquest of Bihar and Bengal attributed to Candapala in the Karpuramailiari militates against his identification with Mahlpala who had nothing to do with these regions.

5. Mahipala and the Rastrakutas

In the drama the king of Vacehoma, Vatsa or Vatsagulma i. e. modern Basim in the Hyderabad State, in the Kuntala country named Vallabharaja is praised as popular and beloved of his subjects.14 It is admitted on all hands that Vallabharaja was the title of the Rästrakūtas of Mänyakheta. Arab writers called them Balhara which is an adaptation of this title Vallabharāja.¹⁷ In ancient times the territory of Kuntala comprised southern Mahārāşţra and the northern Kanarese districts of the Mysore state. It included the North Kānadā district and parts of Mysore state and Belgaon and Dhärwäd districts as well as the upper and central valleys of the Krsna. Though the empire of the Rastrakutas

तहि सग्रलजणवल्लहो वल्बहराघो णाम रागा । The reading in the edition of Stea Konow p. 32 is as follows : ग्रस्यि एत्य दविखणावधे कृन्तलेसं समलजणवल्लहो वल्लहराओ णाम राम्रा। In Kāzyamīmānsā (P. 10) Rājašekhara states that Vatsagulma (Vacchoma) was included in Vidarbha. This shows that Vidarbha and Kuntala were united in the empire of Valla-

bharāja or the Rāstrakūtas.

Archaeological Survey of India Reparts Vol. I (1862-65) p. 36.
 Indian Antiquary Vol. 38 (1910) p. 237.

¹⁶ Karpūramanjari ed. Durgaprasad and Pansikar (Kāvyamālā 4) p. 34. ग्रदिय एत्य बच्छोमं णाम णग्ररं कृन्तलेस् ।

A. S. Altekar, Rästrakafas and their Times p. 49. The Cälukya King Vikramädiya conferred the title of Prutwisallabha on Dantidurga, the founder of the Rästrakia dynasty. Dhruva and Govinda III ako adopted the title of Sri-Yallabha. So the title Vallabha came to be associated 17 with the Rästrakütas.

and Later Cälukyas comprised northern Mahārāşţra and Vidarbita also, besidts these regions, their capitals Mānyakheja and Kalyāni respectively were situated in the country between the Kṛṣuṣā and the Godāvari. Hence they were called rulers of Kuntala or the Katnātas¹⁸. Thus, the reference to the Rāstrakūta king in a way showing no enmity or rancour, but rather indicating amity and with him. We know that about 916 A. D. the Rāstrakūta king, Indra III raided and devastated Kanauj and foreed Mahināla to leave it and repair to Prayaga.¹⁹ Hence there could be no question of Mahipala being friendly towards Indra III. Indra III died prematurely in 622 A. D.²⁰ and was succeeded by his son Amoghavarsa II. The latter fell a prey to the foul play of his younger brother Govind IV. Govinda IV was a youth of 25 at the time of his accession and gave himself up to a life of vice and Inclusion of the accession and gave nineth of the a field of vice sine luxury. He became tyrannical and unpopular and his feudatories and ministers planned his removal by inviting Amoghavaras, an uncle of Govinda, to occupy the throne in his place. Mirashi thhis that the matriage-alliance mentioned in the Karfatamafjarl took place in the reign of this Govinda IV and that he is the Vallabharāja, referred to in this play. But, as seen above, Govinda IV was vicious, tyrannical and unpopular, whereas the Vallabharāja of the drama was extremely popular and beloved of all lus subjects (Saala-jana-vallabha). So there is a world of difference between the two and their identification is far from plausible. Amoghayarsa overthrew Govinda IV in 936. But, as he was aged about 50, the government was entirely entrusted to his able and ambitious son Krana. This Krana led an expedition into Bundelkhand and criptured the important forts of Kalanjara and Citrakūța.²¹ It has been inferred that these two forts of the Pratihäras were occupied by the Rästrakūta army shortly before

¹⁸ V.V. Mirashi, Studies in Indology Vol. I pp. 164-165

¹⁹ The Cambay plate of Govinda IV, Epigraphia Indica Vol. VII p. 26.

येनेदं हि महोदयारिनगरं निर्मूलमुन्मूलितं नाम्नाद्यापि जनैः क्रअस्यलमिति क्षाति परा नीयते ।

²⁶ The Age of Imperial Kanauj, p. 13.

³¹ Deoli Plates, Epigraphia Indica Vol. V. p. 188

940 A. D.²² This resumption of Rästrakūta aggression must have enhanced the estrangement between these two dynastics. Thus, we observe that Mahipāla was never on good terms with the Rästrakūtas and never succeeded in humbling them, the view of Tripathi and others that he successfully proceeded against Govinda IV, based on vague references to the ennity of Mahipāla with the king of the Karņātas and Kuntala, given in the *Cangdakuūt*a and *Praenįdapāndara*, being quite unsupported by any epigraphic testimony. The result of this enquiry is that Mahipāla could not be the contemporary of the Rāsţrakūta king, mentioned in the *Karpūramā*tgarī, and the views of Mirahi in this respect are not convincing.

6. Candapäla and Mahendrapäla

Sten Konow has suggested that Candapäla should be identified with the Pratihära emperor Mahendrapäla, the pupil and patron of Rajašekhara.³⁵ Konow holds that both carge and makendra mean Siva and are thus synonymous. In order to examine this view we should apply the same tests which we applied to Mirashi's theory, noted above.

7. Mahendrapala and the East.

In the first place, let us see whether Mahendrapäla conquered the enstern regions of Bihar and Bengal and exercised any effective way there. Several inscriptions dated in the regnal years 2:19 of Mahendrapäla show that his hold over Magadha and North-East Bengal was quite intact. One of them dated in the year 4 of Mahendrapäla's coronation found an the pedastal from Bihar Sharif in Patna district, records a pious gilt for the religious merit of Gautani, the mother of the monk Dharmamitra.²¹ Another inscription dated in the year 6 of the reign of Mahindrapäla (Mahendrapäla), found at Ramagaya, opposite the Gadädhara temple at Gaya, records the gift of Rsi Saudi's son Sahadeva on the

²² R. S. Tripathi, *History of Kanauj p.* 267; B.N. Puri, *History of the Curjara-Pratihāras p.* 91. The latter writer thinks that this event happened in the regin of Vinäyakapäla who was different from Malipäla.

²³ Sten Konow, Das Indische Drama pp. 85.

²⁴ Archaeological Survey of india Reports (1923-24) pp. 101-102.

²⁵ Hirananda Sästrin, Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India (no. 66) pp. 105-106.

pedestal of the figures of Vişnu,24 Another of the ninth year, discovered at Guneria in the southern part of Gaya district, is engraved on the pedestal of a Buddha image dedicated by Sripala, the son of the merchant Haridatta.27 An inscription found at Ithbori in the Hazaribash district of Bihar, incised on the pedestal of an image of Tara, contains the name of Paramesvara Mahendrapala.28 Besides these inscriptions from Bihar, we have also an inscription from Paharpur in the Rajashahi district of Bengal, dated in the fifth year of Sri Mahendrapäladeva, commemorating the dedication of a pillar to Buddha by a monk named Sthavira Jayagarbha.24 A Sunilar inscription is dated in the 19th year of this king Mahendrapala.* Kielhorn, Smith and Hara Prasāda Sāstrin believed that the Mahendrapāla, referred to in these inscriptions, was a Pala ruler.³¹ But, it is now generally held on the ground of the titles of the king, given in these records, as well as their palacographical character that they must refer to the Pratihāra king Mahendrapāla.31

The inscriptions of the Pala monarch Nărāyaŋapāla do not appear in this region after the seventeenth year of his long reign of 54 years. His inscriptions found there are only the Gaya record of the year 7, the Biltar inscription of the year 9, and the Bhagalpur epigraph of the year 17. Thus, it seems that the Pratihāras occupied Biltar and North Bengal after the 17th regnal year of Nărāyanapāla, B. N. Puri holds that the 'credit of making these conquests goes to Bhoja.³³ But Tripathi observes that "mo inscription gives the credit for this achievement to Bhoja, nor any of his records has been found outside the eastern limits of the

- 17 Indian Antiquary (1918) p. 110.
- ²⁸ Annual Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India (Central Circle) 1920-21 p. 5,
- ²⁹ Archaeological Survey of India (Annual reports) 1925-26 p. 141.
- 30 Epigraphia Indica vol. I p. 244.
- ³¹ Epigraphia Indica Vol VIII Appendix p. 18; Indian Antiquary Vol. 38 p. 246; Memoirs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal Vol. 3 p. 16
- ³² R. D. Bancrji, Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of Bengal Vol. V. p. 63-64; R. S. Tripathi, History of Kanauj p. 149.
- 33 B. N. Puri, History of the Gurjara-Pratiharas p. 69.

Memoirs of the Archaeolgical Survey of Bengal Vol. V part III pp. 64-65.

United Provinces.³¹⁷ Hence he holds that "it is reasonable to conclude that the event probably took place soon after Mathendrapäla's accession. Perhaps the Cätsu inteription also contains a reference to it, since we learn that Guhila with excellent horses from the sea-coast vanquished the king of Gauda and levied tribute from princes in the East."³³ This Guhila must have accompanied his Prathära suzerain in his expedition against Magadha and Bengal. Thus, we observe that the account of the castern conquests of Candapäla, mentioned in the Karpäramañjarī, can adequately apply to Mahendrapäla alone.

8. Mahendrapala and the Rastrakutas.

Let us now examine Mahendrapala's dealings with the Rastrakūtas. Madendrapāla's Rāstrakūta contemporary was Krsna If (Cir 878-914A.D.). In the beginning of his reign he fought with the Pratihāra king Bhoja and the battle between them was so severe as to be remembered even in 914, the date of the Begumra plates. In this struggle Kisna was assisted by Kismarāja of the Lata branch of the Rastrakutas. But, soon afterwards, he was engaged in a very serious conflict with the Eastern Calukya king of Vengi named Vijayāditya III who had overthrown the Rāstrakūta yoke during the reign of Amoghavarsa. On the accession of Krina, Vijayāditya III attacked the Nolambas and the Gangas in the south and penetrated into Berar in the north. But the Rästrakūta armies, though initially rebuffed, eventually defeated the Calukyas and imprisoned Bhima, the successor of Vijayaditya. However, Bhima was released after some years and permitted to rule over his kingdom. He again took the offensive against the Rästrakütas but was put down. Krsna II was also embroiled in Cola politics by supporting the cause of his daughter's son Kannar against Parantaka, a son of Aditya Cola by another wife, but suffered a decisive defeat at Vallala. Thus, his wars generally ended in disaster.35. This explains why Krana could not disturb the peace of the Pratihara empire during the reign of Mahendrapāla. These circumstances also suggest the possibility of the growth of friendship between Krana

³⁴ R. S. Tripathi, *History of Kanauj* p. 250; R. C. Majumdar (*The Age of Imperial Kanauj* p. 33) also holds that the credit of the eastern conquests in Bihar & Bengol goes to Mahendrapäla.

³⁵ Epigraphia Indica Vol. XII p. 15.

³⁶ A. S. Altekar, Age of Imperial Kanauj p. 12, The Rastrakūtas and their Times p. 90 et. seq.

and Mahendrapāla and its culmination in a matrimonial alliance, mentioned in the Karpūramakyari.

9. Mahendrapäla and Laja.

The drama also refers to the likelihood of the assumption of paramount sovereignty by Candapäla by matrying the princess Ganasāramanjari, daughter of Candasena, the king of Lata.37 In fact, Bhairavananda prevailed on the queen of Candapala to assent to this marriage in order to uplift the political status of her husband. We know that Lata was under the rule of a branch of the Rāstrakūta family. The contemporary of Krana II in Lāta was Kranatāja. He assisted his kinsman in his early wars with the Pratihāras, He is known to have been on the throne upto 388 but no successor of his is so far known. Altekar writes: "Whether he died without leaving any issue, leading to the lapse of his kingdom, or whether there was a further war between the main dynasty and the Lata branch, which wiped out the existence of the latter, we do not know.881 It is also likely that Mahendrapala excrted relentless strain and pressure on the Lata branch of the Rastrakūtas. His two grants found at Unast in the southernmost part of Kathlawad in the Junagarh State dated 893 A.D. and 899 A.D. and recording grants of the villages of Jayapura and Amvulaka in the Saurastra Mandala to the temple of the sun by the Calukya Balavarman and his son Avanivarman II Yoga respectively, who were his feudatories, demonstrate that he was successful in maintaining and, perhaps, even in extending his hold over the province of Saurastra which gave him access to the sea. In this context the reference to Gandapäla's suzerainty over Lata becomes understandable.

10. Candapala identified with Mahendrapala

It is clear from the aforesaid discussion that in Candapalla we have a velled reference to Mahendrapala. His eastern conquests and southern alliances won him the paramount position in India. The court-poet Räjafekhara dramatised these memorable events of his time but clothed them in the garb of fiction by concealing

प्रस्पि एत्य लाडदेने चण्डतेणो णाम रामा। तत्म दुहिदा पणसारमंत्ररीति । सा देवण्णेदि णिड्टा जया एमा लक्तवट्रिपरिणी भविस्ताविति । तही सा महाराएम गरिणेदस्या।

426

at Kaspūramalijasi ed. Konow p. 105

¹⁸ The . Ige of Imperial Kanauj, p. 12.

^{*} Epigraphia Indica Vol. IX pp. 1-10.

the name of his imperial patron in a less known and remotely connected but clearly perceptible synonym⁴⁰. From this standpoint it would appear that Räjäschkara wrote the Karpāramājarī at the time of Mahendrapāla. So it was composed earlier than bis Balebhārata or Pracandajāgadaa, in the prologue of which Mahlpāla is mentioned and called an axe to the Kuntala king, showing his deep-seated enmity for the Rästrakūtas. The view of Mirashi that it was written later than the Bālebhārata is not sound. As a matter of fact, there seems much substance in the view of V. S. Apte, expressed as far back as 1856 in his essay entitled Rājātekhara, his life and writings, that the Karpūramājarī was the earliest composition of this author.

The Karpūramañjørł is also rich in cultural material. Of special significance is the description of the Kaula cult of the Saiva asceric Bhairavānanda and his performance of supernatural magic feats. This cult centred on the unstituted consumption of meat and wine and constant company of women. All the same is followers professed superhuman powers by virtue of which they made their mark in royal courts. This was the beginning of the cunstant association of the Siddha (perfected ascetic) and the Samata (feudal lord) which became the corner-stone of medieval Indian culture and conditioned its characteristic orientations.

Padmagupta in his Novarökasönkacarits and Soddhala in his Udoysundarikathä have followed Räjasekhara's style of disguising the name of a historical personage in fictitious and remotely connected name and describing the incidents of his career in a romantic and minaculous way. This style was a departure from that of Böna in which the life of the hero was presented in a more direct, though peetically embellished, manner. [V. V. Mirashi, Studie: in Indology Vol. II, e. 63.

Appendix to Chapter VII 'Fall of the Maurya Empire'.

In Chapter VII section 5 at page 155 the Parifistaparean of Hemacandra (IX, 54) has been quoted to show that Samprati ruled over the eastern half of India including the Deccan. In Jacobi's edition (Bibliotheca Indica) p. 264 the following reading of this verse is given :

> कमेण साधयागास भारतार्घं सदक्षिणम् । प्रचण्डशासनक्त्वामुत्पाकद्वासनसन्निमः ॥

Here the word sa is joined to daksinam so as to show that sadaksinam is concected with the preceding word bharatardham. Accordingly, the line has been taken to mean that Samprati ruled over one half of India including the Deccan. But the verse admits of another construction also. It is possible to separate sa from daksinam and treat it as the nominative form of the pronoun of the third person in singular. Thus, the line will read as kramena sadhavamasa bharatardham sa daksinam and will mean that "he gradually conquered the southern half of India." If this construction and interpretation are adopted the conclusion drawn from it at pages 153 and 155 above that Samprati ruled over one half of India including the Deecan seems to falls through. But the argument of the chapter is not at all impaired. The Raiatarangini of kalhana (I. 117) expressly states that Jalauka, the successor of Asoka in Kaśmira, conquered the country up to Kānyakubja (Kanauj in middle India). This implies that the successor of Asoka at Pätaliputra in Magadha lost his hold over the western half of India up to Kanyakubja and had to be content with his rule over those parts of the country which lay to the east of this city, though he extended his sway in the south in course of time. The remark of Kalhana makes it clear that Kanyakubia was the dividing line between the dominions of the successors of Asoka in Kasmira and Magadha. This shows that the conquests of the successor of Atoka in Katmira up to Kanyakubja had the effect of the division of the Maurya empire between him and his Magadhan contemporary. In this way, the main thesis advanced at the aforesaid pages of the chapter uoder reference remains jotact and does not call for any revision or alteration.

INDEX

A

Abdullah-ibn-al-Mukaffa, 89. Abdur Rahman ibn Muhammad ibn al-Ashas, 322. Abgars, 381. Abhayanandin, 236. Abhinavagupta, 116 Abhira, 381-2, 384, 416. Abhisāra, 46-7, 52, 63. Abhisares, 38. Abul Hasan, 124. Abu Mansur Abdur Razzak bin Abdullah Farrukh, 89. Abu Mansur al Maamari, 88. Abu Saleh bin Sh'aab bin Jami, 124. 78. Acalabhratr (Ayalabhaya), Achaemenids, 25, 28, 179, 209, 378. Achtichtat, 235. Actium, 24. Aditya Chola, 425. Adraistai, 64. Aclian, 73. Aeschylus, 280. Agamemnon, 17. Agathecles, 215, 333. Agnibhūti (Aggibhūl), 78. Agnimitra, 221-3, 228-9, 231. Acrianians, 48. Ahmad bin Muhammad bin alm Bakr of Khalanjan, 68. Ahurmazda (Harmuz), 388. Ajātašatru, 72, 80. Ajivika, 8-9, 11, 106, 167, 172, 183, 206. Ajjhitabhattarikā, 379. Akālajalada, 417. Akampita (Akampiya), 78. Akbar, 23, 331. Akhnaton, 26. Akun, 317, 374-5, 386. Alan, 27. Alauddin Khalji, 92. Al-Biruni, 360, 392-3.

Alexander the Great, 24, 28, **30-1**, 69, 74-5, 86-7, 91, 93, 95, 104, 117-9, 123, 125-31, 133-4, 141, 144, 156, 209-10, 236, 274, 331, 334, 336-7, 342. Alexandria, 24. Al-Jabarti, 25. Alketas, 48, 51. Al-Makin, 46, Amarasimha, 282. Amin Nasr, 88. Amir Khusran, 91-2. Ammianus Marcellinus, 267-8, 302. Amoghavarsa, 396, 422, 425. Amokan, 382. Amru, 381. Amyntas, 291. Anathapindaka, 178. Andhaka, 226. Androgorous, 212. Androsthenes, 155, 156. Angulimāla, 95. An-Kuo, 297, 298. Anosharwan Khusro, 301, Antialkidas, 291. Antigonas Gonatas, 128. Antigones, 48, 51, 139. Antiochus, 155-6, 158-9, 210-13. Antimachus, 214-15, 233. Antipater, 139. Apama, 128, Apollodorus of Artemita, 216, 290, Apollodotus, 215, -334. -Apollonius, 24. Appianus, 127. Aratta, 109. Atatus, 8. Arbela, 39, 43. Arcadius, 313. Archebius, 219.

Archimedes, 24, 35. Ardashir I, 263, 274-5 298, 325, 327, 360-1, 274-5, 296. 378-80, 382, 390, 394. 367, Ardashir II, 273, 275-6, 398. Ardwan, 274. Arejataspa, 301. Aristotle, 24, 61, 87. Aristoboulous, 52, 87. Arjaspa, 89. Arjuna, 14, 31-2, 247-8. Arjunayāna, 35, 248, 263, Arjuna Kārtai Irya, 16. Aronos, 45. Arrian, 30, 46, 49, 50-1, 53-4. 59, 60-1, 63, 67, 86, 126, 132, 134. 91, Arsaccs, 212-13. Arsacid, 360. Artaban, 292. Artadr, 292. Artaxernes, 36-7. Āryaka, 405-6, 410-11. Aşādhācārya, 141. Asoka, 2, 67, 72-3, 76-7, 85, 142-3, 145-6, 148-55, 157-64, 166, 172, 174, 181, 189-199, 200, 202, 90, 193, 204-8, 384, 428. Aspasioi, 337. Assakenoi (Asvakāyana), 45, 63, 74, 126, 337. Assyrians, 25. Astes (Hastin), 45. Asvaghosa, 202, 273. Attalus, 48, 51. Attila, 312. Aurclian, 265. Austancs, 31. Avanti, 34, 95, 111, Avantivarman, 135, 136. Avantivarman II Yoga, 420. Axumite, 358. Azes, 292. Azilises, 292-3.

в

Bābar, 332. Babylon, 25, 44. Bagdat, 381. Baghdad, 25. Bahman, 378. Bahram, 265, 315-16, 320, 325-7, 329, 361, 364, 407. Bahram Cobin, 318, Balabhadda, 141-2. Baladevagupta, 141. Baladitya, 321. Balagupta, 141-2. Balamitra, 221, 229, 391. Balarāma, 226. Balash, 317. Balayarman, 426. Bālhi, 30. Bälhika, 36, 300. Bāh, 399. Bânabhațța, 1, 37, 145, 173. 272, 359, 127. Bandhupālita, 144, 153, 154. Bandhuvarman, 137, 401, 405. Barmak, 308. Bastille, 25. Bati, 381. Baudhāyana, 168. Bazira, 45. Beruvan, 381. Beruvan-i-spandar, 381. Bessos, 44-5, 61, 75. Bhadra, 178. Bhadrabāhu, 78. Bhadrasāra, 144. Bhāgavatas, 18. Bhagiratha, 16. Bhaglratha (Kadamba King) 397. Bhairavananda, 418, 426, 427. Bhallika, 181. Bhānugupta, 401, 411. Bhānumitra, 221-3, 228-9, 231, 391. Bhārašiva, 263. Bharata, 29, Bhartrhari, 6. Bharu, 114. Bharukaccha, 114. Bhāsa, 370. Bhasvan, 365, 370, 377. Bhatti Maghavarman, 320-1. Bhautta, 355. Bhima, 34.

Bhima (of Vengi), 425. Bhima (author of Prelijitacanakya), 116. Bhoja, 424-25. Bhrgukaccha, 231. Bhuvanapāla, 395. Bhuyanekabāhu, 241. Bilhana, 1. Bimbisāra, 34, 146, 177-8, 181, 187. Bindusāra, 115, 144, 152, 163: Boukephalas, 68. Brähmanas, their revolt against Alexander, 65, Brahmi, 153, 191, 370. Brhadratha, 144-5, 147-9, 169, 178-4, 216-7, 221. Bihaspati, 144, Buddha, 2, 13, 18, 75-6, 78-82, 84, 120-1, 176, 178, 208, 219, 236, 332, 340, 343, 350. 180-1, 335, Buddhabala, 365, 369, 370. Buddhaghosa, 34, 72, 187, 351. Buddhism, 4, 12, 76, 78, 172, 183, 185, 205-8, 304. Budhagupta, 400. Bulgari, 409. Bu-ston, 372.

α

Cakrapalita, 385. Callisthenes, 87, 90. Calukya, 421, 422, 425. Caminsis, 35 Cānakya, 60, 62, 66-7, 69, 94-5, 97, 100, 106-7, 20, 122-3, 125, 132, 119-134. 137-8, 140-1, 399. Candaguttika Nanda, 121. Candanaka, 405, 409. Canda Mahāsena, 390. Candanāla, 418, 419, 420, 422-23, 425-26. Candasena, 426. Candragomin, 282. Candracupta Maurya, 2, 60, 62, 63-7, 69, 73-4, 77, 83-7, 93-100, 109, 115-6, 118-20,

122, 124-5, 127, 129, 130-4, 137-12, 144, 146, 152, 163. 209, 419. Candragupta I (Gupta dynasty), 274-5. Candragupta 11 Vikiamā-ditya, 135-8, 272-6, 326-9, 359-61, 363, 367-8, 384, 386, 392-3, 395-8, 402. Candraprakāša, 363, Cândrodaya, 175. Cäritiyavaidhana, 277, 348 Cârudatta, 399, 406, 412-4. Carus, 265. Castana, 251. · Chakarpāta, 385. ·Chang K'ien, 230, 279, 286, 289. Chang-ti, 287. Chatax of Mytilene, 97. Che-Che, 286. Che-mong, 362. Ch'eng-Taug, 286. Chen-P'an, 297-8. Chhalari Nrsimhācārya, 243. Chingizkhanids, 25. Chong, 295. Christ, 18 Ciccro, 61. Citràvarman, 138 Cleitus (see Klitos) Cleochares, 46. Comie, 11. Condorcet, 17. Constance, 267, 313. Cosmas Indikoplenstes, 383-4. Curtius, 46, 52-3, 60, 86, 107-8. 126. Cyrus, 35, 209.

D

Darada, 224, 227, 300, 355. Darius I, 35-6, 209, 387. Darius III, 36-7, 39-46. Dasaratha, 144-5, 147-9, 152-4, 166-7, 171-2, 200, 206. Dasona, 144, 153, 154. Dantidurga, 421. gen-Demetrius (Alexander's eral), 54. Demetrius (Indo-Greek King), 156, 213-6, 220-1, 223, 227-8, 231-9. Devadharman, 144-9, 172. Devarāja, 397, 402, Devayarman, 145-9. Devendraganin, (Nemican-drasuri), 65, 96, 134. Dhanananda, 66, 111, 116-7. Dhanañjaya, 138, 177. Dhanapala, 338. Dhanika, 138. Dhanyavişnu, 320, 412. Dharmabhlma, 421. Dharmameru, 277. Dharmamitra, 423. Dharmavijaya, 164, 172, 181, 206-7. Dhavala (Dhavalappa), 175. Dhrtarästra, 152. Dhruva (Rästrakuta King,) 421. Dhruvadevi, 272-3, 393. Dhundhirāja, 73, 119. Dhundumāra, 399. Dhvajavati, 388. Digpambak, 381. Dinakara, 277, 348. Dinawari, 91, 301. Diocletian, 365, 266. Diodotus, 155-6, 211-3. Diodoros, 49, 53, 60-1, 66, 86, 104, 107, 136, 139, 227. Diogenes, 129. Dipankara, 332. (DÍghacārā-Dirghacārāyaņa yana), 79. Divakara, 307. Diväkarasena, 395-402. Diväklrti, 106. Divastich, 346-7. Drdhanemi, 13. Droņa, 399.

Drsadvati, 29.

- Dvārakā, 225, 227.
- Dvimukha (Dummukha), 34.

£

- Echatana, 44,
- Elakaccha, 164.
- Epander, 234.
- Eratosthenes, 24,
- Ethiopic version of the Pseudo Kallisthenes, 39, 58, 92.
- Eudamos, 65, 69, 139, 140.
- Eukratides, 231, 232, 233, 234, 238.
- Eumenes, 139, 140.
- Euthydemus, 156, 213-6, 233, 344.

F

- Fa-hien, 80, 84, 150, 356, 362. Farnadāta, 385. Farishta, 242, 390, 394-5, 397-8.
- Farsman, 313.
- Fa-sheng, 362.
- Faustus of Byzantium, 271
- Fa-wei, 362.
- Fa-yong, 362.
- Firdausi, and his Shält-nämå, 39, 43-4, 47, 59, 60, 87, 90, 92-3, 96-7, 103, 112, 117, 119, 123, 125, 129, 130, 274-6, 301, 318, 407.
- French Revolution, 24.

G

- Gabiene, 139. Gadahara, 263. Gandhāra, 33.5, 37, 45, 76, 95, 120, 179, 210, 232, 247, 331, 365, 366, 367, 370, 372-3, 377. Gangaridai, 67. Gardabhilla, 391. Gaugamela, 43.4, 54. Gautama, 16, 188. Gautami, 423. Gayaggapaya, 164.
- Gelarius, 266.

Ghanasāramanjari, 418, 426. Ghatfar, 310. Glaukanikai (Glaucukāyana), 63. Goethe, 9. Gopa, 410. Gopāla II, 421. Goparāja, 401, 411-2. Gorgias, 51. Govinda 111, 421. Govinda 1V, 419, 422, 423. Govindarāja, 175. Govinda Thakkura, 243. Grahavarman, 135. Granikos, 43, 61. Grumbates, 262, 302, 307. Guhila, 425. Gunaratna, 10. Gunavarman, 256. Gunavijaya Ganin, 348. Gunta, 135, 386. Guptakula, 405. Gurck, 346. Gurjara, 322, 417. Gushtasp, 89.

.

Ħ

Hages, 52. Haider Mirza Dughlat, 355. Hailandurk, 317. Haimayata, 224. Halāhala, 181. Hammurabi, 25. Haribhadra, 106, 211, 242. Haridāsa Misra, 340. Haridatta, 424. Harikeli, 420. Harikesabala, 182. Harimedhas (Harmuz), 388. Hariścandra, 19. Harişena, 94. Harişenācārya, 65. Harisimha, 243. Harsa of Kanauj, 22, 135. Harsa of Kasmira, 242. Harsa (Candela), 419. Harun-al-Rashid, 25. Hecates, 333. Heliocles, 234, 314. Hellenic culture, 23.

Hellesthcaeus, 383. Hemacandra, 1, 65, 67, 106, 134, 145, 153-4, 162, 242, 258, 260, 299, 420, 428. Hen Chao, 288. Hephaestion, 54, 337. Hephthal, 315, 317, 320, 325. Hephthalites, 304-13, 315-21, 323, 325-7, 347-364, 371-2, 374-5, 386, 406-7, 410. Heracles, 237. Heraus, 293. Hermaes, 292. Hero, 24. Herodotus, 30, 309, 335, 349, 350. Herophilus, 24. Hesiod, 0, 11. Hipatia, 24. Hipparchus, 24. Hippocrates, 24. Hisham bin Muhammad, 43. Hiuen T'sang, 32, 75-6, 84-3, 113, 120, 150, 160, 174, 219, 244, 290, 308, 310, 319, 320, 332-3, 335, 343, 344-6, 350, 352, 360. Hinng-nu 250, 283, 205-9, 304-5, 309, 311-2, 321-2, 331 360, 366, 371. HoCh'u-ping, 284. HoKiu-ping, 286. Homer, 18, 336. Hermizd, 264, 265-6, 317, 335, 369, 300, 301-2, 394, 296, 340, 361. Hormizojan, 378. Ho-ti, 287. HuCh'u-ts'iuan, 207. Hu-han-ye, 206. Humai, 270. Humai, 26, 207, 277, 282, 290-302, 305-7, 309, 320-6, 329-30, 342, 346-7, 350, 364, 370, 373-7, 385, 408-10. Hummi, 370. Huvişka, 299, 344. Hu-yi, 370. Hverenah, 388. Hyrcodes, 292-3.

I

Ibn-al Athir, 25 Ibn-Khaldun, 11, 25 Ibrahim Lodi, 118 Idrisi, 280 1-fn, 297 Ilion, 336. Iltutmish, 393. Indra (Vedic god), 19, 399, 172, 176, 236, 248 Indra 111 (Rästrakūta), 419, 422 Indrabl.ūti. 78 Indramitra, 228, 229 Indragalua, 144, 153-4 Indrārjuna, 248 Isa Garumtat, 388 Isfandıyar, 249, 378 Isidore of Charax, 30, 214. Issos, 43, 55 Istakhri, 280 Isvarasena, 416 1wdag, 347.

J

Jahangir, 281. Jainism, 12, 165, 172, 183, 185, 206 Jalauka, 145, 152-3, 158-9, 203, 428. Jālika, 158. Jambudvipa, 180, 353. Jami, 91. Jarasalida, 388. Jarasandha, 223, 225, 228, 231. Jarrah bin Abdullah, 346. Jartikas, 319 Jassaraja, 242. Jatāyu, 399. Jania, 177, Jaula, 317, 318, 321. Jayagarbha, 424. Jayanatha, 404. Jayasimha, 1 Jayavarmen, 281. Jayavarman (king of Fu-nan) , 281, Jayavarman (king of Malwa), 485

Jetavana, 178. Jmaprabha sūri, 106, 145. Jmešvara sūrl, 178. Isnu, 405 Jivaka, 95 Javantasvamin, 162, 164. Jňānagupta, 307. Joseph-ben-Gurion, 58. Joshua, 382, 313. Jouya, 177 Jovian, 313 283, 306, 315-6, Juan-juan, 325, 364-6, 370-1, 410 Junah, 379 Justin, 52, 60, 86, 93, 98, 127, 212, 232 Justinian, 383 Tyotirlávara Kavi Shekhai ācārya, 243.

к

Kadamba, 403. Kadphises, 247, 250-1, 295, 299, 344. Kaid, 91-3, 96-8, 100, 117-9, 124, 127-9, 274-6. Kākabaliya, 177. Kākavarna, 37 111, 158, 207. Kakustha, 76. Käkusthavarman, 403. Kalaka, 391. Kālanemi, 399. Kalanos, 129. Kalaša, 342. Käläseka, 111, 158, 207. Käläseka, 111, 158, 207. Käläsavana, 223-9, 231, 233-4. Kalhana, 2, 145, 152-4, 157-9, 203, 212, 428. lidāsa, 2, 32, 218-9, 221, 276-9, 282, 288, 300, 308-9, 323-6, 328-30, 337-8, 340-2, Kālidāsa, 2, 32, 346-8, 358-1, 354-5, 368, 387, 395-6, 402, 407, 416 Kalinga, 34, 181, 281-5. Khtos, 48, 51, 61. Kullisthenes, 61. Kālodaka, 358. Kamarupa, 428. Kamboja, 210, 275, 300, 341, 316, 358-2, 355.

Kamsa, 223, 227. Kanada, 16. Kanaka, 122. Kang-kiu, 293, 300. Kaniska I, 76, 211, 247, 251, 254-6, 264, 295-9, 332-3, 358, 373. Kaniska II, 264. Kanka, 300, 374. Kannara, 425. Kanya, 203. Kao-Kiu, 364. Kapila, 16. Kapilavastu, 80, 81, 85. Kapya Pataficala, 34. Karkandu, 31. Karke, 235. Karma, 171-2, Karna, 34. Karnāta, 419, 422-3. Karpüramañjarl, 418, 419. Kāruvāki, 150. Kassites, 25. Katanes, 31. Kathaian, 64, Katulphe, 318, 325. Kausambi, 176, 372. Kautilya and his Arthasästra, 35-6, 48-9, 51, 91-5, 124, 183, 187-8, 190-1, 193-4, 199. 200, 206, 247-8, 323, 338. Kawadha, 301, 303, 317-8, 374, 386. Kazak, 253. Kekaya, 33, 31, 36. Keng Kuci, 287. Ketcus, 139, 140, 141. Kharapallāna, 256. Khāravela, 101. Kharosthi, 76, 153, 160, 216, 296, 308, 370. Khasa, 205, 224, 227. Khionite, 304, 307, 310, 316, 322-3, 325, 327, 347, 406-7. Khurha, 210. Khusroe I, 290, 317, 318. Khusroe II (Khusrau) 377. Khuttal, 347. Khwarazmian, 25. Kiang, 285. Kiang-leang-len-che, 358.

Kleaka, 353-354. Kidara, 269, 270, 365-72, 375, Kinnara, 32, 355-6. Kira, 278. Kirāta, 354, 355-6. Ruratin, 354, 355-0.
 Ku-to-lo, 355, 366.
 Kin-to, 363.
 Koinez, 48, 51-6.
 Kosala, 70, 177, 187, 228.
 Kosalaka, 219.
 Krateros, 31, 48, 51-3, 5
 Kritiyas, 319.
 Krimiša, 219-21, 227-8, 234.
 Krgna, 14, 223, 225-9, 235.
 Krgna, 14, 223, 225-9, 235. 51-3, 57, Kran (Rastrakūta king), 422, 425, 126. Kranapāda (Kanhapā), 241. Kranaraja, 425-6. Kriavlrya, 365, 371-4. Kşemiārjuna, 248. Ksemendra, 73, 121, 236. Ksemišvara, 419. Kşlrasvāmin, 282. Kaudraka, 38, 140. Kuang Wu Ti, 287. Kulaipa, 384. Kumārabhadra, 423.
 Kumāragupta, 273, 360, 362, 363, 305, 401, 403, 405.
 Kumārajiva, 256, 373. 144-5, 147-9, 150-1. Kunāla, 160. Kundamäna, 345. Kungkas, 365, 371-5. Kuninda, 263. Kusala, 365, 370, 377. Kusana, 231, 262-3, 265-9, 270-3, 291, 293-4, 296-7, 299, 315, 326, 335-36, 339-40, 353, 358-62, 368-71, 373-7, 379-84, 386. Kušinagara, 75, 80, 84. Kušmehan, 316, 364. Kuru, 29, 34. Kuruksetra, 33. Kuruśravana, 28.

L

Laksmadeva, 278, 279.

Laksmana, 16 Laksmanaganin, 242 Lumpäka, 299 Leon I 313 Lucchavi, 180 Lieu Yuan, 288 Li Ling 286 Li Yen-shu, 313 Lysias, 291

м

Madanapala, 242 Madhyantika, 223, 239, 281 Madra, 33 6, 263 Madragāra Saungāyanı, 34 Madraka 29 Maes Titianos 245 Maga-bhojaka-brāhmana, 388 Magadha, 34, 37, 60, 62-7, 74, 78-9, 82, 97, 108 9, 115, 118, 121, 123, 125, 132, 134 177, 179, 181, 191, 206, 207, 223, 227, 229, 231, 255-6, 263, 428 Magha, 263 Mahādeva, 73, 119, 122 Mahāgırı, 164 Mahākala, 393 Mahanandin, 110, 111, 158 Mahapadma Nanda, 104, 106 8, 110, 112-3, 115, 121 Muliasanghika, 207 Muhasthaviravadin, 207 Mahavijua, 13 Mahāvira, 2, 78, 81, 163-4, 176, 178, 180 1 Mahendra, 372, 399 Mahendrāduya, 376, 403 Mahendrapäh 242, 322, 417, 423-7. Mahendrasena, 372 Mahlpāla, 417-23, 427. Mähişmatı, 34 Mehmed Ah of Kawalla, 23, 26 Muhmood 11, 25 Muhmood Gaznavi, 88, 89, 242 Makarasimlia, 357 Māluva, 29, 38, 69, 140-1, 263, 390 Muluyaketu, 69, 138-11.

Malayaprabha Suri, 145 Malık Muhammad Jayası, 243 Mallmatha, 277, 347 Mameluke, 26 Mamülnär, 112 Manānka, 397, 402 Manatungasuri, 145 Mändhäta, 16 Mandita, (Mandiya), 78, 81 Manı, 358 Mankhalm Gosala, 181 Manu, 188 Mao tuen, 285 Marathas, 23 Marco Polo, 345 Mardians 44 Marwak 381 Marzban 272 Massaga 61, 86-7, 125-6, 128 Massagetae, 209 Masudi, 92, 119, 318 Mathura, 169, 180, 223-5, 227-31, 233, 235-7, 256, 259, 263, 275, 295 Matsya, 353 Ma turn lin, 371 Maurya, 34, 70-4, 76-7, 81-3, 85, 116, 120-1, 142, 148, 152-4, 157, 160, 167, 174, 187-8, 190, 192, 195 6, 198, 202, 206, 387 Mauryaputra, (Moriyaputta), 78, 81, 121 Mayuraka, 72 Mayuraposaka, 72 Megasthenes, 93, 97, 108, 189, 353 Meghanāda, 138 Meleager, 48, 51 Menunder, 215-16, 228, 233 4, 236-9, 334, 354 Mendhaka, 117 Meroes, 59, 50, 62, 67, 132-4 Metarya, (menja) 78 Milura, 388 Mihir ikula, 306, 308, 319, 320-1, 385 Mihrak, 271 Milad, 129 Ming, 264 Ming-ti, 287.

INDEX

Mir Ali Shir Navai, 91. Mithra, 308, 340. Mithridates, 231, 232, 290-1. Mitra Alasen, 381, 382. Mitta, 241. Moggallana, 65, 70-1, 94, 99, 121, 158. Moggalliputta, 208. Moliyagāma, 78. Moliyasivaka, 78. Mongol, 253, 409-10. Moriyanagara, 71, 72, 75. Moriyasannivesa, 78, 81, 82. Moses of Khorene, 89, 253. Mrgāra, 177. Mirtangara Thakkura, 243. Mucukunda, 226-7. Muhammad, 89-90. Mu-jung, 288. Mu-jung Tsiun, 288. Muktapida, Lalitaditya, 355. Mung Tien, 285. Mura, 73, 119. Murunda, 256. Mustafa Kamal Atäturk, 25.

N

Nāgasena, 238. Nagnajit (Naggajji), 34. Nakhver Guslinaspdad, 318. Nanai-dvār, 304, 406. Nanai-var, 303, 406, Nanai-var, 64, 67-8, 72-4, 77, 87, 95, 103-6, 103-9, 112-3, 115-23, 125, 127, 131-4, 139, 107, 387, 419, Nar dašāra, 144. Nar.divardhana, 111. Narki, 321. Napoleon, 25. Nārada, 188. Naravarmadeva, 278-9. Naravarman, 401, 405. Nārāyanapāle, 420, 424. Narendrasens, 397. Narse, 265, 266, 316, 381-82. Navadhana, 242. Nava Surendrāditya Nandin, 357. Nava-vihāra, 308. Nearchus, 97.

Nemicandra Bhanqläri, 243. Nemicandra, Sūri, 145. Nieanor, 50. Nikaia, 68. Nikai, 68. Nikai, 68. Nikai, 68. Nirai, 34. Ninetch, 25. Nirai, 34. Nirottayaräjä, 417. Nirottayaräjä, 417. Nirottayarä, 376. Niraini, 91. Nakonzoko, 211. Nüh 13, 89. Nyäya-vaikesika, 3, 8.

О

Onesicritus, 87, 97, 129. Ora, 45. Oxyartes, 31.

Р

Padmagupta, 1, 427. Palilava, 224, 227, 341, 274, 376, 384. Pahlika, 372, 373. Pak, 381. Pala, 419-21, 424. Pälaka, 406, 410-11. Palladius, 97. Pañcāla, 34, 169, 222, 228-9, 231, 353. Pancaratra Philosophy, 8. Pan-chao, 287, 295, 353. Panchen, 67. Pandava, 34-5, 353. Panduka, 122. Pändugatika, 122. Pänini, 30, 32, 36, 141, 173, 187, 195, 203, 210, 344, 377. Pantalcon, 215, 233. Päpak, 378. Pärada, 224, 227, 341. Paradan shah, 382. Paramarsikas, 300. Parantaka, 425. Pariok, 377. Parivrājaka, 404. Parmenion, 43, 61. Parnadatta, 385.

Patunjah, 6, 16, 173, 187, 194-5, 203, 223, 239 Pataliputra, 69, 73, 76, 93, 95, 106, 111, 115, 121-2, 131-140, 145, 148, 152-3, 164, 215-6, 167, 169-70, 174, 213, 221, 223, 228 31, 233, 236 7, 239, 255-6, 362 Parvataka, 33, 65 6, 69, 134, 138, 140 Parvatiya, 30 2, 355-6 Pethan, 288 Perdikkas, 54, 337 Pericles 17 Peroz, 264, 301, 317, 340, 341, 361, 365, 369, 372, 374-5 380, 386, 407 Peroz bin kabk, 322 Persepolis, 37, 44, 61, 210 Phila, 126 Philip, 61, 91 Philippos, 65, 139 Philostratus, 69 Philosenus, 291 Phrantes, 292 Pi, 287 Pingala, 388 Pupph divana, 81, 83 5, 121 Pire, 367 70 Piroch, 366, 369-70 Pitämburn, 396 Pithon, 139 Pinto, 24 Pliny, 253 Plutarch, 49, 53, 60, 86, 93, 117, 118, 125, 126-7, 131, 234 Polevenus, 233 Polyaenous, 54 Polybus 156 Pelyparchon, 18, 51 Pores, 28-69, 93 1, 104, 108 132-4, 138 11 Potaladeva, Sālu, 357 Probhaso (Pubhasa), 70 Pralihāvatiguptā, 395, 102 Pridjoin, 31 Prakaši, 365, 370, 377 Prokatāditya, 111 Pramun, 35 248 Pro mit, 79, 60, 95, 177, 181 Prasioi, 67. Pratihara, 417, 419, 421-6 Pravarasena, 395, 397, 402 Priscus, 371, 375 Probus, 265 Procopius, 305, 310, 383, 384 Prthvis-na, 403, 404 Ptolemy, 34, 52, 87 Ptolemics, 26 Pukkusāti, 34, 35 Pulkesin, 175 Punnaka, 177 Pu nu, 287 Pürnavarman, 174 Puru, 28 69 Pururavas Aila, 30 Purusapura, 356, 366 Puskalavati, 29, 45, 337 Puskarakea, 138 Pusyadharman, 144 Pusyamanava, 173 4 Pusyamitra, 144, 169, 173-4. 203, 215-22, 227-9, 231-2, 235, 238 9 Pusyamitras, 375

Q

NIL

R

Radha, 420 Radhngupta, 142 Raghava, 16 R-shu, 32, 275, 277, 279, 282
 303, 323 4, 326 30, 335
 337-8, 342, 346, 347, 350-3 356-7, 387, 407 Rajagriha, 33, 80, 141-2, 176 178 Rijnšekhari, 241, 272, 359, 417 419, 423, 426, 427 Rājna, 388 Rājāl, 388 Ransula, 237 Rajyapāla, 420 Rayyasri, 135 Raksma, 137-40, 142 Rin1, 16, Runadasa, 395

Rāmagupta, 272-3, 315, 359, 367, 369, 393. 328. Ramanila, 320, 385. Rambhā, 399. Ramdeo of Deogir, 92. Rästrakūta, 418-23, 425-7. Ratnagarbha, 73, 119. Rāvaņa, 16. Ravinartaka, 73, 119, 122. Reformation, 24. Reform Movements, 24. Renaissance, 24. Rohita, 19. Rslka, 300. Rudra, 399, 404-5. Rudradāman, 198, 384 Rudresena, 395, 102. Rudrasimha, 393. Rudravarman, 404-5. Rustam, 249.

s

Sadlruddin Muhammad Aufi 322. Saffarid, 25, 89, 322. Sagara, 16, 341. Sahadeva, 423. Sāhi Tegin, 244. Saindhava, 423. Šuka (Scythian), 48, 68, 224, 227, 248-9, 251, 260, 263, 267, 272, 275, 291, 203, 299, 300, 326, 328, 341-2, 359-61, 369, 381, 384, 386-7, 391-3. Śakāra, 399, 400-4_ Sākadvīpa, 388. Sākala, 34, 217, 237, 360. Sāketa, 168-70, 176-7, 223, 239, 255. Sakuna, 372-3. Sakuni, 76. Sakurisan, 381-2. Sakya, 70, 72, 75-7, 79, 80-1, 83. 120-1. Sälibhadra, 178. Śālišūka, 144-5, 147-9, 158, 167-8, 169, 170-2, 174, 200, 206, 215-16, 230, Sälva, 228.

Salya, 34. Samanid, 25. Sāmba, 388. Sammati, 146. Samprati, 144-50, 152-55, 157, 161-3, 166, 172, 206, 428. Samudragupta, 35, 247, 262, 272-3, 275, 359, 383-4, 386, 394. 248, 366, Samyuta, 146. Sandhyäkara Nandin, 1. Sangala, 61, 64. Sangata, 144, 146. Sanghabhadra, 220. Sangrāmapāla, 242. Sangrāmasimha, 118. Sankarācārya, 2. Sānkarārya, 37. Sänkhya, 3, 9. Saptasindhu, 33. Saptati, 146. Sarasvati, 29, 391. Sarmatian, 251, 295. Sarvanātha, 404. Sarvasena, 402. Sarvayasus, 365, 370, 377. Sarvilaka, 412. Saru, 370, 387. Sasan, 370, 387. Sasigupta, 74, 75. Sasanid, 262-3, 265, 268, 274, 276, 296-7, 302, 313, 315-18, 325, 339-40, 359-60, 362, 68, 325, 339-40, 359-60, 362, 364-73, 378, 381, 383, 386-9, 407 Susthisvämin, 399. Satadhanus, 144-9, 172-3. Satadhanyan, 144-5. Sandi, 423. Saurāstra, 198. Savarti, 409. Sayyidi, 381. Seleucos, 93, 127-8, 209, 212. Seleucid, 25, 157, 158-9. Selim, 25. Seljaquid, 25. Senaka, 321. Senārjuna, 248. Seunacandra, 175. Shah-jahan, 23. Shah-jahan, 23. Shah-jahan, 23. Shah-jahan, 23. 299, 303, 325-7, 335-6, 339-

40, 361, 368, 378, 380, 390, 394 Shahpuhr II, 262, 320, 325, 327, 367, 370 Shahpuhr, III, 266, 267-9, 271-3, 302-3, 315, 367, 369, 370, 394, 398 Shahristan-i-Yazdegird, 316 She-Lei, 288 Shc-po-lu, 319 Shih-Min, 284 Shivaji, 26 Shog, 377 Sho-lo hu, 318 Sho-lucn, 364 Sibi, 34 Sicn-pei, 287, 410 Sika, 381, 382 Sikhara, 137 Siladitya, 365, 370, 377 Silk Route, 22 Simhanāda, 138 Simhavarman, 405 Sinatroces, 292 Sisunäga, 37, 111 Siuan-ti, 286 Sividitta, 416 Syavash, 350 Skandagupta, 273, 317-18, 363, 375-6, 385, 400, 403, 407 Slok, 268, 383 Socrates, 18 Sodāsa, 237 -Soddhala, 427 Sodhanaka, 412 Somadeva, 73, 121, 376 Somaprabhasuri, 145 Somasarman, 145 Spähbäd, 318 Spandorat, 381 Spengler, Oswald, 9, 11. Spitaces, 47 Stavasti, 80, 176-8, 256 Sripāla, 424 -Stiprabha 145 Singula, 224 Sscu-chunn, 362 Stisinor, 209 Stilicon, 315 Sthulakostha, 219 Strabo, 35, 46, 127, 252, 290

Stratonice, 128 Strausa, 388 Subandbu (author of Vasavadattā), 374 Subandhu (Kung of Mähumatı), 399, 400-1, 403 4 Subhägasena (Sophogsenus), 155-9, 213, 217 Sudaršana Lake, 385 Sudharman (Suhamma), 78 Sudraka, 175, 399, 416 Suhastin, 162-4 Sujihva, 388 Suketuvarman, 175 Sumativijaya, 277, 348 Sunga, 194-5, 203 Sung-yun, 307, 310, 319-20, 327, 356 Sun-yat sen, 26 Supărŝva, 144 Surananda, 417 Surasmicandra, 400 Suren, 272 Susa, 44 Susena, 138 Suvarnadvlpa, 179 Svišakha, 384 Syāmulaka, 320, 404, 413 Symmachus, 50

т

Tahura, 43, 91, 263, 267, 296 301, 313, 318, 346, 360 2, 379, 303 Tahura, 25 Tahura, 25 Tahura, 35 Ga 66, 94-5, 119, 123, 125, 120, 132-3, 150-1, 155, 159, 160, 192, 203, 215, 309 Tamalu, 100, 300 Tamalu, 70 Tang, 409 Tao-pu, 362 Tao-yu, 362 Tao-ya, 362 Tao-ya, 362 Tao-ya, 362 Taranaha, 144, 157, 360 Taranaha, 144, 157, 360 Taranaha, 144, 157, 360 Taranaha, 144, 157, 360 Tauron, 55. Taxiles, 38, 45, 59, 64, 94, 132. Tchou Fa-hun, 307. Teleas, 156. Teu-man, 285. Tharuka, 420. Theon, 24. Theophanes of Byzantium, 318. Theophilus, 24, 291. Thracian, 48, 383. Thucydides, 18. Tinaskeh, 235. Tiran, 267. Tirdat, 381. Tridates, 213, 229. Tişyaraksitä, 150-1. Tivala (Tivara), 150. Tomara, 322. T'o-pa, 288, 313, 363. T'o-pa Kuei, 288. T'o-pa-Tao, 364. Toramāņa, 305, 322, 411, 412. 308, 319-20, Toynbee, 11, 17, 209. Träsadasyu, 28. Trasdamad, 271. Trigarta, 353. Triparadeisos, 209. Trišanku, 399. Trogus, 252, 290. T'sin She Huang-ti-, 285. T'si Yong, 287. Tukhāra (Tokharian), 224, 227, 245, 253, 251, 291, 299, 300, 308, 352, 355, 365. Tusāra, 224. Tuşāspa, 384. Turuska (Turk or Tu-kine), 278, 283, 309-10, 318, 349, υ

Ucchakalpa, 404. Udayakara, 348. Udayin, 111, 170, 216., 230. Uddalaka Aruni, 34. Udyāna (Uddiyāna), 219, 356. Uggasena (Ugrasena), 122. Ugrian, 409. Uighur, 252, 283, 261. Umar (Caliph), 89.

Urvašl, 30. Usinara, 34. Utpala, 238. Utsavasanketa, 29, 32, 355-6. Uttarasena, 75-6, 219,

v

Vahmana, 389. Vaišāli, 72. Vaitulyaka, 288. Vajrapāni, 343. Vakbha, 321. Väkpati, 2. Valarsace, 235. Valens, 272. Valerian, 265, 361. Vallabhadeva, 277, 348. Vallabharaja, 419, 421-2. Vämana, 362. Vanaspara, 256. Varāhamihira, 29, 238, 388. Varährän, 339, 348-1, 361, 367, 368 370, 388-2. Vararuci, 259. Varäsgurt, 381. Varäzdät, 272. Varäzgirde, 381-2. Varo Shahi, 366, 369-78. Varus, 286. Vāsabhakhattiyā, 88, " Vasantasenā, 399, 406, 412, 414 VasisthInutra Srl Pulumavl. 416. Väsudeva I, 296, 298, 299, 335. 344, 389. Väsudeva II, 264, 299. Väsudeva III, 264, 299. Vasumitra, 208, 218-9. Vavuoluuti, (Vaubhui), 70. Vedánta, 3. Vehsadjan, 298. Vico, 11. Videha, 34. Vidita, 164. -Vidudabha, 70-1, 75, 79, 88-1. Vigatāšoka, 144. Vijaya, 160, 168, 170, 206, Vijayādītya III, 425. Vijayaganin, 277. Vijayakirti, 160.

Vijayasambhava, 160. Vijayasimha, 160. Vijayavirya, 160. Vikramāditya, 2, 26, 374, 376, 390-8, 116 Vikramāditva (Cālukya King,) 421. Vikrama era, 26 Virådhagupta, 142 Viraka, 416 Virasena, 136, 144, 155, 157-9, 393 Vıšâkhā, 177-8 Višakhadatta, 65, 68, 73-4, 95, 116, 121, 135-8, 272, 359. Vishap, 235 Visnusena, 414-5 Vistaspa, 301 Visvavarman, 137, 401, 405. Vrsasena, 144 Vrsni, 226 Vyäghra, 404. Vyakta (Viyatta), 78. Vyāsa, 188

w

Wang Mang, 283, Wei, 364, Wei T'sing, 286, Wustam, 377, Wu-huan, 387, Wu-kong, 357, Wu-sun, 250, 252, 209, Wu-ti, 286,

٠

х

Xandramas, 67, 87, 108, 117, 122. Xenophon, 113. Xerxes, 36, 37, 38, 209.

¥ Yādna, 223, 225, 228 Yajñasena, 221. Yājnavalkya, 188. Yaqub bin Leith, 89, 322. Yāqūbi, 91. Yāgūt, 280. Yaqut-al-Hamavi, 25. Yāska, 351. Yasodharman Visnuvardhana 26, 321. Yasovarman, 419. Yaudheya, 263. Yavana, 37, 169, 204, 210, 218, 223, 226, 229, 231, 236, "39, 335, 341, 372-3, 379. Yavaneśvara, 238. Yāyāvara, 419, Yazdegird, 11. Yen t'sai, 295," Yen-yong-tsa-tsu, 248. Ye-po-lo, 319. Ye-tha, 313, 319, 364. Yezdegird, 89, 315-7, 325-367. Yi-che-sie, 286. Yoga, 3. Ysamotika, 251. Yu ch'u kien, 287. Yudhisthira, 16, 159, 275-6, 300, 355, 356. Yue-ai, 362. Yue-che, 245-6, 249-50, 252-3, 256-7, 260, 263-4, 269, 291, 293, 295, 290, 300, 305, 352, 358, 360, 364-6, 371, 373. Yuvarājadeva, 417.

z

Zacharias of Mitylene, 313. Zamasp, 318, 381. Zambil, 322. Zarathustra, 89. Zenoli de Klag, 235. Zenobia, 265.